

# OPTIMIZATION THEORY

RE6124019 Matthew

Due date: 12:00, October 01, 2023

## 1 Property 5:

The set of  $n \times n$  symmetric matrices  $\mathbf{S}^n$ , positive semidefinite (PSD) cone  $\mathbf{S}_+^n$ , and positive definite (PD) cone  $\mathbf{S}_{++}^n$  are all convex sets.

**Proof:**

Let  $X, Y \in S^n$  and  $\theta \in [0, 1]$ .

According to the properties of symmetric matrices, we know:

1.  $X^T = X, Y^T = Y$
2.  $(X + Y)^T = X^T + Y^T = X + Y$

Then,

$$[\theta X + (1 - \theta)Y]^T = \theta X^T + (1 - \theta)Y^T = \theta X + (1 - \theta)Y$$

Because  $\theta$  is a constant.

Therefore  $\theta X + (1 - \theta)Y \in S^n$ ,  $S^n$  is convex.

## 2 Property 10:

The set of  $n \times n$  symmetric matrices  $\mathbf{S}^n$ , the set of PSD matrices  $\mathbf{S}_+^n$  and the set of PD matrices  $\mathbf{S}_{++}^n \cup \{0\}$  are all cones.

**Proof:**

### 2.1 Symmetric Matrices $\mathbf{S}^n$ :

- Closure under scalar multiplication:

Let  $X \in \mathbf{S}^n$  and  $\alpha \geq 0$  be a scalar. Then,  $\alpha X$  is also symmetric because  $(\alpha X)^T = \alpha X^T = \alpha X$ .

- Closure under addition:

Let  $X, Y \in \mathbf{S}^n$ . Then,  $X + Y$  is symmetric because  $(X + Y)^T = X^T + Y^T = X + Y$ .

Therefore,  $\mathbf{S}^n$  is a cone.

## 2.2 Positive Semidefinite Matrices $\mathbf{S}_+^n$ :

- Closure under scalar multiplication:

Let  $X \in \mathbf{S}_+^n$  and  $\alpha \geq 0$  be a scalar. Then,  $\alpha X$  is also positive semidefinite because for any vector  $v$ ,  $v^T(\alpha X)v = \alpha(v^T X v) \geq 0$  since  $X$  is positive semidefinite.

- Closure under addition:

Let  $X, Y \in \mathbf{S}_+^n$ . Then,  $X + Y$  is positive semidefinite because for any vector  $v$ ,  $v^T(X + Y)v = v^T X v + v^T Y v \geq 0$  since both  $X$  and  $Y$  are positive semidefinite.

Therefore,  $\mathbf{S}_+^n$  is a cone.

## 2.3

Positive Definite Matrices  $\mathbf{S}_{++}^n \cup \{0\}$ :

- Closure under scalar multiplication:

Let  $X \in \mathbf{S}_{++}^n \cup \{0\}$  and  $\alpha \geq 0$  be a scalar. Then,  $\alpha X$  is also positive definite because for any vector  $v \neq 0$ ,  $v^T(\alpha X)v = \alpha(v^T X v) > 0$  since  $X$  is positive definite.

- Closure under addition:

Let  $X, Y \in \mathbf{S}_{++}^n \cup \{0\}$ . Then,  $X + Y$  is positive definite because for any vector  $v \neq 0$ ,  $v^T(X + Y)v = v^T X v + v^T Y v > 0$  since both  $X$  and  $Y$  are positive definite.

Therefore,  $\mathbf{S}_{++}^n \cup \{0\}$  is a cone.

In conclusion, the sets  $\mathbf{S}^n$ ,  $\mathbf{S}_+^n$ , and  $\mathbf{S}_{++}^n \cup \{0\}$  are all cones.

## 3 Interesting Question:

Since convex hull, affine hull, and conic hull of a finite set  $S = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  can be written as

$$\text{conv } S = \{\theta_1 x_1 + \dots + \theta_n x_n \mid \theta_1 + \dots + \theta_n = 1, \theta_i \geq 0\},$$

$$\text{aff } S = \{\theta_1 x_1 + \dots + \theta_n x_n \mid \theta_1 + \dots + \theta_n = 1\},$$

$$\text{conic } S = \{\theta_1 x_1 + \dots + \theta_n x_n \mid \theta_i \geq 0\},$$

one may conclude that  $\text{conv } S = \text{aff } S \cap \text{conic } S$ . Is this conclusion correct? If so, please prove it; otherwise, give a counterexample.

### Proof by Contradiction:

We believe that this conclusion is incorrect, i.e., there exists a counterexample where  $\text{conv } S \neq \text{aff } S \cap \text{conic } S$ .

Consider a simple counterexample with  $S = \{x_1, x_2\}$ , where  $x_1 = (1, 0)$  and  $x_2 = (0, 1)$ . We have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{conv } S &= \{\theta_1 x_1 + \theta_2 x_2 \mid \theta_1 + \theta_2 = 1, \theta_1, \theta_2 \geq 0\}, \\
\text{aff } S &= \{\theta_1 x_1 + \theta_2 x_2 \mid \theta_1 + \theta_2 = 1\}, \\
\text{conic } S &= \{\theta_1 x_1 + \theta_2 x_2 \mid \theta_1, \theta_2 \geq 0\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Calculations yield:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{conv } S &= \{(t, 1 - t) \mid 0 \leq t \leq 1\}, \\
\text{aff } S &= \{(t, 1 - t) \mid 0 \leq t \leq 1\}, \\
\text{conic } S &= \{(t, 1 - t) \mid 0 \leq t \leq 1\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\text{conv } S = \text{aff } S = \text{conic } S$ .

However,  $\text{conv } S \neq \text{aff } S \cap \text{conic } S$  because their definitions do not imply equality. Therefore, this serves as a counterexample, proving the original conclusion incorrect.