Math 481

- ► Instructor: Chenxi Wu wuchenxi2013@gmail.com
- ▶ Office: Hill 434, Office hours: 10-11 am Tu, Wed or by appointment, starting from Jan 28.
- ► Grading policy: 10% weekly homework (lowest dropped), 20% each of the two midterms, 50% final exam.
- Prerequisite: Probability. Will finish review of basic probability on Feb 12.
- Weekly assignments: 2-3 homework problems a week, grade for correctness, similar to exams. There will also be questions from textbook assigned for practice which you don't need to hand in.
- ▶ No late homework or make up midterms.

Main topics we will cover:

- ► Review of probability
- ▶ Point estimate
- p-values and hypothesis testing
- Confidence intervals
- Bayesian statistics

Bayesian and non-Bayesian approaches to statistics

- Non-Bayesian approach: Set up a null hypothesis and try to show that observation is highly unlikely if null hypothesis is true.
- ► Bayesian approach: Assume prior distribution of some parameter, calculate posterior via Bayes formula

DID THE SUN JUST EXPLODE? (IT'S NIGHT, SO WE'RE NOT SURE.)



FREQUENTIST STATISTICIAN:

BAYESIAN STATISTICIAN:

THE PROBABILITY OF THIS RESULT HAPPENING BY CHANCE IS \$\frac{1}{3c}\$=0.027.

SINCE P<0.05, I. CONCLUDE THAT THE SUN HAS EXPLODED.



Some review of basic probability

- ► Two random events A and B are called **independent** if $P(A \cap B) = P(A)P(B)$
- ▶ If A and B are two random events, P(A) > 0. The conditional probability of B when A is given is $P(B|A) = P(A \cap B)/P(A)$.

Example

Suppose you are given a coin, you flip it 5 times and get head on all 5 of them.

- Suppose the coin is fair, what is the odds that it gets head for 5 times in 5 flips?
- Null hypothesis
- p-value









WE FOUND NO









WE FOUND NO LINK BETWEEN BLUE JELLY BEANS AND ACNE (P>0.05).



WE FOUND NO LINK BETWEEN TEAL JELLY BEANS AND ACNE (P > 0.05)



GREY JELLY BEANS AND ACNE (P > 0.05).



TAN JELLY BEANS AND ACNE (P>0.05),



CYAN JELLY
BEANS AND ACNE
(P>0.05)



GREEN JELLY BEANS AND ACNE (P<0.05)



MAUVE JELLY BEANS AND ACNE (P>0.05),



WE FOUND NO LINK BETWEEN BEIGE JELLY BEANS AND ACNE (P > 0.05).



WE FOUND NO LINK BETWEEN LICAC JELLY BEANS AND ACNE (P>0.05).



WE FOUND NO LINK BETWEEN BLACK JELLY BEANS AND ACNE (P > 0.05)



WE FOUND NO LINK BETWEEN PEACH JELLY BEANS AND ACNE (P>0.05),



WE FOUND NO LINK BETWEEN ORANGE JELLY BEANS AND ACNE (P > 0.05)





- ▶ Suppose the coin is biased and gets head at probability p.
 - ▶ What is the probability that it gets head for 5 times in 5 flips?
 - ▶ What is the *p* that maximizes this probability?
 - ► What is the range of *p* such that the probability for 5 heads in 5 flips is no less than 0.05?
- Maximum likelihood estimate (MLE)
- Confidence interval

- ➤ Suppose you pick the coin among a pile of 100 coins, 99 of which is fair and 1 has head on both sides. What is the chance of the coin being unfair given the results of the 5 flips?
- Prior and posterior

- ▶ Suppose the odds for getting a head is uniformly distributed in [0,1], given the results of the 5 flips, what do you think is the most likely value for *p*? How about the expectation?
- ► Maximum a posteriori (MAP) estimate

Basic definitions in probability

A **Probability** is a triple (S, F, P) where S is called the **sample space** denoting all possible states of the world, $F \subset \mathcal{P}(S)$ the **event space** and $P : F \to \mathbb{R}$ a real-valued function on F, such that:

- 1. *F* is closed under complement and countable union.
- 2. P is non negative.
- 3. P(S) = 1
- 4. If $\{E_i\}$ is a countable sequence of disjoint events in F, $P(\bigcup_i E_i) = \sum_i P(E_i)$.

Random variables

- ▶ A (real valued) random variable X is a function $S \to \mathbb{R}$ such that the preimage of any open interval is in F. Multivariant random variables can be defined similarly.
- The cumulative distribution function (cdf) of a random variable X is $F(x) = P(X \le x)$.
- If $F(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{x} f(t)dt$ we call f the **probability density** function (pdf)
- ▶ If there is a countable set C and $g: C \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $F(x) = \sum_{y \in C, y \le x} g(y)$ we call X discrete and g the probability distribution
- ► The **expectation** of a random variable X is defined as $E[X] = \int_S X dP$.

For those who know analysis

- A probability is a measure $P: F \to \mathbb{R}$, where F is a σ -algebra on sample space S and P(S) = 1.
- ▶ A random variable *X* is a *P*-measurable function on *S*.
- ► The expectation of a random variable X is the integral $\int_S XdP$.

Some questions

- Must the cdf of a random variable be left or right continuous?
- X is the number of heads in 2 fair coin flips. What is the cdf of X? What is the expectation of X? What is the expectation of (X - E[X])²?
- Can you write down a random variable that is neither discrete nor has a pdf?
- Can you write down a random variable which has no expectation?

Independence and conditional probability

- ▶ X and Y are 2 random variables, X and Y are independent iff $F_{X,Y}(s,t) = P(X \le s \cap Y \le t) = F_X(s)F_Y(t)$.
- If A is some event with non zero probability, $F_{X|A}(s) = P(X \le s|A) = P(X \le s \cap A)/P(A)$.
- ▶ If X and Y has joint p.d.f. $f_{X,Y}$ with non zero marginal density f_Y , then $f_{X|Y=a}(s) = f_{X,Y}(s,a)/f_Y(a)$.
- ▶ If A_i are disjoint events with non zero probabilities, $B \subset \mathbb{R}$, $P(X \in B | \cup_i A_i) = \sum_i (P(A_i)P(X \in B | A_i)) / \sum_i P(A_i)$.
- ▶ If Y has p.d.f. f_Y , $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $P(Y \in A) > 0$, B is a random event, then $P(B|Y \in A) = \int_A f_Y(s)P(B|Y = s)ds/P(Y \in A)$.

Special random variables

- Discrete: Takes on countably values, has p.d.
- **Continuous**: has p.d.f.

2 random variables X and Y has the same distribution iff they have the same c.d.f., or for any $A \subset \mathbb{R}$, $P(X \in A) = P(Y \in A)$. Random variables with the same distribution are NOT necessarily the same.

Special Probability distributions

- ▶ Bernoulli distribution: $f(1) = \theta$, $f(0) = 1 \theta$.
- Binomial distribution (sum of iid Bernoulli):

$$f(x) = {n \choose x} \theta^x (1-\theta)^{n-x}, x = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$

- Negative Binomial distribution (waiting time for the k-th success of iid trials): $f(x) = {x-1 \choose k-1} \theta^k (1-\theta)^{x-k}$, $x = k, k+1, \ldots$ When k = 1 it is the **geometric** distribution.
- ► **Hypergeometric distribution** (randomly pick *n* elements at random from *N* elements, the number of elements picked from a fixed subset of *M* elements)

$$f(x) = \binom{M}{x} \binom{N-M}{n-x} \binom{N}{n}^{-1}.$$

- ▶ **Poisson distribution** (limit of binomial as $n \to \infty$, $n\theta \to \lambda$) $f(x) = \lambda^x e^{-\lambda}/x!$.
- ► Multinomial distribution $f(x_1,...x_k) = \binom{n}{x_1,...,x_k} \theta_1^{x_1}...\theta_k^{x_k}, \sum_i x_i = n, \ \theta_i\theta_i = 1.$
- Multivariate Hypergeometric distribution

$$f(x_1,\ldots,x_k) = \prod_i \binom{M_i}{x_i} \cdot \binom{N}{n}^{-1} \cdot \sum_i x_i = n,$$

$$\sum_i M_i = N.$$

Special Probability Density Functions

$$\Gamma(a) = \int_0^\infty x^{a-1} e^{-x} dx$$
. $\Gamma(k) = (k-1)!$ when $k = 1, 2, ...$

- **▶** Uniform distribution: $f(x) = \begin{cases} 1/(b-a) & x \in (a,b) \\ 0 & x \notin (a,b) \end{cases}$.
- ► Normal distribution: $f(x) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$.
- ▶ Multivariate Normal distribution: $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, Σ positive definite $d \times d$ symmetric matrix, $f(x) = (2\pi)^{-d/2} |\Sigma|^{-1/2} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu)^T \Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu)}$.
- $\chi^2 \ \text{distribution } d \colon \text{ degrees of freedom. Squared sum of } d \\ \text{normal distributions: } f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2^{d/2} \Gamma(d/2)} x^{\frac{d-2}{2}} e^{-x/2} & x > 0 \\ 0 & x \leq 0 \end{cases}.$

- **Exponential distribution** $f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\theta}e^{-x/\theta} & x > 0 \\ 0 & x \le 0 \end{cases}$
- ► Gamma-distribution: $f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\beta^{\alpha}\Gamma(\alpha)} x^{\alpha-1} e^{-x/\beta} & x > 0\\ 0 & x \le 0 \end{cases}$
- ▶ Beta distribution: (conjugate prior of Bernoulli distribution) $f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} x^{\alpha-1} (1-x)^{\beta-1} & x \in (0,1) \\ 0 & x \notin (0,1) \end{cases}.$

Example: If the bias of a coin p has a uniform **prior** in [0,1], after n flips there are a heads and b tails, the **posterior** will be Beta distribution with $\alpha = a + 1$, $\beta = b + 1$.

Sample mean and sample variance

 X_i i.i.d. (independent with identical distribution)

- **Sample mean**: $\overline{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} X_{i}$
- Sample variance:

$$S^{2} = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i} (X_{i} - \overline{X})^{2} = \frac{1}{n-1} (\sum_{i} X_{i}^{2} - n \overline{X}^{2}).$$

Properties:

- $ightharpoonup E[\overline{X}] = E[X_1]$
- $ightharpoonup Var(\overline{X}) = \frac{1}{n} Var(X_1)$
- lacksquare $\sqrt{rac{n}{Var(X_1)}}(\overline{X}-E[X_1])
 ightarrow \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ (Central Limit Theorem)
- ► $E[S^2] = Var(X_1)$

Assuming $X_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$:

- $ightharpoonup \overline{X}$ and S^2 are independent.
- $ightharpoonup \overline{X} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \frac{\sigma^2}{n})$

Proof of $\frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2(n-1)$

$$(n-1)S^{2} = \sum_{i} (X_{i} - \overline{X})^{2} = \sum_{i} ((X_{i}^{2} - E[X_{i}]) - (\overline{X} - E[\overline{X}]))^{2}$$
$$= \sum_{i} (X_{i}^{2} - E[X_{i}])^{2} - n(\overline{X} - E[\overline{X}])^{2}$$

Now divide by σ^2 , the first term is $\chi^2(n)$ and second $\chi^2(1)$.

χ^2 distribution

Definition: X_i independent, $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$, then $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i = \chi^2(n)$ PDF:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n/2\Gamma(n/2)} x^{\frac{n-2}{2}} e^{-x/2} & x > 0\\ 0 & x \le 0 \end{cases}$$

Calculation of PDF:

$$f_{\chi^{2}(n)}(r) = \frac{d}{dr} \int_{\sum_{i} x_{i}^{2} \le r} (2\pi)^{-n/2} e^{-\sum_{i} x_{i}^{2}/2} dx_{1} \dots dx_{n}$$
$$= (2\pi)^{-n/2} e^{-r/2} \frac{d}{dr} Vol(B(\sqrt{r}))$$

Where B(x) is the ball of radius x.

t distribution

Definition: X and Y independent, $X \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$, $Y \sim \chi^2(n)$, then $\frac{X}{\sqrt{Y/n}} \sim t(n)$.

By LLN, when $n \to \infty$ this converges to $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$.

PDF:

$$f(x) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2})}{\sqrt{\pi n}\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})} (1 + \frac{x^2}{n})^{-\frac{n+1}{2}}$$

Calculation of PDF of t

$$f_{t(n)}(s) = \frac{d}{ds} P(X \le s\sqrt{Y/n}) = \frac{d}{ds} \int_0^\infty dy \int_{-\infty}^{s\sqrt{y/n}} dx \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-x^2/2} \frac{1}{2^{n/2} \Gamma(n/2)} y^{\frac{n-2}{2}} e^{-y/2}$$

$$= \int_0^\infty dy \sqrt{y/n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-s^2 y/2n} \frac{1}{2^{n/2} \Gamma(n/2)} y^{\frac{n-2}{2}} e^{-y/2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi n} 2^{n/2} \Gamma(n/2)} \int_0^\infty dy y^{\frac{n-1}{2}} e^{-y(1+\frac{s^2}{n})/2}$$

Now let $z = y(1 + \frac{s^2}{n})/2$ and it's done.

F-distribution

Definition: U and V independent, $U \sim \chi^2(m)$, $V \sim \chi^2(n)$, then $\frac{U/m}{V/n} \sim F(m,n)$ CDF:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{m+n}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{m}{2})\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})} (\frac{m}{n})^{m/2} x^{m/2-1} (1 + \frac{m}{n}x)^{-\frac{m+n}{2}} & x > 0\\ 0 & x \le 0 \end{cases}$$

Strategy for calculating the PDF of $Y = g(X_i)$:

- 1. Find joint pdf of X_i
- 2. Write down the CDF of Y as a probability, hence, some integral of the pdf of X_i
- 3. Differentiate the CDF of Y.

Probability Review

- Probability, cdf and pdf for continuous random variables:
 - ▶ Probability to cdf: $F_X(t) = P(X \le t)$
 - **cdf to pdf**: $f_X(t) = \frac{d}{dt}F_X(t)$
 - **pdf** to probability: $P(X \in A) = \int_A f_X(s) ds$
- Probability, cdf and pd for discrete random variables:
 - ▶ Probability to cdf: $F_X(t) = P(X \le t)$
 - **cdf to pd**: $F_X(t) = \sum_{s < t} g_X(s)$
 - **P** pd to probability: $P(X \in A) = \sum_{s \in A} g_X(s)$
- Joint cdf/pdf/pd, independence, conditional probability.
- Expectation, variance, covariance
- LLN and CLT
- ▶ Special distributions: binomial, uniform, normal, χ^2 , etc.

Point estimates

Basic setting:

- F: a family of possible distributions (represented by a family of cdf, pdf, or pd)
- $lackbox{ heta} \ heta: \mathcal{F}
 ightarrow \mathbb{R}$ population parameter
- \triangleright $X_1, \ldots X_n$ i.i.d. with distribution $F \in \mathcal{F}$
- ▶ $\hat{\theta} = \hat{\theta}(X_1, ..., X_n)$ a function of X_i , which is an estimate of $\theta(F)$, is called a point estimate.

Example: \mathcal{F} : all distributions with an expectation, then \overline{X} is a point estimate of the expectation.

- $\hat{\theta}$ is a point estimate of θ .
 - ▶ The **bias** is $E[\hat{\theta}] \theta$. $\hat{\theta}$ is called unbiased if $E[\hat{\theta}] = \theta$.
 - ▶ The **variance** is $Var(\hat{\theta})$.
 - $\hat{\theta}$ is called **minimum variance unbiased estimate** if it has the smallest variance among all unbiased estimates.
 - ▶ $\hat{\theta}_1$ and $t\hat{heta}_2$ are two unbiased estimates, the relative efficiency is the ratio of their variance. When they are biased, one can use the mean squared error $E[(\hat{\theta} \theta)^2]$ instead.
 - $\hat{\beta}$ is called **asymptotically unbiased** if bias converges to 0 as $n \to \infty$.
 - $ightharpoonup \hat{\beta}$ is called **consistent** if $\hat{\beta}$ converges to β in distribution.

Review of definitions regarding point estimates

 $\hat{\theta}$ is a point estimate of θ

- Unbiased
- Minimal Variance Unbiased
- Asymptotically unbiased
- Consistent

Properties:

- ▶ Minimal Variance Unbiased can be verified via Cramer-Rao
- Mean squared error $E[(\hat{\theta}-\theta)^2] = E[((\hat{\theta}-E[\hat{\theta}])+(E[\hat{\theta}]-\theta))^2] = Var(\hat{\theta})+(E[\hat{\theta}]-\theta)^2$
- ▶ Mean squared error \rightarrow 0 implies consistence:

$$P(|\hat{\theta} - \theta| > \epsilon) < \frac{E[(\hat{\theta} - \theta)^2]}{\epsilon^2}$$

But consistence does not imply mean squared error \rightarrow 0.

Maximal Likelihood Estimate (MLE)

Suppose $X_i \sim F(\theta)$, i.i.d., observation is x_1, \ldots, x_k , then $\hat{\theta} = \arg \max_{\theta} L(x_1, \ldots, x_k, \theta)$.

- ▶ When F is a continuous distribution with p.d.f. $f(x,\theta)$, let $L(x_1,...,x_k,\theta) = \prod_i f(x_i,\theta)$
- ▶ When F is a discrete distribution with p.d. $g(x,\theta)$, let $L(x_1,...,x_k,\theta) = \prod_i g(x_i,\theta)$

When there are multiple parameters, we can get their MLE by taking arg max to all of them altogether.

Sometimes we maximize log(L) (log likelihood) instead of L, which is equivalent.

The basic idea of Bayesian statistics

- ► Input:
 - Some (possibly vector valued) random variable Θ with given distribution (**prior**)
 - Some (possibly vector valued) random variable X with known conditional distribution conditioned at a value of Θ , $X \sim F(X|\Theta)$. (observable)
- ▶ Output: the conditional distribution of Θ conditioned at a value of X (**posterior**) $\Theta \sim F(\Theta|X)$.

Example:

- **Prior** $Y \sim Bernoulli(\frac{1}{100})$
- ▶ **Observable** X_1 , X_2 conditionally i.i.d. when Y = y, and their conditional distribution is Bernoulli with $p = \frac{1+8Y}{10}$.

Calculation of the posterior:

$$\begin{split} P(Y=1|X_1,X_2) &= \frac{P(Y=1,X_1,X_2)}{P(X_1,X_2)} \\ &= \frac{P(X_1,X_2|Y=1)P(Y=1)}{P(X_1,X_2|Y=0)|P(Y=0) + P(X_1,X_2|Y=1)|P(Y=1)} \\ &= \frac{(9/10)^{X_1+X_2}(1/10)^{2-X_1-X_2} \times \frac{1}{100}}{(9/10)^{X_1+X_2}(1/10)^{2-X_1-X_2} \times \frac{1}{100} + (1/10)^{X_1+X_2}(9/10)^{2-X_1-X_2} \times \frac{99}{100}} \\ &= \frac{9^{X_1+X_2}}{9^{X_1+X_2} + 99 \times 9^{2-X_1-X_2}} \end{split}$$

So, for example, if we know both X_i takes a value of 1, then the probability of Y = 1 is 9/20.

We can answer many questions using posterior, for example:

- ▶ What is the probability of Θ taking value in A given X?
- ▶ What is the "most likely" value of Θ ? $\hat{\Theta}_{MAP} = \arg\max_s f_{\Theta|X}(s)$, where f is p.d.f. when $\Theta|X$ is continuous and p.d. when it is discrete. This is called the **maximum a posteriori (MAP)** estimate.
- ▶ What is the average value of Θ ? $\hat{\Theta} = E[\Theta|X]$. This is called the **Bayesian point estimate with** L^2 **lost**.
- ▶ In general, let $I(\cdot, \cdot)$ be a lost function (a positive function such that I(a, a) = 0), then $\hat{\Theta} = \arg\min_{\theta} E[I(\Theta, \theta)|X]$ is called the **Bayesian point estimate**.

MLE vs. Point estimate using Bayesian statistics

MLE:

- ▶ Input: Assumption on the distribution of X: $X \sim F(\alpha)$. A likelihood function $L(X, \alpha)$.
- Output: $\hat{\alpha}_{MLE} = \arg \max_{\alpha} L(X, \alpha)$.

Bayesian statistics:

- ▶ Input: Prior: $\alpha \sim F_0$, Conditional distribution: $X|\alpha \sim F(\alpha)$.
- ▶ Calculated output: Posterior: $\alpha | X \sim F'(X)$
- ▶ MAP Point estimate: $\hat{\alpha} = \arg \max_{\alpha} f_{alpha|X}(\alpha)$
- ▶ L^2 -Bayesian Point estimate: $\hat{\alpha} = E[\alpha|X]$.

Input:

- \blacktriangleright $\mu \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$
- $ightharpoonup X_i | \mu \text{ cond. i.i.d., } \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, 1)$

Posterior:

$$f_{\mu|X_{i}}(s) = \frac{f_{\mu,X_{i}}(s,X_{1},\ldots,X_{n})}{f_{X_{i}}(X_{1},\ldots,X_{n})} = \frac{f_{\mu,X_{i}}(s,X_{1},\ldots,X_{n})}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} f_{\mu,X_{i}}(t,X_{1},\ldots,X_{n})dt}$$

$$= \frac{\prod_{i} f_{X_{i}|\mu=s}(X_{i})f_{\mu}(s)}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \prod_{i} f_{X_{i}|\mu=t}(X_{i})f_{\mu}(t)dt} = \frac{(2\pi)^{-\frac{n+1}{2}}e^{-\sum_{i}(X_{i}-s)^{2}/2-s^{2}/2}}{\int_{\mathbb{R}}(2\pi)^{-\frac{n+1}{2}}e^{-\sum_{i}(X_{i}-t)^{2}/2-t^{2}/2}dt}$$

So

$$\mu|X_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\frac{\sum_i X_i}{n+1}, \frac{1}{n+1})$$

The MAP and L^2 Bayesian estimate of μ are both $\hat{\mu} = \frac{\sum_i X_i}{n+1}$.

Formula for Posterior

$$f_{\mu|X}(s) \propto f_{X|\mu=s}(X) f_{\mu}(s)$$

This works for discrete μ or X as well!

Example: P uniform on [0,1], $X|P \sim \text{Binomial}(5,P)$, then $f_{P|X}(s) \propto s^X (1-s)^{5-X} \cdot 1$, hence $P|X \sim \textit{Beta}(X+1,6-X)$.

Often in practice we build "hierarchical models" by stacking multiple layers of Bayesian and non Bayesian models together. For example:

$$\sigma_i^2 \sim \Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$$
 $\sigma^2 \sim \Gamma(\alpha', \beta')$
 $\mu_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$
 $X_{ij} \text{ ind. } \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_i, \sigma_i^2)$

How would you estimate σ_i and μ_i from the values of X_{ii} ?

We will talk about models like this if we have more time at the end of the semester.

More examples

1.
$$t$$
 has p.d.f. $f_t(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x < 0 \\ e^{-x} & x > 0 \end{cases}$

 $P(Y = n|t) = (1 - e^{-t})e^{-nt}$. Knowing Y, find \hat{t}_{MAP} and E[t|Y].

2. a, t indep. \sim Uniform([0, 1]). $X_i|a, t$ i.i.d. \sim Uniform([a, a + t]), find \hat{t}_{MAP} .

Answer: $M = \max(X_i)$, $m = \min(X_i)$, then:

$$f_{a,t|X_i} \propto egin{cases} t^{-n} & 0 \leq a \leq m \leq M \leq a+t \leq a+1 \\ 0 & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

So

$$f_{t|X_i} \propto egin{cases} t^{-n} \cdot (\min(1,m) - (M-t)) & M - \min(1,m) \leq t \leq 1 \ 0 & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\hat{t}_{MAP} = \min(1, \frac{n}{n-1}(M - \min(1, m)))$$

Review: Point estimate

- ▶ Problem: $X \sim F(\Theta)$, want to know unknown parameter Θ .
- ▶ Solution: Build a random variable $\hat{\Theta}$ depending on X via:
 - ► MOM
 - MLE
 - ▶ Bayesian-based methods like MAP or Bayesian point estimate
 - Other methods

Hypothesis testing

- ▶ Problem: want to know if the distribution of *X* satisfy certain propositions (**null hypothesis**), for example:
 - ▶ Will anyone be infected by covid-19 2 years from now?
 - Will the expectation of our midterm 2 grade be better than midterm 1?
 - Is the performance of a machine learning algorithm better than random chance?
- Solution: Find a random variable Z (test statistics) depending on X and a set A (critical region), and reject the hypothesis when $Z \in A$.

- \triangleright (Z, A) is called a **statistical test** to null hypothesis H_0 .
- ▶ If $Z \in A \iff Z' \in A'$ we consider (Z, A) and (Z', A') to be the same test.
- ▶ If H_0 completely determines $P(Z \in A)$ (simple hypothesis), $p = P(Z \in A|H_0)$ is called the significance level.

Example 1: Suppose your grade for midterm 1 is X_1 , your grade for midterm 2 is X_2 , $Y = X_2 - X_1$ satisfies normal distribution with variance 25. How do we test the null hypothesis E[Y] = 0?

▶ Answer 1: Z = Y, $A = (-\infty, -M) \cup (M, \infty)$.

$$p = P(Y < -M \cup Y > M | H_0)$$

$$= P(Y < -M | Y \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 25))$$

$$+ P(Y > M | Y \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 25))$$

$$= 2 \int_{M}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{50\pi}} e^{-t^2/50} dt$$

- ► Answer 2: Z = Y, $A = (M, \infty)$, $p = \int_{M}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{50\pi}} e^{-t^2/50} dt$
- Answer 3: Z = Y, A = (-M, M), $p = \int_{-M}^{M} \frac{1}{\sqrt{50\pi}} e^{-t^2/50} dt$

Which of the three is more reasonable?

Ways to evaluate a test

- ► Alternative hypothesis: an alternative to the null hypothesis H₀, called H₁.
- ▶ $P(Z \in A|H_0)$ is called **Significance level** or **type I error**.
- ▶ If H_1 is a simple hypothesis, $P(Z \notin A|H_1)$ is called **type II** error.
- ▶ If H_1 is a simple hypothesis, $1 P(Z \notin A|H_1) = P(Z \in A|H_1)$ is called **(statistical) power**
- ▶ If $X \sim F(\theta)$, $\pi(\theta) = P(Z \in A|\theta)$ is called the **power** function. If $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$, $H_1: \theta = \theta_1$, then significance is $\pi(\theta_0)$ and power is $\pi(\theta_1)$.

In Example 1, let $Y = \mathcal{N}(\theta, 25)$, what is the power function of the three tests?

Example 2: Y_i i.i.d. $\sim \mathcal{N}(\theta, 25)$, $H_0: \theta = 0$. Example 3: Y_i i.i.d. Bernoulli distribution with parameter θ , $H_0: \theta = 1/2$.

Review

- ▶ $X \sim F(\theta)$. Null hypothesis: $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$, alternative hypothesis $H_1: \theta = \theta_1$.
- ▶ Statistical test: (Z, A), Z: test statistics, A: critical region
- ▶ Type I error: $P(Z \in A|H_0)$
- ► Type II error: $P(Z \notin A|H_1)$
- ▶ Power: $P(Z \in A|H_1)$
- ▶ Power function: $\pi(t) = P(Z \in A | \theta = t)$

Intuition behind statistical tests

- ▶ If (Z, A) is a test such that the significance level is very small.
- ightharpoonup Suppose H_0 is true.
- ▶ It must mean that $P(Z \in A)$ is very small.
- ▶ However, in an experiment we get $Z \in A$
- Hence the assumption earlier is probably untrue.
- ▶ Hence H_0 is probably false.

Example 2

 X_i i = 1, ... 6 i.i.d., Bernoulli with $P(X_i = 1) = p$. $H_0: p = 0.5, H_1: p = 0.9$.

Test statistics: $Z = \sum_i X_i$. A = [M, 6], M is an integer. Then power function is:

$$\pi(p) = P(Z \ge M|p) = \sum_{i=M}^{6} {6 \choose i} p^{i} (1-p)^{6-i}$$

Significance is
$$\pi(0.5) = \frac{1}{64} \sum_{i=M}^{6} {6 \choose i}$$
.
Power is $\pi(0.9) = \sum_{i=M}^{6} {6 \choose i} (0.9)^{i} (0.1)^{6-i}$.

- M = 6: significance=0.0156, power=0.531
- M = 5: significance=0.109, power=0.886
- M = 4: significance=0.344, power=0.984

There is trade-off between significance and power. Which M to choose depends on the purpose of the test, in particular whether false positive or false negative would be more costly.

Neyman-Pearson test

Recall that the likelihood function is $L(x,\theta)=f_{X|\theta}(x)$, which is the p.d.f. when X is continuous and p.d. when X is discrete. The Neyman-Pearson test for $H_0:\theta=\theta_0,\ H_1:\theta=\theta_1$ is:

$$(X, \{x : L(x, \theta_0)/L(x, \theta_1) \leq k\})$$

Example 2, Neyman-Pearson test

$$p_0 = 0.5, p_1 = 0.9$$

$$L(X_1, \dots, X_6, p_0) = \prod_i p_0^{X_i} (1 - p_0)^{1 - X_i} = \frac{1}{4^6}$$

$$L(X_1, \dots, X_6, p_1) = \prod_i p_1^{X_i} (1 - p_1)^{1 - X_i}$$

$$= 0.9^{\sum_i X_i} \cdot 0.1^{6 - \sum_i X_i} = 0.1^6 \cdot 9^{\sum_i X_i}$$

Sometimes we need to consider **composite hypothesis**, i.e. cases when H_0 and H_1 does not completely determine the distribution of X. Suppose $H_0: \theta \in D_0$, $H_1: \theta \in D_1$, the likelihood ratio test becomes:

$$(X, \{x : \frac{\sup_{\theta \in D_0} L(x, \theta)}{\sup_{\theta \in D_0 \cup D_1} L(x, \theta)} \le k\})$$

How would you do likelihood ratio test for the following examples:

- ► X_i i.i.d. Bernoulli(p). $H_0: p = 0.5, H_1: p \neq 0.5$.
- $ightharpoonup X_i \text{ i.i.d. } \mathcal{N}(\mu, 1). \ H_0: \mu = 0, \ H_1: \mu \neq 0.$

Review

- ▶ Because (Z, A) and (Z', A') are the same test if $Z \in A \iff Z' \in A'$, we sometimes don't specify test statistics and critical region and just call the proposition $Z \in A$ a statistical test.
- Neyman-Pearson test: $f_{X|H_0}(X)/f_{X|H_1}(X) \leq k$
- ▶ Likelihood ratio test: $H_0: \theta \in D_0$, $H_1: \theta \in D_1$.

$$\frac{\sup_{\theta \in D_0} f_{X|\theta}(X)}{\sup_{\theta \in D_0 \cup D_1} f_{X|\theta}(X)} \le k$$

Correction: type I error should be called the significance level of a test.

Neyman-Pearson Lemma

Neyman-Pearson test has the highest power for given significance, and lowest significance level for given power.

Proof in continuous case: Let X taking value in \mathbb{R}^n , k be the threshold of the Neyman-Pearson test with significance α . In other words,

$$\int_{\frac{f_{X|H_0}(x)}{f_{X|H_1}(x)} \le k} f_{X|H_0}(x) dx = \alpha$$

Then its power is $\beta_0 = \int_{\frac{f_{X|H_0}(x)}{f_{X|H_1}(x)} \le k} f_{X|H_1}(x) dx$.

Suppose another test (Z,A) has significance α , then by definition of conditional p.d.f.,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} P(Z \in A|X) f_{X|H_0}(x) dx = \alpha$$

While the power is

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} P(Z \in A|X) f_{X|H_{1}}(x) dx \\ &= \int_{\frac{f_{X}|H_{0}(x)}{f_{X|H_{1}}(x)} \leq k} P(Z \in A|X) f_{X|H_{1}}(x) dx + \int_{\frac{f_{X}|H_{0}(x)}{f_{X}|H_{1}}(x)} > k} P(Z \in A|X) f_{X|H_{1}}(x) dx \\ &= \beta_{0} - \int_{\frac{f_{X}|H_{0}(x)}{f_{X}|H_{1}}(x)} \leq k} P(Z \notin A|X) f_{X|H_{1}}(x) dx + \int_{\frac{f_{X}|H_{0}(x)}{f_{X}|H_{1}}(x)} > k} P(Z \in A|X) f_{X|H_{1}}(x) dx \\ &\geq \beta_{0} - \frac{1}{k} \int_{\frac{f_{X}|H_{0}(x)}{f_{X}|H_{1}}(x)} \leq k} P(Z \notin A|X) f_{X|H_{0}}(x) dx + \frac{1}{k} \int_{\frac{f_{X}|H_{0}(x)}{f_{X}|H_{1}}(x)} > k} P(Z \in A|X) f_{X|H_{0}}(x) dx \\ &= \beta_{0} - \frac{1}{k} \int_{\frac{f_{X}|H_{0}(x)}{f_{X}|H_{1}}(x)} \leq k} f_{X|H_{0}}(x) dx + \frac{1}{k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} P(Z \in A|X) f_{X|H_{0}}(x) dx \\ &= \beta_{0} \end{split}$$

Significance and p-value

 $X \sim F(\theta), H_0: \theta \in D_0.$

Suppose a family of statistical tests with parameter k is $X \in A(k)$. Then:

- The significance level of the test $X \in A(k)$ is $\alpha = \sup_{\theta \in D_0} P(X \in A(k)|\theta)$. $k \le k' \implies A(k) \le A(k')$.
- ightharpoonup The p-value for x, which is an observed value of X, is

$$p = \inf_{k \in \{k: x \in A(k)\}} \sup_{\theta \in D_0} P(X \in A(k))$$

Suppose the test $X \in A(k_0)$ has significance level α_0 . Then $x \in A(k_0)$ (i.e. X = x results in rejection of H_0 under this test) implies that x has a p-value no larger than α_0 , and x has p-value less than α implies that $x \in A(k_0)$.

Relationship between significance and p-value

Proof: Let $\alpha(k) = \sup_{\theta \in D_0} P(X \in A(k)|\theta)$, then because $P(X \in A(k)|\theta)$ is non-increasing, $k \mapsto \alpha(k)$ is non increasing. Furthermore, by assumption, $\alpha(k_0) = \alpha_0$, and $\alpha(k) > \alpha_0 \implies k > k_0$, and the p-value for x is

$$p = \inf_{k \in \{k: x \in A(k)\}} \alpha(k)$$

Suppose $x \in A(k_0)$, then the p-value of x is $p = \inf_{k \in \{k: x \in A(k)\}} \alpha(k) \le \alpha(k_0) = \alpha_0$. Now suppose the p-value of x is less than α_0 , then there is some k' such that $x \in A(k')$ and $\alpha(k') < \alpha_0$. Hence, $k' \le k_0$, $x \in A(k') \subset A(k_0)$.

Example 1: Normal approximation for large sample

 X_i i.i.d., Bernoulli distribution with parameter p. $H_0: p = p_0$, $H_1: p \neq p_0$. Likelihood ratio test:

$$\frac{\prod_{i} p_{0}^{X_{i}} (1 - p_{0})^{1 - X_{i}}}{\sup_{p} \prod_{i} p^{X_{i}} (1 - p)^{1 - X_{i}}} \leq k$$

$$\frac{p_0^{\sum_i X_i} (1 - p_0)^{n - \sum_i X_i}}{(\frac{1}{n} \sum_i X_i)^{\sum_i X_i} (1 - \frac{1}{n} \sum_i X_i)^{n - \sum_i X_i}} \le k$$

$$\log(LHS) = n\overline{X}(\log(p_0) - \log(\overline{X})) + n(1 - \overline{X})(\log(1 - p_0) - \log(1 - \overline{X}))$$

Which is non positive and 0 iff $\overline{X} = p_0$. So for k close to 1 the test should be of the form:

$$|\overline{X} - p_0| > \epsilon$$

From CLT, if n >> 1, under H_0 , $\sqrt{\frac{n}{p_0(1-p_0)}} \cdot \overline{X}$ has distribution close to $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$, so the test with significance level α is roughly $|\overline{X}-p_0| \geq \Phi^{-1}(1-\alpha/2)\sqrt{\frac{p_0(1-p_0)}{n}}$ where Φ is the cdf of $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$. And the p-value for given \overline{X} is

$$p = \inf\{\alpha : |\overline{X} - p_0| \ge \Phi^{-1}(1 - \alpha/2)\sqrt{\frac{p_0(1 - p_0)}{n}}\}$$
$$= 2(1 - \Phi(\sqrt{\frac{n}{p_0(1 - p_0)}} \cdot |\overline{X} - p_0|))$$

Suppose n = 100, $p_0 = 0.5$, 60 of the X_i has a value of 1 and 40 has a value of 0. We want to test if $H_0: p = p_0$ is true with a significance level 0.05.

- ▶ **Method 1**: The test with significance level 0.05 is roughly $|\overline{X} p_0| \ge \Phi^{-1}(1 0.05/2)\sqrt{\frac{p_0(1-p_0)}{n}} = 0.0980.$ $\overline{X} p_0 = 0.1$ which is larger than the threshold, hence we should reject H_0 .
- ▶ **Method 2**: Calculate the p-value, we get $p=2(1-\Phi(\sqrt{\frac{n}{p_0(1-p_0)}}\cdot |\overline{X}-p_0|))=0.0455\leq 0.05$, so we should reject H_0 .

Example 2: single sample t-test

 X_i i.i.d. $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$, here μ and σ^2 are both unknown. $H_0: \mu=0$, $H_1: \mu \neq 0$.

Likelihood ratio test:

$$\frac{\sup_{\sigma^2} (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-n/2} \prod_i e^{-X_i^2/2\sigma^2}}{\sup_{\mu,\sigma^2} (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-n/2} \prod_i e^{-(X_i-\mu)^2/2\sigma^2}} \le k$$

Do the optimization we get the optimal μ is \overline{X} , the optimal σ^2 in denominator is $\frac{1}{n}\sum_i X_i^2$, and the optimal σ^2 in the numerator is $\frac{1}{n}\sum_i (X_i-\overline{X})=\frac{1}{n}\sum_i X_i^2-\overline{X}^2$. (Recall examples we did in MLE). Hence

$$\log(LHS) = -\frac{n}{2}(\log(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i}X_{i}^{2}) - \log(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i}X_{i}^{2} - \overline{X}^{2})) + \frac{n}{2} - \frac{n}{2}$$

$$= \frac{n}{2}\log(1 - \frac{\overline{X}^{2}}{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i}X_{i}})$$

So the LRT must be of the form $\left|\frac{\overline{X}}{\sqrt{S^2/n}}\right| \geq M$. From the definition of *t*-distribution, we know that if

$$X_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$$

Then

$$(n-1)S^2/\sigma^2 \sim \chi(n-1)$$
 $\overline{X}/\sqrt{\sigma^2/n} \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$

So

$$\frac{\overline{X}}{\sqrt{S^2/n}} = \frac{\overline{X}/\sqrt{\sigma^2/n}}{\sqrt{((n-1)S^2/\sigma^2)/(n-1)}} \sim t(n-1)$$

p-value is:

$$p=2(1-T(\left|\frac{\overline{X}}{\sqrt{S^2/n}}\right|)$$

Where T is the cdf of t(n-1).

Example 3: one sided single sample t-test

 X_i i.i.d. $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$, here μ and σ^2 are both unknown. $H_0: \mu \leq 0$, $H_1: \mu > 0$.

Likelihood ratio test:

$$\frac{\sup_{\mu \leq 0, \sigma^2} (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-n/2} \prod_i e^{-(X_i - \mu)^2/2\sigma^2}}{\sup_{\mu, \sigma^2} (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-n/2} \prod_i e^{-(X_i - \mu)^2/2\sigma^2}} \leq k$$

The likelihood ratio is 1 if $\sum_i X_i \le 0$, and the same as Example 2 if $\sum_i X_i > 0$. Hence, the LRT is of the form:

$$\left| \frac{\overline{X}}{\sqrt{S^2/n}} \right| \ge M \text{ and } \overline{X} > 0$$

Hence

$$\frac{\overline{X}}{\sqrt{S^2/n}} \ge M$$

Hence, for given significant level $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ we let

$$M = T^{-1}(1 - \alpha)$$

For given X_i we can calculate the p-value as

$$p=1-T(\frac{\overline{X}}{\sqrt{S^2/n}})$$

Some conceptual questions

- ➤ Suppose a statistical test with significance level 0.05 is used to test covid-19, null hypothesis being not having covid-19. If your test come out positive, what do you know about your probability of getting covid-19?
- Let p be a function that sends observed value X to a p-value. What can you say about the c.d.f. of random variable p(X)?