

# Topological spaces II

## Introduction to Model Theory (Third hour)

September 30, 2021

# Section 1

## Subspaces

# The case of metric spaces

Let  $(M, d)$  be a metric space. Let  $(M_0, d_0)$  be a subspace, meaning

- $M_0 \subseteq M$ .
- $d_0$  is the restriction of  $d$  to  $M_0$ .

## Fact

*Suppose  $X \subseteq M_0$ .*

- *$U$  is open in  $(M_0, d_0)$  iff there is an open set  $U'$  in  $(M, d)$  with  $U = U' \cap M_0$ .*
- *$C$  is closed in  $(M_0, d_0)$  iff there is a closed set  $C'$  in  $(M, d)$  with  $C = C' \cap M_0$ .*

# Subspaces

Let  $(S, \mathcal{T})$  and  $(S_0, \mathcal{T}_0)$  be topological spaces.

## Definition

$(S_0, \mathcal{T}_0)$  is a *subspace* of  $(S, \mathcal{T})$  if  $S_0 \subseteq S$  and the following equivalent conditions hold:

- $U$  is open in  $(S_0, \mathcal{T}_0)$  iff there is an open set  $U'$  in  $(S, \mathcal{T})$  with  $U = U' \cap S_0$ .
- $C$  is closed in  $(S_0, \mathcal{T}_0)$  iff there is a closed set  $C'$  in  $(S, \mathcal{T})$  with  $C = C' \cap S_0$ .

# The subspace topology

## Fact

*Suppose  $(S, \mathcal{T})$  is a topological space and  $S_0 \subseteq S$ . There is a unique topology  $\mathcal{T}_0$  on  $S_0$  making  $(S_0, \mathcal{T}_0)$  be a subspace of  $(S, \mathcal{T})$ .*

$\mathcal{T}_0$  is called the *subspace topology* on  $S_0$ . It is simply

$$\mathcal{T}_0 := \{U \cap S_0 : U \in \mathcal{T}\}.$$

## Corollary

*Subspaces of  $(S, \mathcal{T})$  correspond bijectively with subsets of  $S$ .*

# Open and closed subspaces

## Definition

A subspace  $(S_0, \mathcal{T}_0) \subseteq (S, \mathcal{T})$  is *open* (resp. *closed*) if  $S_0$  is an open (resp. closed) subset of  $S$ .

## Fact

*Let  $S_0$  be an open subspace of  $S$  and suppose  $X \subseteq S_0$ . Then  $X$  is open in  $S_0$  iff  $X$  is open in  $S$ .*

## Fact

*Let  $S_0$  be a closed subspace of  $S$  and suppose  $X \subseteq S_0$ . Then  $X$  is closed in  $S_0$  iff  $X$  is closed in  $S$ .*

# The discrete topology

Let  $S$  be a set. The *discrete topology* is the topology where all subsets of  $S$  are open.

## Fact

*A topological space  $(S, \mathcal{T})$  is discrete if and only if every singleton  $\{p\}$  is open.*

# Discrete sets

Let  $S$  be a topological space:

## Definition

A set  $X \subseteq S$  is *discrete* if the subspace topology on  $X$  is discrete.

## Definition

A point  $p \in X$  is *isolated* if there is a neighborhood  $N \ni p$  with  $N \cap X = \{p\}$ .

## Fact

$X$  is discrete if and only if every point is isolated.



## Section 2

### Product spaces

# The product of two topological spaces

Let  $(S_1, \mathcal{T}_1)$  and  $(S_2, \mathcal{T}_2)$  be two topological spaces.

## Definition

The *product topological space* is  $(S_1 \times S_2, \mathcal{T}_\times)$ , where the *product topology*  $\mathcal{T}_\times$  has a basis  $\{U_1 \times U_2 : U_1 \in \mathcal{T}_1, U_2 \in \mathcal{T}_2\}$ .

## Example

The product topology on  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$  has basic open sets  $(a, b) \times (c, d)$  with  $a < b$  and  $c < d$ .

This is the usual topology on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

# The product topology on metric spaces

Let  $(M_1, d_1)$  and  $(M_2, d_2)$  be two metric spaces. We can define several metrics on  $M_1 \times M_2$ :

$$d((x_1, x_2); (y_1, y_2)) := \sqrt{d(x_1, y_1)^2 + d(x_2, y_2)^2}$$

$$d'((x_1, x_2); (y_1, y_2)) := d(x_1, y_1) + d(x_2, y_2)$$

$$d''((x_1, x_2); (y_1, y_2)) := \max(d(x_1, y_1), d(x_2, y_2))$$

## Fact

*Each of these is a metric on  $M_1 \times M_2$ . They all define the same topology, which is the product topology on  $M_1 \times M_2$ .*

# The product topology and limits

## Fact

*Let  $S_1, S_2$  be two topological spaces. Let  $a_1, a_2, \dots$  be a sequence in  $S_1$  and  $b_1, b_2, \dots$  be a sequence in  $S_2$ . Then  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (a_i, b_i) = (c, d)$  if and only if*

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} a_i = c$$

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} b_i = d.$$

This almost characterizes the product topology.

# The product topology and continuity

Let  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  be topological spaces and  $S_1 \times S_2$  be the product.

- ① The projection maps  $S_1 \times S_2 \rightarrow S_1$  and  $S_1 \times S_2 \rightarrow S_2$  are continuous.
- ② Let  $S_0$  be a topological space and let  $f_i : S \rightarrow S_i$  be a function for  $i = 1, 2$ . Let  $(f_1, f_2) : S_0 \rightarrow S_1 \times S_2$  be the function  $(f_1, f_2)(x) = (f_1(x), f_2(x))$ . Then

$$(f_1, f_2) \text{ is continuous} \iff f_1 \text{ and } f_2 \text{ are continuous.}$$

- ③ Let  $f : S_1 \times S_2 \rightarrow S_0$  be a function. Then  $f$  is continuous at  $(p, q) \in S_1 \times S_2$  iff the following holds: for any neighborhood  $E \ni f(p, q)$ , there are neighborhoods  $U_1 \ni p$  and  $U_2 \ni q$  such that if  $p' \in U_1$  and  $q' \in U_2$ , then  $f(p', q') \in E$ .

# Section 3

## Connectedness

# Connectedness for topological spaces

## Definition

A topological space  $S$  is *disconnected* if there exists a clopen set  $X$  other than  $\emptyset$  and  $S$ . Otherwise,  $S$  is *connected*.

Equivalently:

## Definition

A topological space  $S$  is *disconnected* if there is a non-constant continuous function  $f : S \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  where  $\{0, 1\}$  has the discrete topology.  $S$  is *connected* if every continuous function  $f : S \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  is constant.

# Connectedness for sets

Let  $S$  be a topological space.

## Definition

A subset  $X \subseteq S$  is *connected* (resp. *disconnected*) if the subspace  $X$  is connected (resp. disconnected).

## Fact

*Let  $X$  be open. Then  $X$  is disconnected if and only if  $X = X_1 \cup X_2$  where  $X_1, X_2$  are non-empty open sets and  $X_1 \cap X_2 = \emptyset$ .*

## Fact

*Let  $X$  be closed. Then  $X$  is disconnected if and only if  $X = X_1 \cup X_2$  where  $X_1, X_2$  are non-empty closed sets and  $X_1 \cap X_2 = \emptyset$ .*



# Connectedness and continuity

## Fact

*Let  $f : S \rightarrow S'$  be continuous. If  $X \subseteq S$  is connected, then  $f(X) \subseteq S'$  is connected.*

# Connected components

## Definition

A *connected component* of  $X$  is a maximal connected subset of  $X$ .

## Fact

*The connected components form a partition of  $X$ . There is an equivalence relation  $\sim$  on  $X$  such that  $a \sim b$  if and only if  $a$  and  $b$  are in the same connected component.*

$X$  is connected if there is just one connected component.

## Definition

$X$  is *totally disconnected* if every connected component is a single point.

## Warning (Cantor's Leaky Tent)

There is a connected set  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  and a point  $p \in X$  such that  $X \setminus \{p\}$  is totally disconnected.

# Path-connectedness

Let  $X$  be a set.

## Definition

For  $p, q \in X$ , a “path” in  $X$  is a continuous function  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  such that  $f(0) = p$  and  $f(1) = q$ .

## Definition

$X$  is *path-connected* if for any  $p, q \in X$ , there is a path from  $p$  to  $q$ .

## Fact

*If  $X$  is path-connected, then  $X$  is connected.*

## Warning (Topologist's sine curve)

There is a subset  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  that is connected but not path connected.

# Section 4

## Compactness

# Compactness

Let  $(S, \mathcal{T})$  be a topological space.

## Definition

An *open cover* of  $S$  is a set  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$  with  $\bigcup \mathcal{C} = S$ .  
A *subcover* of  $\mathcal{C}$  is another cover  $\mathcal{C}_0$  with  $\mathcal{C}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ .

## Definition

$S$  is *compact* if every cover has a finite subcover.  
 $X \subseteq S$  is *compact* if it is compact as a subspace.

## Remark

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a basis for  $\mathcal{T}$ . In both definitions, it suffices to only consider covers  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ .

# Compactness via FIP

## Definition

A family of sets  $\mathcal{F}$  has the *finite intersection property* (FIP) if for any  $X_1, \dots, X_n \in \mathcal{F}$ , the intersection  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathcal{F}$  is non-empty.

## Fact

A topological space  $S$  is compact if and only if the following holds: let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of closed sets with FIP. Then  $\bigcap \mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset$ .

# Compactness: important facts

## Fact

*Let  $f : S_1 \rightarrow S_2$  be continuous. If  $X \subseteq S_1$  is compact, then  $f(X) \subseteq S_2$  is compact.*

## Fact

*If  $S$  is Hausdorff and  $X \subseteq S$  is compact, then  $X$  is closed.*

## Fact

*Finite sets are compact.*

## Fact

*A finite union of compact sets is compact.*

## Fact

*A product of compact topological spaces is compact, even infinitely many.*

# Compactness and cluster points

## Definition

$b$  is a *cluster point* of  $a_1, a_2, \dots$  if for any neighborhood  $N \ni b$ , there are infinitely many  $i$  with  $a_i \in N$ .

## Warning

In metric spaces,  $b$  is a cluster point of  $a_1, a_2, \dots$  iff some subsequence  $a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, a_{i_3}, \dots$  converges to  $b$ . This does not hold in general topological spaces.

## Fact

*In a compact topological space, any sequence has a cluster point.*

## Warning

In metric spaces, this characterizes compactness. This does not hold in general topological spaces.



# Compactness and quasi-compactness

## Warning

English	French
Compact	Quasi-compact
Compact and Hausdorff	Compact

Algebraic geometry often follows the French convention.

# Completeness

## Fact

*A metric space  $(M, d)$  is compact iff  $M$  is complete and  $M$  is totally bounded.*

Neither “complete” nor “totally bounded” makes sense in topological spaces:

- $\mathbb{R}$  is homeomorphic to  $(0, 1) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ .
- $\mathbb{R}$  is complete, but  $(0, 1)$  is not.
- $(0, 1)$  is totally bounded, but  $\mathbb{R}$  is not.

## Section 5

# Metrizability

# Metrizability

## Definition

A topology  $\mathcal{T}$  on a set  $S$  is *metrizable* if there is a metric  $d$  on  $S$  inducing  $\mathcal{T}$ .

Not all topologies are metrizable!

- For example, metrizable topologies are always Hausdorff, and non-Hausdorff topologies exist.
- The Sorgenfrey line is not metrizable. The cofinite and trivial topologies are usually not metrizable.
- The order topology on  $\omega_1$  is not metrizable.

# The discrete topology

## Theorem

*The discrete topology on a set  $S$  is metrizable.*

## Proof.

Use the “discrete metric”

$$d(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \neq y \\ 0 & \text{if } x = y. \end{cases}$$



## Three important properties

Let  $(S, \mathcal{T})$  be a topological space.

### Definition

$S$  is *second countable* if there is a countable basis  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ .

### Definition

$S$  is *separable* if there is a countable set  $X \subseteq S$  with  $\overline{X} = S$  (i.e.,  $X$  is dense).

### Definition

$S$  is *Lindelöf* if every cover has a countable subcover.

# Three important properties

## Fact

*In metric spaces,*

$$(compact) \implies (Lindel\ddot{o}f) \iff (separable) \iff (second\ countable).$$

## Fact

*In topological spaces,*

$$(compact) \implies (Lindel\ddot{o}f) \Leftarrow (second\ countable) \implies (separable).$$

*and no other logical relations hold between these notions.*

# Metrization theorems

## Fact

*Let  $(S, \mathcal{T})$  be a compact topological space. Then  $S$  is metrizable if and only if  $S$  is Hausdorff and second-countable.*

There are other more complicated theorems, like Urysohn's metrization theorem and the Bing-Nagata-Smirnov metrization theorem.



## Section 6

### Polish spaces

# Polish spaces

## Definition

A topological space  $(S, \mathcal{T})$  is *Polish* if

- It is separable.
- It is metrizable, by a complete metric  $d$  on  $S$ .

## Example

$\mathbb{R}$  is a Polish space.

Polish spaces are important in set theory and computability theory, especially in *descriptive set theory*.

# Polish spaces

## Fact

*Let  $S$  be a Polish space.*

- *Any closed subspace of  $S$  is Polish.*
- *Any open subspace of  $S$  is Polish.*
- *If  $X \subseteq S$  is countable, then  $S \setminus X$  is a Polish subspace.*

## Example

The Cantor set is a Polish space.

## Example

$\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  is a Polish space.

# A typical theorem

## Fact

*Let  $S$  be a Polish space. Then  $|S| \leq \aleph_0$  or  $|S| = 2^{\aleph_0}$ . More generally, if  $X$  is an open or closed subset of  $S$ , then  $|X| \leq \aleph_0$  or  $|X| = 2^{\aleph_0}$ .*

## Definition

The collection of *Borel sets* is the smallest  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \text{Pow}(S)$  containing the open and closed sets, closed under complements and countable unions and countable intersections.

## Fact

*Let  $S$  be Polish and  $X \subseteq S$  be Borel. Then  $|X| \leq \aleph_0$  or  $|X| = 2^{\aleph_0}$ .*

Intuition: there are no easy counterexamples to the continuum hypothesis.

# Section 7

## Beyond point-set topology

# An overview

There are many subjects within topology:

- ① Point-set topology
- ② Algebraic topology
- ③ Differential topology
- ④ Knot theory
- ⑤ Low-dimensional topology
- ⑥ Symplectic topology
- ⑦ ...

We have only been talking about point-set topology.

Technically, it is the foundation for other branches of topology.

But it is nothing like the rest of topology.

# Tameness

- “Wild” topological spaces like  $\mathbb{Q}$  or the Cantor set are important in point-set topology
- But most topologists study much “tamer” sets, like  $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x^2 + y^2 = 1\}$ .
  - ▶ Low-dimensional topologists focus on manifolds.
  - ▶ Algebraic topologists focus on CW complexes or simplicial complexes.
  - ▶ ...

## Remark

In model theory, *o-minimality* is a way to avoid “wild” topological spaces and ensure automatic tameness.

# Manifolds

## Definition

A topological space  $M$  is an  *$n$ -dimensional manifold* if the following conditions hold:

- $M$  is Hausdorff.
- For every point  $p \in M$ , there is an open neighborhood  $U \ni p$  homeomorphic to a ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (or equivalently, homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ).
- $M$  is *second countable or paracompact or something*. (Conventions vary.)

## Example

The circle  $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x^2 + y^2 = 1\}$  is a 1-dimensional manifold.



# Manifolds

## Fact

*2-dimensional compact connected manifolds are classified up to homeomorphism.*

For more information, see one of the following:

- *A Guide to the Classification Theorem for Compact Surfaces* by Jean Gallier and Dianna Xu
  - ▶ <https://www.cis.upenn.edu/~jean/surfclass-n.pdf>
- *Fantastic Topological Surfaces and How to Classify Them* by Khorben Boyer
  - ▶ <https://digitalcommons.wou.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1102&context=aes>
- *An Introduction to Topology: The Classification Theorem for Surfaces* by E. C. Zeeman
  - ▶ <https://www.maths.ed.ac.uk/~v1ranick/surgery/zeeman.pdf>

# Smooth manifolds

- So far we have discussed *topological manifolds*.
- A *smooth manifold* is a topological manifold with additional information allowing one to talk about derivatives of functions.
- Smooth manifolds are much easier to work with than topological manifolds.
- Smooth manifolds are studied in *differential topology*.