

Stability

Qi'ao Chen

December 1, 2021

Contents

1	Preface	1
2	Preliminaries	1
2.1	Imaginaries and	1
3	Index	5
4	References	6

1 Preface

A combination of various notes [Pillay(2018)] [Chernikov(2019)]

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Imaginaries and

The first motivation to develop T^{eq} is dealing with quotient objects, without leaving the context of first order logic. That is, if E is some definable equivalence relation on some definable set X , we want to view X/E as a definable set

We work in the setting of multi-sorted languages. Let L be a 1-sorted language and let T be a (complete) L -theory. We shall build a many-sorted language L^{eq} -theory T^{eq} . We will ensure that in natural sense, L^{eq} contains L and T^{eq} contains T

First we define L^{eq} . Consider the set L -formula $\varphi(x, y)$, up to equivalence, such that T models that φ is an equivalence relation. For each φ ,

define s_φ to be a new sort in L^{eq} . Of particular importance is $s_=$, the sort given by the formula “ $x = y$ ”. This sort $s_=$ will yield, in each model of T^{eq} , a model of T

Also define f_φ to be a function symbol with domain sort $s_=^n$ (where φ has n free variables) and codomain sort s_φ

For each m -place relation symbol $R \in L$, make R^{eq} an m -place relation symbol in L^{eq} on $s_=^m$. Likewise for all constant and function symbols in L . Finally, for the sake of formality, we put a unique equality symbol $=_\varphi$ on each sort

Remark. Let N be an L^{eq} structure. Then N has interpretations $s_\varphi(N)$ of each sort s_φ and $f_\varphi(N) : s_=(N)^{n_{f_\varphi}} \rightarrow s_\varphi(N)$ of each function symbol f_φ

Definition 2.1. T^{eq} is the L^{eq} -theory which is axiomatised by the following

1. T , where the quantifiers in the formulas of T now range over the sort $s_=$
2. For each suitable L -formula $\varphi(x, y)$, the axiom $\forall_{s_=} x \forall_{s_=} y (\varphi(x, y) \leftrightarrow f_\varphi(x) = f_\varphi(y))$
3. For each L -formula φ , the axiom $\forall_{s_\varphi} y \exists_{s_=} x (f_\varphi(x) = y)$

Axioms 2 and 3 simply state that f_φ is the quotient function for the equivalence relation given by φ

Definition 2.2. Let $M \models T$. Then M^{eq} is the L^{eq} structure s.t. $s_=(M^{\text{eq}}) = M$ and for each suitable L -formula $\varphi(x, y)$ of n variables, the sort $s_\varphi(M^{\text{eq}})$ is equal to $M^{n_{f_\varphi}}/E$ where E is the equivalence relation defined by $\varphi(x, y)$ and $f_\varphi(M^{\text{eq}})(b) = b/E$

Now $M^{\text{eq}} \models T^{\text{eq}}$. Moreover, passing from T to T^{eq} is a canonical operation, in the following sense

- Lemma 2.3.**
1. For any $N \models T^{\text{eq}}$, there is an $M \models T$ s.t. $N \cong M^{\text{eq}}$
 2. Suppose $M, N \models T$ are isomorphic, and let $h : M \cong N$. Then h extends uniquely to $h^{\text{eq}} : M^{\text{eq}} \cong N^{\text{eq}}$
 3. T^{eq} is a complete L^{eq} -theory
 4. Suppose $M, N \models T$ and let $a \in M, b \in N$ with $\text{tp}_M(a) = \text{tp}_N(b)$. Then $\text{tp}_{M^{\text{eq}}}(a) = \text{tp}_{N^{\text{eq}}}(b)$

Proof. 1. Take $M = s_=(N)$

2. Let $h^{\text{eq}} : M^{\text{eq}} \rightarrow N^{\text{eq}}$ be defined as $h^{\text{eq}}(f_\varphi(M^{\text{eq}})(b)) = f_\varphi(N^{\text{eq}})(h(b))$ for each $\varphi \in L$. This defines a function on M^{eq} , because $f_\varphi(M^{\text{eq}})$ is surjective by the T^{eq} axioms. Moreover h^{eq} is well-defined. Suppose $f_\varphi(M^{\text{eq}})(b) = f_\varphi(M^{\text{eq}})(b')$, then $\varphi(b, b')$ and hence $\varphi(h(b), h(b'))$, therefore $f_\varphi(N^{\text{eq}})(h(b)) = f_\varphi(N^{\text{eq}})(h(b'))$. Injectivity is the same since $\varphi(b, b') \leftrightarrow \varphi(h(b), h(b'))$.
3. Let $M, N \models T^{\text{eq}}$, we want to show that they are elementary equivalent. Assume the generalized continuum hypothesis. By GCH, there are $M', N' \models T^{\text{eq}}$ which are λ saturated of size λ , for some large λ (strongly inaccessible), which $M \leq M'$ and $N \leq N'$. Since we want to show elementary equivalence, we can replace M, N with M' and N' . By 1, we have $M = M_0^{\text{eq}}, N = N_0^{\text{eq}}$ for some $M_0, N_0 \models T^{\text{eq}}$. Furthermore, M_0, N_0 are λ -saturated of size λ . By assumption, T is complete, so $M_0 \equiv N_0$, and therefore $M_0 \cong N_0$. By 2, $M \cong N$, and therefore $M \equiv N$.

We could simply prove that there is a back and forth system between M and N , using such a system between $M \supset M_0 \models T$ and $N \supset N_0 \models T$

4. Let $M, N \models T$, they are elementary submodels of \mathfrak{C} . Since $\text{tp}_M(a) = \text{tp}_N(b)$, there exists an $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{C}/A)$ with $\sigma(a) = b$. By 2, this automorphism extends to $\sigma^{\text{eq}} : \mathfrak{C}^{\text{eq}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}^{\text{eq}}$ with $\sigma^{\text{eq}}(a) = b$, hence $\text{tp}_{M^{\text{eq}}}(a) = \text{tp}_{\mathfrak{C}^{\text{eq}}}(a) = \text{tp}_{\mathfrak{C}^{\text{eq}}}(b) = \text{tp}_{N^{\text{eq}}}(b)$

□

Corollary 2.4. *Consider the Strong space $S_{(s=)^n}(T^{\text{eq}})$. The forgetful map $\pi : S_{(s=)^n}(T^{\text{eq}}) \rightarrow S_n(T)$ is a homeomorphism*

Proof. Observe that it is continuous and surjective. By 4 of the previous lemma it is injective. Any continuous bijection from a compact space to a Hausdorff space is a homeomorphism

□

Proposition 2.5. *Let $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ be an L^{eq} formula, where x_i is of sort S_{E_i} . There is an L -formula $\psi(\bar{y}_1, \dots, \bar{y}_k)$ s.t.*

$$T^{\text{eq}} \models \forall \bar{y}_1, \dots, \bar{y}_k (\psi(\bar{y}_1, \dots, \bar{y}_k) \leftrightarrow \varphi(f_{E_1}(\bar{y}_1), \dots, f_{E_k}(\bar{y}_k)))$$

Proof. Let n be the length of $\bar{y}_1, \dots, \bar{y}_k$. Consider the set $\pi(\varphi(f_{E_1}(\bar{y}_1), \dots, f_{E_k}(\bar{y}_k)))$, it is a clopen subset of $S_n(T)$ by the previous lemma, hence equal to $\psi(\bar{y}_1, \dots, \bar{y}_k)$ for some formula ψ .

For any $\varphi(f_{E_1}(\bar{y}_1), \dots, f_{E_k}(\bar{y}_k)) \in p(\bar{y}_1, \dots, \bar{y}_k) \in S_{(s=)^n}(L^{\text{eq}})$,

If $T^{\text{eq}} \models \psi(\bar{y}_1, \dots, \bar{y}_k)$ **need further consideration for homeomorphism between two space** \square

Corollary 2.6. 1. Let $M, N \models T$, and let $h : M \rightarrow N$ be an elementary embedding. Then $h^{\text{eq}} : M^{\text{eq}} \rightarrow N^{\text{eq}}$ is also an elementary embedding

2. \mathfrak{C}^{eq} is also κ -saturated

Remark. For $M \models T$, a definable set $X \subseteq M^n$ can be viewed as an element of M^{eq} . Suppose X is defined in M by $\varphi(\bar{x}, \bar{a})$ where $\bar{a} \in M$. Consider the equivalence relation E_ψ defined by $\psi(\bar{y}_1, \bar{y}_2) = \forall \bar{x}(\varphi(\bar{x}, \bar{y}_1) \leftrightarrow \varphi(\bar{x}, \bar{y}_2))$, and consider $c = \bar{a}/E_\psi = f_\psi(\bar{a}) \in M^{\text{eq}}$. Then X is defined in M^{eq} by $\chi(\bar{x}, c) = \exists \bar{y}(\varphi(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \wedge f_\psi(\bar{y}) = c)$. Moreover, if $c' \in S_\psi(M^{\text{eq}})$ and $\forall \bar{x}(\chi(\bar{x}, c) \leftrightarrow \chi(\bar{x}, c'))$, then $c = c'$. To see this, let $c' = f_{\psi'}(\bar{a}')$, and let X' be defined in M by $\varphi(\bar{x}, \bar{a}')$. Then X' is defined in M^{eq} by $\chi(\bar{x}, c')$, so we have that $X = X'$ (in M^{eq}). And then $X = X'$ (in M) so $c = f_\psi(\bar{a}) = f_{\psi'}(\bar{a}') = c'$

Definition 2.7. With the above considerations in mind, given $M \models T$ and a definable set $X \subseteq M^n$, we call such a $c \in M^{\text{eq}}$ a **code** for X

Remark. Any automorphism of \mathfrak{C}^{eq} fixes a definable set X set-wise iff it fixes a code for X . However, the choice of a code for X will depend on the formula φ used to define it

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(X) = X &\Leftrightarrow \sigma(X) = \{\sigma(x) : \varphi(x, b)\} = \{x : \varphi(x, \sigma(b))\} = \{x : \varphi(x, b)\} = X \\ &\Leftrightarrow \forall x(\varphi(x, b) \leftrightarrow \varphi(x, \sigma(b))) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \psi(b, \sigma(b)) \Leftrightarrow f_\psi(b) = f_\psi(\sigma(b)) \end{aligned}$$

We can think of \mathfrak{C}^{eq} as adjoining codes for all definable equivalence relations (as c/E' codes $E'(x, c)$ for an arbitrary equivalence relation E)

Definition 2.8. Let $A \subseteq M \models T$. Then $\text{acl}^{\text{eq}}(A) = \{c \in M^{\text{eq}} : c \in \text{acl}_{M^{\text{eq}}}(A)\}$ and $\text{dcl}^{\text{eq}}(A)$ is defined similarly

Remark. Suppose $A \subseteq M \prec N$, then $\text{acl}_{N^{\text{eq}}}(A), \text{dcl}_{N^{\text{eq}}}(A) \subseteq M^{\text{eq}}$, so this notation is unambiguous

Lemma 2.9. Let $M \models T$, a definable subset X of M^n , and $A \subseteq M$. Then X is almost A -definable iff X is definable in M^{eq} by a formula with parameters in $\text{acl}^{\text{eq}}(A)$

Proof. We can work in \mathfrak{C} , since $M \prec \mathfrak{C}$. Let c be a code for X . From ?? X is almost A -definable iff $|\{\sigma(X) : \sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{C}/A)\}| < \omega$ iff $|\{\sigma(c) : \sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{C}/A)\}| < \omega$ (note that σ extends uniquely in \mathfrak{C}^{eq}), that is, $c \in \text{acl}^{\text{eq}}(A)$

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(b)/E = \sigma'(b)/E &\Leftrightarrow \forall x(\varphi(x, \sigma(b)) \leftrightarrow \varphi(x, \sigma'(b))) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \sigma(X) = \sigma'(X)\end{aligned}$$

□

Definition 2.10. Let $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in \mathfrak{C}$ have length n . Let \bar{a}, \bar{b} have the same strong type over A (written as $\text{stp}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\bar{a}/A) = \text{stp}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\bar{b}/A)$) if $E(\bar{a}, \bar{b})$ for any finite equivalence relation (finitely many classes) defined over A

Remark. If $\varphi(\bar{x})$ is a formula over A , then it defines an equivalence with two classes $E(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2)$ iff $(\varphi(\bar{x}_1) \wedge \varphi(\bar{x}_2)) \vee (\neg\varphi(\bar{x}_1) \wedge \neg\varphi(\bar{x}_2))$. Hence strong types are a refinement of types

Hence for any formula if $\text{stp}(\bar{a}/A) = \text{stp}(\bar{b}/B)$, at least we have $\varphi(\bar{a}) \leftrightarrow \varphi(\bar{b})$

Lemma 2.11. If $A = M < \mathfrak{C}$, then $\text{tp}_{\mathfrak{C}}(a/M) \models \text{stp}_{\mathfrak{C}}(a/M)$

Proof. Let E be an equivalence relation with finitely many classes, defined over M , and \bar{b} another realization of $\text{tp}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\bar{a}/M)$, we want to show $E(a, b)$. Since E has only finitely many classes, and M is a model, there are representants e_1, \dots, e_n of each E -class in M . Hence we must have $E(a, e_i)$ for some i , and therefore $E(b, e_i)$, which yields $E(a, b)$ □

Lemma 2.12. Let $A \subseteq M \models T$, and let $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in M$. TFAE

1. $\text{stp}(\bar{a}/A) = \text{stp}(\bar{b}/A)$
2. \bar{a}, \bar{b} satisfy the same formulas almost A -definable
3. $\text{tp}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\bar{a}/\text{acl}^{\text{eq}}(A)) = \text{tp}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\bar{b}/\text{acl}^{\text{eq}}(A))$

Proof. 3 \rightarrow 2. 2.9. Suppose $X = \varphi(\mathfrak{C}, \bar{d})$ is almost A -definable, then $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in \varphi(\mathfrak{C}, \bar{d})$ iff $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in \theta(\mathfrak{C}) := \exists \bar{y}(\varphi(\mathfrak{C}, \bar{y}) \wedge \bar{y}/E_{\psi} = \bar{c})$ where $\bar{c} = \bar{d}/E_{\psi} \in \text{acl}^{\text{eq}}(A)$.

2 \rightarrow 3. For any $\varphi(\bar{x}, \bar{d}) \in \text{tp}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\bar{a}/\text{acl}^{\text{eq}}(A))$ □

3 Index

This is a functional link that will open a buffer of clickable index entries:

4 References

References

[Pillay(2018)] Anand Pillay. Topics in Stability Theory. https://www3.nd.edu/~apillay/Topics_in_Stability_Theory__No_Intro_.pdf, 2018.

[Chernikov(2019)] Artem Chernikov. Lecture notes on stability theory. <https://www.ams.org/open-math-notes/omn-view-listing?listingId=110792>, 2019.