

# Homework6

Qi'ao Chen  
21210160025

November 2, 2021

*Exercise 1.* Recall that a linear order  $(M, \leq)$  is **well-ordered** if every non-empty subset  $S \subseteq M$  has a minimum. Show that the class of well-ordered linear orders is **not** an elementary class. In other words, show that there is no theory  $T$  whose models are exactly the well-ordered linear orders.

*Proof.* The language is  $L = \{\leq\}$ . Let

$$\varphi_n := \exists x_1 \dots x_n \left( \bigwedge_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_i \neq x_j \wedge x_i \leq x_j) \right)$$
$$\Phi := \{\varphi_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

If there is such theory  $T$  whose models are exactly the well-ordered linear orders. Consider the theory  $\Gamma = T \cup \Phi$ . For any finite subset  $\Delta$  of  $\Phi$ , there is a  $\varphi_m \in \Phi$  with biggest  $m$  and  $\models \bigwedge \Delta \leq \varphi_m$ . Since every ordinal is well-ordered, the ordinal  $m$  satisfies both  $T$  and  $\varphi_m$ , that is,  $(m, \leq) \models T \cup \varphi_m$ , or equivalently,  $(m, \leq) \models T \cup \Delta$ . Thus by compactness  $\Gamma$  is satisfiable and we have a model  $\mathfrak{M} \models T \cup \Phi$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{M}$  is well-ordered and has an infinite descending sequence, a contradiction.  $\square$

*Exercise 2.* Let  $\kappa$  be an infinite cardinal. A theory  $T$  is said to be  $\kappa$ -categorical if there is a model  $M \models T$  of size  $\kappa$ , and any two models of size  $\kappa$  are isomorphic. Prove that: Suppose  $T$  is  $\kappa$ -categorical for some infinite  $\kappa \geq |L|$ . Suppose  $T$  has no finite models. Then  $T$  is complete: if  $M_1, M_2 \models T$ , then  $M_1 \equiv M_2$

*Proof.* For any model  $M$  of  $T$ , if  $|M| > \kappa$ , then by Downward Löwenheim–Skolem Theorem, we can find a model  $M' \preceq M$  such that  $|M'| = \kappa$ .

If  $|M| < \kappa$ , by Löwenheim–Skolem Theorem, we can find a model  $M' \models T(M)$  with cardinality  $\kappa$  such that  $M \preceq M'$ . Furthermore,  $M' \equiv M$ .

If  $|M| = \kappa$ , let  $M' = M$ . Then for any  $M_1, M_2 \models T$ ,  $M'_1 \equiv M_1$  and  $M'_2 \equiv M_2$ . As  $|M'_1| = |M'_2| = \kappa$ , we have  $M'_1 \cong M'_2$  and hence  $M'_1 \equiv M'_2$ . Thus  $M_1 \equiv M'_1 \equiv M'_2 \equiv M_2$   $\square$

*Exercise 3.* Let  $M$  be an infinite  $L$ -structure. Let  $\kappa$  be a cardinal with  $\kappa \geq |M|$  and  $\kappa \geq |L|$ . Show that there is an elementary extension  $N \succeq M$  with  $|N| = \kappa$ .

*Proof.* Consider the language  $L(M)$  and theory  $T(M)$  and  $M$  is the infinite model of  $T(M)$ . Then by Löwenheim–Skolem Theorem,  $T(M)$  has a model  $N$  of size  $\kappa$  and  $M \preceq N$  as  $\kappa \geq L(M)$ .  $\square$

*Exercise 4.* Let  $M$  be an  $L$ -structure and  $A$  be a subset of  $M$ . For  $i = 1, 2$ , let  $N_i$  be an elementary extension of  $M$  and let  $\bar{b}_i$  be an  $n$ -tuple in  $N_i$ . Show that the following are equivalent

1.  $\bar{b}_1$  realizes  $\text{tp}(\bar{b}_2/A)$
2.  $\text{tp}(\bar{b}_1/A) \supseteq \text{tp}(\bar{b}_2/A)$
3.  $\text{tp}(\bar{b}_1/A) = \text{tp}(\bar{b}_2/A)$

*Proof.*  $1 \rightarrow 2$ . For any  $\varphi(\bar{x}) \in \text{tp}(\bar{b}_2/A)$ ,  $N_1 \models \varphi(\bar{b}_1)$  as  $\bar{b}_1$  realizes  $\text{tp}(\bar{b}_2/A)$ . Hence  $\text{tp}(\bar{b}_1/A) \supseteq \text{tp}(\bar{b}_2/A)$ .

$2 \rightarrow 3$ . For any  $\varphi(\bar{x}) \in \text{tp}(\bar{b}_1/A)$ . If  $N_2 \not\models \varphi(\bar{b}_2)$ , then  $\neg\varphi(\bar{x}) \in \text{tp}(\bar{b}_2/A) \subseteq \text{tp}(\bar{b}_1/A)$ . Then both  $\neg\varphi(\bar{x}) \in \text{tp}(\bar{b}_1/A)$ , a contradiction.

$3 \rightarrow 1$ . As  $\text{tp}(\bar{b}_1/A) = \text{tp}(\bar{b}_2/A)$ , for any  $\varphi(\bar{x}) \in \text{tp}(\bar{b}_2/A)$ ,  $N_1 \models \varphi(\bar{b}_1)$  and thus  $\bar{b}_1$  realizes  $\text{tp}(\bar{b}_2/A)$ .  $\square$