PDE extension -- simplified version

example models and comparison to Saldamli

Jan Šilar jan.silar@lf1.cuni.cz

October 14, 2014

Simplified extension is introduced by example models first. It is than compared to Levon's work ([1], mostly chapter 4). Restrictions of this simplified extension are

- 1D models only
- only first derivative in both time and space

Simplified extension is subset of full proposed extension described in [2] (https://openmodelica.org/svn/OpenModelica/trunk/doc/PDEInModelica/doc/comparisonToSaldamli.pdf) Support for this simple extension in OM should be implemented within my PhD.

1 Example models

1.1 Advection equation

Listing 1: Advection equation in Modelica

• class DomainLineSegment1D from package PDEDomains

1.2 String equation

```
model string "model of a vibrating string"
  import PDEDomains.*;
  parameter Real L = 1; // length
  parameter Real c = 1; // tension/(linear density)
  parameter DomainLineSegment1D omega(l = L);
  field Real u(domain = omega, start = \sin(-2*3.14/\operatorname{sqrt}(c)*\operatorname{omega.x}));
  field Real v(domain = omega);
initial equation
  der(u) = 0;
equation
  der(u) - c*der(v,x) = 0;
  der(v) - der(u,x) = 0;
  u = \sin(2.0*3.14*time)
                                      in omega.left;
  pder(u,x) = 0
                                      in omega.right;
end string;
```

Listing 2: String model in Modelica

- system of two equations avoiding second derivatives
- Dirichlet and Neumann BC

2 Comparison to Saldamli

2.1 Domain definition

Originally

```
see [1] -- 4.3.1.1 and 4.3.1.2
```

Saldamli defines domain shape by listing its boundaries. Individual boundaries (points in 1D, curves in 2D resp. surfaces in 3D) are describes by shape-functions. Shape-function maps intervals ([0,1] for curves, $[0,1] \times [0,1]$ for surfaces) onto the boundary.

This approach is unnecessarily complicated in 1D. Other problems come in higher dimensions and are discussed in [2].

Alternative approach

```
We have several new built-in types.

Built-in type Coordinate:

type Coordinate = Real;
```

Built-in type Domain contains type Region to represent interior and boundaries of the domain. Region is nested in Domain to prevent instantiating Region outside a Domain.

```
type Domain
     type Region
       parameter Integer ndim; //dimension of the region
     end Region;
     parameter Integer ndimD; //dimension of the domain
     Coordinate coord[ndimD];
     replaceable Region interior; //main region of the domain
   end Domain;
type Domain is extended by domain types for particular dimensions, e.g. in 1D:
   type Domain1D
     extends Domain(ndimD = 1);
     type RegionOD = Region(ndim = 0); //for boundaries
     type Region1D = Region(ndim = 1); //for interior
   end Domain1D;
These types are extended by particular domains, e.g.:
   type DomainLineSegment1D
     extends Domain1D;
     parameter Real l = 1; //length
     Coordinate x(name = "cartesian") = coord[1]; //alias for the coord
     Region1D interior(x in {0,1});
     RegionOD left(x = 0); //boundaries
     RegionOD right(x = 1);
   end DomainLineSegment1D;
```

New syntax (x in (0,1)) is used here to specify the range for the coordinate x within the region. I'm not sure about it, may be something better could be proposed.

Differential operators

4.3.2

Partial derivatives

Higher order derivatives are discussed here even though not supported for now.

Originally

```
see 4.3.2.1 e.g. \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} .. der(u), \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x \partial y} .. der(u,x,y) this is kept.
```

Further specification

der(u,time) is also possible and is equivalent to der(u) for field u

However for mixed time and space derivative, we write e.g. der(u,x,time).

Accessing coordinates in der() operator

Originally

not discussed

Problem

Coordinates are defined within the domain type, but they are used in equations that are written outside domains. Thus they should be accessed using domainName. prefix (e.g. omega.x), which is tedious.

In the example in 4.3.2.2 in [1] the normal vector **n** is reached outside the domain class without domainName. prefix even thou it is defined in the domain. It is not explained how this is enabled.

Solution

Fields are differentiated with respect to coordinates only. Thus in place of second and following operands of pder() operator may be given only coordinates. So variables in this positions may be treated specially: coordinates of the domain of the field being differentiated may be accessed without the "domainName." prefix here. If a variable of the same name exists in the model, they wont be in a conflict as the model variable can not appear in place of the second or following operand of pder().

Perhaps usage of this shortened notation was intended even in the original extension but was not mentioned.

In operator

Just a remark: All equations containing a field variable (defined on a domain) hold on particular region of the domain. If the region is not specified (using "in domain.region") region interior is assumed implicitly.

Field literal constructor

originally

see 4.2.2, e.g.:

u = field(2*a+b for (a,b) in omega)

where iterator variables (a,b) exist only in constructor expression and represent coordinates in omega (probably coord, but may be cartesian, it is not clear from the document.)

problem

This syntactic feature is redundant (explained in next paragraph). It also has some problems in the full extension (see [2]). And the syntax suggested below is shorter and simpler anyway.

solution

Coordinate variables are also fields. (Coordinates vary their value over space as other fields.) Thus any expression depending on coordinates should be evaluated to another field. So we can write just u = 2*omega.x+omega.y;

If coordinates are used often (not only in pder()), an alias for it may be defined to avoid "omega." prefix, e.g.

```
coord Real x = omega.x;
But this would need new keyword coord.
```

References

- [1] Levon Saldamli. A High-Level Language for Modeling with Partial Differential Equations. PhD thesis, Department of Computer and Information Science, Linköping University, 2006.
- [2] Jan Šilar. Pde extension -- changes over levon's extension. https://openmodelica.org/svn/OpenModelica/trunk/doc/PDEInModelica/doc/comparisonToSaldamli.pdf.