# A Comprehensive Guide to AI Frameworks: Enhancing Creativity, Logic, and Interaction

## 1. Introduction: Navigating AI-Assisted Processes with Frameworks

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into a multitude of professional and creative domains is rapidly accelerating. While AI presents formidable capabilities, its effective and efficient utilization frequently hinges on structured human thinking and interaction. Conceptual frameworks—structured approaches, methodologies, or mental models—serve as essential guides for thought processes, problem-solving, and communication, particularly when AI is involved. This report aims to provide a comprehensive overview of various AI-relevant frameworks, detailing their core components, methodologies, and principal strengths in areas such as creative brainstorming, logical reasoning, and effective human-AI communication.[1]

The frameworks discussed herein encompass two primary categories: those specifically designed for *interacting with AI systems* (such as prompt engineering frameworks for Large Language Models), and those that are *applied in conjunction with AI* to facilitate broader cognitive tasks (like creativity, critical thinking, or strategic planning). The overarching benefit of employing these frameworks lies in their capacity to enhance clarity, improve efficiency, foster creativity, and lead to more predictable and desirable outcomes when working with or conceptualizing AI applications.[1]

The increasing number of structured approaches, especially in areas like prompt engineering [2], suggests a maturation in how humans engage with AI. Early, often ad-hoc, methods of interaction are gradually being supplanted by more deliberate, systematic, and optimized techniques. This codification of best practices into frameworks is indicative of an evolving discipline focused on maximizing the efficacy of human-AI partnerships.

Ultimately, these frameworks act as cognitive scaffolding, not merely as instruction sets for AI, but as tools that empower humans to structure their own thinking more effectively when engaging with AI.[1] This fosters a more symbiotic relationship, where human capabilities are augmented, and the full potential of AI as a collaborative partner can be realized. Frameworks, in this light, are not restrictive rules but enabling lenses that help refine creative output, challenge biases, and explore a wider array of potential solutions.[1]

The following table provides a preliminary overview of the frameworks discussed in this report, categorized by their primary strength or focus area.

**Table 1: Summary Table of AI Frameworks**

| Framework Name (Acronym) | Full Name (if applicable) | Primary Strength/Focus Area | Core Concept/Purpose |
|---|---|---|---|
| SCAMPER | Substitute, Combine, Adapt, Modify, Put to another use, Eliminate, Reverse | Creative Brainstorming, Problem Solving | Uses seven action verbs to spur new ideas by examining existing concepts. |
| Six Thinking Hats | - | Collaborative Brainstorming, Decision Making | Promotes parallel thinking by adopting six distinct perspectives (hats) one at a time. |
| CARE | Challenge, Action, Result, Elaboration | AI Prompt Engineering (ChatGPT Communication) | Structures prompts by defining the problem, desired AI action, intended outcome, and contextual details. |
| CARE | Context, Action, Result, Example | AI Prompt Engineering (Detailed Prompts) | Structures prompts with background, AI task, desired outcome, and an illustrative example. |
| RACE | Role, Action, Context, Expectation/Execute | AI Prompt Engineering (Advanced) | Defines AI's persona, task, background, and output format for tailored responses. |
| TAG | Task, Action, Goal | AI Prompt Engineering (Clarity & Purpose) | Specifies what AI should do, how it should do it, and the overall objective. |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| TRACE | Task, Request, Action, Context, Example | AI Prompt Engineering (Holistic & Precise) | Comprehensive prompt construction covering task, request, action, context, and example. |
| PAR | Problem, Action, Result | AI Prompt Engineering (Problem Solving & Narrative) | Structures prompts or narratives around a problem, the action taken, and the achieved result. |
| CRISPE | Capacity/Role, Insight, Statement, Personality, Experiment | AI Prompt Engineering (Research & Accuracy) | Refines prompts by defining AI expertise, context, task, style, and requesting multiple examples. |
| CRISPE | Context, Role, Instruction, Subject, Preset, Exception | AI Prompt Engineering (Structured Output) | Structures prompts with background, AI persona, task, focus, requirements, and exclusions. |
| AIDA | Attention, Interest, Desire, Action | AI Prompt Engineering (Marketing & Persuasion) | Guides AI to create content following the classic marketing model to elicit customer action. |
| STAR | Situation, Task, Appearance, Refine | AI Prompt Engineering (ChatGPT Interaction) | Sets the scene, outlines the task, dictates response style, and specifies constraints for effective AI communication. |
| STAR | Situation, Task, Action, Result | AI Prompt Engineering (Marketing Solutions & Narrative) | Identifies a challenge, describes action, predicts impact, and envisages desired result. |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| APE | Action, Purpose, Expectation | AI Prompt Engineering (Alignment & Coherence) | Articulates the desired AI action, the purpose behind it, and the expected response. |
| BAB | Before, After, Bridge | AI Prompt Engineering (Storytelling & Change) | Frames problems in a before-after narrative, using a bridge to explain the solution. |
| RTF | Role, Task, Format/Finish (or Request, Task, Format) | AI Prompt Engineering (Simplicity & Core Structure) | Simplifies AI interaction by defining AI persona/request, task, and output format. |
| CLEAR | Concise, Logical, Explicit, Reflective | AI Prompt Optimization & Refinement | Optimizes prompts based on qualities of conciseness, logic, explicitness, and iterative reflection. |
| PROMPT | Precision, Relevance, Objectivity, Method, Provenance, Timeliness | AI Output Evaluation (Information Quality) | Evaluates AI-generated content for quality and credibility, crucial for research and analysis. |
| SMART | Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant, Time-bound | AI Prompt Goal Setting | Creates well-defined and actionable prompts by setting clear goals and criteria. |
| TREE | Task, Reasoning, Examples, Explanation | AI Prompt Engineering (Explainable AI) | Guides AI to perform tasks by providing reasoning, examples, and explanations for its process. |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| PEAR | Purpose, Expectations, Actions, Results | AI Prompt Engineering (Comprehensive Goal Alignment) | Structures prompts by defining purpose, expectations, actions, and desired results. |
| ICE Model | Ideate, Connect, Extend | Critical Thinking with AI | Generates ideas with AI, connects them critically, and extends them to real-world applications. |
| AI Thinking | - | Strategic AI Application & Governance | Competency-based model for goal-driven, context-sensitive AI use across its lifecycle. |
| DIKWP | Data, Information, Knowledge, Wisdom, Purpose | Conceptual Model for AI Understanding & Semantics | Extends DIKW by adding "Purpose" to guide data transformation into wisdom, aiming for deeper AI understanding. |
| Cynefin Framework | - | Complex Problem Solving, Decision Making | Categorizes problems into five domains to select appropriate strategies, including for AI application. |
| Bloom's Taxonomy | - | Critical Thinking, Learning Design in AI Age | Revisits cognitive skill levels (Remember to Create) to emphasize human skills alongside AI integration. |
| Ethical Frameworks | (e.g., Georgia.gov, PMC Medical AI Ethics) | Ethical AI Analysis & Governance | Guides ethical decision-making and responsible AI development and |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | deployment. |
| Strategy & Governance | (e.g., Microsoft, LatentBridge) | Organizational AI Adoption & Management | Provides roadmaps for integrating AI into organizational strategy, operations, and governance. |
| Logical Frameworks | (Propositional, First-Order, Fuzzy, Modal Logic) | Foundational AI Reasoning | Underpin AI's ability to process information, make inferences, and handle uncertainty. |

## 2. AI Frameworks for Creative Ideation and Problem Solving

This section explores frameworks primarily designed to stimulate human creativity, generate diverse ideas, and approach problem-solving from multiple perspectives. These frameworks are often enhanced when AI is employed as a collaborative or facilitative tool, expanding the boundaries of conventional thinking.

### 2.1 SCAMPER Framework

The SCAMPER framework, initially conceptualized by Alex Osborn and later refined by Bob Eberle, is a potent tool for creative problem-solving and brainstorming.[6] It employs seven distinct action verbs—Substitute, Combine, Adapt, Modify, Put to another use, Eliminate, and Reverse—to prompt innovative thinking by systematically examining existing products, services, or challenges.[6]

Methodology:
Each component of SCAMPER encourages a different way of manipulating an idea or problem:

- **S – Substitute:** This involves replacing one element of a problem, product, or process with something else. This could be materials, people, locations, or procedures.[6] For example, the "sushi burrito" substituted traditional burrito fillings with sushi ingredients while retaining the burrito concept.[7]
- **C – Combine:** This strategy focuses on merging distinct ideas, features, or components to create something new or more holistic.[6] The quintessential example is the smartphone, which combined the functions of a phone, camera, music player, and internet browser into a single device.[7]
- **A – Adapt:** This encourages modifying an existing idea or product to suit a new context, purpose, or audience, or to solve a different problem.[6] Netflix adapting its

DVD rental service to a streaming model exemplifies this.[7]

- **M – Modify (also Minify/Magnify):** This involves altering aspects such as size, shape, color, form, or other attributes. It can also mean magnifying certain features or minifying others to enhance value or functionality.[6] The distinct blue and yellow of IKEA's logo, for instance, modifies its visual identity to emphasize its Swedish heritage.[7]
- **P – Put to another use:** This strategy prompts thinking about alternative applications or industries for an existing product or idea, beyond its original intent.[6] An innovative example is Adidas creating shoes from recycled ocean plastic, putting waste to a new, functional use.[7]
- **E – Eliminate:** This focuses on identifying and removing unnecessary components, features, or steps from a process or product to simplify it, reduce costs, or improve efficiency.[6] The development of Bluetooth earphones, which eliminated wires, is a clear illustration.[7]
- **R – Reverse (or Rearrange):** This involves changing the order, orientation, or perspective of an idea or process, or reversing roles.[6] A company might reverse its typical top-down decision-making process to a bottom-up approach to uncover new insights.[7]

Strengths for Creative Brainstorming:
SCAMPER's primary strength lies in its ability to provide a structured approach to creativity, guiding users through a comprehensive exploration of possibilities.6 It actively challenges assumptions and encourages thinking beyond conventional boundaries, making it highly effective for versatile application across diverse fields, from product development and marketing to personal growth.6 Furthermore, it excels as a collaborative tool, facilitating productive team brainstorming sessions.6
Application with AI:
The SCAMPER framework can be powerfully augmented by generative AI tools like ChatGPT.6 AI can assist in generating a multitude of responses to each SCAMPER prompt, exploring a vast array of variations, and synthesizing information from diverse domains. For instance, a user could prompt an AI: "Using SCAMPER's 'Combine' principle, suggest five novel product ideas by merging features of a drone and a home security system."
A key consideration when using SCAMPER is that its effectiveness can be limited by the existing knowledge and experiences of the individuals applying it.[6] Generative AI models, trained on immense datasets, possess a broad representation of "existing knowledge".[9] When AI is integrated into the SCAMPER process, it can significantly mitigate this human limitation. The AI doesn't merely answer the prompts; it vastly expands the search space for each SCAMPER verb by suggesting substitutions, combinations, or adaptations drawn from a much wider information base than any single individual or small team might possess.[8] This synergy allows for the generation

of more diverse, unexpected, and potentially groundbreaking ideas, effectively supercharging a proven creative methodology.

### 2.2 Six Thinking Hats

The Six Thinking Hats method, developed by Edward de Bono, is a renowned technique for structured team brainstorming, decision-making, and fostering parallel thinking.[10] It encourages participants to approach a problem or idea from six distinct perspectives, each symbolized by a colored "hat." By having everyone in a group "wear" the same hat at the same time, discussions become more focused and collaborative, minimizing unproductive debate.[10]

Methodology (The Hats):
Each hat directs the thinking process in a specific way:

- **White Hat:** Focuses on neutrality and objectivity. Participants share and seek **facts, figures, data, and objective information** related to the topic. Questions include: "What information do we have?" and "What information do we need?".[10] Its strength is promoting data-driven thinking and identifying knowledge gaps.
- **Red Hat:** Allows for the expression of **emotions, feelings, intuitions, and hunches** without requiring justification or explanation.[10] This hat acknowledges the role of emotional intelligence and gut feelings in decision-making.
- **Black Hat:** Represents **caution, critical judgment, and risk assessment**. Participants focus on potential problems, drawbacks, weaknesses, and reasons why an idea might not work.[10] This is crucial for identifying vulnerabilities and conducting realistic evaluations.
- **Yellow Hat:** Symbolizes **optimism and a positive perspective**. Thinking is focused on benefits, value, feasibility, and the positive aspects of an idea.[10] This hat encourages identifying opportunities and constructive development.
- **Green Hat:** Represents **creativity, new ideas, alternatives, and possibilities**. This is the hat for brainstorming, exploring novel solutions, and thinking "outside the box".[10] It fosters innovation and generative thinking.
- **Blue Hat:** Focuses on **process control, organization, and metacognition**. This hat is typically used by the facilitator to manage the thinking process, set the agenda, summarize discussions, define next steps, and ensure all other hats are used effectively.[10] It ensures a structured and productive session.

Strengths for Creative Brainstorming & Collaborative Thinking:
The Six Thinking Hats method promotes full-spectrum thinking, ensuring that a topic is explored comprehensively from multiple angles.10 A significant advantage is its ability to reduce conflict by depersonalizing contributions; criticism or caution (Black Hat) is directed by the hat, not as a personal attack.10 The dedicated Green Hat ensures focused time for

creativity, while the overall structure prevents chaotic discussions by guiding the group through one mode of thinking at a time.10
Application with AI:
AI can serve as a powerful augment to the Six Thinking Hats process. For instance, AI can rapidly provide data and information for the White Hat, generate a wide range of creative suggestions under the Green Hat, or help synthesize and summarize discussions for the Blue Hat.12 An AI could even be prompted to "think" like a specific hat, offering a simulated perspective. For example, when participants are using the Black Hat, they could ask an AI: "What are the potential financial risks and logistical challenges of implementing [proposed solution X], based on historical data of similar projects?" This allows the AI to act as a specialized assistant for each thinking mode, potentially making the process more robust and insightful. The AI could be viewed as a "seventh hat" providing on-demand support, or as an amplifier for the human participants wearing each respective hat.

### 2.3 Other Creative Frameworks

Beyond SCAMPER and Six Thinking Hats, several other conceptual approaches can stimulate creative problem-solving, particularly when partnered with generative AI. These methods are often more fluid and less rigidly structured, aiming to break mental fixedness and explore unconventional angles.

Techniques such as asking **"What would MacGyver do?"** encourage resourceful problem-solving by focusing on making the most of limited resources and turning constraints into catalysts for innovation.[1] Generative AI can be prompted to embody this by synthesizing information from various disciplines to propose imaginative routes around conventional constraints.[1]

**Synectics**, which involves making connections between seemingly unrelated concepts, can also be a powerful creative spark.[1] AI can facilitate this by generating analogies or metaphors. For example, prompting an AI with "Describe our current customer returns process as though it were an obstacle course" can encourage the AI to explore unexpected angles and provide fresh perspectives, potentially highlighting inefficiencies or areas for improvement in a novel way.[1] Similarly, asking "What would a lawnmower look like if it were designed like a vacuum cleaner?" pushes the AI to grapple with unusual combinations, potentially leading to genuinely original ideas.[1]

**Considering the opposite of what you want** is another technique to reverse assumptions and uncover new paths.[1] One might prompt an AI to explore, "What if the opposite of our expected customer response to this new feature were true? What would that imply?" This can help in developing contingency plans or identifying overlooked market segments.

**Tension-based creativity** involves asking AI to integrate two seemingly incompatible

concepts, such as "young and old" or "chaos and order".[1] This can force novel syntheses and innovative solutions.

The strength of these approaches, when combined with AI, lies in their ability to rapidly generate diverse and unconventional ideas. AI's capacity for pattern matching across vast datasets and generating novel combinations makes it particularly well-suited for these types of creative frameworks that rely on analogical, metaphorical, and divergent thinking.[1] The AI acts as a creative catalyst, offering fresh perspectives and helping to overcome human cognitive biases that might limit the ideation process.[1]

## 3. AI Frameworks for Structured Prompt Engineering and Interaction

This section details frameworks specifically developed to enhance the way humans construct prompts for AI models, particularly Large Language Models (LLMs) like ChatGPT. The goal of these frameworks is to foster clearer, more effective, and efficient communication, leading to AI outputs that are more accurate, relevant, and aligned with user intent.[2]

### 3.1 CARE Framework

The CARE framework is a structured approach for crafting AI prompts, with slight variations in its acronym interpretation depending on the source, but generally aimed at improving the quality and relevance of AI responses.

Two common interpretations exist:

1. **CARE (Challenge, Action, Result, Elaboration):** This version is primarily focused on structuring communication with AI like ChatGPT. It guides the user to define the problem or context (**Challenge**), specify what the AI should do (**Action**), describe the desired outcome (**Result**), and provide any necessary clarifying details (**Elaboration**).[13]
2. **CARE (Context, Action, Result, Example):** This interpretation is geared towards engineering detailed and actionable prompts by setting the stage with background information (**Context**), specifying the AI's task (**Action**), describing the intended outcome (**Result**), and crucially, providing an illustrative **Example** of the desired output.[2]

Focusing on the **Context, Action, Result, Example** version [14], the components are:

- **Context:** Provides the background or scenario for the prompt, setting the stage

for the AI's response.
- **Action:** Specifies the task or action the AI is expected to perform, directing its focus towards a particular objective.
- **Result:** Articulates the desired outcome or result of the AI's action, establishing clear expectations.
- **Example:** Offers a concrete example or case study that illustrates the type of response or solution desired, enhancing the AI's understanding.

Strengths:
This version of CARE offers enhanced clarity and focus, providing a clear roadmap for the AI.14 It is outcome-oriented, guiding the AI towards practical and actionable insights. The inclusion of rich contextualization through context and examples grounds AI responses in real-world relevance and applicability.14 The Challenge, Action, Result, Elaboration version emphasizes clear communication, enhanced efficiency, and greater user control over the AI's output.13
Weaknesses:
A potential weakness is the increased preparation time required to craft prompts that effectively incorporate all elements. There's also a potential for over-specification, where too much detail might limit the AI's creative freedom.14
Application:
The CARE framework (particularly the Context, Action, Result, Example version) is ideal for scenarios requiring comprehensive analysis, strategic planning, problem-solving, and educational content development, where detailed guidance and concrete examples significantly enhance the AI's effectiveness.14
The "Example" component in the CARE framework (Context, Action, Result, Example) is particularly powerful because it directly leverages a fundamental characteristic of how LLMs operate: in-context learning. By providing an explicit example of the desired input-output behavior directly within the prompt, users can guide the AI much more effectively towards the intended style, format, and content quality. This makes CARE a potent tool for achieving nuanced and tailored AI outputs.[14]

### 3.2 RACE Framework (Role, Action, Context, Expectation/Execute)

The RACE framework is designed for advanced AI prompting, aiming to produce high-quality, relevant, and consistent outputs from AI tools.[15] The acronym typically stands for Role, Action, Context, and Execute (or Expectation).[15]

**Components:**

- **Role:** This element requires the user to define the specific persona, expertise, or character the AI should assume. For example, "You are an expert financial analyst" or "Act as a travel guide for Paris".[15] This sets the perspective and tone

for the response.

- **Action:** The user specifies the task the AI needs to perform, often using precise verbs like "analyze," "summarize," "create," or "compare".[15] This clearly directs the AI's function.
- **Context:** This involves providing relevant background information, details about the target audience, the desired tone of voice, or specific content to reference or avoid.[15] Including context helps the AI generate more accurate and tailored outputs.
- **Execute/Expectation:** The user outlines any specific formatting, structural requirements, or presentation standards for the output. This could involve requesting bullet points, a certain word count, headings, or a particular output style.[15]

Strengths:
The RACE framework promotes clarity by providing clear instructions, reducing ambiguity in AI responses. It ensures relevance by tailoring outputs to specific needs and audiences. It enhances efficiency by streamlining the prompt creation process and fosters consistency across multiple AI-generated outputs.15
Application:
RACE is particularly useful for generating high-quality, tailored content where persona, tone, and format are important, such as in marketing communications, report generation, or educational material development.15 An example provided is crafting an Instagram caption for a new sustainable water bottle, where the AI is assigned the role of an expert social media marketer and given context about the product and target audience.15
The "Role" component within the RACE framework is a critical lever for eliciting specialized knowledge and distinct styles from LLMs. Since LLMs are trained on diverse and extensive datasets, they implicitly embody countless "roles" or "personas." By explicitly defining a role, the user instructs the LLM to access and emulate the specific subset of its training data most relevant to that persona. This goes beyond merely setting a tone; it can guide the AI to use appropriate jargon, adopt particular reasoning patterns, and access domain-specific knowledge associated with the designated role, making the AI behave more like an "expert" in that field.[15]

### 3.3 TAG Framework (Task, Action, Goal)

The TAG framework is a structured approach for crafting effective prompts, particularly for ChatGPT, by clearly defining the Task, Action, and Goal of the desired AI output.[17]

**Components:**

- **Task (T):** This component clearly states *what* you want the AI to do or accomplish. It defines the specific objective of the prompt.[17] For example, "Write a blog post about sustainable gardening."
- **Action (A):** This component details *how* the AI should approach the task. It provides direction and method, specifying the manner in which the task should be executed.[17] For instance, "Focus on practical tips for beginners and use an encouraging tone."
- **Goal (G):** This component describes the *why* behind the prompt—the desired outcome or purpose you are aiming for with the AI's response.[17] An example goal could be, "To inspire readers to start their own sustainable gardens and reduce their environmental impact."

Strengths:
The TAG framework leads to improved precision and clarity in prompts, helping the AI understand exactly what is needed.17 It enhances AI understanding by translating user requirements into a format the AI can readily process.17 This results in structured and goal-oriented interactions, eliminating guesswork for the AI.17 Key strengths include focus and clarity in prompt creation and an outcome-oriented approach that aligns AI responses with the desired end-state.17 It also simplifies the prompt design process, allowing for quick and effective creation.18
Weaknesses:
The effectiveness of the TAG framework is heavily reliant on the user's ability to define clear and achievable goals.18 If the goal is vague, the AI's output may not meet expectations.
Application:
TAG is ideal for tasks such as content generation, problem-solving, and instructional design, or any application where clear, targeted AI interactions are desired.17 It excels in environments benefiting from a straightforward, goal-oriented approach.18 Examples include summarizing research papers, adapting recipes for dietary restrictions, or creating personalized fitness plans.17
The explicit inclusion of the "Goal" in the TAG framework serves as more than just a clarifier for the user; it acts as a motivator for the AI's coherence. When an AI is provided with the overarching purpose of a request, not just the immediate task, it can make more informed "choices" during its generation process. These choices are then more likely to align with the user's ultimate objective, leading to a more contextually relevant and purposeful output, much like how providing the bigger picture to a human collaborator enables them to perform their specific tasks more intelligently.[17]

### 3.4 TRACE Framework (Task, Request, Action, Context, Example)

The TRACE framework offers a holistic and sophisticated model for AI prompt engineering, designed to construct clear, contextualized prompts that elicit precise and actionable responses from AI systems.[2] It ensures that all critical aspects of an

inquiry are addressed.

**Components:**

- **Task (T):** Clearly define the specific task, challenge, or objective that the AI needs to address. This sets the overall aim for the AI's engagement.[2]
- **Request (R):** Articulate a direct request to the AI, specifying the type of response or action desired. This clarifies what the AI is being asked to produce or do.[2]
- **Action (A):** Detail the specific actions the AI is expected to undertake. This provides guidance on how the AI should approach the task and what steps it might need to follow.[2]
- **Context (C):** Offer background information, circumstances surrounding the task, or any relevant situational details. This enhances the AI's understanding and the relevance of its response.[2]
- **Example (E):** Provide an illustrative example or a prototype of the desired outcome. This offers a concrete reference point for the AI, clarifying expectations for the output's style, format, or content.[2]

Strengths:
The TRACE framework facilitates comprehensive prompt design, guiding AI to produce detailed and contextually appropriate responses.19 It leads to enhanced clarity and direction due to the specificity of each element, resulting in more targeted AI outputs. The inclusion of context and examples ensures rich contextualization, grounding AI responses in real-world relevance and applicability.19
Weaknesses:
The detailed nature of TRACE can lead to increased complexity in prompt construction, requiring more upfront investment of time and effort.19 There is also a potential for over-specification; providing extensive details and examples might inadvertently limit the AI's creative freedom or lead to overly narrow responses.19
Application:
TRACE is ideal for complex analytical tasks, content generation requiring deep contextual understanding, educational applications, and any scenario where detailed guidance and specificity can significantly improve the quality of AI-generated content.19 For instance, it could be used to request a detailed market analysis report, specifying the sections, data points to include, analytical methods, and providing an example of a similar well-structured report.
The TRACE framework can be seen as a highly granular approach, potentially a superset of elements found in other frameworks like CARE (which shares Context, Action, and Example) and RACE (which shares Role (implied in Task/Context), Action, Context). The "Request" component in TRACE adds another layer of specificity regarding the desired AI response type.[19] This comprehensive nature suggests TRACE is particularly well-suited for expert users or complex scenarios where the cost of

ambiguity is high, acting as a thorough checklist to ensure all facets of a complex prompt are meticulously covered.

### 3.5 PAR Framework (Problem, Action, Result)

The PAR framework provides a straightforward and effective method for structuring narratives or prompts, particularly when addressing problems or outlining solutions.[2] It is often used in contexts like marketing problem-solving, business case studies, or project management summaries.

**Components:**

- **Problem (P):** This is the initial situation, challenge, or issue that needs to be addressed. It sets the context and illustrates the need for action. The problem should be clearly defined and specific.[2] For example: "Our e-commerce website is experiencing a high cart abandonment rate."
- **Action (A):** This describes the specific steps, measures, or strategies taken (or to be taken by the AI) to solve the stated problem. It's important to detail these actions clearly.[2] For instance: "Analyze the checkout process for friction points, simplify the form fields, and offer multiple payment options."
- **Result (R):** This outlines the outcome or impact of the action taken (or the desired outcome if prompting for a solution). Ideally, this should be quantifiable or demonstrable, showing a clear connection between the action and the improvement or resolution of the problem.[2] For example: "To reduce cart abandonment by 15% and increase completed sales."

Strengths:
The PAR framework is valued for its simplicity and directness. It creates a clear, logical flow that is easy to understand and follow, making it effective for concisely communicating problem-solution scenarios.2 Its narrative structure is inherently persuasive and memorable.
Application:
PAR is highly useful for marketing problem-solving 2, generating case studies, structuring project updates, and crafting narratives about achievements or experiences.14 When prompting an AI, PAR can be used to request solutions to a defined problem or to generate content that explains how a problem was or could be solved. For example, a prompt could be: "Problem: Low user engagement on our new mobile app. Action: Suggest three strategies to increase daily active users. Result: Aim for a 20% increase in DAU within three months."
The inherent narrative structure of the PAR framework (Problem, Action, Result) [20] makes it particularly powerful not just for prompting an AI to *devise* solutions, but also for prompting it to *explain* solutions or *generate compelling narratives* like case studies. This leverages a fundamental human mode of understanding and communication—storytelling—making the AI's output more digestible, relatable, and

persuasive.

### 3.6 CRISPE Framework

The CRISPE framework is a systematic approach to prompt engineering, designed to refine prompts and improve the accuracy and relevance of AI responses. There are a couple of interpretations of the acronym:

1. **CRISPE (Capacity/Role, Insight, Statement, Personality, Experiment):** This version is highlighted for its application in research contexts, such as orthopedics, to enhance the performance of AI like ChatGPT. It focuses on defining the AI's expertise, providing necessary background, clearly stating the task, indicating the desired response style, and encouraging the retrieval of multiple examples or alternatives.[2]
2. **CRISPE (Context, Role, Instruction, Subject, Preset, Exception):** This interpretation offers a comprehensive structure for general prompt design, covering background information, AI persona, the specific task, the main focus area, stylistic requirements or predefined parameters, and any constraints or exclusions.[23]

Focusing on the research-oriented version **(Capacity/Role, Insight, Statement, Personality, Experiment)** [9]:

**Components:**

- **CR (Capacity/Role):** Specify the expertise, role, or persona the AI should adopt (e.g., "You are an expert clinical researcher specializing in osteoarthritis").[9]
- **I (Insight):** Provide relevant background information, context, or specific knowledge the AI should consider (e.g., "Consider recent meta-analyses on non-pharmacological interventions").[9]
- **S (Statement):** Clearly articulate what you want the AI to do; the specific task or question (e.g., "Summarize the evidence for the effectiveness of exercise therapy in knee osteoarthritis").[9]
- **P (Personality):** Indicate the desired style, tone, or approach of the response (e.g., "Provide a balanced overview, citing sources where possible, in a formal academic tone").[9]
- **E (Experiment):** Cue the AI to retrieve multiple examples, provide alternative answers, or explore different facets of the topic.[2] This encourages a more thorough exploration.

Strengths:
This CRISPE framework offers a systematic approach to prompt refinement, which can

significantly improve response accuracy and reliability, especially in specialized domains like medical research or education.9 The "Experiment" component is a notable strength, as it explicitly encourages the exploration of multiple alternatives or perspectives, leading to more robust and well-rounded outputs.
Application:
It is particularly valuable in research (e.g., to improve ChatGPT's accuracy in providing orthopedic recommendations 9), education, and clinical practice where precision and evidence-based responses are critical. The other version of CRISPE (Context, Role, Instruction, Subject, Preset, Exception) is also effective for general, well-structured prompt design across various applications.24
The "Experiment" component of the CRISPE framework (Capacity/Role, Insight, Statement, Personality, Experiment) introduces a valuable mechanism for built-in iteration and robustness checking.[9] By prompting the AI to generate multiple examples or alternative responses, users are encouraged to engage in a more exploratory and evaluative interaction. This allows for a comparison of outputs, helping to identify potential biases, assess the AI's depth of understanding, and ultimately select or synthesize the most suitable response. This iterative aspect is particularly crucial in research and complex decision-making scenarios where a single, unverified AI output might be insufficient or even misleading.

### 3.7 AIDA Framework (Attention, Interest, Desire, Action)

The AIDA framework is a classic marketing model that has been adapted for AI prompt engineering to create persuasive content.[2] It guides the AI to structure its output in a way that leads a target audience through four distinct stages of engagement.

**Components (as applied by AI):**

- **Attention (A):** The AI is prompted to generate content that grabs the notice of the target audience. This could be an eye-catching headline, an intriguing opening statement, or visually appealing elements (if applicable to the output format).[26]
- **Interest (I):** Once attention is captured, the AI-generated content aims to build and maintain the audience's interest. This often involves providing relevant information, highlighting unique aspects, or telling a compelling story.[26]
- **Desire (D):** In this stage, the AI focuses on creating a desire for the product, service, or idea being promoted. This is typically achieved by emphasizing benefits, showcasing value, and addressing the audience's needs or aspirations.[26]
- **Action (A):** The final stage prompts the AI to include a clear call to action, encouraging the audience to take the next step, such as making a purchase, signing up for a newsletter, or learning more.[26]

Strengths:
The AIDA framework is highly effective for creating marketing and sales content because it follows a psychologically proven sequence for persuasion.26 It provides a structured approach to persuasive communication, ensuring all key elements of a compelling message are addressed. When applied to AI, it can help improve conversion rates by systematically guiding the customer journey from awareness to action.26
Application:
This framework is widely used for ad copy creation, blog posts, social media content, sales pitches, and email marketing campaigns.26 It is particularly beneficial for startups and small businesses that need to generate effective marketing materials efficiently.26 For example, an AI could be prompted: "Using the AIDA framework, write a 300-word promotional email for a new productivity app targeting busy professionals."
Applying AIDA to AI prompting transforms the AI into a tool for constructing "persuasion architectures." It's less about general AI interaction and more about a specific, strategic application: leveraging AI to automate or scale persuasive communication based on a well-established model of consumer psychology.[26] This allows for the systematic creation of content designed to guide an audience through a predefined decision-making funnel.

### 3.8 STAR Framework

The STAR framework has origins in behavioral interview techniques (Situation, Task, Action, Result) [27] and has been adapted for AI prompting in various forms to elicit clear, structured, and effective responses from models like ChatGPT.

Common variations for AI prompting include:

1. **STAR (Situation, Task, Appearance, Refine):** Popularized by Colin Scotland, this version focuses on setting the scene, defining the AI's task, specifying the desired output style, and adding constraints.[27]
2. **STAR (Situation, Task, Action, Result):** As described by ButterCMS, this version is useful for solving tricky marketing situations by identifying a challenge, describing the intended action, predicting its impact, and envisaging the result.[2] It's also used for structuring narratives of processes or accomplishments.[29]
3. **CO-STAR:** Used by GovTech, this adaptation adds elements like Tone, Audience, and detailed Response format requirements to the core STAR components.[30]

Focusing on the **Situation, Task, Appearance, Refine** version [27]:

**Components:**

- **Situation (S):** Sets the stage and provides context for the AI. It's like the opening scene, helping ChatGPT understand the scenario (e.g., "You're a marketer

brainstorming taglines for a new fitness product").[27]
- **Task (T):** Clearly outlines what you want ChatGPT to do; the specific action it needs to perform (e.g., "I need a catchy tagline").[27]
- **Appearance (A):** Dictates the style, tone, length, and format of the AI's response (e.g., "The tagline should be a short, impactful sentence").[27]
- **Refine (R):** Specifies any constraints, nuances, keywords to include, or specific needs for the output (e.g., "It should include the word 'performance'").[27]

Focusing on the **Situation, Task, Action, Result** version for marketing solutions [2]:

**Components:**

- **Situation (S):** Identify a challenge or problem (e.g., "Significant drop in website search engine rankings").
- **Task (T):** Describe the action you want the AI to take (e.g., "Analyze potential causes for the ranking drop").
- **Action (A):** (In this context, often part of the AI's task or the human's intended follow-up) Predict the effect your task/AI's output will have on the situation.
- **Result (R):** Envisage the desired outcome (e.g., "Restore and improve search rankings, leading to traffic recovery").

Strengths:
The STAR method provides clarity, precision, and well-structured instructions for AI, leading to more targeted and useful outputs.27 It helps avoid vague prompts that result in generic responses. The marketing-focused version is effective for problem-solving in specific scenarios.2 The CO-STAR variant further enhances tailoring by explicitly considering audience and tone.30
Application:
The Situation, Task, Appearance, Refine version is broadly applicable for general ChatGPT prompting to get specific types of content.27 The Situation, Task, Action, Result version is useful for marketing problem-solving 2 and for prompting AI to structure narratives about processes or accomplishments 29, similar to its use in interviews.
The adaptation of the STAR method from human resource contexts (where it helps individuals structure stories about their experiences for interviews [27]) to AI prompting [2] demonstrates a key principle: effective communication structures are often universal. The core tenets of providing clear context (Situation), defining objectives (Task), detailing actions (Action/Appearance), and focusing on outcomes (Result/Refine) are valuable whether communicating with a human or an AI. The modifications in AI-specific versions, such as explicitly defining "Appearance" or "Refine," cater to the generative nature of AI and the need to guide its vast output possibilities. This successful transfer underscores that foundational principles of good communication

can be powerfully adapted for human-AI interaction.

### 3.9 APE Framework (Action, Purpose, Expectation)

The APE framework is a strategic methodology for AI prompt engineering that emphasizes clarity in articulating the desired **Action** from the AI, the underlying **Purpose** of the request, and the specific **Expectation** for the response.[2] It is important to distinguish this from "Automatic Prompt Engineering," which is also sometimes abbreviated as APE but refers to AI algorithms automatically generating and optimizing prompts.[32]

**Components:**

- **Action (A):** This component requires the user to specify the exact task or action the AI is expected to perform. It provides clear direction and focus for the AI's operations.[21] For example: "Generate a list of five potential marketing slogans."
- **Purpose (P):** Here, the user explains the rationale or reason behind the prompt. This helps the AI contextualize the request and tailor its response more appropriately to the user's broader objective.[21] For instance: "To identify catchy and memorable slogans for a new eco-friendly coffee brand."
- **Expectation (E):** This component describes the anticipated format, level of detail, tone, or specific outcome of the AI's response. It sets clear standards for what constitutes a successful answer.[21] Example: "The slogans should be short (under 10 words), evoke a sense of nature and freshness, and be suitable for social media."

Strengths:
The APE framework provides clear guidance to the AI, leading to more targeted responses. By requiring the "Purpose" to be stated, it enhances the AI's ability to produce contextually relevant outputs. Setting explicit "Expectations" improves the overall quality and relevance of AI responses, ensuring they align with user needs.21 It promotes precision in AI interactions.
Weaknesses:
Effectively incorporating all three elements can require careful thought and planning, making prompt design potentially more complex or time-consuming.21 Additionally, the structured nature of the framework, if applied too rigidly, might constrain more creative or exploratory AI responses.21
Application:
APE is well-suited for a variety of applications, including content creation, strategic planning, developing educational tools, and customer interaction scenarios.21 It is particularly valuable for projects that require detailed and purpose-driven contributions from AI. An example given is prompting an AI to develop a comprehensive marketing strategy for a new product launch, specifying the action (develop strategy), purpose (maximize market penetration), and

expectation (detailed plan with demographics, channels, messaging).21
The "Purpose" and "Expectation" components of the APE framework are particularly instrumental in driving AI alignment, especially for complex or multi-step tasks. Providing the AI with the overarching "Purpose" helps it maintain coherence and make intermediate generative choices that are consistent with the larger goal. Defining the "Expectation" gives the AI clear success criteria, enabling it to better "self-critique" or steer its output towards the user's definition of a high-quality response. This makes APE useful when the AI needs to generate elaborate outputs or follow several implicit steps, as these components help constrain the AI's vast possibility space towards a useful and relevant solution.[21]

### 3.10 BAB Framework (Before, After, Bridge)

The BAB (Before, After, Bridge) framework is a storytelling-based approach to AI prompt engineering.[2] It structures prompts by framing a problem or situation in a narrative arc, moving from a current state to a desired future state.

**Components:**

- **Before (B):** This component describes the current problem, challenge, pain point, or undesirable situation that the user or their audience is experiencing.[3] For example: "Currently, our team struggles with inefficient manual data entry, leading to errors and wasted time."
- **After (A):** This component paints a picture of the ideal outcome or the desired future state once the problem is resolved. It envisions the benefits and positive changes.[3] For instance: "Imagine a streamlined workflow where data is captured automatically, accuracy is improved, and the team has more time for strategic tasks."
- **Bridge (B):** This component presents the solution, method, product, or service that connects the "Before" state to the "After" state. It explains how to transition from the problem to the solution.[3] Example: "Introduce an AI-powered data extraction tool that automates data entry from invoices and forms."

Strengths:
The BAB framework is effective for creating emotionally resonant and impactful prompts because it leverages the power of narrative, which is a natural way for humans to process information.3 It is particularly good for persuasion and problem-solution framing. This structure helps in clearly articulating the value proposition of an idea or solution.
Weaknesses:
One potential limitation is that it may oversimplify complex problems by forcing them into a linear narrative structure.34 If applied too rigidly, there's a risk of creativity confinement, as it might discourage the exploration of solutions that don't fit neatly into the "Bridge" concept.34

Application:
BAB is highly useful in marketing, sales, strategy development, and creative content generation where the goal is to connect challenges with solutions in a compelling way.3 It can be used to prompt AI to generate persuasive copy, develop strategic narratives, or craft stories that highlight transformation. For example: "Using the BAB framework, create a short marketing pitch for a new project management software. Before: Teams are disorganized and miss deadlines. After: Teams are efficient, collaborative, and deliver projects on time. Bridge: Our intuitive software with features X, Y, and Z.".34
The BAB framework is inherently focused on transformation—moving from a less desirable "Before" state to a more desirable "After" state via the "Bridge".[3] This makes it exceptionally well-suited for prompting AI to generate solutions, strategies, or narratives centered on change, improvement, or transformation. It's not merely about solving a static problem but about conceptualizing and articulating a journey of positive change, making it powerful for strategic planning, persuasive marketing, and even prompts aimed at personal development or envisioning future scenarios.

### 3.11 RTF Framework (Role, Task, Format/Finish)

The RTF framework is designed to simplify interactions with AI by breaking down prompts into essential components, ensuring clarity and precision.[4] Several variations exist, but they share a common goal of making AI requests straightforward and effective. Common interpretations include:

- **Request, Task, Format:** Focuses on what the user wants, the specifics of the task, and the desired output structure.[4]
- **Role, Task, Finish:** Emphasizes the AI's persona, the job to be done, and the final desired outcome.[2]
- **Role, Task, Format:** A common blend, specifying the AI's persona, the task, and the output structure.[36]

Considering the widely understood **Role, Task, Format** interpretation:

**Components:**

- **Role (R):** Specify the character, persona, or expertise you want the AI to adopt.[2] For example: "You are a seasoned travel blogger."
- **Task (T):** Clearly define what you need the AI to do or create.[2] For instance: "Write a 500-word article about hidden gems in Kyoto."
- **Format (F) / Finish:** Describe the desired structure, style, or outcome of the output.[2] Example: "The article should be engaging, include at least three specific locations with brief descriptions, and be written in an informal, enthusiastic tone. Output as a list of paragraphs."

Strengths:
The RTF framework is valued for its simplicity, clarity, and precision.4 It is user-friendly and accessible, making it easy for users at all levels to craft effective prompts.35 It ensures the AI understands the request and can execute tasks efficiently, leading to outputs that align with user expectations.
Application:
RTF is ideal for scenarios requiring clear, straightforward answers or instructions.35 It helps define what the AI should "be, do, and deliver".36 This framework is suitable for a wide range of queries, from simple data retrieval to generating specific types of content like emails or summaries.37 For example, Robert DuPuy provides an RTF prompt: "Role: An experienced Business Development Manager. Task: Draft an email to a client informing them of changes to AI regulations in the European Union. Format: The email should include an introductory paragraph, four bullet points summarizing the key changes, and a concise closing summary.".37
The core components of RTF—Role, Task, and Format (or their equivalents like Request and Output)—appear as fundamental elements in many more elaborate prompt engineering frameworks, such as RACE and certain versions of CRISPE. This suggests that RTF captures the minimum viable structure for a well-formed and effective prompt. Its inherent simplicity makes it highly accessible for beginners and provides a solid foundation upon which users can build as they explore more detailed and nuanced frameworks for more complex AI interactions.[35]

### 3.12 Other Prompting Frameworks

Beyond the more extensively documented frameworks, several others aim to refine and structure interactions with AI systems, each offering a slightly different lens or emphasis.

- **CLEAR (Concise, Logical, Explicit, Reflective):** This framework focuses on optimizing the quality of prompts themselves. It guides users to ensure their prompts are:
  - **Concise:** Brief and clear.
  - **Logical:** Structured and coherent in their flow of ideas.
  - **Explicit:** Providing precise instructions on the desired output format, content, or scope.
  - **Reflective:** Encouraging continuous evaluation and improvement of prompts based on AI performance.[4] Its strength lies in promoting high-quality prompt design and an iterative refinement process.
- **PROMPT (Precision, Relevance, Objectivity, Method, Provenance, Timeliness):** This framework is geared towards the evaluation and presentation of AI-generated information. It aims to ensure outputs are:

    - **Precise, Relevant, Objective, Methodologically sound, well-sourced (Provenance), and Timely.** Its strength is in maintaining high standards of quality and credibility for AI-generated content, which is crucial for applications in research, journalism, and data analysis.[35]
- **SMART (Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant, Time-bound):** Borrowed from goal-setting methodologies, the SMART framework helps create well-defined and actionable prompts by establishing clear objectives and criteria for the AI's task.[4] Its strength is in embedding actionable goal-setting directly into the prompt.
- **TREE (Task, Reasoning, Examples, Explanation):** This framework guides the AI not only to perform a task but also to provide reasoning, examples, and explanations for its process or output.[4] This is particularly useful for tasks where understanding the AI's "thought process" or justification is important, enhancing transparency and trust.
- **PEAR (Purpose, Expectations, Actions, Results):** Similar in some respects to APE and TAG, PEAR structures prompts by defining the overall purpose, setting clear expectations, outlining the actions the AI should take, and specifying the desired results.[4] Its strength is in ensuring comprehensive alignment between the user's goals and the AI's actions.
- **RISE (Role, Input, Steps, Expectation):** This framework is useful for providing detailed, step-by-step instructions to the AI. It defines the AI's **Role**, the **Input** or data it should consider, the **Steps** it should follow in its process, and the **Expectations** for the final output.[34] This is beneficial for complex tasks requiring a specific sequence of operations.

The emergence of frameworks like CLEAR and PROMPT, which focus not just on the initial formulation of a prompt but also on the qualities of the prompt itself or the AI's output (e.g., conciseness, logic, objectivity, timeliness), signals a trend towards more sophisticated prompt engineering. The "Reflective" component of CLEAR, for instance, explicitly advocates for the "continuous evaluation and improvement of prompts".[4] This indicates that effective AI interaction is increasingly viewed as an ongoing, iterative process, and these "meta-frameworks" are developing to guide this loop of prompting, evaluating, and refining for optimal results.

## 4. AI Frameworks for Critical Thinking, Ethical Analysis, and Strategic Decision-Making

This section delves into frameworks that guide human cognition when utilizing or developing AI. These frameworks are essential for fostering critical evaluation of AI

outputs, navigating ethical considerations, informing strategic planning, and understanding the complexities of AI systems and their societal impact.

### 4.1 ICE Model (Ideate, Connect, Extend)

The ICE model (Ideate, Connect, Extend) is an approach designed to promote critical thinking when working with AI.[38] It structures a collaborative process where AI assists in initial idea generation, followed by human-led critical analysis and application.

**Process:**

1. **Ideate:** In this first phase, AI is used to generate a range of initial ideas or potential solutions related to a specific issue, problem, or scenario. For example, AI could be prompted to list potential solutions for reducing community plastic waste.[38]
2. **Connect:** Next, human users critically examine the AI-generated ideas. This involves identifying connections between different ideas, exploring missing details, pinpointing potential barriers or challenges, establishing priorities, and considering alternative perspectives. The focus is on how these ideas can be integrated or how they relate to existing knowledge or initiatives.[38]
3. **Extend:** Finally, the refined ideas are extended into broader applications. This step involves applying them to specific, local contexts, or incorporating human intuition and insights to scale up solutions or adapt them for different problems. It considers the practical implementation and long-term impact.[38]

Strengths for Critical Thinking with AI:
The ICE model is particularly effective for brainstorming and developing innovative ideas in a structured manner. It encourages users to make meaningful connections between concepts and to apply them in real-world contexts, thereby fostering both creativity and practical problem-solving skills.38 This framework is especially valuable when AI outputs might lack nuance, context, specificity, emotional depth, interconnectedness, or ethical understanding. It empowers humans to "do more" with AI-generated content by adding layers of critical thought and contextual relevance.38
The ICE model exemplifies a human-centric augmentation of AI's generative capabilities. AI serves as a powerful tool for the initial "Ideate" phase, rapidly producing a breadth of possibilities. However, the subsequent "Connect" and "Extend" phases are heavily reliant on human critical thinking, contextual awareness, and domain expertise. This positions the framework as a collaborative model where AI handles the generation of diverse options, and humans provide the deeper analysis, synthesis, and application-specific intelligence necessary to transform raw ideas into viable solutions.[38]

### 4.2 AI Thinking Framework (Royal Society)

The "AI Thinking" framework, proposed by Denis Newman-Griffis and associated with the Royal Society, is a conceptual, competency-based model designed to guide the application of AI in various contexts. It emphasizes ensuring that AI applications are both goal-driven and context-sensitive, and aims to bridge different disciplinary perspectives on AI.[39]

Five Practice-Based Competencies:
The framework outlines five key competencies involved in applying AI effectively and ethically:

1. **Motivating AI use by specific needs:** This involves ensuring that AI applications are driven by clearly defined goals and specific needs within a process, rather than being adopted simply for the sake of innovation. It encourages a process-oriented approach, defining the scope for AI intervention and identifying specific opportunities where AI can add value.[40]
2. **Formulating AI methods:** This competency concerns clearly defining what an AI application is intended to accomplish, including its objectives and success criteria.[39]
3. **Assessing available tools and technologies:** This involves critically evaluating and comparing different AI options, understanding their capabilities and limitations in relation to the specific task.[39]
4. **Selecting appropriate data:** This focuses on understanding data requirements, choosing suitable datasets, and being aware of potential biases or limitations in the data used to train or operate AI systems.[39]
5. **Situating AI in sociotechnical contexts:** This crucial competency involves understanding and addressing the broader interplay between AI technologies and the human, social, organizational, and ethical environments in which they are deployed.[39]

Strengths:
The AI Thinking framework provides shared reference points for the practical use of AI, helping to structure key decisions and considerations across diverse applications.40 It is valuable for AI developers (in mapping out potential uses), AI users (in guiding effective application), managers and decision-makers (in organizational AI adoption), and for educational purposes.41 Its primary strength lies in its ability to bridge the gap between different ways of thinking about AI and to facilitate collaborative efforts in using AI effectively and responsibly.40
The five competencies of AI Thinking collectively address the entire lifecycle of an AI initiative—from initial conception and motivation, through development and deployment, to an understanding of its broader societal impact.[39] This positions "AI Thinking" not merely as a guide for individual AI use, but as a holistic framework for

the strategic management and governance of AI within organizations or research fields. It encourages a systems-thinking perspective, recognizing that AI is more than just a tool; it's a complex sociotechnical system.

### 4.3 DIKWP (Data, Information, Knowledge, Wisdom, Purpose) Model

The DIKWP model is an extension of the well-known DIKW (Data, Information, Knowledge, Wisdom) hierarchy. The key addition in DIKWP is the explicit inclusion of "Purpose," emphasizing its critical role and the importance of context in the transformation of raw data into actionable wisdom.[42]

**Components:**

- **Data (D):** Raw, unorganized facts, figures, signals, or observations. These are discrete, objective items without inherent meaning on their own.[42]
- **Information (I):** Data that has been processed, organized, structured, or contextualized to give it relevance and meaning. Information answers questions like "who, what, when, where".[42]
- **Knowledge (K):** The synthesis of information, experience, learning, and understanding. Knowledge involves the application of information to achieve specific goals and answers "how" questions. It often involves patterns, principles, and expertise.[42]
- **Wisdom (W):** The ability to apply knowledge, judgment, insight, and ethical considerations to make sound decisions and discern the best course of action. Wisdom answers "why" questions and involves understanding fundamental principles and long-term consequences.[42]
- **Purpose (P):** The intention, aim, motivation, or reason that drives the entire process of data collection, information processing, knowledge creation, and wisdom application. Purpose provides direction and meaning to the actions and decisions made within the DIKWP hierarchy.[42]

Strengths & Relevance to AI:
The DIKWP model is particularly relevant in the age of AI because it addresses a key limitation of current data-centered Large Language Models (LLMs). These models often struggle with non-statistical, individualized interactions because they lack an intrinsic model of "subjective purpose" and can be challenged by incomplete, inaccurate, or inconsistent DIKWP semantics.42 The framework suggests that true Artificial General Intelligence (AGI) requires more than just data processing; it needs to incorporate purpose and context.
To this end, the concept of **DIKWP graphs** has been proposed. These include data graphs, information graphs (ontologies), knowledge graphs, wisdom graphs, and purpose graphs, each designed to represent relationships and semantics at different levels of the hierarchy.[42] Such graphs are seen as a necessary supplement for future

AGI models, enabling them to handle the complexities of human-like understanding and interaction more effectively.[42]

The DIKWP model, by explicitly incorporating "Purpose" and structuring the progression from raw data to applied wisdom, offers more than just a framework for human understanding. It serves as a conceptual blueprint for developing more advanced AI systems. Current LLMs are often described as "data-centered" [42], excelling at pattern matching which aligns with the Information and perhaps Knowledge levels. However, the DIKWP framework suggests a path towards AI that can achieve genuine understanding (Wisdom) driven by clear intent (Purpose). The development of DIKWP graphs points towards a future where AI systems might utilize more explicit semantic representations, moving beyond statistical correlations to a deeper grasp of meaning and context, which is a critical step towards AGI.[42]

### 4.4 Cynefin Framework

The Cynefin framework, developed by Dave Snowden, is a "sense-making" tool designed to help leaders and decision-makers categorize problems or situations into one of five distinct domains, each requiring a different approach to problem-solving and strategy.[43] The name "Cynefin" is a Welsh word meaning habitat or familiar place, reflecting the idea that our understanding and actions should be rooted in the context of our environment.

Domains & Approaches:
The framework distinguishes between ordered systems (where cause and effect are knowable) and unordered systems (where cause and effect are not immediately apparent).

1. **Clear (formerly Simple or Obvious):** This domain represents situations where cause-and-effect relationships are evident to everyone. Problems are well-understood, and there are established best practices. The approach is **Sense – Categorize – Respond**: identify the situation, categorize it based on known solutions, and apply the established best practice.[43]
2. **Complicated:** In this domain, there is a clear relationship between cause and effect, but it may not be obvious to everyone and requires expertise or analysis to discover. There may be multiple right answers. The approach is **Sense – Analyze – Respond**: assess the situation, bring in experts or conduct analysis to determine the appropriate good practice, and then respond.[43]
3. **Complex:** This domain is characterized by unpredictability, where cause-and-effect relationships can only be perceived in retrospect, not in advance. There are no pre-existing right answers; solutions emerge through experimentation. The approach is **Probe – Sense – Respond**: conduct experiments (probes) to gather more information, sense the patterns that

emerge, and then respond by amplifying positive patterns or dampening negative ones.[43]

4. **Chaotic:** In this domain, there is no discernible relationship between cause and effect; the situation is turbulent and requires immediate action to establish order. The approach is **Act – Sense – Respond**: take immediate action to stabilize the situation, then sense where stability is present and try to transform the situation from chaotic to complex.[43]
5. **Confusion (or Disorder):** This is the central domain where it is unclear which of the other four contexts applies. The priority here is to break down the situation into constituent parts and assign each to one of the other four domains to determine the appropriate approach.[43]

Strengths for Decision-Making:
The Cynefin framework helps organizations avoid applying the wrong type of solution to a situation (e.g., trying to apply best practices from the Clear domain to a Complex problem). It enhances strategic adaptability by providing a language and structure for understanding different contexts. It facilitates effective communication about complex issues and helps mitigate the risks of oversimplification or complacency.43
Application with AI:
AI can be used in conjunction with the Cynefin framework in several ways. For instance, AI tools could potentially assist in analyzing a scenario and suggesting which Cynefin domain it best fits.43 More strategically, the framework can guide how AI itself is deployed:

- In **Clear** domains, AI might automate well-defined processes.
- In **Complicated** domains, AI could serve as an expert system or analysis tool.
- In **Complex** domains, AI might be used for pattern detection in data from experiments or to simulate potential outcomes of probes.
- In **Chaotic** domains, AI could potentially assist in rapid information dissemination or initial crisis response assessment.

The Cynefin framework can function as a meta-framework for determining which *other* AI frameworks or approaches are most suitable for a given challenge. By first diagnosing the nature of a problem using Cynefin's domains (Clear, Complicated, Complex, Chaotic) [43], decision-makers can then select AI tools and interaction frameworks more appropriately. For example, straightforward prompting frameworks like RTF might be effective for tasks in Clear or Complicated domains, whereas more exploratory frameworks like SCAMPER (used with AI for idea generation) or AI tools for pattern discovery might be better suited for Complex problems. This positions Cynefin as a strategic lens for tailoring AI deployment and the selection of specific AI interaction frameworks to the unique context of the challenge at hand.

### 4.5 Bloom's Taxonomy in the Age of AI

Bloom's Taxonomy, a hierarchical model categorizing cognitive skills from lower-order to higher-order thinking, remains a foundational tool for educators in framing learning objectives and assessing cognitive complexity.[12] In the age of AI, this taxonomy is being revisited to understand how generative AI (GenAI) tools can supplement learning processes at each level, while simultaneously highlighting the "distinctive human skills" that are becoming even more crucial.[12]

The revised cognitive domains and their interaction with AI are:

- **Remembering** (Recalling facts and basic concepts):
  - *AI Supplementation:* AI tools like flashcard apps or GenAI can retrieve factual information, list possible answers, define terms, and construct basic chronologies.[12]
  - *Distinctive Human Skills:* Recalling information in situations where technology is not readily accessible; critical filtering of AI-retrieved information.
- **Understanding** (Explaining ideas or concepts):
  - *AI Supplementation:* GenAI can accurately describe concepts in different words, recognize related examples, and translate information into other languages or formats.[12]
  - *Distinctive Human Skills:* Contextualizing answers within emotional, moral, or ethical considerations; selecting truly relevant information; explaining significance with deeper insight.
- **Applying** (Using information in new situations):
  - *AI Supplementation:* AI can utilize processes, models, or methods to solve quantitative or qualitative inquiries; simulation software can create realistic scenarios for application; AI can assist students in identifying errors in their problem-solving process.[12]
  - *Distinctive Human Skills:* Operating, implementing, conducting, executing, experimenting, and testing in real-world, dynamic environments; applying human creativity and imagination to develop and adapt solutions.
- **Analyzing** (Drawing connections among ideas; breaking material into constituent parts):
  - *AI Supplementation:* AI can compare and contrast data, infer trends and themes (often in narrowly defined contexts), compute, predict, and interpret data in relation to problems or choices.[12]
  - *Distinctive Human Skills:* Critically thinking and reasoning within both cognitive and affective domains; justifying analysis in depth and with clarity; discerning subtle biases or assumptions in data or AI outputs.

- **Evaluating** (Justifying a stand or decision; appraising the validity of material):
  - *AI Supplementation:* AI can identify pros and cons of various courses of action; develop and check against evaluation rubrics; AI-driven platforms can offer feedback on writing, pointing out inconsistencies or biased language.[12]
  - *Distinctive Human Skills:* Engaging in metacognitive reflection; holistically appraising ethical consequences of alternative actions; identifying true significance or situating information within a full historical or disciplinary context.
- **Creating** (Producing new or original work):
  - *AI Supplementation:* GenAI can support brainstorming processes, suggest a range of alternatives, enumerate potential drawbacks and advantages, describe successful real-world cases, and create tangible deliverables based on human inputs.[12]
  - *Distinctive Human Skills:* Engaging in creative and cognitive processes that leverage human lived experiences, social-emotional interactions, intuition, reflection, and judgment to formulate genuinely original solutions and novel work.

Strengths for Critical Thinking with AI:
Revisiting Bloom's Taxonomy in the context of AI provides a valuable structure for educators and instructional designers to reflect on learning activities and assessments. It helps ensure meaningful learning by emphasizing uniquely human skills alongside the thoughtful integration of AI as a supplementary tool.12 This approach aims to foster a full spectrum of cognitive skills, from basic knowledge retention augmented by AI to advanced, human-led problem-solving and creation.45
Ethical Considerations:
The integration of AI in education brings ethical considerations such as data privacy, the risk of bias and fairness issues if AI systems are trained on biased data, and the potential for over-dependence on technology, which could diminish traditional critical thinking and interpersonal skills.45
The application of Bloom's Taxonomy in conjunction with AI serves as more than just an analytical tool for understanding AI's capabilities at different cognitive levels. It provides a roadmap for developing essential higher-order human skills in an increasingly AI-augmented world.[12] As AI becomes more proficient at lower-order tasks (Remembering, Understanding) and even assists with higher-order ones, the educational and developmental focus must shift towards cultivating those uniquely human capacities—critical analysis, ethical judgment, complex problem-solving, and true originality—particularly at the Analyze, Evaluate, and Create levels. This framework guides how humans can transcend basic AI capabilities by focusing on these distinctive aspects of higher-order thinking, in collaboration with AI, rather than

in competition with it.

### 4.6 Ethical Frameworks for AI

As AI systems become more pervasive and capable, the need for robust ethical frameworks to guide their development, deployment, and use is paramount. Two notable examples are the Georgia.gov Ethics Framework for AI and the conceptual framework for applying ethical principles of AI to medical practice discussed in a PMC article.

Georgia.gov Ethics Framework for AI:
Developed by GTA's Office of Artificial Intelligence and the AI Council, this framework utilizes the EthicsDNA Project from the University of North Georgia to navigate the ethical complexities of AI.46 It acknowledges that AI represents an infrastructural change impacting society deeply. The framework is designed to address "values-in-tension"—such as autonomy versus community, or reason versus experience—that arise during periods of ethical uncertainty with emerging technologies.
The core process involves:

1. **Ask Core Questions:** Research and understand the AI tool.
2. **Reflect:** Identify and prioritize the values in tension.
3. **Take Action:** Clarify actions that create harmony and allow individuals, organizations, and communities to thrive, while checking for ethical blind spots and considering risks/benefits. This process is applied across five key areas: **Progress** (engaging with change), **Trust** (shaping agreements), **Opportunity** (ensuring all can participate), **Protection** (keeping communities safe), and **Forecast** (knowing what we don't know).[46]

- **Strengths:** This framework offers a practical, question-based approach tailored for government operations, addressing ethical uncertainty with emerging technologies by focusing on harmonizing conflicting values and promoting community well-being.

PMC Conceptual Framework for Ethical Medical AI:
This framework provides a comprehensive approach to integrating AI into healthcare responsibly, addressing a wide array of ethical and practical challenges.47 Key areas include:

- **Data Ethics:** Emphasizing diverse, representative datasets, anonymization, secure sharing, and addressing data bias.
- **Transparency and Explainability:** Calling for openness in AI development, interpretable models, and clear explanations for AI decisions to address the "black box" problem and phenomena like "Clever Hans" (spurious correlations).
- **Patient Safety:** Mandating quality control, human-in-the-loop validation, continuous monitoring, regulatory compliance (HIPAA, GDPR), and clear

accountability.
- **Fairness and Equity:** Requiring regular evaluation for biases and ensuring equitable care delivery.
- **Safeguarding Against Malicious Use:** Implementing robust security and regulatory oversight.
- **Ethical Guidelines for Model Deployment:** A detailed set of principles including preserving human autonomy, democracy in AI development, equality and justice, solidarity (e.g., reskilling affected professionals), responsiveness and sustainability, responsibility (clear roles, redressal mechanisms), standardized evaluation, collaborative models, balancing risks and benefits, and AI education for medical professionals.[47]
- **Strengths:** This framework is highly comprehensive and specifically tailored to the high-stakes medical domain. It strongly emphasizes human-centricity, patient safety, and the necessity of rigorous validation and oversight.

Despite their different application contexts (government operations versus medicine), both the Georgia.gov framework and the PMC medical AI ethics framework converge on several critical themes. Both strongly advocate for **human oversight**, ensuring that humans remain in control and can make final decisions. Both stress the importance of **aligning AI with fundamental human values**—Georgia.gov speaks of "values-in-tension" and "thriving communities," while the PMC framework highlights "preserving autonomy for humans" and "equality and justice".[46] This convergence suggests a growing consensus that ethical AI is not merely a technical challenge to be solved with algorithms, but a sociotechnical one that requires proactive, value-driven frameworks designed to prioritize human well-being, societal norms, and democratic principles, irrespective of the specific domain of AI application.

### 4.7 AI Strategy and Governance Frameworks

As organizations increasingly adopt AI, the need for overarching strategies and governance structures becomes critical. Frameworks from entities like Microsoft and LatentBridge provide roadmaps for this complex undertaking, shifting the perspective from AI as a mere tool to AI as a core organizational capability.

Microsoft Cloud Adoption Framework for AI Strategy:
Microsoft's framework outlines a multi-stage process to prepare an organization for AI adoption, select appropriate solutions, manage data, and ensure responsible AI practices.48 The key steps include:

1. **Identify AI Use Cases:** Finding areas where AI can add value by looking for automation opportunities, gathering customer feedback, conducting internal assessments, exploring industry use cases, and setting clear AI targets (goal,

objective, success metric).

2. **Define an AI Technology Strategy:** Selecting AI technologies (SaaS like Copilots, PaaS like Azure AI Foundry or Azure OpenAI, or IaaS like Azure Virtual Machines) that align with skills, data, and budget. This involves deciding whether to "buy," "build," or "bring" AI models.
3. **Define an AI Data Strategy:** Creating a data strategy specific to AI, including data governance (e.g., using Microsoft Purview), preparing for data scalability, and planning the data lifecycle (collection, storage, processing, auditing for bias).
4. **Define a Responsible AI Strategy:** Understanding and implementing responsibilities for trustworthy and ethical AI. This involves establishing AI accountability, adopting responsible AI principles (like Microsoft's six principles aligned with NIST AI RMF), identifying and using responsible AI tools, and ensuring compliance with relevant regulations.[48]

- **Strengths:** This framework is practical and provides a step-by-step guide for organizational AI adoption, with a strong integration of responsible AI principles throughout the process.

LatentBridge AI Governance Framework:
Drawing insights particularly from the banking sector, LatentBridge proposes key conceptual elements for effective AI governance, categorized into strategic foundations, operational components, process elements, and cultural dimensions.27
Key conceptual elements include:

- **Strategic Foundations:** Unified Control Point (centralized intake for AI initiatives), Executive Alignment (business sponsorship), Regulatory Foresight.
- **Operational Framework:** Cross-Functional Collaboration (multi-disciplinary risk groups), Coordinated Governance Bodies, Legal Expertise Development.
- **Governance Process:** Initial Assessment, Collaborative Review, Risk Evaluation, Differentiated Approaches for Proofs-of-Concept (POCs) versus Production systems, Human Oversight Principles.
- **Cultural Dimensions:** Stakeholder Education, Governance as Enablement (not a barrier), Transparency in Decision-Making.
- **Forward-Looking Design:** Scalable and adaptable framework, documentation discipline.[49]
- **Strengths:** This framework offers a strong focus on governance, risk management, and the necessary organizational structures and culture for overseeing AI, making it particularly relevant for regulated industries.

The detailed nature of these frameworks from Microsoft and LatentBridge underscores a significant shift in how organizations approach AI.[48] They are not merely guidelines for individual users interacting with an AI tool; rather, they represent

comprehensive blueprints for embedding AI as an organizational capability. These frameworks address strategic planning (use case identification, technology selection), operational readiness (data strategy, talent development), risk management (legal, compliance, ethical considerations), and governance structures (executive alignment, cross-functional committees). This holistic view indicates that organizations are moving beyond treating AI as just another piece of software and are beginning to recognize it as a transformative force that requires dedicated strategic planning, robust governance mechanisms, and significant cultural adaptation to harness its potential effectively and responsibly.

### 4.8 Logical Frameworks in AI

Underpinning the complex reasoning capabilities of many AI systems are foundational logical frameworks. These systems of formal logic provide the rules and structures that enable AI to process information, make inferences, and handle uncertainty. While not typically user-facing in the same way as prompt engineering or creative brainstorming frameworks, an awareness of these logical underpinnings is crucial for understanding AI's "logical strength" and its inherent capabilities and limitations.[20]

Key types of logic used in AI include:

- **Propositional Logic (Sentential or Zeroth-Order Logic):** This is a fundamental logic that deals with propositions—statements that can be definitively true or false. It offers a binary framework for decision-making.[50]
    - **Strength:** Its simplicity makes it suitable for basic reasoning tasks where conditions are clear-cut. For example, an AI optimizing a solar farm might use propositions like "Sunlight intensity is high (True/False)" to make decisions.[50]
- **First-Order Logic (Predicate Logic):** An extension of propositional logic, first-order logic introduces variables, quantifiers (e.g., "for all," "there exists"), objects, properties, and relationships between them. This allows for more nuanced and complex statements.[50]
    - **Strength:** It is significantly more expressive, enabling AI to model intricate relationships, such as "For every sensor X, if its reading exceeds threshold Y, then activate alarm Z".[50]
- **Fuzzy Logic:** Departing from the strict binary (true/false) nature of classical logic, fuzzy logic allows for degrees of truth, representing "shades of grey." It is designed to handle uncertainty, vagueness, and imprecise information commonly found in real-world scenarios.[50]
    - **Strength:** Its ability to manage imprecise inputs (e.g., "temperature is warm" rather than an exact degree) makes it highly adaptable in dynamic or ambiguous contexts, such as controlling an air conditioning system based on

perceived comfort.[50]

- **Modal Logic:** This type of logic introduces modalities—qualifiers such as necessity ("must be"), possibility ("can be"), belief, or time—to classical logic. This allows reasoning about different states of affairs or possibilities.[50]
    - **Strength:** Modal logic is valuable for scenario planning and decision-making under varying conditions. An AI managing a wind farm could use it to reason about potential future states, such as different wind speeds or turbine maintenance scenarios, leading to improved forecasting and adaptive strategies.[50]
- **Other Reasoning Types:** AI also employs other forms of reasoning, such as **deductive reasoning** (top-down, from general principles to specific conclusions), **inductive reasoning** (bottom-up, from specific observations to general conclusions, common in machine learning), **abductive reasoning** (inference to the best explanation for incomplete observations), and **analogical reasoning** (drawing parallels between similar situations).[51]

These formal logic frameworks can be understood as the underlying "grammar" that enables AI systems to represent knowledge and make inferences in a structured manner.[50] While end-users may not directly interact with or apply these logical systems, understanding their existence and basic principles is vital for appreciating how AI arrives at conclusions, for designing tasks appropriate to an AI's logical capabilities, and for recognizing the boundaries of its reasoning power. For example, an AI heavily reliant on propositional logic will inherently struggle with nuanced situations that fuzzy logic is designed to handle. These logical foundations are the bedrock upon which more complex AI problem-solving and decision-making capabilities are built.

## 5. Comparative Insights and Selecting the Right Framework

The diverse array of frameworks discussed highlights the multifaceted nature of interacting with and applying AI. These frameworks can be broadly categorized based on their primary purpose: stimulating creative ideation, structuring AI prompt engineering, and guiding critical thinking, ethical analysis, or strategic decision-making. Understanding their distinctions and appropriate contexts for use is key to leveraging them effectively.

Comparative Discussion:
The goals of these frameworks vary significantly. For instance, SCAMPER 6 and Six Thinking Hats 10 are designed for divergent thinking and broad exploration of ideas, often in a collaborative human setting where AI can act as an augmenter. In contrast, prompt

engineering frameworks like CARE 13, RACE 15, or TAG 17 aim for more convergent outcomes, guiding the AI to produce specific, targeted outputs.
Their structures also differ. The Six Thinking Hats method is iterative and cyclical, encouraging a group to revisit different modes of thought.[10] Marketing frameworks like **AIDA** [26] follow a more linear progression, guiding content creation through sequential stages.

The role envisioned for AI also shifts. In creative frameworks like SCAMPER or the **ICE model** [38], AI is often a creative partner or a source of diverse inputs. In prompt engineering frameworks, AI is primarily an information processor or task executer, whose performance is optimized by the structured prompt. In strategic or ethical frameworks like **AI Thinking** [39] or the **Georgia.gov Ethics Framework** [46], the focus is on guiding human judgment and decision-making *about* AI.

Guidance on Selection:
The selection of an appropriate framework should be driven by the specific task, the desired outcome, and the context of AI use.

- **For Open-Ended Creative Brainstorming and Problem Solving:** Frameworks like **SCAMPER**, **Six Thinking Hats**, and more fluid approaches like **Synectics** or **Considering the Opposite** [1] are highly effective. AI can augment these by generating diverse responses to creative prompts, simulating different perspectives, or offering novel analogies.
- **For Clear, Efficient, and Targeted AI Prompts:** The suite of prompt engineering frameworks—**CARE, RACE, TAG, TRACE, PAR, CRISPE, APE, BAB, RTF, CLEAR, PROMPT, SMART, TREE, PEAR, RISE**—offers various levels of detail and structure. The choice depends on the complexity of the task and the desired precision. For example, **AIDA** is tailored for marketing content [26], while **CRISPE** (Capacity/Role version) is adapted for research accuracy.[9] A simple **RTF** might suffice for basic requests, while **TRACE** offers a more comprehensive structure for complex prompts.[19]
- **For Critical Evaluation of AI, Strategic Planning, and Ethical Analysis:** Frameworks such as the **ICE model** [38], **AI Thinking** [39], **Cynefin Framework** [43], **Bloom's Taxonomy (revisited for AI)** [12], specific **Ethical Frameworks** [46], and **Strategy/Governance Frameworks** (e.g., Microsoft, LatentBridge) [48] are crucial. These help in understanding AI's capabilities and limitations, its societal impact, and its responsible integration into workflows and systems.
- **For Understanding AI's Internal Logic:** While not directly applied by end-users, an awareness of foundational **Logical Frameworks** (Propositional, First-Order, Fuzzy, Modal logic) [50] helps in setting realistic expectations for AI's reasoning

abilities.

It is important to recognize that these frameworks are not mutually exclusive and can often be combined or adapted to suit specific needs.[34] For example, one might use SCAMPER for initial idea generation, then select promising concepts and use a CARE prompt to ask an AI to elaborate on them in detail, and finally apply an ethical framework to evaluate the implications of the AI-elaborated ideas. Frameworks should be viewed as tools in a toolkit, adaptable and selected based on the job at hand, rather than rigid, unchangeable rules.[1] The Cynefin framework itself suggests that the approach to problem-solving (and thus, framework selection) should vary based on the inherent nature of the problem context.[43]

This leads to the understanding that there is no single "best" framework. The sheer variety, each with specific strengths tailored to different tasks—from AIDA for marketing to CRISPE for research or SCAMPER for general creativity—underscores the importance of contextual adaptability. The most effective use of these frameworks comes from understanding their core principles and applying them flexibly.

As individuals and organizations become more adept at using AI, a higher-order skill is emerging: **framework fluency and orchestration**. This involves not just knowing individual frameworks, but also discerning which one to choose for a particular situation, how to adapt it, and potentially how to combine multiple frameworks synergistically. For instance, a complex project might begin with SCAMPER for ideation, use Bloom's Taxonomy to define learning objectives for an AI-generated tutorial, then employ CARE to prompt the AI for specific content, and finally utilize an Ethical Framework to review the AI's output and its potential impact. This ability to navigate and orchestrate a range of frameworks is becoming a key competency for maximizing the effectiveness and responsibility of human-AI collaboration.

The following table offers a simplified guide for selecting frameworks based on common goals or tasks.

**Table 2: Framework Selection Guide**

| Common Goal/Task | Suggested Framework Category / Specific Frameworks | Primary Strength Leveraged |
|---|---|---|
| **Creative Ideation & Novel Concepts** | Creative Ideation (SCAMPER, Six Thinking Hats, Synectics) | Divergent thinking, challenging assumptions, multi-perspective analysis, |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| | | analogical reasoning. |
| **Generating Specific AI Content (e.g., text, code)** | Prompt Engineering (CARE, RACE, TAG, TRACE, RTF, APE) | Clarity, precision, contextualization, role-playing, structured input for targeted AI output. |
| **Solving a Defined Problem with AI** | Prompt Engineering (PAR, BAB), Critical Thinking (ICE), Decision Making (Cynefin for context, then appropriate prompting framework) | Problem-solution structuring, narrative framing, human-AI collaborative analysis, context-appropriate strategy. |
| **Crafting Persuasive/Marketing Content** | Prompt Engineering (AIDA, BAB), Creative Ideation (SCAMPER for angles) | Persuasion architecture, storytelling, emotional resonance, benefit-oriented communication. |
| **Ensuring Accuracy in Research/Technical Output** | Prompt Engineering (CRISPE - Capacity/Role version), Critical Thinking (ICE for review), Output Evaluation (PROMPT framework) | Domain-specific expertise simulation, iterative refinement, critical review of AI output, quality assurance. |
| **Understanding AI's Reasoning/Limitations** | Foundational Logical Frameworks (awareness of), Critical Thinking (Bloom's Taxonomy to assess AI capability at different cognitive levels) | Understanding AI's logical underpinnings, assessing depth of AI "understanding." |
| **Developing an Organizational AI Strategy** | Strategic/Governance (AI Thinking, Microsoft AI Strategy, LatentBridge AI Governance), Ethical Frameworks | Holistic planning, risk management, resource allocation, responsible AI principles, governance structures. |
| **Ensuring Ethical AI Use and Development** | Ethical Frameworks (Georgia.gov, PMC Medical AI Ethics), Critical Thinking (AI Thinking - situating in sociotechnical contexts), Governance (LatentBridge - | Value-based decision making, risk mitigation, human oversight, fairness, transparency, accountability. |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| | risk evaluation) | |
| **Facilitating Team Collaboration with AI** | Creative Ideation (Six Thinking Hats), Critical Thinking (ICE), Strategic/Governance (AI Thinking - for shared understanding) | Structured collaboration, parallel thinking, shared mental models for human-AI teamwork. |
| **Improving Personal AI Literacy/Interaction Skills** | Prompt Engineering (start with RTF or TAG, progress to CARE/RACE), Critical Thinking (ICE, Bloom's Taxonomy) | Fundamental prompt structuring, iterative learning, critical engagement with AI tools. |

## 6. Conclusion: Enhancing AI-Powered Workflows through Structured Approaches

The exploration of diverse conceptual frameworks underscores their significant value in optimizing AI-assisted tasks and human-AI collaboration. Whether the goal is to ignite creative brainstorming, ensure logical rigor in problem-solving, or enhance the clarity and effectiveness of interactions with AI systems, these structured approaches serve as powerful enablers. They help transform AI from a mere tool into a more potent and adaptable partner.

A recurring theme is that frameworks are not rigid constraints but flexible guides that help harness AI's potential more effectively and responsibly.[1] By providing a systematic way to approach tasks, define objectives, and articulate needs, they empower users to navigate the complexities of AI with greater confidence and precision. The future of creativity and productivity is increasingly seen as profoundly collaborative, where human ingenuity is augmented, not outsourced, by AI capabilities.[1]

The landscape of human-AI collaboration is continuously evolving. As AI technologies become more sophisticated and deeply integrated into various workflows, the deliberate application of such structured thinking and interaction methodologies will become increasingly vital. These frameworks are instrumental in maximizing the benefits of AI while concurrently mitigating potential risks, such as bias, over-reliance, or ethical missteps. The ongoing development and adaptation of frameworks will be essential to meet the demands of increasingly advanced AI tools and to foster more synergistic human-AI paradigms.[5]

Furthermore, the adoption and mastery of these frameworks contribute significantly to broader **AI literacy** and **user agency**. By demystifying aspects of AI interaction and providing clear pathways for engagement, frameworks lower the barrier to entry for effective AI use across disciplines. Understanding how to prompt an AI cogently using methods like CARE or RACE, or how to critically engage with its outputs through models like ICE or a revisited Bloom's Taxonomy, empowers individuals. This empowerment fosters a more informed and discerning user base, capable of leveraging AI tools thoughtfully and taking greater ownership of the outcomes of human-AI interactions, rather than being passive recipients of a "black box" technology. Ultimately, a commitment to structured approaches will be key to unlocking the full transformative potential of AI in a manner that is both innovative and aligned with human values.

**Works cited**


1. Three creative problem-solving frameworks for ideating with generative AI, accessed June 2, 2025, https://www.mindtheproduct.com/three-creative-problem-solving-frameworks-for-ideating-with-generative-ai/
2. 11 Must-Know ChatGPT Prompt Frameworks for ... - Butter CMS, accessed June 2, 2025, https://buttercms.com/blog/chatgpt-prompt-frameworks/
3. Ultimate guide to 57 different AI prompt engineering strategies for ..., accessed June 2, 2025, https://juuzt.ai/knowledge-base/prompt-frameworks/
4. Prompt Engineering for GenAI: Prompt frame works - LibGuides at Breda University of Applied Sciences, accessed June 2, 2025, https://buas.libguides.com/c.php?g=720545&p=5229748
5. Human-AI Collaboration for Generative Intelligent Design - Taylor & Francis, accessed June 2, 2025, https://think.taylorandfrancis.com/special_issues/human-ai-collaboration-for-generative-intelligent-design/
6. The SCAMPER Technique for Creative Problem Solving - The Big ..., accessed June 2, 2025, https://bigbangpartnership.co.uk/scamper/
7. SCAMPER - The Decision Lab, accessed June 2, 2025, https://thedecisionlab.com/reference-guide/philosophy/scamper
8. Unlock Creativity AI prompts with the SCAMPER Framework - Juuzt AI, accessed June 2, 2025, https://juuzt.ai/knowledge-base/prompt-frameworks/the-scamper-framework/
9. Improving ChatGPT's Performance in Orthopedics: Opportunities ..., accessed June 2, 2025, https://www.jospt.org/doi/10.2519/josptmethods.2025.0151
10. Six Thinking Hats Training (Ideation and Brainstorming Technique ..., accessed June 2, 2025, https://www.innovationtraining.org/six-thinking-hats-training-ideation-and-brainstorming-technique/

11. Unleashing Creativity in Team Brainstorming: The Six Thinking Hats Technique - Ideamap, accessed June 2, 2025, https://ideamap.ai/blog/six-thinking-hats
12. Bloom's Taxonomy Revisited – Artificial Intelligence Tools – Faculty ..., accessed June 2, 2025, https://ecampus.oregonstate.edu/faculty/artificial-intelligence-tools/blooms-taxonomy-revisited/
13. ChatGPT CARE Prompt Framework Explained: Elevate Your Prompt ..., accessed June 2, 2025, https://easyaibeginner.com/chatgpt-care-prompt-framework/
14. CARE framework - AI prompt engineering with precision - Juuzt AI, accessed June 2, 2025, https://juuzt.ai/knowledge-base/prompt-frameworks/the-care-framework/
15. Generative AI Prompting: RACE Framework - Reaction Marketing, accessed June 2, 2025, https://reactionmarketing.co.uk/generative-ai-prompting-race-framework/
16. RACE Prompt Framework - Acronymat, accessed June 2, 2025, https://www.acronymat.com/2024/12/22/race-prompt-framework/
17. TAG Framework for ChatGPT Prompt Engineering (Task Action Goal), accessed June 2, 2025, https://easyaibeginner.com/tag-framework-for-chatgpt/
18. TAG framework - Goal-oriented AI prompt engineering - Juuzt AI, accessed June 2, 2025, https://juuzt.ai/knowledge-base/prompt-frameworks/the-tag-framework/
19. TRACE framework - detailing AI prompt engineering - Juuzt AI, accessed June 2, 2025, https://juuzt.ai/knowledge-base/prompt-frameworks/the-trace-framework/
20. Advanced Prompt Engineering with ChatGPT Frameworks | Factspan, accessed June 2, 2025, https://www.factspan.com/blogs/advanced-prompt-engineering-with-chatgpt-frameworks/
21. APE framework - Actionable insights for AI prompt engineering, accessed June 2, 2025, https://juuzt.ai/knowledge-base/prompt-frameworks/the-abe-framework/
22. Improving ChatGPT's Performance in Orthopedics: Opportunities Using the CRISPE Framework | JOSPT Methods, accessed June 2, 2025, https://www.jospt.org/doi/abs/10.2519/josptmethods.2025.0151
23. CRISPE Prompt Framework : r/PromptSharing - Reddit, accessed June 2, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/PromptSharing/comments/1hridot/crispe_prompt_framework/
24. www.maynoothuniversity.ie, accessed June 2, 2025, https://www.maynoothuniversity.ie/sites/default/files/assets/document/01%20-%20Prompting%20Frameworks%20Workbook.docx
25. www.akira.ai, accessed June 2, 2025, https://www.akira.ai/ai-agents/aida-framework-agent#:~:text=Prompt%20Engineering%3A%20The%20agent%20uses,as%20to%20capture%20their%20attention.
26. AIDA Framework Agent - Akira AI, accessed June 2, 2025, https://www.akira.ai/ai-agents/aida-framework-agent

27. Master ChatGPT Prompts: The STAR Method For ChatGPT, accessed June 2, 2025, https://colinscotland.com/the-star-method/
28. STAR Method: How to Use This Technique to Ace Your Next Job Interview - The Muse, accessed June 2, 2025, https://www.themuse.com/advice/star-interview-method
29. Prompt Engineering For ChatGPT - NextWork, accessed June 2, 2025, https://learn.nextwork.org/projects/ai-promptengineering-beginner?track=low
30. Mastering the art of prompt engineering with Empower - GovTech, accessed June 2, 2025, https://www.tech.gov.sg/media/technews/mastering-the-art-of-prompt-engineering-with-empower/
31. 19 Formulas and Prompt Structures for ChatGPT: Going Beyond the Basics - Fabio Vivas, accessed June 2, 2025, https://fvivas.com/en/19-formulas-and-prompt-structures-for-chatgpt/
32. Master Automatic Prompt Engineering to Improve AI Interactions, accessed June 2, 2025, https://relevanceai.com/prompt-engineering/master-automatic-prompt-engineering-to-improve-ai-interactions
33. Automatic Prompt Engineering: Boosting AI Performance with Smarter Prompts - Portkey, accessed June 2, 2025, https://portkey.ai/blog/what-is-automated-prompt-engineering
34. Beyond the Prompt: Why Rigid AI Frameworks Stifle True Conversational Potential, accessed June 2, 2025, https://liatbenzur.com/2024/01/01/ai-prompt-frameworks-limitations/
35. RTF framework - Streamlining AI interaction with clarity and precision, accessed June 2, 2025, https://juuzt.ai/knowledge-base/prompt-frameworks/the-rtf-framework/
36. Mastering ChatGPT Prompt Frameworks: A Comprehensive Guide ..., accessed June 2, 2025, https://scalablehuman.com/2025/01/12/mastering-chatgpt-prompt-frameworks-a-comprehensive-guide/
37. Prompt Engineering Using RTF - Robert DuPuy Resume, accessed June 2, 2025, https://robertdupuy.com/blog/f/prompt-engineering-using-rtf
38. Critical Thinking with AI: 3 Approaches – Faculty Learning Hub, accessed June 2, 2025, https://tlconestoga.ca/critical-thinking-with-ai-3-approaches/
39. AI Thinking: a framework for rethinking artificial intelligence in practice | Royal Society Open Science - Journals, accessed June 2, 2025, https://royalsocietypublishing.org/doi/abs/10.1098/rsos.241482
40. AI Thinking: a framework for rethinking artificial intelligence in practice - Journals, accessed June 2, 2025, https://royalsocietypublishing.org/doi/10.1098/rsos.241482
41. AI Thinking: a framework for rethinking artificial intelligence in practice | Royal Society, accessed June 2, 2025, https://royalsociety.org/blog/2025/01/ai-thinking-a-framework-for-rethinking-artificial-intelligence-in-practice/
42. Applied Sciences | Special Issue : Purpose-Driven Data–Information ..., accessed

June 2, 2025, https://www.mdpi.com/journal/applsci/special_issues/SRBD8537AB
43. Cynefin Framework Template: Navigate Complex Decisions, accessed June 2, 2025, https://www.mymap.ai/template/cynefin-framework
44. The Cynefin Framework: Defining Its 5 Domains - Whatfix, accessed June 2, 2025, https://whatfix.com/blog/cynefin-framework/
45. Bloom's Taxonomy AI - Coursebox.ai, accessed June 2, 2025, https://www.coursebox.ai/blog/blooms-taxonomy-ai
46. Ethics Framework | Office of Artificial Intelligence, accessed June 2, 2025, https://ai.georgia.gov/guidance/ethics-framework
47. A Conceptual Framework for Applying Ethical Principles of AI to ..., accessed June 2, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11851997/
48. AI Strategy - Process to develop an AI strategy - Cloud Adoption ..., accessed June 2, 2025, https://learn.microsoft.com/en-us/azure/cloud-adoption-framework/scenarios/ai/strategy
49. Effective AI Governance: Conceptual Framework and Best Practices, accessed June 2, 2025, https://www.latentbridge.com/insights/effective-ai-governance-conceptual-framework-and-best-practices
50. Types of Logic in AI | Enlitia, accessed June 2, 2025, https://www.enlitia.com/resources-blog-post/types-of-logic-in-ai
51. What is Reasoning in AI? Types and Applications in 2025 - Aisera, accessed June 2, 2025, https://aisera.com/blog/ai-reasoning/
52. [2503.23691] A Conceptual Framework for Human-AI Collaborative Genome Annotation, accessed June 2, 2025, https://arxiv.org/abs/2503.23691