## Functional Enrichment Analysis

Duanchen Sun, Ling-Yun Wu 2018-12-17

## Contents

1	NetGen: Network-based Generative Model  1.1 Model: fixed parameter strategy	1 1 2
2	CEA: Combination-based Enrichment Analysis model	3
1	NetGen: Network-based Generative Model	
	etGen is a network-based generative model for functional enrichment analysis. We first load the requirence ackages	ed
	brary(CopTea) ptions(scipen=0)	
	this example, we use a small subset of GO annotation database, which contains 300 biological process tegories.	es
10	pad("GO_BP_300.RData")	
	he annotation data is stored in a matrix with dimensions:	
di	m(annotation)	
##	‡ [1] 6447  300	
wł	hich indicates there are total 6447 genes and 300 GO terms in this annotation dataset.	
Νe	ext, we load the protein-protein interaction (PPI) dataset:	
10	pad("PPI.RData")	
Τŀ	he PPI network is given by its adjacent matrix as follows:	
di	m(adj_matrix)	
##	‡ [1] 9453 9453	
W	e simulate the gene list of interest:	
10	pad("active_gene.RData")	

## 1.1 Mode1: fixed parameter strategy

In the first part of this example, we try to identify the most enriched categories using a given parameter setting.

True\_Categories <- c("GD:0019614", "GD:1903249", "GD:2000506", "GD:0015985", "GD:0071962")</pre>

The list consists of 84 active genes which are derived from the true categories as follows.

```
Enriched_Categories <- netgen(annotation, adj_matrix, active_gene,</pre>
                               p1 = 0.8, p2 = 0.1, q = 0.001, alpha = 5, trace=TRUE)
## [1] "Compute the enriched categories using the given parameter combination."
## [1] "-Inf -Inf -256.938974204227"
## [1] "-256.938974204227 -Inf -255.156043848658"
## [1] "-255.156043848658 -256.938974204227 -253.47143212099"
## [1] "-253.47143212099 -255.156043848658 -252.833422047565"
## [1] "-252.833422047565 -253.47143212099 -256.862566747075"
The most enriched categories identified by NetGen are
Enriched_Categories
##
          GO ID
                              p-value
## 1 GO:0019614 1.3282025203837e-07
## 2 GD:0015985 1.82262800994748e-06
## 3 GD:2000506
                  0.0130293159609119
## 4 GO:1903249
                  0.0130293159609119
and the false negative categories are
setdiff(True_Categories, Enriched_Categories[,1])
## [1] "GO:0071962"
     Mode2: mixed parameter strategy
Instead of using a fixed parameter setting, we can run NetGen with several different parameter settings, and
then select the result of highest enrichment significance.
p1 \leftarrow c(0.5, 0.8)
p2 < -c(0.1, 0.3)
q < -0.001
Enriched_Categories <- netgen(annotation, adj_matrix, active_gene,</pre>
                               p1, p2, q, alpha = 3, trace=FALSE)
## [1] "Compute the enriched categories using a mixed parameter selection strategy."
## [1] "Computing parameter combination p1=0.5, p2=0.1, q=0.001"
## [1] "Computing parameter combination p1=0.5, p2=0.3, q=0.001"
## [1] "Computing parameter combination p1=0.8, p2=0.1, q=0.001"
## [1] "Computing parameter combination p1=0.8, p2=0.3, q=0.001"
The combined p-values of mixed parameter strategy are
Enriched_Categories$Term_combined_pvalue
## [1] 2.037391e-15 8.117211e-14 2.037391e-15 2.830118e-11
And the most enriched categories and its corresponding parameter combination are
Enriched_Categories$mix_result[which.min(Enriched_Categories$Term_combined_pvalue)]
## $`p1=0.5 p2=0.1 q=0.001`
##
          GO ID
                              p-value
## 1 GO:0019614 1.3282025203837e-07
## 2 GO:0015985 1.82262800994748e-06
## 3 GO:2000506 0.0130293159609119
```

## 4 GO:1903249 0.0130293159609119

## 2 CEA: Combination-based Enrichment Analysis model

CEA is a novel combination-based method for gene set functional enrichment analysis. It is based on a multi-objective optimization framework, and the adapted IMPROVED GREEDY algorithm was used to approximatively solve the problem.

We first load the required packages

```
library(CopTea)
options(scipen=0)
```

In this example, we use the same GO annotation database and the active gene list.

```
load("GD_BP_300.RData")
load("active_gene.RData")
```

The list consists of 84 active genes which are derived from the true categories as follows:

```
True_Categories <- c("GO:0019614", "GO:1903249", "GO:2000506", "GO:0015985", "GO:0071962")</pre>
```

Note that, not all the active genes are annotated in the annotation matrix.

```
sum(active_gene %in% rownames(annotation))
```

```
## [1] 39
```

We use the CEA function to identify the most enriched catgories.

```
Enrich_result <- CEA(annotation, active_gene, d = 0, times = 5, trace = TRUE)</pre>
```

"annotation"

```
## [1] "Number of annotated active genes: 39"
```

```
## [1] "Size of categories set in 1 out of 5 repeats : 24"
```

```
## [1] "Size of categories set in 5 out of 5 repeats : 24"
```

"coverage"

- ## [1] "Number of the identified category sets before unique is 120"
- ## [1] "Number of the identified category sets after unique is 25"

The result contains the following components:

```
names(Enrich_result)
```

For example, we select the most enriched 5 category sets as the final outputs. These are:

"category"

```
Enrich_result$category[1:5]
```

## [1] "p.values"

```
## [[1]]
## [1] "G0:1903249" "G0:2000506" "G0:0015985" "G0:0019614" "G0:0006398"
## [6] "G0:0055014" "G0:0006933"
##
## [[2]]
## [1] "G0:1903249" "G0:2000506" "G0:0002763" "G0:0015985" "G0:0019614"
## [6] "G0:0006398" "G0:0055014" "G0:0006933"
##
## [[3]]
## [1] "G0:1903249" "G0:2000506" "G0:0002763" "G0:0015985" "G0:0019614"
## [6] "G0:0006398" "G0:0055014" "G0:0006933" "G0:0006541"
##
## [[4]]
```

```
## [1] "GO:1903249" "GO:2000506" "GO:0015985" "GO:0019614" "GO:0055014"
## [6] "GD:0006933"
##
## [[5]]
## [1] "GD:1903249" "GD:2000506" "GD:0002763" "GD:0046503" "GD:0015985"
## [6] "GD:0019614" "GD:0006398" "GD:0055014" "GD:0006933" "GD:0006541"
The related Fisher's exact test p-values and coverages are:
Enrich_result$p.values[1:5]
## [1] 7.916791e-21 8.951878e-21 1.992085e-20 9.322719e-20 9.323603e-20
Enrich_result$coverage[1:5]
## [1] 0.3333333 0.4102564 0.4358974 0.3076923 0.4615385
The false negative categories in the first category set are
setdiff(True_Categories, Enrich_result$category[[1]])
## [1] "GO:0071962"
We can obtain a more enriched result by setting a larger tolerance parameter d as:
Enrich_result <- CEA(annotation, active_gene, d = 1, times = 500, trace = FALSE)</pre>
## [1] "Number of annotated active genes: 39"
## [1] "Number of the identified category sets before unique is 11347"
## [1] "Number of the identified category sets after unique is 793"
The Fisher's exact test p-value of the most enriched category is:
Enrich_result$p.values[1]
## [1] 1.7826e-21
```