

Seminar on Topological Dynamics of Definable Group Actions

Section1

March 17, 2022

1 Lookback

Definition 1.1.

1. V is a homogeneous definable G -set in M , if $\varphi_V(M) = V$,
and $\forall v_1 v_2 \in V \exists g \in G (g(v_1) = v_2)$
2. G -flow X is point transitive if for some $x_0 \in X$, its G -orbit is dense, i.e., $\text{cl}(o(x_0)) = X$.
3. E is a G -invariant relation on X , if $\forall x, y \in X$, xEy implies $g(x)Eg(y)$, for all $g \in G$.
4. E is a btde relation on V^e , if E is type definable over $M \supseteq V$ with bounded number of classes, i.e., there is (partial) type $p(x, y)$ over M such that $E = \{(x, y) : V^e \models \varphi(x, y), \varphi \in p\}$ and $|V^e/E| < |V^e|$.
5. $p \in X$ is almost periodic iff $\text{cl}(Gp)$ is a minimal flow.

Proposition 1.2. If V is a homogeneous G -set, then the flow V_E is point transitive. $S_G(M)$ is a universal point transitive G -flow.

2 Generic and weak generic types

Assume $U \subseteq V$. For $A \subseteq G$, by AU we mean $\bigcup_{g \in A} gU$.

Definition 2.1.

1. U is generic for G on V , if for some finite $A \subseteq G$, $V = AU$
2. U is weak generic, if for some non-generic $U' \subseteq V$, $U \cup U'$ is generic.
3. formula $\varphi(x)$ over M is [weak] generic, if $\varphi(M) \cap V$ is [weak] generic.
4. type $p(x)$ is [weak] generic, if every formula $\varphi(x) \in p(x)$ is [weak] generic.

Remark 2.2.

1. U is weak generic iff for some finite $A \subseteq G$, $V - AU$ not generic.
2. if U definable, then U weak generic iff for some non-generic definable $U' \subseteq V$, $U \cup U'$ is generic.

Proof.

- (1) \Rightarrow : U is weak generic, so for some A , U' , $V = A(U \cup U')$, so $V - AU = AU'$. U' not generic, so AU' not generic.
- (1) \Leftarrow : for some finite A , $V - AU$ not generic, claim $U \cup (V - AU)$ generic. let e be identity in G , then $A' = A \cup \{e\}$ is finite, and $A'(U \cup (V - AU)) \supseteq AU \cup (V - AU)$.
- (2) $V - AU$ is definable if U is definable.

□

Definition 2.3. Assume X is a point transitive G -flow, $p \in X$. Say p is [weak] generic if its every open neighbourhood is [weak] generic.

Remark 2.4. in the case $X = S_G(M)$, close sets on X are in the form $[\varphi] = \{p \in S_G(M) : \varphi \in p\}$. and $[\neg\varphi]$ is close, so its complement set is open. every $[\varphi]$ is clopen. For $p \in X$, its open neighbourhood is $[\varphi]$, $[\psi]$,... ($\varphi, \psi \in p$). Every open neighbourhood of p is [weak] generic is the same as every formula in p is [weak] generic.

Definition 2.5. Given a point transitive G -flow X , let $Gen(X)$ and $WGen(X)$ denote the sets of generic and weak generic points in X . When $X = S_V(M)$, denote $Gen(X)$ and $WGen(X)$ by $Gen_V(M)$ and $WGen_V(M)$.

Remark 2.6.

1. $Gen(X) \subseteq WGen(X)$.
2. And if $Gen(X)$ not empty, then $WGen(X) = Gen(X)$.

Proof. (1) is obvious.

(2) if not, some definable generic X can be divided into two non-generic definable A and B . If p is a generic point, there is some $g \in G$ such that $p \in gX$. But either gA or gB witness p not a generic point, contradiction. □

Lemma 2.7. Assume $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a surjective morphism of point transitive G -flows. Then $f[Gen(X)] \subseteq Gen(Y)$ and $f[WGen(X)] = WGen(Y)$.

Proof. For any $f(x) \in f[Gen(X)]$, any open neighbourhood containing $f(U)$, U is open neighbourhood of x in X , its finite translations cover X . So finite translations of $f(U)$ covers $f(X) = Y$. □

Corollary 2.8. Assume E is a G -invariant btde-relation on $V^{\mathfrak{c}}$ and $a \in V^{\mathfrak{c}}$.

1. a_E is weak generic in V_E iff for some $a' \in [a]_E$, type $\text{tp}(a'/M)$ is weak generic in $S_V(M)$.
2. if type $\text{tp}(a/M)$ is generic in $S_V(M)$, then a_E is generic in V_E .
3. a_E is generic in V_E iff for every formula $\varphi(x, y) \in E(x, y)$, $\varphi(\mathfrak{C}, a)$ is generic for action of G on $V^{\mathfrak{c}}$.

Proof. (1) and (2) follows from that there is surjective morphism from $S_V(M)$ to V_E .

(3) by definition, closed sets in V_E are in the form of $\pi[U]$, where U is a type definable subset of $V^{\mathfrak{c}}$, π maps elements in $V^{\mathfrak{c}}$ to its equivalence class. open sets in V_E are image of definable sets and image of complements of type definable sets. Claim a_E is generic in V_E iff for every $\varphi(x, y) \in E(x, y)$, the set $\bigcup\{[b]_E : [b]_E \subseteq \varphi(\mathfrak{C}, a)\}$ is generic in $V^{\mathfrak{c}}$.

\Rightarrow : clearly holds.

\Leftarrow : suppose $\varphi(x, y), \varphi'(x, y) \in E(x, y)$ and $\varphi'(x, y) \wedge \varphi'(y, z) \vdash \phi(x, z)$. $\varphi'(\mathfrak{C}, a)$ is generic in $V^{\mathfrak{c}}$. For any x , if $\mathfrak{C} \models \varphi'(x, a) \wedge E(x, y)$, there is $\mathfrak{C} \models \varphi(y, a)$. So $\varphi'(\mathfrak{C}, a) \subseteq \bigcup\{[b]_E : [b]_E \subseteq \varphi(\mathfrak{C}, a)\}$. \square

Remark 2.9. $p \in X$ is almost periodic iff for every open $U \ni p$, the set $\text{cl}(Gp)$ is covered by AU for some finite $A \subseteq G$.

Proof. \Leftarrow : prove minimality of $\text{cl}(Gp)$. suppose $q \in \text{cl}(Gp)$ and open $U \ni p$. So finite translations AU covers $\text{cl}(Gp) \ni q$, thus there is $g \in A \subseteq G$ such that $q \in gU$. That is $g^{-1}q \in U$, U meets Gq . Thus we find any open neighbourhood meets Gp also meets Gq , so $\text{cl}(Gp) \subseteq \text{cl}(Gq)$.

\Rightarrow : Let open $U \ni p$, then GU is open, $\text{cl}(Gp) - GU$ is closed and G -invariant, hence a G -flow. By minimality of $\text{cl}(Gp)$, $\text{cl}(Gp) - GU$ must be empty. By compactness(?), finite translations of U covers $\text{cl}(Gp)$. \square

Lemma 2.10. Assume X is a point transitive G -flow and $p \in X$.

1. open $U \subseteq X$ is generic iff U meets every minimal subflow in X .
2. p is generic iff every open $U \ni p$ meets every minimal subflow in X .
3. p is weak generic iff every open $U \ni p$ meets some minimal subflow in X .

Proof.

1. \Rightarrow : U is generic, so AU covers X for some finite $A \subseteq G$, also every minimal subflow in X . if gU meets some minimal subflow at p , then U meets the same subflow at $g^{-1}p$.
 \Leftarrow : U is open, so GU is open and $X - GU$ is closed and G -invariant, hence a G -flow. For U meets every minimal flow, so $X - GU$ cannot contain any minimal flow, so it can only be empty. GU covers X . (?)By compactness, finite translations of U covers X , so U is generic.

2. follows from 1.

3. \Rightarrow : If not, some weak generic U disjoint from any minimal subflow in X . (?)By regularity of X , we can assume $\text{cl}(U)$ is such. If $\text{cl}(GU)$ meets some subflow at q , then $\text{cl}(U)$ meets the same subflow at $g^{-1}q$. So $\text{cl}(GU)$ is also disjoint from any minimal subflow, equivalently, $X - \text{cl}(GU)$ meets every minimal subflow. By 1., $X - \text{cl}(GU)$ is generic. But U is weak generic, so $X - GU \supseteq X - \text{cl}(GU)$ is not generic. Contradiction. Hence weak generic U must meet some minimal flow.

\Leftarrow : Let open U meets a minimal flow V , choose open U' meeting V with $\text{cl}(U') \subseteq U$. $V - \text{cl}(GU')$ is a G-flow, by minimality of V , it must be empty. (?)By compactness, there is finite $A \subseteq G$ such that $V \subseteq AU'$. Thus $X - \text{cl}(AU')$ does not meet minimal flow V , hence not generic, $X - AU \subseteq X - \text{cl}(AU')$ is not generic, so U is weak generic.

□

Corollary 2.11. $WGen(X)$ is the closure of the union of all minimal flows in X .

Corollary 2.12. Assume $Gen(X)$ is not empty. Then $Gen(X) = WGen(X)$ is the only minimal flow in X .

Proof. Any point from a minimal flow is generic, so its every neighbourhood meets every minimal flow. But distinct minimal flows disjoint, so there is only one minimal flow. □

Definition 2.13. Say a closed set $C \subseteq X$ is almost generic, if every open $U \supseteq C$ is generic. Let $MGen(X)$ denote the family of all minimal closed almost generic sets $C \subseteq X$.

Remark 2.14.

1. $WGen(X) = \text{cl}(\bigcup MGen(X))$.
2. Every subflow $Y \subseteq X$ meets every closed almost generic set $C \subseteq X$.

Proof.

1. \supseteq : Suppose not, then take $p \in C - WGen(X)$ for some minimal almost generic C , let $U \ni p$ open, with $\text{cl}(U)$ disjoint from $WGen(X)$. So U is not weak generic. Let $C' = C - U$, then for every open $U' \supseteq C'$, $C \subseteq U \cup U'$. C is almost generic, so $U \cup U'$ is generic. U is not weak generic, so U' is generic, therefor C' almost generic, contradicting the minimality of C .

\subseteq : For $p \in WGen(X)$, let $U \ni p$ open, $U' \ni p$ open with $\text{cl}(U') \subseteq U$, thus U' weak generic. Then there is finite $A \subseteq G$ such that $X - AU'$ not generic. So open set $X - A \cdot \text{cl}(U')$ is not generic, thus almost generic sets cannot be subset of $X - A \cdot \text{cl}(U')$. So $A \cdot \text{cl}(U')$ meets every minimal almost generic set C , so does AU . Or, for some $a \in A$, U meets $a^{-1}C$. Note that $a^{-1}C$ is also a minimal almost generic set. If any superset of C is generic, than its a^{-1} translation is still generic, thus $a^{-1}C$ is minimal almost generic. So open neighbourhood $U \ni p$ must meet $\text{cl}(\bigcup MGen(X))$. Hence $p \in \text{cl}(\bigcup MGen(X))$, $WGen(X) \subseteq \text{cl}(\bigcup MGen(X))$.

2. Let C be any almost generic set, and $U \supseteq C$ open, then U is generic, for some finite $A \subseteq G$, AU covers X . There is some $a \in A$ such that aU meets subflow Y , or U meets $a^{-1}Y$. Y is a subflow, so $Y = a^{-1}Y$, Y meets U , so Y meets C . So every subflow meets every almost generic set.

□

In model theory setting, closed $C \subseteq S_V(M)$ corresponds to a (partial) type (closed sets are defined as image of type definable subsets), minimal closed almost generic sets $C \subseteq S_V(M)$ correspond to maximal generic types over M containing $V(x)$.

If $T = Th(M)$ is stable, then there are generic types in $S_V(M)$, G acts transitively on them, hence there is just one minimal G -flow in $S_V(M)$, consisting of a single orbit. (?) In o-minimal case, when G is S^1 (a circle?) interpreted in the field of reals, the minimal G flow consists of two orbits.

When $G = (\mathbb{R}^n, +)$, there are no generic types in $S_G(M)$, but a rich structure of weak generic types.

Notions of a minimal flow in X and a minimal closed almost generic set $C \subseteq X$ are quite orthogonal: minimal G -flows in X are pairwise disjoint and lie densely in $WGen(X)$, but they need not be pairwise disjoint.

Definition 2.15.

- Say a subset U of M is Borel if $U = \bigcup \{p(M) : p \in B\}$ where B is Borel subset of $S(\emptyset)$.
- A Borel set in a topological space is any set that can be formed from open sets (or, equivalently, closed sets) through countable union, countable intersection, relative complement.

Theorem 2.16. Assume G is a 0-definable group in an \aleph_0 -saturated structure M , covered by countably many Borel sets $X_n, n < \omega$. Then for some finite $A \subseteq G$ and some $n < \omega$ we have $G = A \cdot X_n \cdot X_n^{-1}$.

Proof. Let $r : S_G(M) \rightarrow S(\emptyset)$ be the restriction map, and for $n < \omega$ let $Y_n = r^{-1}[B_n]$, where $B_n \subset S(\emptyset)$ is the Borel set determining X_n . Each Y_n is a Borel subset of $S_G(M)$. Let $S \subseteq S_G(M)$ be a minimal G -flow. Countable X_n covers G , so countable Y_n covers $S_G(M)$, $S \subseteq S_G(M)$, therefore we can find some Y_n not meager in S . Choose a $\varphi(x)$ such that U meets S and $Y_n \cap S$ is co-meager (complement is meager) in $U \cap S$, where $U = S_G(M) \cap [\varphi]$. S is minimal flow, so any neighbourhood of its point can cover S by finite translations. There is finite $A \subseteq G$ such that $S \subseteq AU$. Hence $A(Y_n \cap S)$ is co-meager in S . claim

$$G = A \cdot X_n \cdot X_n^{-1}$$

To show this, let $g \in G$. $Y_n \cap S$ is not meager in S , $g \cdot S = S$, so $g(Y_n \cap S)$ is not meager in S , hence it meets $A \cdot (Y_n \cap S)$. So there are $p, q \in (Y_n \cap S)$ such that $g \cdot p = a \cdot q$ for some $a \in A$. Hence $g \in a \cdot q(\mathfrak{C}) \cdot p(\mathfrak{C})^{-1}$. Let q', p' be their restrictions on $S(\emptyset)$, by saturation of M we have $g \in a \cdot q'(M) \cdot p'(M)^{-1}$. $q'(M), p'(M) \subseteq X_n$, hence $g \in a \cdot X_n \cdot X_n^{-1}$. □

Proposition 2.17. Assume G is a 0-definable group in an \aleph_0 -saturated structure M , covered by countably many 0-type-definable sets X_n , $n < \omega$. Then there is a finite set $A \subset G$ and $n < \omega$ such that

$$G = \bigcup_{a \in A} (X_{<n} \cdot X_{<n}^{-1})^a$$

where $X_{<n} = \bigcup_{i < n} X_i$ and $X^a = aXa^{-1}$.