

Math 540 Homework 8

Haosen Wu / Thur, Nov.1 , 2018

1

- We now express $\delta_i \circ F_j$. We know previously that $\sigma_i : \Delta_{n+1} \rightarrow Y$ as

$$\sigma_i(\Delta_{n+1}) = H(\sigma(\delta_i(\Delta_{n+1}))) = H(\sigma(\delta_i(F(\Delta_n))))$$

with triangulated square diagram we observe that $\delta_i \circ F_j$ can be represented as different projections from (Δ_{n+1}) , when composing with face map F_i , now plug it in:

$$\delta_i \circ F_j = (F_{j-1} \times Id_{[0,1]}) \circ \delta_i, i \leq j-2$$

or

$$\delta_i \circ F_j = (F_{j-1} \times Id_{[0,1]}) \circ \delta_{i-1}, i \geq j+1$$

or

$$\delta_i \circ F_j \text{ itself, otherwise}$$

when we deal with $\sigma : \Delta_n \rightarrow \Delta_n \times \{pt\}$ the expression corresponds to border term i_0, i_1 which is given by

$$i_0 = \sigma_1 \circ F_1, i_1 = \sigma_n \circ F_n$$

.

- By Hatcher and by above computation,

$$\partial K(\sigma) = \sum_{j \leq i} (-1)^i (-1)^j H \circ (\sigma \times Id) \circ \delta_j + \sum_{j \geq i} (-1)^i (-1)^{j+1} H \circ (\sigma \times Id) \circ \delta_j$$

$$K(\sigma) \partial = \sum_{j < i} (-1)^i (-1)^j H \circ (\sigma \times Id) \circ \delta_j + \sum_{j > i} (-1)^{i-1} (-1)^j H \circ (\sigma \times Id) \circ \delta_j$$

The term with $i = j$ in two sums vanishes except $H \circ (\sigma \times Id) \circ \delta_0 = f \circ \sigma$ and $H \circ (\sigma \times Id) \circ \delta_n = -g \circ \sigma$, The term with $i \neq j$ is $-K(\sigma) \partial$ by above calculation again. Thus the sum gives that

$$\partial K(\sigma) + K(\sigma) \partial = C_n(f) - C_n(g)$$

2

- We split into two cases: $n \geq 1$, from definition it is clear that $\tilde{C}_n(X) = C_n(X)$, and morphism $\tilde{\partial}_n(X) = C_n(\partial)$. then accordingly

$$\tilde{H}_n(X) = Ker(\tilde{\partial}_n) / Im(\tilde{\partial}_{n+1}) = Ker(\partial_n) / Im(\partial_{n+1}) = H_n(X)$$

.

$n \leq -1$, by convention we know that $H_{-1}(X) = 0$, we explicitly compute the module $\tilde{H}_n(X)$, which $\tilde{H}_{-1}(X) = Ker(\tilde{\partial}_{-1}) / Im(\tilde{\partial}_0)$ and we know that $\tilde{\partial}_{-1}$ is zero map thus eat

entire $\tilde{C}_{-1}(X) = R$. Map $\tilde{\partial}_0$ as defined in problem is epimorphism since we can always find a suitable basis from $C_0(X)$ to map to some $\sum_{i=1}^k a_i$. Thus $Im(\tilde{\partial}_0) = R$, we have

$$Ker(\tilde{\partial}_{-1})/Im(\tilde{\partial}_0) = R/R = 0$$

This proves the claim.

We do not have to worry about smaller index homology groups since then $\tilde{C}_n(X)$ is 0 .

- To show that $\tilde{H}_0(X) = 0$ is equivalent to say that $Ker(\tilde{\partial}_0) = Im(\tilde{\partial}_1)$. We thus start with two sides inclusion. Notice we have path connected X , thus for elements in $Ker(\tilde{\partial}_0)$, we know they should have form

$$\sum_{i=1}^k a_i x_i, a_j = - \sum_{i=1, i \neq j}^k a_i$$

which indeed assembly point rays. Rewrite $\sum_{i=1}^k a_i x_i, a_j = - \sum_{i=1, i \neq j}^k a_i$ as $\sum_{i=1, i \neq j}^k (a_i x_i - a_i x_j)$ we see that this element is in $Im(\tilde{\partial}_1)$, since $\tilde{\partial}_1(\sigma(x_i, x_j)) = x_i - x_j$. We thus proved

$$Ker(\tilde{\partial}_0) \subset Im(\tilde{\partial}_1)$$

Then

$$Ker(\tilde{\partial}_0) \supset Im(\tilde{\partial}_1)$$

follows from that

$$\tilde{\partial}_0(x_i - x_j) = 1 - 1 = 0$$

.

Therefore we proved two side inclusion, the claim follows.

- Suppose that X has n path connected *components* X_1, \dots, X_n . Show that $H_0(X) = R^{n-1}$. We proceed this by induction, the base case is proved in part ii), now recall $\tilde{H}_0(X) = Ker(\tilde{\partial}_0)/Im(\tilde{\partial}_1)$ and assume that $\tilde{H}_0(X) = R^{n-1}$ when $X = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^n x_i$. When we let $X' = X \sqcup X_{n+1}$, the $Im(\tilde{\partial}_1) = R$ did not change since it is still $\{x_i - x_j\}$, at the mean while $Ker(\tilde{\partial}_0)$ split as

$$Ker(\tilde{\partial}_0) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n Ker(\tilde{\partial}_0|X_i)$$

then

$$\tilde{H}_0(X') = \frac{\bigoplus_{i=1}^n Ker(\tilde{\partial}_0|X_i) \oplus Ker(\tilde{\partial}_0|X_{n+1})}{Im(\tilde{\partial}_1)} = \frac{R^n \oplus R}{R} = R^n$$

By induction hypothesis thus the relation follows.