

# Higher Order Computability

John Longley & Dag Normann

April 1, 2022

## Contents

<b>1 Theory of Computability Models</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Simulations Between Computability Models . . . . .	1
1.1.1 Simulations and Transformations . . . . .	1
1.2 Examples of Simulations and Transformations . . . . .	4

## 1 Theory of Computability Models

### 1.1 Simulations Between Computability Models

#### 1.1.1 Simulations and Transformations

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $\mathbf{C}$  and  $\mathbf{D}$  be lax computability models over type worlds  $T, U$  respectively. A **simulation**  $\gamma$  of  $\mathbf{C}$  in  $\mathbf{D}$  (written in  $\gamma : \mathbf{C} \multimap \mathbf{D}$ ) consist of

- a mapping  $\sigma \mapsto \gamma\sigma : T \rightarrow U$
- for each  $\sigma \in T$ , a relation  $\Vdash_{\sigma}^{\gamma} \subseteq \mathbf{D}(\gamma\sigma) \times \mathbf{C}(\sigma)$

satisfying the following

1. For all  $a \in \mathbf{C}(\sigma)$  there exists  $a' \in \mathbf{D}(\gamma\sigma)$  s.t.  $a' \Vdash_{\sigma}^{\gamma} a$
2. Every operation  $f \in \mathbf{C}[\sigma, \tau]$  is **tracked** by some  $f' \in \mathbf{D}[\gamma\sigma, \gamma\tau]$ , in the sense that whenever  $f(a) \downarrow$  and  $a' \Vdash_{\sigma}^{\gamma} a$ , we have  $f'(a) \Vdash_{\tau}^{\gamma} f(a)$

For any  $\mathbf{C}$  we have the **identity** simulation  $\text{id}_{\mathbf{C}} : \mathbf{C} \multimap \mathbf{C}$  given by  $\text{id}_{\mathbf{C}} \sigma = \sigma$  and  $a' \Vdash_{\sigma}^{\text{id}_{\mathbf{C}}} a$  iff  $a' = a$

Given simulations  $\gamma : \mathbf{C} \multimap \mathbf{D}$  and  $\delta : \mathbf{D} \multimap \mathbf{E}$  we have the composite simulation  $\delta \circ \gamma : \mathbf{C} \multimap \gamma \mathbf{E}$  defined by  $(\delta \circ \gamma)\sigma = \delta(\gamma\sigma)$  and  $a' \Vdash_{\sigma}^{\delta \circ \gamma} a$  iff there exists  $a'' \in \mathbf{D}(\gamma\sigma)$  with  $a'' \Vdash_{\sigma}^{\gamma} a$  and  $a' \Vdash_{\gamma\sigma}^{\delta} a''$ .

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D}$  be lax computability models and suppose  $\gamma, \delta : \mathbf{C} \multimap \mathbf{D}$  are simulations. We say  $\gamma$  is **transformable** to  $\delta$ , and write  $\gamma \leq \delta$ , if for each  $\sigma \in |\mathbf{C}|$  there is an operation  $t \in \mathbf{D}[\gamma\sigma, \delta\sigma]$  s.t.

$$\forall a \in \mathbf{C}(\sigma), a' \in \mathbf{D}(\gamma\sigma). a' \Vdash_{\sigma}^{\gamma} a \Rightarrow t(a') \Vdash_{\sigma}^{\delta} a$$

We write  $\gamma \sim \delta$  if both  $\gamma \leq \delta$  and  $\delta \leq \gamma$

**Definition 1.3.** Models  $\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D}$  are **equivalent** ( $\mathbf{C} \simeq \mathbf{D}$ ) if there exist simulations  $\gamma : \mathbf{C} \multimap \mathbf{D}$  and  $\delta : \mathbf{D} \multimap \mathbf{C}$  s.t.  $\delta \circ \gamma \sim \text{id}_{\mathbf{C}}$  and  $\gamma \circ \delta \sim \text{id}_{\mathbf{D}}$

A model is **essentially untyped** if it is equivalent to a model over the singleton type world  $\mathbf{O}$

*Exercise 1.1.1.* Show that a model  $\mathbf{C}$  is essentially untyped iff it contains a **universal type**: that is, a datatype  $U$  s.t. for each  $A \in |\mathbf{C}|$  there exists operations  $e \in \mathbf{C}[A, U], r \in \mathbf{C}[U, A]$  with  $r(e(a)) = a$  for all  $a \in A$

*Proof.*  $\Leftarrow$ : Let  $\mathbf{O} = \{U\}$ . For each  $f \in \mathbf{C}[A, B]$ ,  $\mathbf{D}$  contains  $\bar{f} \in \mathbf{D}[U, U]$  s.t.  $\bar{f}()$  Let

$$\mathbf{D}[U, U] = \{\bar{f} : e[A] \rightarrow e[B] : f \in \mathbf{C}[A, B]\}$$

where  $\bar{f}(e(a)) = e(f(a))$

each  $A \in |\mathbf{C}|$ , let  $\gamma(A) = U$  and define  $a' \Vdash_A^{\gamma} a$  iff  $a' = e(a)$   $\square$

**Definition 1.4.** Suppose  $\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D}$  are lax models with weak products and weak terminals  $(I, i), (J, j)$  respectively. A simulation  $\gamma : \mathbf{C} \multimap \mathbf{D}$  is **cartesian** if

1. for each  $\sigma, \tau \in |\mathbf{C}|$  there exists  $t \in \mathbf{D}[\gamma\sigma \bowtie \gamma\tau, \gamma(\sigma \bowtie \tau)]$  s.t.

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{\gamma\sigma}(d) \Vdash_{\sigma}^{\gamma} a \wedge \pi_{\gamma\tau}(d) \Vdash_{\tau}^{\gamma} b \Rightarrow \\ \exists c \in \mathbf{C}(\sigma \bowtie \tau). \pi_{\sigma}(c) = a \wedge \pi_{\tau}(c) = b \wedge t(d) \Vdash_{\sigma \bowtie \tau}^{\gamma} c \end{aligned}$$

2. there exists  $u \in \mathbf{D}[J, \gamma I]$  s.t.  $u(j) \Vdash_I^{\gamma} i$

**Definition 1.5.** Let  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  be lax relative TPCAs over the type worlds  $\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{U}$  respectively. An **applicative simulation**  $\gamma : \mathbf{A} \multimap \mathbf{B}$  consists of

- a mapping  $\sigma \mapsto \gamma\sigma : \mathbf{T} \rightarrow \mathbf{U}$
- for each  $\sigma \in \mathbf{T}$ , a relation  $\Vdash_{\sigma}^{\gamma} \subseteq \mathbf{B}^{\circ}(\gamma\sigma) \times \mathbf{A}^{\circ}(\sigma)$

satisfying the following

1. For all  $a \in \mathbf{A}^\circ(\sigma)$  there exists  $b \in \mathbf{B}^\circ(\gamma\sigma)$  with  $b \Vdash_\sigma^\gamma a$
2. For all  $a \in \mathbf{A}^\sharp(\sigma)$  there exists  $b \in \mathbf{B}^\sharp(\gamma\sigma)$  with  $b \Vdash_\sigma^\gamma a$
3. 'Application in  $\mathbf{A}$  is effective in  $\mathbf{B}'$ : that is, for each  $\sigma, \tau \in \mathbf{T}$ , there exists some  $r \in \mathbf{B}^\sharp(\gamma(\sigma \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \gamma\sigma \rightarrow \gamma\tau)$ , called a **realizer for  $\gamma$  at  $\sigma, \tau$** , s.t. for all  $f \in \mathbf{A}^\circ(\sigma \rightarrow \tau)$ ,  $f' \in \mathbf{B}^\circ(\gamma(\sigma \rightarrow \tau))$ ,  $a \in \mathbf{A}^\circ(\sigma)$  and  $a' \in \mathbf{B}^\circ(\gamma\sigma)$  we have

$$f' \Vdash_{\sigma \rightarrow \tau} f \wedge a' \Vdash_\sigma a \wedge f \cdot a \Downarrow \Rightarrow r \cdot f' \cdot a' \Vdash_\tau f \cdot a$$

**Theorem 1.6.** Suppose  $\mathbf{C}$  and  $\mathbf{D}$  are (lax) weakly cartesian closed models, and suppose  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  are the corresponding (lax) relative TPCAs with pairing via the correspondence of Theorem ???. Then cartesian simulations  $\mathbf{C} \multimap \mathbf{D}$  correspond precisely to applicative simulations  $\mathbf{A} \multimap \mathbf{B}$

*Proof.* Suppose first that  $\gamma : \mathbf{C} \multimap \mathbf{D}$  is a cartesian simulation

1. Definition
2. Suppose  $a \in \mathbf{A}^\sharp(\sigma)$  where  $\mathbf{A}^\circ(\sigma) = A$ . Then we may find  $g \in \mathbf{C}[I, A]$  with  $g(i) = a$ , where  $(I, i)$  is a weak terminal in  $\mathbf{C}$ . Take  $g' \in \mathbf{D}[\gamma I, \gamma A]$  tracking  $g$ , and compose it with  $u \in \mathbf{D}[J, \gamma I]$ , we obtain  $g'' \in \mathbf{D}[J, \gamma A]$ . Then  $g''(j) \in \mathbf{B}^\sharp(\gamma\sigma)$ , and it is easy to see that  $g''(j) \Vdash_\sigma^\gamma a$
3. Let  $\sigma, \tau$  be any types; then by the definition of weakly cartesian closedness, we have  $app_{\sigma\tau} \in \mathbf{C}[(\sigma \rightarrow \tau) \times \sigma, \tau]$  tracked by some  $app'_{\sigma\tau} \in \mathbf{D}[\gamma((\sigma \rightarrow \tau) \times \sigma), \gamma\tau]$ . By definition of cartesian simulation, we have  $t \in \mathbf{D}[\gamma(\sigma \rightarrow \tau) \times \gamma\sigma, \gamma((\sigma \rightarrow \tau) \times \sigma)]$ , we have an operation  $\mathbf{D}[\gamma(\sigma \rightarrow \tau) \times \gamma\sigma, \gamma\tau]$ , and hence an operation  $\mathbf{D}[\gamma(\sigma \rightarrow \tau), \gamma\sigma \rightarrow \gamma\tau]$ , and then an operation  $\mathbf{D}[J, \gamma(\sigma \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \gamma\sigma \rightarrow \gamma\tau]$ , and hence realizer  $r \in \mathbf{B}^\sharp(\gamma(\sigma \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \gamma\sigma \rightarrow \gamma\tau)$  with the required properties: for all  $f \in \mathbf{A}^\circ(\sigma \rightarrow \tau)$ ,  $f' \in \mathbf{B}^\circ(\gamma(\sigma \rightarrow \tau))$ ,  $a \in \mathbf{A}^\circ(\sigma)$ ,  $a' \in \mathbf{B}^\circ(\gamma\sigma)$ , and  $f' \Vdash_{\sigma \rightarrow \tau} f$ ,  $a' \Vdash_\sigma a$ ,  $f \cdot a \Downarrow$ , we have  $t(f', a') \Vdash_{(\sigma \rightarrow \tau) \times \sigma} (f, a)$ . Then  $app'_{\sigma\tau}(t(f', a')) \Vdash_\tau^\gamma app_{\sigma\tau}(f, a)$

Conversely, suppose  $\gamma : \mathbf{A} \multimap \mathbf{B}$  is an applicative simulation. To see that  $\gamma$  is a simulation  $\mathbf{C} \multimap \mathbf{D}$ , it suffices to show that every operation in  $\mathbf{C}$  is tracked by one in  $\mathbf{D}$ . But given  $f \in \mathbf{C}[\sigma, \tau]$ , we may find a corresponding element  $a \in \mathbf{A}^\sharp(\sigma \rightarrow \tau)$ , whence some  $a' \in \mathbf{B}^\sharp(\gamma(\sigma \rightarrow \tau))$  with  $a' \Vdash_{\sigma \rightarrow \tau}^\gamma a$ ; by

using a realizer  $r \in \mathbf{B}^\sharp$  for  $\gamma$  at  $\sigma, \tau$ , we have an element  $a'' \in \mathbf{B}^\sharp(\gamma\sigma \rightarrow \gamma\tau)$  and so a corresponding operation  $f' \in \mathbf{D}[\gamma\sigma, \gamma\tau]$ .

It remains to show that  $\gamma$  is cartesian. For any types  $\sigma, \tau$ , we have by assumption an element  $pair_{\sigma\tau} \in \mathbf{A}^\sharp(\sigma \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow \sigma \times \tau)$ , yielding some  $p \in \mathbf{C}[\sigma, \tau \rightarrow \sigma \times \tau]$ . Since  $\gamma$  is a simulation, this is tracked by some  $p' \in \mathbf{D}[\gamma\sigma, \gamma(\tau \rightarrow \sigma \times \tau)]$ . From the weak product structure in  $\mathbf{D}$  we may thence obtain an operation

$$p'' \in \mathbf{D}[\gamma\sigma \times \gamma\tau, \gamma(\tau \rightarrow \sigma \times \tau) \times \gamma\tau]$$

and together with a realizer for  $\gamma$  at  $\tau$  and  $\sigma \times \tau$ , this yields an operation  $t \in \mathbf{D}[\gamma\sigma \times \gamma\tau, \gamma(\sigma \times \tau)]$  with the required properties.

$i \in \mathbf{A}^\sharp(I)$ , hence there is  $b \in \mathbf{B}^\sharp(\gamma I)$  with  $b \Vdash_I^\gamma i$ . But  $b = u(j)$  for some  $u \in \mathbf{D}[J, \gamma I]$   $\square$

The notion of a transformation between simulations carries across immediately to the relative TPCA setting: an applicative simulation  $\gamma : \mathbf{A} \multimap \mathbf{B}$  is transformable to  $\delta$  if for each type  $\sigma$  there exists  $t \in \mathbf{B}^\sharp(\gamma\sigma \rightarrow \delta\sigma)$  s.t.  $a' \Vdash_\sigma^\gamma a$  implies  $t \cdot a' \Vdash_\sigma^\delta a$

## 1.2 Examples of Simulations and Transformations

**Example 1.1.** Suppose  $\mathbf{C}$  is any (lax) computability model with weak products, and consider the following variation on the ‘product completion’ construction described in the proof of Theorem ?? . Let  $\mathbf{C}^\times$  be the computability model whose datatypes are sets  $A_0 \times \dots \times A_{m-1}$  where  $A_i \in |\mathbf{C}|$ , and whose operations  $f \in \mathbf{C}^\times[A_0 \times \dots \times A_{m-1}, B_0 \times \dots \times B_{n-1}]$  are those partial functions represented by some operation in  $\mathbf{C}[A_0 \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes A_{m-1}, B_0 \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes B_{n-1}]$ . Clearly the inclusion  $\mathbf{C} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}^\times$  and  $\mathbf{C}^\times \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  sending  $A_0 \times \dots \times A_{m-1}$  to  $A_0 \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes A_{m-1}$  are simulations. Moreover, they constitute an equivalence  $\mathbf{C} \simeq \mathbf{C}^\times$ . This shows that every strict (lax) computability model with weak products is equivalent to one with standard products