

# Game Theory: Homework

Caio Figueiredo

December 1, 2019

## 1 Question 1

### 1.1 (a)

We can rewrite  $V(\mu)$  as:

$$\begin{aligned} V(\mu) &= V^*(\mu) \\ V^*(\mu) &= \max_{a \in \{0,1\}} \{(\mathbb{E}(y_t) - \frac{1}{2})a + \delta \mathbb{E}(V^*(\mu'))\} \\ &= \max_{a \in \{0,1\}} \{(\frac{1}{2}\mu - \frac{1}{4})a + \delta \mathbb{E}(V^*(\mu'))\} \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu' &= \mu \text{ if } a = 0 \\ \mu' &= \frac{\frac{3}{4}\mu}{\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\mu} \text{ if } a = 1, y = 1 \\ \mu' &= \frac{\frac{1}{4}\mu}{\frac{3}{4} - \frac{1}{2}\mu} \text{ if } a = 1, y = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Now we can use the standard Recursive Dynamic proof, define:

$$T(f)(x) = \max_{a \in \{0,1\}} \{(\frac{1}{2}\mu - \frac{1}{4})a + \delta f(\mu')\}$$

$T$  maps weakly increasing functions in weakly increasing functions because  $\mu'$  is strictly increasing in  $\mu$  and  $(\frac{1}{2}\mu - \frac{1}{4})a$  is strictly increasing as long as  $a = 1$  and constant as long as  $a = 0$ .

Therefore by the Contraction Mapping Theorem the fix point of  $T$ , which is  $V^*$  is weakly increasing. Moreover, since  $V^*$  is weakly increasing and  $(1 - \delta) > 0$ , then  $V$  is weakly increasing.

### 1.2 b

First notice that we can use the same argument of (a) to show that  $V^*$  is continuous.

Now notice that for  $\mu = 0$ ,  $\mu' = 0 \forall a, y$  and for  $\mu = 1$ ,  $\mu' = 0 \forall a, y$ , therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} V^*(0) &= \max_{a \in \{0,1\}} \{-\frac{1}{4}a + \delta V^*(0)\} & V^*(1) &= \max_{a \in \{0,1\}} \{\frac{1}{4}a + \delta V^*(1)\} \\ &= \frac{\max_{a \in \{0,1\}} \{-\frac{1}{4}a\}}{(1 - \delta)} & &= \frac{\max_{a \in \{0,1\}} \{\frac{1}{4}a\}}{(1 - \delta)} \\ &= 0 & &= \frac{1}{4(1 - \delta)} > 0 \\ V(0) &= 0 & V(1) &= \frac{1}{4} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $V$  is weakly increasing that must be  $\mu^* \geq 0$  such that for for all  $0 \geq \mu < \mu^*$ ,  $V(\mu) = 0$ , and for all  $1 \geq \mu > \mu^*$ ,  $V(\mu) > 0$ .

Moreover,  $0 \geq \mu < \mu^*$ , optimal  $a$  is 0 and for  $1 \geq \mu > \mu^*$ , optimal  $a$  is 1. Since  $V^*$  is continuous at  $\mu^*$  the agent is indifferent.

## 2 Question 2

$$\begin{aligned}
|V^c(\delta, \theta) - V(\delta, \theta)| &= \left| \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \delta^t a_t^c \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} y_i P_{\theta^*}(y_i | y_1, \dots, y_t) \right) - \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \delta^t a_t \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} y_i P_{\theta^*}(y_i | y_1, \dots, y_t) \right) \right| \\
&\leq \left| \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \delta^t a_t^c \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} y_i P_{\theta^*}(y_i | y_1, \dots, y_t) \right) - \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \delta^t a_t \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} y_i \mu(y_i | y_1, \dots, y_t) \right) \right| + \\
&\quad \left| \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \delta^t a_t \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} y_i P_{\theta^*}(y_i | y_1, \dots, y_t) \right) - \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \delta^t a_t \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} y_i \mu(y_i | y_1, \dots, y_t) \right) \right| \\
&\leq \left( \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \delta^{2t} \max_{y \in Y^\infty} y^2 \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |P_{\theta^*}(y_i | y_1, \dots, y_t) \mu(y_i | y_1, \dots, y_t)| \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} + \\
&\quad \left( \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \delta^{2t} \max_{y \in Y^\infty} y^2 \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |P_{\theta^*}(y_i | y_1, \dots, y_t) \mu(y_i | y_1, \dots, y_t)| \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} +
\end{aligned}$$

## 3 Question 3

For simplification:

$$\begin{aligned}
P_\gamma(y) &= \mu P_\gamma(y | \theta = 1) + (1 - \mu) P_\gamma(y | \theta = -1) \\
P_\gamma(1) &= \mu(1/2 + \gamma) + (1 - \mu)(1/2\gamma) \\
&= 1/2 + \gamma(2\mu - 1) \\
P_\gamma(0) &= 1/2 - \gamma(2\mu - 1)
\end{aligned}$$

Notice:

$$\lim_{\gamma \rightarrow 0} P_\gamma(0) = \lim_{\gamma \rightarrow 0} P_\gamma(1) = \frac{1}{2}$$

The Baseyan updates are:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_\gamma(1) &= \frac{(1/2 + \gamma)\mu}{P_\gamma(1)} \\
\mu_\gamma(0) &= \frac{(1/2 - \gamma)\mu}{P_\gamma(0)}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore:

$$\lim_{\gamma \rightarrow 0} \mu_\gamma(0) = \lim_{\gamma \rightarrow 0} \mu_\gamma(1) = \mu$$

and:

$$\lim_{\gamma \rightarrow 0} V(\mu_\gamma(0)) = \lim_{\gamma \rightarrow 0} V(\mu_\gamma(1)) = V(\mu)$$

Finally:

$$\begin{aligned}
V_\gamma(\mu) &= P_\gamma(0)V(\mu_\gamma(0)) + P_\gamma(1)V(\mu_\gamma(1)) \\
&= (1/2 + \gamma(2\mu - 1))V(\mu_\gamma(1)) + (1/2 - \gamma(2\mu - 1))V(\mu_\gamma(0))
\end{aligned}$$

and:

$$\lim_{\gamma \rightarrow 0} V_\gamma(\mu) = V(\mu)$$

Whice make the question identity equals the derivative of  $V_\gamma$  in relation to  $\gamma$ :

$$\frac{\partial V_\gamma(\mu)}{\partial \gamma} = \lim_{\gamma \rightarrow 0} \frac{V_\gamma(\mu) - V(\mu)}{\gamma}$$

We are left to prove that this derivative is zero at  $\gamma = 0$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial V_\gamma(\mu)}{\partial \gamma}(\gamma) &= (2\mu - 1)V(\mu_\gamma(1)) + \frac{\partial V(\mu_\gamma(1))}{\partial \gamma}(1/2 + \gamma(2\mu - 1)) - \\ &\quad (2\mu - 1)V(\mu_\gamma(0)) + \frac{\partial V(\mu_\gamma(0))}{\partial \gamma}(1/2 - \gamma(2\mu - 1)) \\ \frac{\partial V_\gamma(\mu)}{\partial \gamma}(0) &= (2\mu - 1)V(\mu) + \frac{\partial V(\mu_0(1))}{\partial \gamma}(1/2) - (2\mu - 1)V(\mu) + \frac{\partial V(\mu_0(0))}{\partial \gamma}(1/2) \\ &= 1/2 \left( \frac{\partial V(\mu_0(0))}{\partial \gamma} + \frac{\partial V(\mu_0(1))}{\partial \gamma} \right) \end{aligned}$$

But:

$$\begin{aligned} V(\mu_\gamma(1)) &= \mu_\gamma u(a_1^*, 1) + (1 - \mu_\gamma)u(a_1^*, -1) \\ &= P_\gamma(1)^{-1}[(1/2 + \gamma)\mu u(a_1^*, 1) + \gamma(2\mu - 2)\mu u(a_1^*, -1)] \\ \frac{\partial V(\mu_\gamma)}{\partial \gamma} &= P_\gamma(1)^{-1}[\mu u(a_1^*) + (2\mu - 2)u(a_1^*, -1)] + \\ &\quad \frac{1 - 2\mu}{(1/2 + \gamma(2\mu - 1))^2}[(1/2 + \gamma)\mu u(a_1^*, 1) + \gamma(2\mu - 2)u(a_1^*, -1)] \\ \frac{\partial V(\mu_0)}{\partial \gamma} &= 2[\mu u(a_1^*) + (2\mu - 2)u(a_1^*, -1)] + (4 - 8\mu)[1/2\mu u(a_1^*, 1)] \end{aligned}$$

where  $a_1^*$  is the argmax of  $V$  for  $\gamma = 0$  and:

$$\begin{aligned} V(\mu_\gamma(0)) &= P_\gamma(0)^{-1}[(1/2 - \gamma)\mu u(a_0^*, 1) - \gamma(2\mu - 2)\mu u(a_0^*, -1)] \\ \frac{\partial V(\mu_\gamma)}{\partial \gamma} &= P_\gamma(0)^{-1}[-\mu u(a_0^*) - (2\mu - 2)u(a_0^*, -1)] + \\ &\quad \frac{2\mu - 1}{(1/2 + \gamma(2\mu - 1))^2}[(1/2 - \gamma)\mu u(a_0^*, 1) - \gamma(2\mu - 2)u(a_0^*, -1)] \\ \frac{\partial V(\mu_0)}{\partial \gamma} &= 2[-\mu u(a_0^*) - (2\mu - 2)u(a_0^*, -1)] + (8\mu - 4)[1/2\mu u(a_0^*, 1)] \end{aligned}$$

and since  $a_1^* \rightarrow a_0^*$  as  $\gamma \rightarrow 0$ , by what we have seen before, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial V(\mu_0(0))}{\partial \gamma} + \frac{\partial V(\mu_0(1))}{\partial \gamma} &= 0 \\ \frac{\partial V_\gamma(\mu)}{\partial \gamma}(0) &= 0 \\ \lim_{\gamma \rightarrow 0} \frac{V_\gamma(\mu) - V(\mu)}{\gamma} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

■