**Problem 1 (Ternary expansion).** To formulate this problem, we first assume some basic knowledge in mathematical analysis:

- (Convergence of geometric series) If  $q \in (0,1)$ , then geometric series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^n$  converges to  $\frac{1}{1-q}$ .
- (Comparison principle) Let  $a_n \ge 0$  and  $b_n \ge 0$  satisfy  $b_n \ge a_n$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n$  converges to  $B \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  converges to some real number A. Moreover,  $A \le B$ .

Let us formulate the ternary expansions for all real numbers in [0,1] as follows: for any  $x \in [0,1]$ , we write

$$[x]_3 = 0.d_1d_2d_3... \equiv \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_n}{3^n}, \quad d_n \in \{0, 1, 2\}.$$

For example,  $\frac{1}{3}$  has two different ternary expansions:

$$\left[\frac{1}{3}\right]_3 = 0.100000... = 0.0222222....$$

Now prove the following property. If  $x \in [0,1]$  has two distinct ternary expansions

$$[x]_3 = 0.d_1d_2...d_n... = 0.e_1e_2...e_n...,$$

then the following holds. Let  $n \equiv \min\{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : d_k \neq e_k\}$ . Then  $e_n = d_n + 1$  and

$$d_k = 2$$
,  $e_k = 0$ ,  $\forall k \geqslant n+1$ .

pf. W.L.O.G 
$$e_n$$
- $d_n \in \{1, 2\}$  where  $n = \min\{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \mid d_k \neq e_k\}$ 

if  $e_n$ - $d_n = 2$ 

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_k}{3^k} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{e_k}{3^k} = \frac{2}{3^n} + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{d_k}{3^k} - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{e_k}{3^k}$$

Since  $0 \le \frac{d_k}{3^k} \cdot \frac{e_k}{3^k} \le \frac{2}{3^k} \cdot \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{3^k} = \frac{1}{3^n} < \infty$  for a given  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Pry companison principle, we have  $\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{d_k}{3^k} < \infty$ ,  $\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{e_k}{3^k} < \infty$ .

So 
$$(I) = \frac{2}{3^n} + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{d_k - e_k}{3^k} > \frac{2}{3^n} - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{3^k} = \frac{1}{3^n} > 0$$

contradiction

$$\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{e_k}{3^k} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_k}{3^k} = \left(\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{e_k - d_k}{3^k}\right) + \frac{1}{3^n} = \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{e_k - d_{k+2}}{3^k} = 0$$

But ex-dx+2 > 0

the only possibility is that 
$$d_k-e_k=2$$
. ( $\forall k\ge n+1$ )  
so  $e_n=d_n+1$ .  $\forall k\ge n+1$ .  $e_k=0$ .  $d_k=2$ .

**Problem 2.** Let us construct an infinite subset  $C \subset [0,1]$  in the following inductive process.

$$F_{0} = [0,1], \qquad = 0.011$$

$$F_{1} = \left[0,\frac{1}{3}\right] \cup \left[\frac{2}{3},1\right], \qquad \frac{\psi}{2\pi} = 0 \cdot \frac{1}{3} + \left(\frac{1}{9} + \frac{1}{2\pi}\right)$$

$$F_{2} = \left[0,\frac{1}{9}\right] \cup \left[\frac{2}{9},\frac{1}{3}\right] \cup \left[\frac{2}{3},\frac{7}{9}\right] \cup \left[\frac{8}{9},1\right], \qquad g_{2}(\psi) = 2$$

$$\vdots \qquad d_{1} = g_{2}(\psi) = g_{2}(\psi) = 0$$

$$\vdots \qquad d_{1} = g_{1}(\frac{1}{9} - \frac{1}{9}) = g_{2}(\frac{1}{9}) = 0$$

$$F = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} F_{n}. \quad \left[0,\frac{1}{27}\right] \left[\frac{2}{27},\frac{3}{27}\right]$$

П

That is, in the *n*th step, there are  $2^n$ -intervals  $I_k^{(n)}$  and  $J_k^{(n)}$  in the set  $F_n$ . The union  $I_k \cup I_k$  comes from deleting an open interval that contributes the central 1/3 in its predecessor. We will prove that F is uncountable by achieve the following steps.

(1) For any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,  $F_n$  is identical to the following set  $F'_n$  of ternary decimals

$$\{0.d_1d_2d_3d_4\dots|d_j\in\{0,2\}\ \forall 1\leqslant j\leqslant n\}.$$

Also prove that any element  $x \in F$  has a unique ternary expansion in  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F'_n$ .

(2) We take a countable subset  $G = \{x^1, x^2, x^3 \dots\} \subseteq F$  and write them in the ternary expansion as described above,

$$x^{1} = 0.d_{1}^{1}d_{2}^{1}d_{3}^{1}d_{4}^{1} \dots$$

$$x^{2} = 0.d_{1}^{2}d_{2}^{2}d_{3}^{2}d_{4}^{2} \dots$$

$$x^{3} = 0.d_{1}^{3}d_{2}^{3}d_{3}^{3}d_{4}^{3} \dots$$

$$x^{4} = 0.d_{1}^{4}d_{2}^{4}d_{3}^{4}d_{4}^{4} \dots$$

:

where  $d_i^j \in \{0, 2\}$  for any  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . We define an element  $p \in F$  with a ternary expansion  $[p]_3 = 0.p_1p_2p_3p_4...$  such that

$$p_j = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } d_j^j = 2, \\ 2 & \text{if } d_j^j = 0. \end{cases}$$

Prove that  $p \notin G$ .

(3) Based on the previous steps, prove that the set F is uncountable.

(1) Pf. The correspondence between Fr and Fr' can be clarified as follows.

For convenience, define function

$$t: [0,1] \to \mathbb{Z} \qquad t\cdot (m) = \begin{cases} 0 & 0 \le m \le \frac{1}{3} \\ 1 & \frac{1}{3} \le m \le \frac{2}{3} \end{cases}$$

denote  $f: F_n \rightarrow F_n'$ 

m → 0.dido ··· di

where  $d_i = t(m)$ 

$$d\hat{i}_{H} = t \left( 3 \cdot \left( m - \frac{d\hat{i}}{3^{\hat{i}}} \right) \right) / \leq \hat{t} \leq n - 1. \tag{I}$$

Inversely, given 0. didz...dn. for  $1 \le k \le n$ pick  $I_k$  at step k if  $d_k = 0$ pick  $J_k$  at step k if  $d_k = 2$ .

So Fr and Fr' are corresponding.

Using function f.  $\forall x \in F$ , f(x) is the unique ternary expansion of x.

fix) is unique because by the recurrence relation

if di is unique. then di+1 is unique. Inductively fix) is unique

(2). Pf. If 
$$P \in G$$
  
 $\exists j \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$  s.t.  $0.d_{1}^{j}d_{2}^{j}...d_{j}^{j}...=P$   
but by definition  $P_{j} \neq d_{j}^{j}$ .  
Contradiction.  
So  $P \notin G$ .

(3). Suppose F is countable

then F is a commtable subset of itself.

by (2) FPEF s.t. P&G=F contradiction

obviously F is infinite.

so F is uncountable.

**Problem 3.** This problem is to prove **Cantor's Theorem**: Given any set A, denote by  $\mathscr{P}(A)$  the power set of A. Then there does not exist a surjective function  $f: A \to \mathscr{P}(A)$ .

(1) First, consider a simpler case of Cantor's Theorem. Let  $D = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . Then construct an injective function  $f: D \to \mathcal{P}(D)$ . For the function f you just constructed, write down all the elements of the set

$$B \equiv \{x \in D | x \notin f(x)\}.$$

- (2) Show that there exists no surjective function  $f: D \to \mathcal{P}(D)$  for any finite set.
- (3) Using the constructive strategy in (1), prove Cantor's Theorem in full generality.

(1). Pf. let 
$$f: D \to P(D)$$
  $f$  is obviously injective.  
 $x \mapsto \{x\}$   
 $B := \{x \in D \mid x \notin \{x\}\} = \phi$ .

(2). Pf. if f is surjective.

 $\forall y_0 \in P(D)$ .  $\exists x_0 \in D$ .  $f(x_0) = y_0$ .

So  $|D| \gg |P(D)|$  by definition. When  $|D| < \infty$  but this implies  $|D| \gg 2^{|D|}$ Which is false for all  $|D| \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ .

contradiction.

(3) If there's a surjection  $f: D \rightarrow P(D)$ .

Let  $B:= \{x \in D \mid x \notin f(x)\} \in P(D)$ Since f is a surjection.  $\exists x_0 \in D: f(x_0) = B$ if  $x_0 \in B$  then  $x_0 \notin f(x_0) = B$ if  $x_0 \notin B$  then  $x_0 \in f(x_0) = B$ contradiction.

So there is no such surjection.