

P01 Pacman Game

学号	姓名	专业(方向)
18340215	张天祯	计科 (大数据和人工智能)
18340208	张洪宾	计科 (超算)

1.Idea of A* Algorithm (Use a few sentences to describe your understanding of the algorithm)

- 类似一致代价搜索，只是在拓展结点时使用 $g+h$ 最小的，而不是 g 最小的。A*算法一方面参考当前已知的信息，一方面参考启发式函数给出的信息来拓展结点，在某种程度上类似人类的思维过程。但启发式函数的选取对A*影响很大，选取一个好的启发式函数不是一件容易的事情。

2. Idea of Min-Max and alpha-beta pruning algorithms

- 自己作最好选择的同时，也站在敌人的角度思考问题，敌人也作最好选择。树的各层形成交替的Min-Max决策树。
- 在自己或敌人有较好的选择时，一定不会选较差的分支。因此，当确定当前分支的结果一定比已遍历过的分支差时，就不用遍历当前分支的剩余部分。

3. Codes

Question 1

```
def aStarSearch(problem, heuristic=nullHeuristic):
    def f(node):
        return node[2] + heuristic(node[0],problem)
    frontier = util.PriorityQueueWithFunction(f)
    frontier.push((problem.getStartState(), [], 0))
    rst = set()
    while not frontier.isEmpty():
        curr_sta, curr_act, curr_cost = frontier.pop()
        if problem.isGoalState(curr_sta):
            return curr_act
        if curr_sta not in rst:
            rst.add(curr_sta)
            for succ in problem.getSuccessors(curr_sta):
                succ_sta, succ_act, succ_cost = succ
                new_act = curr_act + [succ_act]
                new_cost = curr_cost + succ_cost
                frontier.push((succ_sta, new_act, new_cost))

    return []
```

Question 2

```
class CornersProblem(search.SearchProblem):
    def getStartState(self):
        return self.startingPosition, (0,0,0,0)
    def isGoalState(self, state):
```

```

        return state[1]==(1,1,1,1)
    def getSuccessors(self, state):
        successors = []
        for action in [Directions.NORTH, Directions.SOUTH, Directions.EAST,
Directions.WEST]:
            x,y = state[0]
            dx, dy = Actions.directionToVector(action)
            nextx, nexty = int(x + dx), int(y + dy)
            hitwall = self.walls[nextx][nexty]
            if not hitwall:
                succ_pos = (nextx,nexty)
                succ_cnt = state[1]
                for i in range(4):
                    if self.corners[i] == succ_pos:
                        tem = list(succ_cnt)
                        tem[i] = 1
                        succ_cnt = tuple(tem)
                        successors.append(((succ_pos,succ_cnt),action,1))
                self._expanded += 1 # DO NOT CHANGE
            return successors
    def cornersHeuristic(state, problem):
        corners = problem.corners # These are the corner coordinates
        walls = problem.walls # These are the walls of the maze, as a Grid
(game.py)
        sum = 0
        x,y = state[0]
        goal = []
        for i in range(4):
            if not state[1][i]:
                goal.append(problem.corners[i])
        for corner in goal:
            min = 9999
            dis = abs(x-corner[0])+abs(y-corner[1])
            if min > dis:
                min = dis
                nx,ny = corner
            sum += min
            x,y = nx,ny
            goal.remove((x,y))
        return sum

```

Question 3

```

def foodHeuristic(state, problem):
    position, foodGrid = state
    food = foodGrid.asList()
    pos_to_food = []
    food_to_food = []
    sum = 0
    for i in food:
        pos_to_food.append(abs(position[0] - i[0]) + abs(position[1] - i[1]))
        for j in food:
            food_to_food.append((abs(j[0] - i[0]) + abs(j[1] - i[1])))
    sum += min(pos_to_food) if pos_to_food else 0
    sum += max(food_to_food) if food_to_food else 0
    return sum

```

Question 4

```
def getAction(self, gameState):
    return self.MinMaxDFS(gameState, 1, 0)
def MinMaxDFS(self, gameState, currDepth, agentIndex):
    if agentIndex >= gameState.getNumAgents(): # 向下, agent变为0
        return self.MinMaxDFS(gameState, currDepth + 1, 0)
    if currDepth > self.depth or gameState.iswin() or gameState.isLose(): #终止
        return self.evaluationFunction(gameState)
    succ = gameState.getLegalActions(agentIndex)
    for i in succ:
        if i == 'Stop':
            succ.remove(i)
    scores = []
    for i in succ:
        scores.append(self.MinMaxDFS(gameState.generateSuccessor(agentIndex,
i),currDepth,agentIndex+1))
    if not agentIndex:
        mmax = max(scores)
        if currDepth == 1:
            bestIndices = []
            for i in range(len(scores)):
                if scores[i] == mmax:
                    bestIndices.append(i)
            return succ[random.choice(bestIndices)] # 伪随机一个最好的
        return mmax
    else:
        return min(scores)
```

Question 5

```
def getAction(self, gameState):
    return self.AlphaBetaDFS(gameState,1,0,-99999,99999)
def AlphaBetaDFS(self, gameState, currDepth, agentIndex,MIN,MAX):
    if agentIndex >= gameState.getNumAgents(): # 向下, agent变为0
        return self.AlphaBetaDFS(gameState, currDepth + 1, 0,MIN,MAX)
    if currDepth > self.depth or gameState.iswin() or gameState.isLose(): #终止
        return self.evaluationFunction(gameState)
    succ = gameState.getLegalActions(agentIndex)
    for i in succ:
        if i == 'Stop':
            succ.remove(i)
    if not agentIndex:
        if currDepth == 1:
            scores = []
            for i in succ:
                scores.append(self.AlphaBetaDFS(gameState.generateSuccessor(agentIndex,i),currDepth,agentIndex+1,MIN,MAX))
            MMAX = max(scores)
            bestIndices = []
            for i in range(len(scores)):
                if scores[i] == MMAX:
                    bestIndices.append(i)
            return succ[random.choice(bestIndices)]
        MMAX = -99999
    for i in succ:
```

```

        MMAX =
max(MMAX, self.AlphaBetaDFS(gameState.generateSuccessor(agentIndex, i), currDepth, a
gentIndex+1, MIN, MAX))
        if MAX <= MMAX: #剪枝
            return MMAX
        MAX = max(MAX, MMAX)
    return MMAX
else:
    MMIN = 99999
    for i in succ:
        MMIN =
min(MMIN, self.AlphaBetaDFS(gameState.generateSuccessor(agentIndex, i), currDepth, a
gentIndex+1, MIN, MAX))
        if MIN >= MMIN: #剪枝
            return MMIN
        MAX = min(MAX, MMIN)
    return MMIN

```

4.结果展示

- 1

```

(vue) C:\Users\E480\Desktop\AI\pro_1\search>python pacman.py -l bigMaze -z .5 -p SearchAgent
-a fn=astar,heuristic=manhattanHeuristic
[SearchAgent] using function astar and heuristic manhattanHeuristic
[SearchAgent] using problem type PositionSearchProblem
Path found with total cost of 210 in 0.0 seconds
Search nodes expanded: 549
Pacman emerges victorious! Score: 300
Average Score: 300.0
Scores:      300.0
Win Rate:    1/1 (1.00)
Record:      Win

```

- 2

```

\E480\Desktop\AI\pro_1\search>python pacman.py -l mediumCorners -p AStarCornersAgent -z 0.5
-p SearchAgent -a fn=aStarSearch,prob=CornersProblem,heuristic=cornersHeuristic
[SearchAgent] using function aStarSearch and heuristic cornersHeuristic
[SearchAgent] using problem type CornersProblem
Path found with total cost of 106 in 0.0 seconds
Search nodes expanded: 633
Pacman emerges victorious! Score: 434
Average Score: 434.0
Scores:      434.0
Win Rate:    1/1 (1.00)
Record:      Win

```

- 3

```

(vue) C:\Users\E480\Desktop\AI\pro_1\search>python pacman.py -l trickySearch -p AStarFoodSearchAgent
Path found with total cost of 60 in 2.5 seconds
Search nodes expanded: 7798
Pacman emerges victorious! Score: 570
Average Score: 570.0
Scores:      570.0
Win Rate:    1/1 (1.00)
Record:      Win

```

• 4

```
(vue) C:\Users\E480\Desktop\AI\pro_1\multiagent>python pacman.py -p MinimaxAgent -a depth=3
-l smallClassic
Pacman emerges victorious! Score: 889
Average Score: 889.0
Scores:      889.0
Win Rate:    1/1 (1.00)
Record:      Win

(vue) C:\Users\E480\Desktop\AI\pro_1\multiagent>python pacman.py -p MinimaxAgent -a depth=4
-l smallClassic
Pacman emerges victorious! Score: 1241
Average Score: 1241.0
Scores:      1241.0
Win Rate:    1/1 (1.00)
Record:      Win

(vue) C:\Users\E480\Desktop\AI\pro_1\multiagent>python pacman.py -p MinimaxAgent -a depth=5
-l smallClassic
Traceback (most recent call last):
```

• 5

```
(vue) C:\Users\E480\Desktop\AI\pro_1\multiagent>python pacman.py -p AlphaBetaAgent -a depth
=3 -l smallClassic
Pacman emerges victorious! Score: 1239
Average Score: 1239.0
Scores:      1239.0
Win Rate:    1/1 (1.00)
Record:      Win

(vue) C:\Users\E480\Desktop\AI\pro_1\multiagent>python pacman.py -p AlphaBetaAgent -a depth
=4 -l smallClassic
Pacman emerges victorious! Score: 919
Average Score: 919.0
Scores:      919.0
Win Rate:    1/1 (1.00)
Record:      Win

(vue) C:\Users\E480\Desktop\AI\pro_1\multiagent>python pacman.py -p AlphaBetaAgent -a depth
=5 -l smallClassic
Pacman emerges victorious! Score: 1435
Average Score: 1435.0
Scores:      1435.0
Win Rate:    1/1 (1.00)
Record:      Win

(vue) C:\Users\E480\Desktop\AI\pro_1\multiagent>python pacman.py -p AlphaBetaAgent -a depth
=6 -l smallClassic
Traceback (most recent call last):
```

5.结果分析

1.Search in Pacman

- 第一问已经给出的启发式函数是当前位置到豆子的曼哈顿距离，是经典的启发式函数，考虑松弛问题，即忽视墙。显然是满足一致性的，则有是最优的。
- 第二问我们自己写的启发式函数的思路是使用贪心策略，依次去找曼哈顿距离最近的豆子。首先找最近的豆子，然后更新位置为该豆子处，再找下一个。其可满足性和一致性都没有证明出来。事实

上，我认为在特定的位置（地图的边界或中间附近）上该函数的两个性质可能都不能满足。但我们也找不出更好的函数了。在此问中由于墙的限制和初始位置因素，它碰巧得到了最优解。

- 第三问我们从上一问中获取类似的思路，把每一个豆子当作上一问的角落，使用贪心策略，结果拓展的节点为 9000+。不够理想，于是考虑新的方法。新的启发式函数有两个部分，一个是当前位置和最近的豆子的距离，第二个是所有豆子彼此之间的最大距离，然后对他们求和并返回。这样的距离肯定是实际距离的一个下界，是可满足的。但该函数似乎不具有 consistency。
- 希望助教在讲解此问时能重点讲一下启发式函数的选取。

2.Multi-Agent Pacman

- 在使用Min-Max算法时，当搜索深度为3时，运行还算流畅，有一点卡顿，每步行动大概1s左右；当搜索深度为4时，运行已经很卡顿了，每一步行动大约2-3秒钟；当搜索深度为5时，基本很难正常运行了，每一步要等7-12s，由于我失去了耐性，使用Ctrl+C结束了程序。
- 在使用 $\alpha - \beta$ 剪枝算法时，当搜索深度为3时，运行很流畅；当搜索深度为4时，运行有点卡顿，每步行动大概1s左右；当搜索深度为5时，卡顿明显，每步行动3-5s；当搜索深度为6时，运行困难，每一步要等15s左右。
- 两种算法运行到后期豆子很少时，似乎都会变慢一些。
- $\alpha - \beta$ 剪枝算法的优势显而易见。

6.Experimental experience

本次实验熟悉了A*算法，体会到了启发式函数选取的困境，也实现了Min-Max算法、 $\alpha - \beta$ 剪枝算法。这次实验有几个较大的困难：

- 首先是python，我们过去都只自学习了面向过程的python部分，面向对象的部分没有系统学习，这次实验前速成了一下。
- 其次代码阅读，每个问题都要先熟悉相关的代码接口函数，结构等，好在只要善用print，还是能快速上手。
- 最后是启发式函数的选取，深切地体会到了启发式函数是个玄学问题，实在是需要天赋和直觉，在问题2,3中都写过几个版本的启发式函数，但有的效果好，但不满足最优性条件，有的满足条件却又效果不好。但最后完成实验还是感觉即使是写出不理想的启发式函数，时间也没有白费，学到了很多。