ENE4014: Programming Languages

Lecture 1 — Inductive Definitions (1)

Woosuk Lee 2019 Spring

#### Inductive Definitions

Inductive definition (induction) is widely used in the study of programming languages and computer science in general: e.g.,

- The syntax and semantics of programming languages
- Data structures (e.g., lists, trees, graphs)

Induction is a technique for formally defining a set:

- The set is defined in terms of itself.
- The only way of defining an infinite set by a finite means.

Three styles to inductive definition:

- Top-down
- Bottom-up
- Rules of inference

# Example (Top-Down)

Let us define a certain subset S of natural numbers  $(\mathbb{N})$  as follows:

## Definition (S)

A natural number n is in S if and only if

- $\mathbf{0}$  n=0, or
- $n-3 \in S$ .

The definition is *inductive*, because the set is defined in terms of itself. What is the set S?

# Example (Continued)

Let us see what natural numbers are in S.

- ullet 0 is in S because of the first condition of the definition.
- 3 is in S because 3-3=0 and 0 is in S.
- ullet 6 is in S because 6-3=3 and 3 is in S.

• ...

We can conjecture that  $\{0,3,6,9,\ldots\}\subseteq S$ .

### Proof by mathematical induction .

We show that  $3k \in S$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

- $oldsymbol{0}$  Base case:  $3k \in S$  when k=0.
- ② Inductive case: Assume  $3k \in S$  (Induction Hypothesis, I.H.). Then show  $3 \cdot (k+1) \in S$ , which holds because  $3 \cdot (k+1) 3 = 3k \in S$  by the induction hypothesis.



# Example (Continued)

What about other numbers? Does S contain only the multiples of S?

- For instance,  $1 \in S$ ? No. Because the first condition is not true, the second condition must be true for 1 to be in S. However, it is not true because 1-3=-2 is not a natural number. Similarly, we can show that  $2 \not\in S$ .
- What about 4? Because  $4-3=1\not\in S$ ,  $4\not\in S$ .

By similar reasoning, we can conjecture that if n is not a multiple of  $\mathbf 3$  then n is not in S. In other words, S contains multiples of  $\mathbf 3$  only: i.e.,

$$\{0,3,6,9,\ldots\}\supseteq S.$$

### Proof by contradiction.

Let n=3k+q (q=1 or 2) and assume  $n\in S$ . By the definition of S, n-3, n-6,  $\ldots, n-3k\in S$ . Thus, S must include 1 or 2, a contradiction.

## A Bottom-up Definition

An alternative inductive definition of S:

### Definition (S)

S is the  $\mathit{smallest}$  set such that  $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  and S satisfies the following two conditions:

- $0 \in S$ , and
- $oldsymbol{2}$  if  $n \in S$ , then  $n+3 \in S$ .
  - ullet The two conditions imply  $\{0,3,6,9,\ldots\}\subseteq S$ .
  - ullet The two conditions do not imply  $\{0,3,6,9,\ldots\}\supseteq S$ . E.g.,
    - ▶  $\mathbb{N}$  satisfies the conditions:  $0 \in \mathbb{N}$  and if  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  then  $n + 3 \in \mathbb{N}$ .
    - $\{0,3,6,9,\ldots\} \cup \{1,4,7,10,\ldots\}$  satisfies the conditions.
  - ullet This is why the definition requires S to be the **smallest** such a set.
  - The smallest set that satisfies the two conditions is unique:

$$S = \{0, 3, 6, 9, \ldots\}.$$

#### Rules of Inference

The third way is to define the set with inference rules. An inference rule is of the form:

 $\frac{A}{B}$ 

- A: hypothesis (antecedent)
- B: conclusion (consequent)
- ullet "if A is true then B is also true".
- ullet  $\overline{B}$ : axiom (inference rule without hypothesis)

The hypothesis may contain multiple statements:

$$rac{A \quad B}{C}$$

"If both A and B are true then so is C".

#### Rules of Inferences

The set S is defined as inference rules as follows:

## Definition (S)

$$\frac{n \in S}{0 \in S} \qquad \frac{n \in S}{(n+3) \in S}$$

Interpret the rules as follows:

"A natural number n is in S iff  $n \in S$  can be derived from the axiom by applying the inference rules finitely many times"

For example,  $\mathbf{3} \in S$  because we can find a "proof/derivation tree":

$$\cfrac{\overline{0 \in S}}{3 \in S}$$
 the axiom the second rule

but  $1, 2, 4, \dots \not\in S$  because we cannot find proofs. Note that this interpretation enforces that S is the smallest set closed under the inference rules.

#### **Exercises**

What set is defined by the following inductive rules?

$$\frac{x}{3}$$
  $\frac{x}{x+y}$ 

What set is defined by the following inductive rules?

$$\frac{x}{()}$$
  $\frac{x}{(x)}$   $\frac{x}{xy}$ 

**3** Define the following set as rules of inference:

$$S = \{a,b,aa,ab,ba,bb,aaa,aab,aba,abb,baa,bab,bba,bbb,\ldots\}$$

Of the following set as rules of inference:

$$S = \{x^n y^{n+1} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

## Summary

In inductive definitions, a set is defined in terms of itself. Three styles:

- Top-down
- Bottom-up
- Rules of inference

In PL, we mainly use the rules-of-inference method.