**Question1** 

Name: WU QILONG

Application No: C2345311

Discussing Topic: Biological Robustness and Fragility

Biological systems, ranging from a single cell to complex organisms, exhibit a

remarkable ability to maintain their functionality in the face of environmental

disturbances, genetic mutations, and internal fluctuations. This ability to sustain

functionality in the face of disturbances is known as biological robustness.<sup>[1]</sup> At the

same time, biological systems can also be fragile and susceptible to breakdown under

certain conditions. As far as Biological Robustness and Fragility are concerned, I will

discuss what they are and how they affect the biological system in this essay, and I will

also provide some feasible ways to avoid the latter's detrimental effects.

Biological robustness refers to the ability of a biological system to maintain its function

despite external or internal perturbations. This robustness can be achieved through

several mechanisms, such as redundancy, feedback loops<sup>[2]</sup>, and compensation.

Redundancy refers to having multiple pathways that perform the same function, thus

ensuring that the system can continue to function even if one pathway is disrupted.

Feedback loops can help stabilize the system by providing signals that correct any

deviations from the normal state. Compensation mechanisms can help to maintain

function in the face of perturbations by shifting resources or altering cellular

processes.<sup>[3]</sup>

One example of biological robustness is the immune system's ability to defend against

pathogens. The immune system has multiple defense mechanisms, such as innate

immunity and adaptive immunity. Innate immunity provides a rapid response to

pathogens, while adaptive immunity provides a specific response that is tailored to the

pathogen. The immune system also has redundancy, with multiple types of immune

cells and antibodies that can target pathogens. This redundancy ensures that the immune system can still defend against pathogens even if one component is disrupted.<sup>[4]</sup>

In contrast, biological fragility refers to the susceptibility of a biological system to breakdown under certain conditions. Fragility can be caused by a lack of redundancy, insufficient feedback loops, or an inability to compensate for perturbations.<sup>[3]</sup> Fragility can also be caused by genetic mutations that disrupt the normal functioning of a biological system.<sup>[5]</sup>

For instance, the nervous system's vulnerability to neurodegenerative diseases, like Alzheimer's disease, serves as an illustration of biological fragility. Alzheimer's disease is caused by the accumulation of beta-amyloid and tau proteins in the brain, which disrupt neuronal function and lead to cognitive decline. The nervous system lacks redundancy, meaning that damage to neurons cannot be easily compensated for. Additionally, feedback loops that regulate the accumulation of beta-amyloid and tau proteins may be insufficient, leading to their accumulation over time. [6]

Biological robustness is an integral part of survival because it enables organisms to maintain their function and adapt to changing environments. Robustness allows organisms to cope with fluctuations in their environment, such as changes in temperature or nutrient availability. Robustness also enables organisms to tolerate genetic mutations and maintain function despite changes in gene expression or protein function.<sup>[7]</sup>

On the other hand, fragility can have severe consequences for biological systems. The consequences of biological fragility can be significant and wide-ranging. Firstly, increased susceptibility to diseases and infections is one of the primary consequences of biological fragility. When a biological system is fragile, its immune system may not function optimally, leaving the organism vulnerable to infections and other diseases.<sup>[1]</sup> Secondly, limited ability to adapt to changing environmental conditions is another

significant consequence of biological fragility. A fragile organism may struggle to cope with changes in its environment, potentially leading to its decline or extinction. Additionally, genetic mutations can exacerbate biological fragility, leading to even more severe consequences.

Thus, in order to avoid fragility, it is important to promote biological robustness. One way to promote robustness is to increase redundancy in biological systems. For example, engineering bacteria to have multiple pathways for the production of a particular metabolite can increase their robustness to environmental fluctuations.<sup>[8]</sup> Another way to promote robustness is to improve feedback mechanisms. For instance, developing drugs that target the accumulation of beta-amyloid and tau proteins in Alzheimer's disease can improve feedback mechanisms and promote neuronal health.<sup>[6]</sup>

In conclusion, biological robustness and fragility are essential concepts in biology that affect the survival of organisms. Biological robustness refers to the ability of a biological system to maintain function despite perturbations, while biological fragility refers to the susceptibility of a biological system to breakdown under certain conditions. Robustness is essential for survival because it enables organisms to adapt to changing environments and tolerate adverse conditions. Conversely, fragility can lead to the breakdown of biological systems, resulting in disease and decreased fitness. By understanding and utilizing the nature of biological robustness and fragility, people can gain a better understanding of the fundamental principles that govern the organization and function of living systems, and ultimately develop new therapies to treat disease and improve human health.

## **References:**

- [1] Kitano, H. (2004). Biological robustness. Nature Reviews Genetics, 5(11), 826-837. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg1471
- [2] Stelling, J., Sauer, U., Szallasi, Z., Doyle III, F. J., & Doyle, J. (2004). Robustness of cellular functions. Cell, 118(6), 675-685. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2004.09.008">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2004.09.008</a>
- [3] Eldar, A., & Elowitz, M. B. (2010). Functional roles for noise in genetic circuits. Nature, 467(7312), 167-173. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09326
- [4] Janeway, C. A., Travers, P., Walport, M., & Shlomchik, M. J. (2005). Immunobiology: the immune system in health and disease. Garland Science.
- [5] Friedman, A. A., & Perrimon, N. (2006). A functional RNAi screen for regulators of receptor tyrosine kinase and ERK signalling. Nature, 444(7116), 230-234. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature05283
- [6] Hsiao, I.-L., Huang, Y.-J., Chen, C.-T., & Huang, Y.-J. (2019). Molecular chaperone dynamics in regulating protein misfolding and aging. Biomolecules, 9(12), 758. <a href="https://doi.org/10.3390/biom9120758">https://doi.org/10.3390/biom9120758</a>
- [7] Kitano, H. (2007). Towards a theory of biological robustness. Molecular systems biology, 3(1), 137. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1038/msb4100179">https://doi.org/10.1038/msb4100179</a>
- [8] Zhang, H., Pereira, B., Li, Z., & Stephanopoulos, G. (2018). Engineering Escherichia coli coculture systems for the production of biochemical products. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 115(26), E5819-E5827. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1801513115