**ENE4014**: Programming Languages

Lecture 2 — Inductive Definitions (2)

Woosuk Lee 2019 Spring

#### Contents

- More examples of inductive definitions
  - natural numbers, strings, booleans
  - lists, binary trees
  - arithmetic expressions, propositional logic
- Structural induction
  - three example proofs

### Natural Numbers

The set of natural numbers:

$$\mathbb{N}=\{0,1,2,3,\ldots\}$$

is inductively defined:

$$\overline{0}$$
  $\frac{n}{n+1}$ 

The inference rules can be expressed by a grammar:

$$n \rightarrow 0 \mid n+1$$

Interpretation:

- 0 is a natural number.
- If n is a natural number then so is n+1.

# Strings

The set of strings over alphabet  $\{a, \ldots, z\}$ , e.g.,  $\epsilon$ , a, b, ..., z, aa, ab, ..., az, ba, ... az, aaa, ..., zzz, and so on. Inference rules:

$$\bar{\epsilon}$$
  $\frac{\alpha}{a\alpha}$   $\frac{\alpha}{b\alpha}$   $\cdots$   $\frac{\alpha}{z\alpha}$ 

or simply,

$$\overline{\epsilon} \qquad rac{lpha}{xlpha} \; x \in \{ exttt{a}, \dots, exttt{z}\}$$

$$egin{array}{lll} lpha & 
ightarrow & \epsilon \ & | & xlpha & (x \in \{ ext{a}, \ldots, ext{z}\}) \end{array}$$

### Boolean Values

The set of boolean values:

$$\mathbb{B} = \{true, false\}.$$

If a set is finite, just enumerate all of its elements by axioms:

$$\overline{true}$$
  $\overline{false}$ 

$$b o true \mid false$$

### Lists

Examples of lists of integers:

- nil
- 14 · nil
- $\mathbf{3} \cdot \mathbf{14} \cdot \mathsf{nil}$
- $\mathbf{0}$   $-7 \cdot 3 \cdot 14 \cdot \mathsf{nil}$

Inference rules:

$$rac{l}{\mathsf{nil}} \quad rac{l}{n \cdot l} \; n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} l & \to & \mathsf{nil} \\ & | & n \cdot l & (n \in \mathbb{Z}) \end{array}$$

### Lists

A proof that  $-7 \cdot 3 \cdot 14 \cdot \text{nil}$  is a list of integers:

$$\begin{array}{c} \frac{\overline{\mathsf{nil}}}{14 \cdot \mathsf{nil}} \ 14 \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \frac{3 \cdot 14 \cdot \mathsf{nil}}{7 \cdot 3 \cdot 14 \cdot \mathsf{nil}} \ 3 \in \mathbb{Z} \\ -7 \cdot 3 \cdot 14 \cdot \mathsf{nil} \end{array}$$

The proof tree is also called *derivation tree* or *deduction tree*.

# **Binary Trees**

Examples of binary trees:

- leaf
- **2** (2, leaf, leaf)
- **3** (1, (2, leaf, leaf), leaf)
- (1, (2, leaf, leaf), (3, (4, leaf, leaf), leaf))

Inference rules:

$$rac{t_1 \quad t_2}{(n,t_1,t_2)} \; n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} t & \rightarrow & \mathsf{leaf} \\ & | & (n,t,t) & (n \in \mathbb{Z}) \end{array}$$

# **Binary Trees**

A proof that

$$(1,(2,\mathsf{leaf},\mathsf{leaf}),(3,(4,\mathsf{leaf},\mathsf{leaf}),\mathsf{leaf}))$$

is a binary tree:

$$\frac{\frac{\overline{\mathsf{leaf}}}{\mathsf{(2,leaf,leaf)}}}{\frac{(2,\mathsf{leaf},\mathsf{leaf})}{(1,(2,\mathsf{leaf},\mathsf{leaf})}} \overset{2}{=} \mathbb{Z} \quad \frac{\overline{\mathsf{leaf}}}{(4,\mathsf{leaf},\mathsf{leaf})} \overset{4}{=} \mathbb{Z} \\ \frac{(3,(4,\mathsf{leaf},\mathsf{leaf}),\mathsf{leaf})}{(1,(2,\mathsf{leaf},\mathsf{leaf}),(3,(4,\mathsf{leaf},\mathsf{leaf}),\mathsf{leaf}))} \overset{3}{=} \mathbb{Z}$$

# Binary Trees: a different version

Binary tree examples: 1, (1, nil), (1, 2), ((1, 2), nil), ((1, 2), (3, 4)). Inference rules:

$$\overline{n} \ n \in \mathbb{Z} \qquad rac{t}{(t, \mathsf{nil})} \qquad rac{t}{(\mathsf{nil}, t)} \qquad rac{t_1 \quad t_2}{(t_1, t_2)}$$

In grammar:

$$egin{array}{lll} t & 
ightarrow & n & (n \in \mathbb{Z}) \ & | & (t, \mathsf{nil}) \ & | & (\mathsf{nil}, t) \ & | & (t, t) \end{array}$$

A proof that ((1,2),(3,nil)) is a binary tree:

$$\frac{\overline{1}\quad \overline{2}}{(1,2)} \quad \frac{\overline{3}}{(3,\mathsf{nil})} \\ \overline{((1,2),(3,\mathsf{nil}))}$$

### **Expressions**

Expression examples: 2, -2, 1+2, 1+(2\*(-3)), etc. Inference rules:

$$\overline{n} \ n \in \mathbb{Z} \qquad \frac{e}{-e} \qquad \frac{e_1 \quad e_2}{e_1 + e_2} \qquad \frac{e_1 \quad e_2}{e_1 * e_2} \qquad \frac{e}{(e)}$$

In grammar:

$$egin{array}{cccc} e & 
ightarrow & n & (n \in \mathbb{Z}) \\ & | & -e \\ & | & e+e \\ & | & e*e \\ & | & (e) \end{array}$$

Example:

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{3}{-3}}{(-3)}}{\frac{2*(-3)}{(2*(-3))}}$$

$$\frac{1}{1+(2*(-3))}$$

# **Propositional Logic**

### Examples:

- T, F
- $\bullet$   $T \wedge F$
- $\bullet$   $T \vee F$
- $(T \wedge F) \wedge (T \vee F)$
- $T \Rightarrow (F \Rightarrow T)$

# Propositional Logic

Syntax:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} f & \rightarrow & T \mid F \\ & \mid & \neg f \\ & \mid & f \land f \\ & \mid & f \lor f \\ & \mid & f \Rightarrow f \end{array}$$

Semantics ( $\llbracket f \rrbracket$ ):

# Propositional Logic

```
 \begin{split} \llbracket (T \wedge (T \vee F)) \Rightarrow F \rrbracket &= \llbracket T \wedge (T \vee F) \rrbracket \text{ implies } \llbracket F \rrbracket \\ &= (\llbracket T \rrbracket \text{ andalso } \llbracket T \vee F \rrbracket) \text{ implies } \textit{false} \\ &= (\textit{true} \text{ andalso } (\llbracket T \rrbracket \text{ orelse } \llbracket F \rrbracket)) \text{ implies } \textit{false} \\ &= (\textit{true} \text{ andalso } (\textit{true} \text{ orelse } \textit{false})) \text{ implies } \textit{false} \\ &= \textit{false} \end{aligned}
```

#### Structural Induction

A technique for proving properties about inductively defined sets.

To prove that a proposition P(s) is true for all structures s, prove the following:

- (Base case) P is true on simple structures (those without substructures)
- (Inductive case) If P is true on the substructures of s, then it is true on s itself. The assumption is called *induction hypothesis* (I.H.).

Let S be the set defined by the following inference rules:

$$\overline{3}$$
  $\frac{x}{x+y}$ 

Prove that for all  $x \in S$ , x is divisible by 3. **Proof.** By structural induction.

- ullet (Base case) The base case is when x is ullet Obviously, x is divisible by ullet .
- (Inductive case) The induction hypothesis (I.H.) is

 $oldsymbol{x}$  is divisible by  $oldsymbol{3}$ ,  $oldsymbol{y}$  is divisible by  $oldsymbol{3}$ .

Let  $x=3k_1$  and  $y=3k_2$ . Using I.H., we derive

x+y is divisible by 3

as follows:

Woosuk Lee

$$x + y = 3k_1 + 3k_2 \cdots$$
 by I.H.  
=  $3(k_1 + k_2)$ 



Let S be the set defined by the following inference rules:

$$\frac{x}{()}$$
  $\frac{x}{(x)}$   $\frac{x}{xy}$ 

Prove that every element of the set has the same number of ('s and )'s. **Proof** Restate the claim formally:

If 
$$x \in S$$
 then  $l(x) = r(x)$ 

where l(x) and r(x) denote the number of ('s and )'s, respectively:

$$egin{array}{lll} l(()) &=& 1 & & r(()) &=& 1 \\ l((x)) &=& l(x)+1 & & r((x)) &=& r(x)+1 \\ l(xy) &=& l(x)+l(y) & & r(xy) &=& r(x)+r(y) \end{array}$$

We prove it by structural induction:

ullet (Base case): The base case is when x= (). Then l(x)=1=r(x).

• (Inductive case): There are two inductive cases:

$$\frac{x}{(x)}$$
  $\frac{x}{xy}$ 

Induction hypotheses (I.H.):

$$l(x) = r(x), \qquad l(y) = r(y).$$

▶ The first case. We prove l((x)) = r((x)):

$$l((x)) = l(x) + 1 \cdots$$
 by definition of  $l((x))$   
=  $r(x) + 1 \cdots$  by I.H.  
=  $r((x)) \cdots$  by definition of  $r((x))$ 

▶ The second case. We prove l(xy) = r(xy):

Let T be the set of binary trees:

$$rac{t_1 \quad t_2}{(n,t_1,t_2)} \ n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

Prove that for all such trees, the number of leaves is always one more than the number of internal nodes.

**Proof.** Restate the claim more formally:

If 
$$t \in T$$
 then  $l(t) = i(t) + 1$ 

where l(t) and i(t) denote the number of leaves and internal nodes, respectively:

$$\begin{array}{lcl} l(\mathsf{leaf}) & = & 1 & i(\mathsf{leaf}) & = & 0 \\ l(n,t_1,t_2) & = & l(t_1) + l(t_2) & i(n,t_1,t_2) & = & i(t_1) + i(t_2) + 1 \end{array}$$

We prove it by structural induction:

- (Base case): The base case is when t = leaf, where l(t) = 1 and i(t) = 0.
- (Inductive case): The induction hypothesis:

$$l(t_1) = i(t_1) + 1, \qquad l(t_2) = i(t_2) + 1$$

Using I.H., we prove  $l((n,t_1,t_2))=i((n,t_1,t_2))+1$ :

$$egin{array}{lll} l((n,t_1,t_2))&=&l(t_1)+l(t_2)&& ext{definition of of }l\ &=&i(t_1)+1+i(t_2)+1& ext{by induction hypothesis}\ &=&i(n,t_1,t_2)+1& ext{definition of }i \end{array}$$

# Summary

- Computer science is full of inductive definitions.
  - primitive values: booleans, characters, integers, strings, etc
  - compound values: lists, trees, graphs, etc
  - language syntax and semantics
- Structural induction
  - ▶ a general technique for reasoning about inductively defined sets