# Math 578 Final

#### Luke Wukmer

Fall 2016

### 1 The Problem

We use Multigrid-Preconditioned Conjugate Gradient Method (henceforth referred to as **MGCG**) to solve a particular problem:

$$Ax = b$$

where

$$A \in \mathbb{R}^{2^{M} \times 2^{M}}, \quad A_{ij} = \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if} \quad j = i, \\ -1 & \text{if} \quad j = i \pm 1 \\ -1 & \text{if} \quad j = N - i + 1, i \neq \frac{N}{2}, \frac{N}{2} + 1 \end{cases}$$
(1)

and b is a  $N \times 1$  normalized one vector.

We will compare two methods of preconditioning via multigrid, defined here:

#### 1.1 Scenario #1

In "scenario #1" (referred to henceforth as **SC1**), we precondition via multigrid using the following interpolation matrix (between levels l and l-1) (refer to equation #2 in the project spec):

```
def interpolation matrix(M,L,el):
      The interpolation matrix I el between levels el and (el-1)
      INPUT:
      М -
              refers to size of system 2<sup>M</sup> x 2<sup>M</sup>
      L -
              number of levels/grids
      el-
              interpolate between el and el-1
      OUTPUT:
              a 2^{M} + el - L by 2^{M} + el - (L + 1)
      I el
              interpolation matrix
      0.00
      n = 2**(M + el - (L+1))
14
      # identity matrix but repeat each row twice
      # (equivalent to given system)
17
      return np.repeat(np.eye(n), 2, axis=0)
18
```

#### 1.2 Scenario #2

Scenario #2, (SC2) is the same as the above, but we change precisely one level of interpolation: between the second and third finest levels. Refer to spec and the code below.

```
def interpolation matrix 2(M,L,el):
      The interpolation matrix I el between levels el and (el-1)
      for example if M = 2, this function would return
           array([[ 1., 0., 0., 0.],
                         1.,
                              0.,
                  [ 0.,
                                   0.1.
                    0.,
                                   0.],
                         0.,
                              1.,
                              0.,
                    0.,
                         0.,
                                   1.],
                    0.,
                         0.,
                              0.,
                                   1.],
                         0.,
                              1.,
                    0.,
                                   0.],
                             0.,
                  [ 0., 1.,
                                   0.],
                         0.,
                  [ 1.,
                              0., 0.]])
      for the given question, this is only to be used for the
      (L-1) to (L-2)th level
16
      if el != L-1:
          return interpolation matrix(M,L,el)
18
19
          n = 2**(M-2)
          return np.concatenate((np.eye(n),np.flipud(np.eye(n))))
```

# 2 Implementation

### 2.1 The V-cycle

The following is a basic implementation of the multigrid "v-cycle."

```
def vcycle(l,b,e0, A, I, Lchol):
      omega = 2/3
      nu1 = 1
      # base case
      if l == 1:
          e base = linalq.solve(Lchol.T,linalq.solve(Lchol,b))
          return e base
      else:
          a = A[-(l-1)]
          i = I[-(l-1)]
          e = smooth(a, omega, nu1, b, e0)
          # compute and restrict error
          res = i.T @ (b - a@e)
14
          # correct error
          e = e + i @ vcycle(l-1,res, np.zeros like(res), A,I,Lchol)
          \# smooth nul times on a x = b with initial guess e
          e = smooth(a,omega,nu1,b,e)
19
      return e
```

### 2.2 Smoothing function

Here is the smoothing function. As described in the docstring, this function was first tested for accuracy by applying it to a  $4 \times 4$  diagonally dominant system. This system was suggested by the Wikipedia page for Jacobi iteration.

```
def smooth(A, omega, nu, b, x0, tol=None):
      smoothing function via
                                -weighted Jacobi iteration
3
      this is also a standard iterative method on a
      diagonally dominant system
5
      AA = np.array([[10., -1., 2., 0.],
                      [-1., 11., -1., 3.],
                      [2., -1., 10., -1.],
9
                      [0.0, 3., -1., 8.]]
      bb = np.array([6., 25., -11., 15.])
      x00 = np.zeros like(bb)
13
      ans = smooth(AA, 1., 50, bb, x00)
14
15
      converges after 24 iterations
16
      if omega is changed to 2/3 in the above, converges in 35 iterations
18
19
      if x0.ndim == 1:
20
          x0 = np.expand dims(x0,-1)
      if b.ndim == 1:
22
          b = np.expand dims(b, -1)
23
      x = x0.copy()
      D = np.diag(A) # diagonal of system (as a Nx1 vector)
26
      # must be same shape as b or will broadcast to a matrix under division
      D = D.reshape(x.shape)
28
29
      W = np.tril(A, k=-1) + np.triu(A, k=1) #deleted diagonal
30
31
      for i in range(nu):
          x = (1-omega)*x + ((omega*(b-(W@x))) / D)
          if tol is not None and np.allclose(b,A@x, 1e-12):
34
              break
      else:
36
          if tol is not None:
37
              print("Warning, did not converge within tolerance", tol)
38
39
      return x
```

### 2.3 MGCG (Full Method)

```
def mgcg(A, b, n levels=0,interpolation method=None, verbose=False):
      Multigrid preconditioned Conjugate Gradient Method
      This solves the system Ax=b for a particular system A.
      if n levels is 0 do unpreconditioned CG
      M = int(np.log2(A.shape[0]))
8
      # check that A is actually a power of 2 (no strategy otherwise)
      N = A.shape[0]
      assert 2**M == N
      preconditioned = (n levels != 0 and interpolation method is not None)
14
      if preconditioned:
16
          # build *all* interpolation matrices and store
18
          I = tuple((interpolation method(M,n levels,l)
                  for l in range(n levels,1,-1)))
          a = A
          A levels = list() # store all systems
          for interp in I:
              A levels.append(a) # append last system matrix
              # yer done if interp is 0x0 (only an issue if L is larger than M)
26
              if not interp.size:
                  break
2.9
              a = interp.T @ (a @ interp)
          # now base case
          A 1 = a
33
          A levels = tuple(A levels) # make static
          # you may check np.allclose(Lchol, linalg.cholesky(A 1,lower=True))
          Lchol, it chol = cholesky(A 1)
37
          Minv = lambda b: vcycle(n levels,b,np.zeros like(b),A levels,I,Lchol)
40
41
      else:
42
          # if n levels == 0 then do unpreconditioned CG
          # i.e. preconditioner is the identity
44
          Minv = lambda b: b
45
46
      pcg sol, its = pcg(A,b, Minv, return iterations=True, verbose=verbose)
47
48
      if preconditioned:
49
          return pcg sol, its, A levels, I
50
      else:
          return pcg sol, its, A, None
```

# 3 Results

M= 11 L= 6

When the program mgcg.py is run by itself, the following output is generated. Here, interpolation\_matrix and interpolation\_matrix\_2 refer to PCG in scenario #1 and #2, respectively, while None refers to unpreconditioned CG.

method: <function interpolation\_matrix at 0x7f52b04bbc80> 65 iterations time elapsed= 8.153933763504028 method: <function interpolation matrix 2 at 0x7f52b04bbd08> 41 iterations time elapsed= 4.9130401611328125 M = 11 L = 6method: None 512 iterations time elapsed= 3.9258689880371094 M = 12 L = 7method: <function interpolation\_matrix at 0x7f52b04bbc80> 99 iterations time elapsed= 46.1121826171875 M = 12 L = 7method: <function interpolation matrix 2 at 0x7f52b04bbd08> 61 iterations time elapsed= 28.609034299850464 M= 12 L= 7 method: None 1024 iterations time elapsed= 29.122495651245117 M= 13 L= 8 method: <function interpolation\_matrix at 0x7f52b04bbc80> 158 iterations time elapsed= 258.28484988212585 M = 13 L = 8method: <function interpolation matrix 2 at 0x7f52b04bbd08> 87 iterations time elapsed= 147.13387250900269 M= 13 L= 8 method: None 2048 iterations time elapsed= 237.42299604415894

For clarity, these results are summarized in a table below.

### 3.1 Calculation of A-multiplies in CG/PCG

A fairly straightforward implementation of preconditioned conjugate gradient method is given below.

```
def pcg(A,b, Minv, tol=1e-8, x init=None, return iterations=False,
                  return error=False, verbose=False):
              preconditioned conjugate gradient method
              solves Ax = b by preconditioning
              INPUT:
8
                      - an NxN nd.array describing the system
9
                      - initial conditions (can be 1D-array or 2D row vector)
              Minv
                      - preconditioner, which should be a function handle
                      - stopping tolerance (returns sol if ||A*sol - b}|| 2 < tol )
              tol
                        (optional) default is 1e-8
              x init - initial guess (default is None, in which case the zero vector
14
                          is used)
              return iterations
                                   (optional) return iteration count (default False)
17
              return error
                                   (optional) return error (will be below tolerance
18
                                   if converged)
              OUTPUT:
                          - solution (an Nx1 nd.array)
              iterations -(if return iterations=True above) iterations to run
              err
                          -(||A*sol-b|| of solution calculated error of residual
24
              11 11 11
              # make sure initial guess is a column vector ala matlab
              if b.ndim == 1:
                  b = np.expand dims(b, -1)
29
              if x init is None:
                  x = np.zeros like(b) # default to zero vector as initial guess
32
              else:
                  x = x init
35
              tol *= norm(b) # for stopping check (save some divisions)
              r = b - A@x
                             # initial residual
                              # residual of preconditioned system
              z = Minv(r)
39
              p = z.copy()  # initial search direction
              d = A@p
                             # initial A-projected search direction
43
              for iterations in count(1):
44
45
                  alpha = np.vdot(r,z) / np.vdot(p,d)
46
47
                  x += alpha*p
48
```

```
r_new = r - alpha*d
49
50
                     # equivalent to norm(b - A@x) / norm(b)
                     err = norm(r new)
                     if verbose:
                         print(iterations, err, sep='\t| ')
56
                     if err <= tol:</pre>
                         break
59
                     z \text{ new} = Minv(r \text{ new})
60
                     beta = np.vdot(z new,r new) / np.vdot(r,z)
61
                    p = z new + beta*p
63
64
                     d = A@p
65
                     r = r new
67
                     z = z new
68
69
                    #if err <= tol:</pre>
                         break
                # return statement boogaloo
                if return iterations:
                     if return error:
                         return x, iterations, err / norm(b)
78
                     else:
                         return x, iterations
80
                elif return error:
82
                     return x, err / norm(b)
83
84
                else:
                     return x
```

Careful counting of the above shows there is exactly one A-multiplication and one  $M^{-1}$ -multiplication in initialization, and one of each during each iteration. By hypothesis, each  $M^{-1}$  (which is a v-cycle) corresponds to 5 A-multiplies. Thus PCG requires  $1+k+5*(1+k)=\boxed{6+6k}$  A-multiplies for k iterations. By this logic, we can see that CG will only require  $\boxed{1+k}$  A-multiplies, (since CG is just PCG where  $M^{-1}$  is identity).

# 3.2 Tables (Iteration Counts, Speedup Ratio, Work Ratio)

So solving our system (1) with unpreconditioned CG converges in the worst case, the exact size of the system. Either preconditioner is a massive improvement. Preconditioner #2 converges in fewer iterations than preconditioner #1.

Our tables suggest that implementation #2 is much more efficient than implementation #1, especially considering the number of *A*-multiplications per v-cycle can be drastically reduced (see section below).

	M = 11	M = 12	M = 13
CG	512	1024	2048
PCG #1	65	99	158
PCG #2	41	61	87

Table 1: Iteration counts of CG & PCG on our system

	M = 11	M = 12	M = 13
CG vs. PCG #1	7.877	10.343	12.962
CG vs. PCG #2	12.488	16.787	23.540

Table 2: Speedup ratios of CG & PCG on our system (approximate)

	M = 11	M = 12	M = 13
CG vs. PCG #1	1.295	1.708	2.148
CG vs. PCG #2	2.036	2.755	3.88

Table 3: Work ratios of CG & PCG on the system (approximate)

# 4 The Bonus Question Answered

#### 4.1 The Answer

If MGCG is performed on the system A (1) using the interpolation method **SC2**, the optimal choice of level-depth L is **exactly 3** for any size  $M \geq 3$ . That is, the "standard" interpolation step in (SC1) is used to interpolate between  $A_L \to A_{L-1}$  and  $A_{L-2} \to A_{L-3} = A_1$ , and the "special" interpolation method unique to **SC2** is performed once in between. There are two reasons why, and they are clearly seen from a visual depiction of the multigrid systems of A using scenario #2.

Refer to **Figure 1**, which was generated with the code bonus\_demo.py. Here we see the four finest systems in the multigrid when M=7, L=6, although this result is obviously true for any choice of M.

## 4.2 A loose justification

The result is clear: applying the modified interpolation step between levels  $A_{L-1}$  and  $A_{L-2}$  converts the system from its original structure (with an antidiagonal) to a tridiagonal matrix, and all further interpolations preserve tridiagonality. Recalling that our goal in the V-cycle is to restrict the size of the system until we arrive at a system that is reasonable to solve directly, it makes sense that we should immediately solve such a system. There will be no additional benefit from successive restriction of the system once it is tridiagonal.

#### bonus\_demo.py

```
#!/usr/bin/env python3
  from mgcg import *
  import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
  import numpy as np
 M = 7
  levels = 6
 A L = make system(M)
 b = np.ones((2**M,1))/2**(M/2)
  sol, its, As, Is = mgcg(A L,b,n levels=levels,
13
          interpolation method=interpolation matrix 2)
  fig, ax = plt.subplots(2,2)
  ax = ax.ravel()
19
  for i, a in enumerate(ax):
20
21
      ax[i].spy(As[i])
      if i > 0:
          ax[i].set title(r'$A {\mathscr{l}},\;\mathscr{l}=L - $'+str(i),
                   fontsize=20)
      else:
26
          ax[i].set title(r'$A L$', fontsize=20)
27
      ax[i].axis('off')
28
  fig.tight layout()
  plt.show()
```




Figure 1: A look at the four finest levels of multigrid under SC2. After the second interpolation step, all subsequent levels are tridiagonal (and thus easily solved by Cholesky factorization)

### 4.3 Empirical Support via Run times

Of course, since performing the downscaling of the system A isn't computationally "free", we can directly see the superiority of choosing *exactly* 3 levels. The following shows a session in ipython, where we perform the entire MGCG procedure on our system when M=11, iterating on the number of levels  $L=1,2,\ldots,9$ . The average runtime over 3 runs is displayed for each L value.

```
In [1]: from mgcg import *
In [2]: M = 11
In [3]: A = make system(M)
In [4]: b = make initial conditions(M)
In [5]: for L in range(1,10):
           %timeit mgcg(A,b,L,interpolation matrix 2)
  . . . . :
1 loop, best of 3: 2.65 s per loop
1 loop, best of 3: 1.86 s per loop
1 loop, best of 3: 1.48 s per loop <----
1 loop, best of 3: 2.79 s per loop
1 loop, best of 3: 3.96 s per loop
1 loop, best of 3: 4.99 s per loop
1 loop, best of 3: 6.84 s per loop
1 loop, best of 3: 7.58 s per loop
1 loop, best of 3: 7.9 s per loop
```

These times are depicted in the graph below:




Figure 2: Measuring run time of solving Ax = b with MGCG when M = 11, and varying L. Ran on a laptop using unoptimized code.

### 4.4 An alternative justification