



DIRHB—A relativistic self-consistent mean-field framework for atomic nuclei[☆]



T. Nikšić^{a,*}, N. Paar^a, D. Vretenar^a, P. Ring^b

^a University of Zagreb, Faculty of Science, Physics Department, Croatia

^b Physik Department, Technische Universität München, D-85747 Garching, Germany

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 25 November 2013

Received in revised form

2 February 2014

Accepted 28 February 2014

Available online 11 March 2014

Keywords:

Dirac–Hartree–Bogoliubov

Nuclear energy density functional

Relativistic self-consistent mean-field

Quadrupole deformation

Constrained calculation

Harmonic oscillator

ABSTRACT

The DIRHB package consists of three Fortran computer codes for the calculation of the ground-state properties of even–even atomic nuclei using the framework of relativistic self-consistent mean-field models. Each code corresponds to a particular choice of spatial symmetry: the DIRHBS, DIRHBZ and DIRHBT codes are used to calculate nuclei with spherical symmetry, axially symmetric quadrupole deformation, and triaxial quadrupole shapes, respectively. Reflection symmetry is assumed in all three cases. The latest relativistic nuclear energy density functionals are implemented in the codes, thus enabling efficient and accurate calculations over the entire nuclide chart.

Program summary

Program title: DIRHB package (codes DIRHBS, DIRHBZ and DIRHBT)

Catalogue identifier: AESN_v1_0

Program summary URL: http://cpc.cs.qub.ac.uk/summaries/AESN_v1_0.html

Program obtainable from: CPC Program Library, Queen's University, Belfast, N. Ireland

Licensing provisions: none

No. of lines in distributed program, including test data, etc.: 44516

No. of bytes in distributed program, including test data, etc.: 317161

Distribution format: tar.gz

Programming language: Fortran 77.

Computer: All computers.

Operating system: All operating systems. The Makefiles are specific for a Unix OS and have to be modified for Windows.

RAM: Depends on the imposed symmetry and number of oscillator shells. For the triaxial test case it takes 300 MB.

Classification: 17.22.

Nature of problem:

Ground-state properties of even–even open-shell nuclei can be calculated using the framework of self-consistent mean-field models based on relativistic energy density functionals. The structure of arbitrary heavy nuclei with spherical symmetry, axially symmetric quadrupole deformation, and triaxial quadrupole shapes, is modeled using the latest zero- and finite-range relativistic effective interactions. The particle–particle channel of the effective inter-nucleon interaction is described by a separable finite-range pairing force.

Solution method:

The current implementation of the model computes the mean-field solution of the nuclear many-body problem for even–even open-shell spherical and quadrupole deformed nuclei. The codes are used to solve

[☆] This paper and its associated computer program are available via the Computer Physics Communication homepage on ScienceDirect (<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/00104655>).

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +385 14605574.

E-mail address: tniksic@phy.hr (T. Nikšić).

the stationary relativistic Hartree–Bogoliubov equations in a self-consistent iteration scheme. At each iteration the matrix elements of the equations are updated using the modified Broyden method or the linear mixing method. The single-nucleon wave functions are expanded in a basis of spherical, axially symmetric or triaxial harmonic oscillator, depending on the assumed symmetry of the nuclear shape. For calculations that constrain the shape to specific values of the deformation parameters, the augmented Lagrangian method is used.

Restrictions:

Time-reversal and reflection symmetries are assumed. Open-shell even–even spherical and quadrupole deformed nuclei are considered.

Running time:

Depends on the imposed symmetry and number of oscillator shells. For the test cases it runs from few seconds (spherical) up to few hours (triaxial).

© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Energy density functionals (EDF) provide an accurate description of ground-state properties and collective excitations of atomic nuclei, from relatively light systems to superheavy nuclei, and from the valley of β -stability to the particle drip-lines. The basic implementation is in terms of self-consistent mean-field (SCMF) models, in which an EDF is constructed as a functional of one-body nucleon density matrices that correspond to a single product state of single-particle or single-quasiparticle states. This approach is analogous to Kohn–Sham density functional theory (DFT), that enables a description of quantum many-body systems in terms of a universal energy density functional. Nuclear SCMF models effectively map the many-body problem onto a one-body problem, and the exact EDF is approximated by simple functionals of powers and gradients of ground-state nucleon densities and currents, representing distributions of matter, spins, momentum and kinetic energy [1–4].

A particular class of SCMF structure models are those based on relativistic (covariant) energy density functionals. These models have been successfully applied to the analysis of a variety of nuclear structure phenomena, and the level of accuracy has reached a level comparable to the non-relativistic Hartree–Fock–Bogoliubov approach based on Skyrme functionals or Gogny effective interactions. Here we include the program package DIRHB for the solution of the stationary relativistic Hartree–Bogoliubov equations for even–even open-shell nuclei with spherical symmetry, axially symmetric quadrupole deformation, and triaxial quadrupole shapes. Section 2 includes a brief overview of covariant density functional theory; the current implementation of the relativistic SCMF model is described in detail in Section 3, and the structure of the program is explained in Section 4.

2. Covariant density functional theory

In conventional quantum hadrodynamics (QHD) [5–8] a nucleus is described as a system of Dirac nucleons coupled to exchange mesons through an effective Lagrangian. The isoscalar–scalar σ meson, the isoscalar–vector ω meson, and the isovector–vector ρ meson build the minimal set of meson fields that is necessary for a description of bulk and single-particle nuclear properties. Of course, at the scale of low-energy nuclear structure, heavy-meson exchange is just a convenient representation of the effective nuclear interaction. The behavior of the nucleon–nucleon (NN) interaction at long and intermediate distances is determined by one- and two-pion exchange processes. The exchange of heavy mesons is associated with short-distance dynamics that cannot be resolved at low energies that characterize nuclear binding and, therefore,

can be represented by local four-point (contact) NN interactions, with low-energy (medium-dependent) parameters adjusted to nuclear data. The DIRHB program package includes both the meson-exchange and the point-coupling representations of the relativistic nuclear energy density functional (NEDF).

2.1. Meson-exchange models

The meson-exchange model is defined by the Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_N + \mathcal{L}_m + \mathcal{L}_{int}. \quad (1)$$

\mathcal{L}_N denotes the Lagrangian of the free nucleon

$$\mathcal{L}_N = \bar{\psi}(i\gamma_\mu \partial^\mu - m)\psi, \quad (2)$$

where m is the bare nucleon mass and ψ denotes the Dirac spinor. \mathcal{L}_m is the Lagrangian for the free meson fields and electromagnetic field

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_m = & \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu \sigma \partial^\mu \sigma - \frac{1}{2}m_\sigma^2 \sigma^2 - \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\mu\nu} \Omega^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}m_\omega^2 \omega_\mu \omega^\mu \\ & - \frac{1}{4}\vec{R}_{\mu\nu} \cdot \vec{R}^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}m_\rho^2 \vec{\rho}_\mu \cdot \vec{\rho}^\mu - \frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

with the corresponding masses m_σ , m_ω , m_ρ , and $\Omega_{\mu\nu}$, $\vec{R}_{\mu\nu}$, $F_{\mu\nu}$ are field tensors

$$\Omega_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \omega_\nu - \partial_\nu \omega_\mu, \quad (4)$$

$$\vec{R}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \vec{\rho}_\nu - \partial_\nu \vec{\rho}_\mu, \quad (5)$$

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu. \quad (6)$$

Arrows denote isovectors and boldface symbols are used for vectors in ordinary space. The minimal set of interaction terms is contained in \mathcal{L}_{int}

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{int} = & -g_\sigma \bar{\psi} \psi \sigma - g_\omega \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi \omega_\mu \\ & - g_\rho \bar{\psi} \vec{\tau} \gamma^\mu \psi \cdot \vec{\rho}_\mu - e \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi A_\mu, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

with the couplings g_σ , g_ω , g_ρ and e .

From the Lagrangian density, one can easily obtain the Hamiltonian density (for details see Ref. [9]) which for the static case reads

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{r}) = & \sum_i \psi_i^\dagger (\alpha \mathbf{p} + \beta m) \psi_i \\ & + \frac{1}{2} [(\nabla \sigma)^2 + m_\sigma^2 \sigma^2] - \frac{1}{2} [(\nabla \omega)^2 + m_\omega^2 \omega^2] \\ & - \frac{1}{2} [(\nabla \rho)^2 + m_\rho^2 \rho^2] - \frac{1}{2} (\nabla A)^2 \\ & + [g_\sigma \rho_s \sigma + g_\omega \mathbf{j}_\mu \omega^\mu + g_\rho \vec{j}_\mu \cdot \vec{\rho}^\mu + e \mathbf{j}_{p\mu} A^\mu]. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

We have also introduced the isoscalar–scalar density, the isoscalar–vector current, the isovector–vector current and the electromagnetic current

$$\rho_s(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{i=1}^A \bar{\psi}_i(\mathbf{r}) \psi_i(\mathbf{r}), \quad (9)$$

$$j_\mu(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{i=1}^A \bar{\psi}_i(\mathbf{r}) \gamma_\mu \psi_i(\mathbf{r}), \quad (10)$$

$$\vec{j}_\mu(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{i=1}^A \bar{\psi}_i(\mathbf{r}) \vec{\tau} \gamma_\mu \psi_i(\mathbf{r}), \quad (11)$$

$$j_{p\mu}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{i=1}^Z \bar{\psi}_i^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) \gamma_\mu \psi_i(\mathbf{r}), \quad (12)$$

where the summation is performed only over occupied orbits in the Fermi sea of positive energy states, i.e. the *no-sea* approximation is used. Although the contributions of the Dirac-sea are not small [10–12], they are in fact taken into account by adjusting the model parameters to the experimental data [13]. By integrating the Hamiltonian density (8) over the r -space we obtain the total energy which depends on the Dirac spinors and the meson fields

$$E_{RMF}[\psi, \bar{\psi}, \sigma, \omega^\mu, \vec{\rho}^\mu, A^\mu] = \int d^3r \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{r}). \quad (13)$$

Already in the earliest applications of the relativistic mean-field (RMF) framework it was realized, however, that this simple model with interaction terms only linear in the meson fields does not provide a quantitative description of the complex nuclear system [14,15]. Therefore, an effective density dependence was introduced by replacing the quadratic σ -potential with a quartic one [14]. This model has been used successfully in a number of studies [16–19], and the corresponding computer code for axial deformed systems has been published in Ref. [20]. Of course, implementation of the covariant density functional with non-linear meson couplings has no direct physical meaning. Therefore, it seems more natural to follow an idea of Brockmann and Toki [21] and use density-dependent couplings. g_σ , g_ω and g_ρ are assumed to be vertex functions of Lorentz-scalar bilinear forms of the nucleon operators. In most applications the meson–nucleon couplings are functions of the vector density $\rho_v = \sqrt{\vec{j}_\mu \vec{j}^\mu}$, with the nucleon four-current $j^\mu = \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi = \hat{\rho} u^\mu$. u^μ is the four-velocity, defined as $(1 - \mathbf{v}^2)^{-1/2}(1, \mathbf{v})$. In the rest-frame of homogeneous nuclear matter: $\mathbf{v} = 0$. Brockmann and Toki derived the density dependence of the couplings in an ab-initio calculation from the relativistic Brueckner–Hartree–Fock calculation in the infinite nuclear matter. Since there are no free parameters in this model, the results of such a calculation are not very precise. Therefore, a phenomenological ansatz is introduced for the density-dependence of the couplings with parameters adjusted to the experimental data in finite nuclei [22–25].

The single-nucleon Dirac equation is derived by variation of the energy density functional (13) with respect to $\bar{\psi}$

$$\hat{h}_D \psi_i = \epsilon_i \psi_i, \quad (14)$$

with the Dirac Hamiltonian

$$\hat{h}_D = \boldsymbol{\alpha}(\mathbf{p} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) + \Sigma_0 + \beta(m + \Sigma_s). \quad (15)$$

The nucleon self-energies Σ are defined by the following expressions

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_s(\mathbf{r}) &= g_\sigma \sigma(\mathbf{r}), \\ \Sigma_\mu(\mathbf{r}) &= g_\omega \omega_\mu(\mathbf{r}) + g_\rho \vec{\tau} \cdot \vec{\rho}_\mu(\mathbf{r}) + e A_\mu(\mathbf{r}) + \Sigma_\mu^R(\mathbf{r}). \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

The density dependence of the vertex functions g_σ , g_ω , and g_ρ produces the *rearrangement* contribution to the vector self-energy

$$\Sigma_\mu^R = \frac{j_\mu}{\rho_v} \left(\frac{\partial g_\sigma}{\partial \rho_v} \rho_s \sigma + \frac{\partial g_\omega}{\partial \rho_v} j_v \omega^\nu + \frac{\partial g_\rho}{\partial \rho_v} \vec{j}_v \cdot \vec{\rho}^\nu \right). \quad (17)$$

The variation of the energy density functional (13) with respect to the meson fields leads to the Helmholtz equations for the meson fields

$$[-\Delta + m_\sigma^2] \sigma = -g_\sigma \rho_s, \quad (18)$$

$$[-\Delta + m_\omega^2] \omega^\mu = g_\omega j^\mu, \quad (19)$$

$$[-\Delta + m_\rho^2] \vec{\rho}^\mu = g_\rho \vec{j}^\mu, \quad (20)$$

and to the Poisson equation for the electromagnetic field

$$-\Delta A^\mu = e j_p^\mu. \quad (21)$$

Because of charge conservation, only the 3rd component of the isovector ρ -meson contributes. In the ground-state solution for an even–even nucleus there are no currents (time-reversal invariance), and the corresponding spatial components of the meson fields vanish. For simplicity, the surviving components of the meson fields are denoted by ρ and ω . The Dirac equation takes a simple form that includes only the vector potential $V(\mathbf{r})$ and the effective mass $M^*(\mathbf{r}) = m + g_\sigma \sigma$,

$$\{-i\boldsymbol{\alpha} \nabla + \beta M^*(\mathbf{r}) + V(\mathbf{r})\} \psi_i(\mathbf{r}) = \epsilon_i \psi_i(\mathbf{r}). \quad (22)$$

The vector potential reads

$$V(\mathbf{r}) = g_\omega \omega + g_\rho \tau_3 \rho + e A_0 + \Sigma_0^R, \quad (23)$$

and the rearrangement contribution (17) is reduced to

$$\Sigma_0^R = \frac{\partial g_\sigma}{\partial \rho_v} \rho_s \sigma + \frac{\partial g_\omega}{\partial \rho_v} \rho_v \omega + \frac{\partial g_\rho}{\partial \rho_v} \rho_{tv} \rho. \quad (24)$$

ρ_{tv} denotes the isovector density, i.e. the difference between the proton and the neutron vector density. The density dependence of the meson–nucleon couplings is parameterized in a phenomenological way [22–24]. The coupling of the σ -meson and ω -meson to the nucleon field reads

$$g_i(\rho) = g_i(\rho_{sat}) f_i(x) \quad \text{for } i = \sigma, \omega, \quad (25)$$

where

$$f_i(x) = a_i \frac{1 + b_i(x + d_i)^2}{1 + c_i(x + d_i)^2}, \quad (26)$$

is a function of $x = \rho/\rho_{sat}$, and ρ_{sat} denotes the baryon density at saturation in symmetric nuclear matter. The eight real parameters in Eq. (26) are not independent. The five constraints

$$f_i(1) = 1, \quad f_\sigma''(1) = f_\omega''(1), \quad f_i''(0) = 0, \quad (27)$$

reduce the number of independent parameters to three. Three additional parameters in the isoscalar channel are: $g_\sigma(\rho_{sat})$, $g_\omega(\rho_{sat})$, and m_σ —the mass of the phenomenological σ -meson. For the ρ -meson coupling the functional form of the density dependence is suggested by a Dirac–Brueckner calculation of asymmetric nuclear matter [26]

$$g_\rho(\rho) = g_\rho(\rho_{sat}) e^{-a_\rho(x-1)}. \quad (28)$$

The isovector channel is parameterized by $g_\rho(\rho_{sat})$ and a_ρ . For the masses of the ω and ρ mesons the free values are used: $m_\omega = 783$ MeV and $m_\rho = 763$ MeV. The eight independent parameters (seven coupling parameters and the mass of the σ -meson) are adjusted to reproduce empirical properties of symmetric and asymmetric nuclear matter, binding energies, charge radii, and neutron radii of spherical nuclei. The DIRHB program package includes the very successful density-dependent meson-exchange relativistic energy functional DD-ME2 [25].

2.2. Point-coupling models

Point-coupling models represent an alternative formulation of the self-consistent relativistic mean-field framework [27–31]. In complete analogy to the meson-exchange phenomenology, in which the isoscalar–scalar σ meson, the isoscalar–vector ω meson, and the isovector–vector ρ meson build the minimal set of meson fields that is necessary for a quantitative description of nuclei, an effective Lagrangian that includes the isoscalar–scalar, isoscalar–vector and isovector–vector four-fermion interaction reads

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = & \bar{\psi}(i\gamma \cdot \partial - m)\psi \\ & - \frac{1}{2}\alpha_S(\rho)(\bar{\psi}\psi)(\bar{\psi}\psi) - \frac{1}{2}\alpha_V(\rho)(\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\psi)(\bar{\psi}\gamma_\mu\psi) \\ & - \frac{1}{2}\alpha_{TV}(\rho)(\bar{\psi}\vec{\tau}\gamma^\mu\psi)(\bar{\psi}\vec{\tau}\gamma_\mu\psi) \\ & - \frac{1}{2}\delta_S(\partial_\nu\bar{\psi}\psi)(\partial^\nu\bar{\psi}\psi) - e\bar{\psi}\gamma \cdot A\frac{1-\tau_3}{2}\psi. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

In addition to the free-nucleon Lagrangian and the point-coupling interaction terms, the model includes the coupling of protons to the electromagnetic field. The derivative term in Eq. (29) accounts for leading effects of finite-range interactions that are crucial for a quantitative description of nuclear density distribution, e.g. nuclear radii. Similar interactions can be included in each space–isospace channel, but in practice data only constrain a single derivative term, for instance $\delta_S(\partial_\nu\bar{\psi}\psi)(\partial^\nu\bar{\psi}\psi)$. The inclusion of an adjustable derivative term only in the isoscalar–scalar channel is consistent with conventional meson-exchange RMF models, in which the mass of the phenomenological σ meson is treated as a free parameter, whereas free values are used for the masses of the ω and ρ mesons.

The couplings of the interaction terms in Eq. (29) are functionals of the nucleon 4-current

$$j^\mu = \bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\psi = \hat{\rho}u^\mu, \quad (30)$$

where u^μ is the 4-velocity defined as $(1 - \mathbf{v}^2)^{-1/2}(1, \mathbf{v})$. In the rest-frame of homogeneous nuclear matter: $\mathbf{v} = 0$.

Following the procedure described in Section 2.1 we can derive the Hamiltonian density $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{r})$ and the EDF for the point-coupling model

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\text{RMF}}[\psi, \bar{\psi}, A_\mu] = & \int d^3r \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{r}) \\ = & \sum_{i=1}^A \int d^3r \psi_i^\dagger (\boldsymbol{\alpha}\mathbf{p} + \beta m) \psi_i - \frac{1}{2}(\nabla A)^2 + \frac{1}{2}e \int d^3r j_p^\mu A_\mu \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \int d^3r \left[\alpha_S \rho_s^2 + \alpha_V j_\mu j^\mu + \alpha_{TV} \vec{j}_\mu \cdot \vec{j}^\mu + \delta_S \rho_s \square \rho_s \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

The variation of the EDF equation (31) with respect to the Dirac spinors $\bar{\psi}$ leads to the Dirac equation

$$\{-i\boldsymbol{\alpha}\nabla + \beta M^*(\mathbf{r}) + V(\mathbf{r})\}\psi_i(\mathbf{r}) = \epsilon_i\psi_i(\mathbf{r}), \quad (32)$$

where we assume that the time-reversal invariance is valid. The Dirac effective mass is defined

$$M^* = m + \Sigma_s = m + \alpha_S \rho_s + \delta_S \Delta \rho_s, \quad (33)$$

while the vector potential reads

$$V(\mathbf{r}) = \alpha_V \rho_v + \alpha_{TV} \tau_3 \rho_{tv} + eA_0 + \Sigma_0^R. \quad (34)$$

Σ_0^R denotes the *rearrangement* contribution, arising from the variation of the couplings α_S , α_V , and α_{TV} with respect to the nucleon fields in the density operator $\hat{\rho}$

$$\Sigma_0^R = \frac{\partial \alpha_S}{\partial \rho_v} \rho_s^2 + \frac{\partial \alpha_V}{\partial \rho_v} \rho_v^2 + \frac{\partial \alpha_{TV}}{\partial \rho_v} \rho_{tv}^2. \quad (35)$$

The DIRHB program package includes recently developed density-dependent point-coupling interaction DD-PC1. Here we only give brief description of the procedure used to adjust the model parameters, whereas further details can be found in Ref. [30]. Guided by the Hartree–Fock scalar and vector self-energies of the Idaho next-to-next-to-next-to leading order ($N^3\text{LO}$) potential [32], we have chosen the following practical ansatz for the functional form of the couplings

$$\alpha_i(\rho) = a_i + (b_i + c_i x) e^{-d_i x}, \quad (i = S, V, TV), \quad (36)$$

where $x = \rho/\rho_{\text{sat}}$, and ρ_{sat} denotes the nucleon density at saturation in symmetric nuclear matter. Although we use different formulas for the density dependence in the meson-exchange and point-coupling models, the scalar and vector self-energies are similar in both models. We notice that the form Eq. (36) is somewhat more convenient to use when adjusting the model parameters.

In the isovector channel the corresponding Hartree–Fock *tree-level* nucleon self-energies, obtained by directly mapping microscopic nucleon–nucleon potentials on a relativistic operator basis, were not available. Therefore, the functional form of the coupling $\alpha_{TV}(\rho)$ was determined from the results of Dirac–Brueckner calculations of asymmetric nuclear matter [26], as was done in the case of the finite-range meson-exchange interactions described in Section 2.1. Accordingly, for the isovector channel we set two parameters to zero – $a_{TV} = 0$ and $c_{TV} = 0$ – and adjust b_{TV} and d_{TV} to empirical properties of asymmetric nuclear matter and to nuclear masses, together with the parameters of the isoscalar channel.

In order to reduce the number of free parameters, we have set the value $c_V = 0$. The model parameters (a_S , b_S , c_S , d_S , a_V , b_V , d_V , b_{TV} , d_{TV} and δ_S) were adjusted simultaneously to infinite and semi-infinite nuclear matter properties and to the binding energies of 64 axially symmetric deformed nuclei in the mass regions $A \approx 150$ –180 and $A \approx 230$ –250.

The resulting energy functional DD-PC1, implemented in the DIRHB program package, has been further tested in calculations of binding energies, charge radii, deformation parameters, neutron skin thickness, and excitation energies of giant monopole and dipole resonances [30].

2.3. Covariant density functional theory with pairing

Relativistic energy density functionals have successfully been employed in studies of properties of ground and excited states in spherical and deformed nuclei. For a quantitative analysis of open-shell nuclei it is necessary to consider also pairing correlations. Pairing has often been taken into account in a very phenomenological way in the Bardeen–Cooper–Schrieffer (BCS) model with the monopole pairing force, adjusted to the experimental odd–even mass differences. In many cases, however, this approach presents only a poor approximation. The physics of weakly-bound nuclei, in particular, necessitates a unified and self-consistent treatment of mean-field and pairing correlations. This has led to the formulation and development of the relativistic Hartree–Bogoliubov (RHB) model [33,9], which represents a relativistic extension of the conventional Hartree–Fock–Bogoliubov framework. The RHB model provides a unified description of particle–hole (*ph*) and particle–particle (*pp*) correlations on a mean-field level by using two average potentials: the self-consistent mean field that encloses all the long range *ph* correlations, and a pairing field $\hat{\Delta}$ which sums up the *pp*-correlations. The ground state of a nucleus is described by a generalized Slater determinant $|\Phi\rangle$ that represents the vacuum with respect to independent quasiparticles. The quasiparticle operators are defined by the unitary Bogoliubov transformation of the single-nucleon creation and annihilation operators:

$$\alpha_k^+ = \sum_n U_{nk} c_n^+ + V_{nk} c_n, \quad (37)$$

where the index n refers to the original basis, e.g. an oscillator basis, or the coordinates (\mathbf{r}, s, t) in space, spin and isospin. In addition, for the relativistic case the index $p = f, g$ will denote the large and small components of the corresponding Dirac spinor. U and V are the Hartree–Bogoliubov wave functions determined by the variational principle. In the presence of pairing the single-particle density matrix is generalized to two densities [34]: the normal density $\hat{\rho}$ and the pairing tensor $\hat{\kappa}$

$$\hat{\rho}_{nn'} = \langle \Phi | c_n^\dagger c_n | \Phi \rangle, \quad \hat{\kappa}_{nn'} = \langle \Phi | c_n c_{n'} | \Phi \rangle. \quad (38)$$

The RHB energy density functional thus depends on both densities

$$E_{\text{RHB}}[\hat{\rho}, \hat{\kappa}] = E_{\text{RMF}}[\hat{\rho}] + E_{\text{pair}}[\hat{\kappa}], \quad (39)$$

where $E_{\text{RMF}}[\hat{\rho}]$ is the RMF-functional defined by Eq. (13) or (31), and the pairing part of the RHB functional reads

$$E_{\text{pair}}[\hat{\kappa}] = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{n_1 n'_1} \sum_{n_2 n'_2} \kappa_{n_1 n'_1}^* \langle n_1 n'_1 | V^{pp} | n_2 n'_2 \rangle \kappa_{n_2 n'_2}. \quad (40)$$

$\langle n_1 n'_1 | V^{pp} | n_2 n'_2 \rangle$ are the matrix elements of the two-body pairing interaction. The RHB-coefficients U and V are obtained by the variational principle, which yields the RHB equations:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{h}_D - m - \lambda & \hat{\Delta} \\ -\hat{\Delta}^* & -\hat{h}_D^* + m + \lambda \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} U_k \\ V_k \end{pmatrix} = E_k \begin{pmatrix} U_k \\ V_k \end{pmatrix}. \quad (41)$$

In the relativistic case the self-consistent mean-field corresponds to the single-nucleon Dirac Hamiltonian \hat{h}_D of Eqs. (22) or (32). m is the nucleon mass, and the chemical potential λ is determined by the particle number subsidiary condition such that the expectation value of the particle number operator in the ground state equals the number of nucleons. The pairing field Δ reads

$$\Delta_{n_1 n'_1} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n_2 n'_2} \langle n_1 n'_1 | V^{pp} | n_2 n'_2 \rangle \kappa_{n_2 n'_2}. \quad (42)$$

The column vectors in the eigenvalue problem Eq. (41) denote the quasiparticle wave functions, and E_k are the quasiparticle energies. The dimension of the RHB matrix equation is two times the dimension of the corresponding Dirac matrix equation. Therefore, for each eigenvector (U_k, V_k) with positive quasiparticle energy $E_k > 0$, there exists an eigenvector (V_k^*, U_k^*) with negative quasiparticle energy $-E_k$. Since the baryon quasiparticle operators satisfy fermion commutation relations, the levels E_k and $-E_k$ cannot be occupied simultaneously, that is, one chooses either the positive or the negative eigenvalue and the corresponding eigenvector [34]. In the Hartree–Fock case, the choice of positive or negative value of the quasiparticle energy means that the level is either occupied or empty. For the non-relativistic HFB the ground state represents the minimum of the energy surface and, to form a vacuum with respect to all quasiparticles, one chooses only the positive quasiparticle energies

$$\alpha_k |\Phi\rangle = 0 \quad \text{for } E_k > 0 \text{ or } |\Phi\rangle = \prod_{E_k > 0} \alpha_k |-\rangle. \quad (43)$$

$|\Phi\rangle$ denotes the quasiparticle vacuum, whereas $|-\rangle$ is the bare vacuum. $|\Phi\rangle$ corresponds to the occupation of states with lowest energy, because all the quasiparticle excitations have a positive excitation energy. The single-particle density and the pairing tensor Eq. (38) that correspond to this state can be expressed in terms of the quasi-particle wave functions:

$$\rho_{nn'} = \sum_{E_k > 0} V_{nk}^* V_{n'k}, \quad (44)$$

$$\kappa_{nn'} = \sum_{E_k > 0} V_{nk}^* U_{n'k}. \quad (45)$$

In the relativistic case one finds solutions in the Dirac sea (usually called negative energy solutions and denoted by the index a), and solutions above the Dirac sea (usually called positive energy solutions and denoted by the index p). In the Dirac equation without pairing they can easily be distinguished by the sign of the corresponding eigenvalues. For the RHB equations (41) this is no longer the case but, because of the large gap between the Dirac and the Fermi sea (≈ 1200 MeV), one can easily distinguish the levels in the Dirac sea $|E_a| > 1200$ MeV, from those above the Dirac sea (note that for the Hamiltonian $\hat{h} - m$ the positive energy continuum corresponds to zero energy and the negative energy continuum corresponds to $-2m$). The *no-sea approximation* means that we have to choose solutions with positive quasiparticle energies $E_p > 0$ for the states above the Dirac sea, and solutions with negative quasiparticle energies $E_a < 0$ for all the levels in the Dirac sea. Therefore, the RHB ground state is given by

$$|\Phi\rangle = \prod_{E_p > 0} \alpha_p \prod_{E_a < 0} \alpha_a |-\rangle. \quad (46)$$

Finally, we find for this wave function the following expressions for the single-particle density and the pairing tensor:

$$\rho_{nn'} = \sum_{E_p > 0} V_{np}^* V_{n'p} + \sum_{E_a < 0} V_{na}^* V_{n'a}, \quad (47)$$

$$\kappa_{nn'} = \sum_{E_p > 0} V_{np}^* U_{n'p} + \sum_{E_a < 0} V_{na}^* U_{n'a}. \quad (48)$$

It should be noted that the coefficients V_{na} are negligible because of the large Dirac gap and, therefore, the second term in Eqs. (47) and (48) is often neglected. In this case, however, the pairing tensor $\hat{\kappa}$ is only approximately antisymmetric.

Pairing correlations in nuclei are restricted to an energy window of a few MeV around the Fermi level, and their scale is well separated from the scale of binding energies, that are in the range from several hundred to thousand MeV. There is no empirical evidence for any relativistic effect in the nuclear pairing field $\hat{\Delta}$ and, therefore, a hybrid RHB model [35] with a non-relativistic pairing interaction can be formulated. For a general two-body interaction, the matrix elements of the relativistic pairing field read

$$\hat{\Delta}_{n_1 p_1, n'_1 p'_1} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n_2 p_2, n'_2 p'_2} \langle n_1 p_1, n'_1 p'_1 | V^{pp} | n_2 p_2, n'_2 p'_2 \rangle \kappa_{n_2 p_2, n'_2 p'_2}. \quad (49)$$

The indices $(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4 \equiv f, g)$ refer to the large and small components of the quasiparticle Dirac spinors:

$$U_k = \begin{pmatrix} f_k^{(U)} \\ i g_k^{(U)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad V_k = \begin{pmatrix} f_k^{(V)} \\ i g_k^{(V)} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (50)$$

In practical applications of the RHB model only the large components of the spinors U_k and V_k are used to build the non-relativistic pairing tensor $\hat{\kappa}$ in Eq. (44). The resulting pairing field reads

$$\hat{\Delta}_{n_1 f, n'_1 f} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n_2 n'_2} \langle n_1 f, n'_1 f | V^{pp} | n_2 f, n'_2 f \rangle \kappa_{n_2 f, n'_2 f}. \quad (51)$$

The other components: $\hat{\Delta}_{fg}$, $\hat{\Delta}_{gf}$, and $\hat{\Delta}_{gg}$ can be safely omitted [36].

For reasons of simplicity in many RHB calculations, in particular for those which serve as a basis for investigations beyond mean field [4] a zero-range pairing force has been chosen. However, this force shares with the monopole force with constant G the problem of an ultraviolet divergence and requires the use of a pairing window. Finite range forces avoid this. Therefore the pairing part of the Gogny force D1S [37] has been used with great success for many conventional RHB calculations for nuclear ground state

properties [35], for the study of rotational bands in the rotating frame [38], and for investigations of giant resonances in the framework of the relativistic quasiparticle random phase approximation (RQRPA) [2]. Since the calculations involving the finite-range Gogny force in the pairing channel require considerable computational effort, a separable form of the Gogny force has been introduced for RHB calculations in spherical and deformed nuclei [39–42]. The force is separable in momentum space, and is completely determined by two parameters that are adjusted to reproduce the pairing gap of the Gogny force in symmetric nuclear matter. The gap equation in the 1S_0 channel reads

$$\Delta(k) = - \int_0^\infty \frac{k'^2 dk'}{2\pi^2} \langle k|V^{1S_0}|k' \rangle \frac{\Delta(k')}{2E(k')}, \quad (52)$$

and the pairing force is separable in momentum space

$$\langle k|V^{1S_0}|k' \rangle = -Gp(k)p(k'). \quad (53)$$

By assuming a simple Gaussian ansatz $p(k) = e^{-a^2 k^2}$, the two parameters G and a have been adjusted to reproduce the density dependence of the gap at the Fermi surface, calculated with a Gogny force. For the D1S parameterization [37] of the Gogny force the following values were determined: $G = 728 \text{ MeV fm}^3$ and $a = 0.644 \text{ fm}$. When the pairing force equation (53) is transformed from momentum to coordinate space, it takes the form:

$$V^{pp}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \mathbf{r}'_1, \mathbf{r}'_2) = -G\delta(\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R}')P(\mathbf{r})P(\mathbf{r}'), \quad (54)$$

where $\mathbf{R} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\mathbf{r}_1 + \mathbf{r}_2)$ and $\mathbf{r} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2)$ denote the center-of-mass and the relative coordinates, respectively, and $P(\mathbf{r})$ is the Fourier transform of $p(k)$:

$$P(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{(4\pi a^2)^{3/2}} e^{-\mathbf{r}^2/2a^2}. \quad (55)$$

The pairing force has a finite range and, because of the presence of the factor $\delta(\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R}')$, it preserves translational invariance. Even though $\delta(\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R}')$ implies that this force is not completely separable in coordinate space, we will show in the following sections that the corresponding antisymmetrized pp matrix elements

$$\langle n_1 n_2 | V^{pp} | n'_1 n'_2 \rangle_a = \langle n_1 n_2 | V^{pp} | n'_1 n'_2 \rangle - \langle n_1 n_2 | V^{pp} | n'_2 n'_1 \rangle, \quad (56)$$

can be represented as a sum of a finite number of separable terms in the harmonic oscillator basis:

$$\langle n_1 n_2 | V^{pp} | n'_1 n'_2 \rangle_a = \sum_N W_{n_1 n_2}^{N*} W_{n'_1 n'_2}^N. \quad (57)$$

In this case the pairing field Δ takes the form

$$\Delta_{n_1 n_2} = \sum_N P_N W_{n_1 n_2}^{N*} \quad \text{with } P_N = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(W^N \kappa), \quad (58)$$

and, finally, the pairing energy in the nuclear ground state is given by [39]:

$$E_{\text{pair}} = -G \sum_N P_N^* P_N. \quad (59)$$

It should be noticed that the procedure to adjust the EDF parameters for the DD-ME2 and DD-PC1 sets has been performed by treating the pairing correlations in the BCS constant-gap approximation with empirical pairing gaps (5-point formula). This approximation is justified because pairing correlations contribute only a very small portion to the total binding energy. In nuclei there is a clear separation of scales between the bulk contributions to the binding energies of the order of hundreds to more than thousand MeV, and the pairing energy of the order of ten MeV. To take into account pairing correlations in a calculation of the binding of nuclei close to β -stability, such as those used to adjust the EDF parameters, it is sufficient to consider only the monopole part of the effective pairing interaction adjusted to experimental pairing gaps. Of

course, this is no longer true in studies of phenomena determined by structure effects in the vicinity of the Fermi surface, such as nuclear excitations or fission barriers, or in nuclei far from stability, where detailed properties of the effective interaction in the pairing channel become important.

3. Numerical implementation of the RHB equations

For nuclei with spherical symmetry the RHB equation in coordinate space reduces to a simple set of radial integro-differential equations. In the case of deformed nuclei, however, the solution of integro-differential equations in coordinate space presents a numerically intensive and time-consuming task. For an efficient implementation of the RHB model the DIRHB package uses a method proposed by Vautherin [43], that combines the configurational and coordinate space representations. The RHB equation is solved in the configurational space of harmonic oscillator wave functions with appropriate symmetry, whereas the densities are computed in coordinate space. The method can be applied to spherical, axially and non-axially deformed nuclei. The RHB eigenvalue problem in configurational space reads [17]

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A} - \lambda & \mathcal{B} & \Delta_{ff} & 0 \\ \mathcal{B}^T & \mathcal{C} - \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ \Delta_{ff} & 0 & -\mathcal{A} + \lambda & -\mathcal{B} \\ 0 & 0 & -\mathcal{B}^T & -\mathcal{C} + \lambda \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f^{(U)} \\ g^{(U)} \\ f^{(V)} \\ g^{(V)} \end{pmatrix} = E \begin{pmatrix} f^{(U)} \\ g^{(U)} \\ f^{(V)} \\ g^{(V)} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (60)$$

The diagonalization of the RHB matrix equation yields the wave functions in configurational space. The resulting density matrix is computed in configurational space

$$\begin{pmatrix} \rho_{nn'} & \rho_{n\tilde{n}'} \\ \rho_{\tilde{n}n'} & \rho_{\tilde{n}\tilde{n}'} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_n f_n^{(V)*} f_{n'}^{(V)} & i \sum_n f_n^{(V)*} g_{\tilde{n}'}^{(V)} \\ -i \sum_{\tilde{n}} g_{\tilde{n}}^{(V)*} f_{n'}^{(V)} & \sum_{\tilde{n}} g_{\tilde{n}}^{(V)*} g_{\tilde{n}'}^{(V)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (61)$$

where n and \tilde{n} denote the indices of an expansion of the large and small components of the Dirac spinor in the oscillator basis. The density matrix is then transformed to the coordinate space, and the resulting vector and scalar densities are used to calculate the potentials.

The map of the energy surface as a function of quadrupole deformation parameters is obtained by solving the RHB equation with constraints on the axial and triaxial mass quadrupole moments of a given nucleus. The method of quadratic constraints uses an unrestricted variation of the function

$$\langle \hat{H} \rangle + \sum_{\mu=0,2} C_{2\mu} (\langle \hat{Q}_{2\mu} \rangle - q_{2\mu})^2, \quad (62)$$

where $\langle \hat{H} \rangle$ is the total energy and $\langle \hat{Q}_{2\mu} \rangle$ denotes the expectation value of the mass quadrupole operators

$$\hat{Q}_{20} = 2z^2 - x^2 - y^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{Q}_{22} = x^2 - y^2. \quad (63)$$

$q_{2\mu}$ is the constrained value of the multipole moment and $C_{2\mu}$ the corresponding stiffness constant [34]. For a self-consistent solution the quadratic constraint adds an extra force term $\sum_{\mu=0,2} \lambda_{2\mu} \hat{Q}_{2\mu}$ to the system, where $\lambda_{2\mu} = 2C_{2\mu} (\langle \hat{Q}_{2\mu} \rangle - q_{2\mu})$. Such a term is necessary to force the system to a point in deformation space different from the stationary point. In general, the values of the quadrupole moments $\langle \hat{Q}_{2\mu} \rangle$ for the self-consistent solution coincide with the constrained values $q_{2\mu}$ only at the stationary point. Moreover, the difference between the quadrupole moment $\langle \hat{Q}_{2\mu} \rangle$

and the constrained value $q_{2\mu}$ depends on the value of the stiffness constant, that is, smaller values of $C_{2\mu}$ lead to larger deviations of the quadrupole moment from the corresponding constrained value. Increasing the value of the stiffness constant, however, often destroys the convergence of the self-consistent procedure. This deficiency can be resolved by using the augmented Lagrangian method [44], and this approach has been implemented in the DIRHB package. In the iterative procedure that leads to the self-consistent solution, the intermediate solutions can be combined by using either the linear or the Broyden mixing procedure [45].

3.1. The spherically symmetric case

For systems with rotational invariance we employ the spherical coordinates

$$x = r \sin \theta \cos \phi, \quad y = r \sin \theta \sin \phi, \quad z = r \cos \theta. \quad (64)$$

The nucleon densities and meson fields depend only on the radial coordinate r . The spinor is labeled by the nucleon angular momentum j_i , its projection m_i , parity π_i , and the isospin projection $t_i = \pm 1/2$ for neutrons and protons, respectively

$$\psi_i(\mathbf{r}, s, t) = \begin{pmatrix} f_i(r) \Phi_{l_j m_i}(\theta, \phi, s) \\ i g_i(r) \Phi_{\tilde{l}_j m_i}(\theta, \phi, s) \end{pmatrix} \chi_{t_i}(t). \quad (65)$$

The orbital angular momenta that correspond to the large (l_i) and small (\tilde{l}_i) spinor components are determined by the total angular momentum j_i and parity π_i

$$l = j \pm \frac{1}{2}, \quad \tilde{l} = j \mp \frac{1}{2}, \quad \pi = (-)^{j \pm \frac{1}{2}}, \quad (66)$$

$$\kappa = \pm \left(j + \frac{1}{2} \right).$$

χ_{t_i} denotes the isospin wave function, and $\Phi_{l_j m_i}$ is a two-dimensional spinor with the angular momentum quantum numbers $l_j m_i$

$$\Phi_{l_j m_i}(\theta, \phi, s) = [\chi_{1/2}(s) \otimes Y_l(\theta, \phi)]_{j m_i}. \quad (67)$$

The dependence on the angles is analytical and one is left with a coupled set of ordinary differential equations in the radial variable r for the large and small components of the Dirac spinor

$$(M^*(r) + V(r)) f_i(r) + \left(\partial_r - \frac{\kappa_i - 1}{r} \right) g_i(r) = \epsilon_i f_i(r), \quad (68)$$

$$-\left(\partial_r + \frac{\kappa_i + 1}{r} \right) f_i(r) - (M^*(r) - V(r)) g_i(r) = \epsilon_i g_i(r), \quad (69)$$

where $M^*(r)$ is the Dirac mass and the potential $V(r)$ is defined by Eq. (23).

The large and small components of the Dirac spinors are expanded separately in terms of the radial functions $R_{nl}(r, b_0)$ of a spherical harmonic oscillator potential with oscillator frequency $\hbar\omega_0$ and the corresponding oscillator length $b_0 = \sqrt{\hbar/m\omega_0}$

$$f_i(r) = \sum_{n=0}^{n_{\max}} f_n^{(i)} R_{nl_i}(r, b_0), \quad g_i(r) = \sum_{\tilde{n}=0}^{\tilde{n}_{\max}} g_{\tilde{n}}^{(i)} R_{\tilde{n}\tilde{l}_i}(r, b_0). \quad (70)$$

The radial oscillator wave functions read

$$R_{nl}(r, b_0) = b_0^{-3/2} R_{nl}(\xi) = b_0^{-3/2} \mathcal{N}_{nl} \xi^l L_n^{l+1/2}(\xi^2) e^{-\xi^2/2}, \quad (71)$$

where $\xi = r/b_0$ corresponds to the radial distance in units of the oscillator length. $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ counts the number of radial

nodes. The associated Laguerre polynomials $L_n^m(\xi^2)$ are defined in Ref. [46]. The normalization factor is

$$\mathcal{N}_{nl} = (2n!/(l+n+1/2)!)^{1/2}. \quad (72)$$

The upper limits n_{\max} and \tilde{n}_{\max} in Eq. (70) are determined by the corresponding major shell quantum numbers $N_{\max} = 2n_{\max} + l_{\max}$ and $\tilde{N}_{\max} = 2\tilde{n}_{\max} + \tilde{l}_{\max}$. The small components are expanded up to $\tilde{N}_{\max} = N_{\max} + 1$ to avoid spurious contributions to the solution of the RHB equation [20].

3.1.1. The Dirac Hamiltonian

In the following the generic notation for basis states reads: $|\alpha\rangle = |nljm\rangle$. We use α for the expansion of the upper, and $\tilde{\alpha}$ for the expansion of the lower components of the Dirac spinor. The matrix elements of the Dirac Hamiltonian equation (60) for the case of spherical symmetry read

$$\mathcal{A}_{\alpha\alpha'} = \int_0^\infty d\xi R_{nl}(\xi) R_{n'l'}(\xi) [M^*(b_0\xi) + V(b_0\xi)], \quad (73)$$

$$\mathcal{C}_{\tilde{\alpha}\tilde{\alpha}'} = \int_0^\infty d\xi R_{\tilde{n}\tilde{l}}(\xi) R_{\tilde{n}'\tilde{l}'}(\xi) [M^*(b_0\xi) - V(b_0\xi)], \quad (74)$$

$$\mathcal{B}_{\tilde{\alpha}\alpha'} = \mathcal{N}_{\tilde{n}\tilde{l}} \mathcal{N}_{n'l} \int_0^\infty d\xi e^{-\xi^2} \xi^{2l} L_n^{l+1/2} L_{n'}^{l'+1/2} \times (2n' + l + 1 + \kappa - \xi^2). \quad (75)$$

3.1.2. The Coulomb interaction

The potential for protons includes the direct Coulomb field

$$V_C(\mathbf{r}) = e^2 \int d^3r' \frac{\rho_p(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|}. \quad (76)$$

The logarithmic singularity in the integrand at the point $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}'$ can be eliminated by using the identity [43]:

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{r}'} |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'| = \frac{2}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|}, \quad (77)$$

that, together with an integration by parts, yields

$$V_C(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{e^2}{2} \int d^3r' |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'| \Delta_{\mathbf{r}'} \rho_p(\mathbf{r}'). \quad (78)$$

The angular part can be integrated analytically, while the remaining radial factor has to be integrated numerically

$$V_C(r) = \pi e^2 \int_0^\infty dr' r'^2 \left(3r + \frac{r'^2}{r} \right) \frac{d^2 \rho_p(r')}{dr'^2}. \quad (79)$$

3.1.3. Klein–Gordon equations

In spherical symmetry the Helmholtz equations for the meson fields $\phi = \sigma, \omega, \rho$ read

$$\left(-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} - \frac{2}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + m_\phi^2 \right) \phi(r) = s_\phi(r). \quad (80)$$

The solution of this equation is obtained by an expansion in a complete set of basis states

$$\phi(r) = \sum_{n=0}^{n_b} \phi_n R_{n0}(r, b_0), \quad s_\phi(r) = \sum_{n=0}^{n_b} s_n^\phi R_{n0}(r, b_0). \quad (81)$$

The maximal radial quantum number n_b in the expansion is determined by the cut-off parameter $N_b = 2n_b$. Inserting the ansatz (81) into the Klein–Gordon equation, one obtains a set of inhomogeneous linear equations,

$$\sum_{n'} \mathcal{H}_{nn'} \phi_{n'} = s_n^\phi, \quad (82)$$

¹ m is the bare nucleon mass.

with the matrix

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{nn'} &= -b_0^{-2} \delta_{nn'} (2n + 3/2) \\ &+ b_0^{-2} \delta_{nn'+1} \sqrt{(n+1)(n+3/2)} \\ &+ b_0^{-2} \delta_{n'n+1} \sqrt{(n'+1)(n'+3/2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (83)$$

The set of equations (82) is solved by inversion.

3.1.4. Pairing matrix elements

The antisymmetric matrix elements of the pairing interaction Eq. (54) can be separated into products of spin and coordinate-space factors

$$\langle \alpha \bar{\beta} | V^{pp} | \gamma \bar{\delta} \rangle_a = -G \langle \alpha \bar{\beta} | \delta(\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R}') P(\mathbf{r})(1 - P^\sigma)/2 | \gamma \bar{\delta} \rangle_a. \quad (84)$$

For the ground-state solution one only needs the two-particle wave functions coupled to angular momentum $J = 0$. Starting from the basis

$$|\alpha\rangle = |nljm\rangle = R_{nl}(r, b_0) [\chi_{1/2} \otimes Y_l(\hat{\mathbf{r}})]_{jm}, \quad (85)$$

$$|\bar{\alpha}\rangle = |\overline{nljm}\rangle = (-1)^{l+j-m} R_{nl}(r, b_0) [\chi_{1/2} \otimes Y_l(\hat{\mathbf{r}})]_{j-m}, \quad (86)$$

the two-particle wave function can be transformed from the jj to the LS coupling scheme. The projector $(1 - P^\sigma)/2$, together with the condition $J = 0$, restricts the spin and orbital quantum numbers to $S = \lambda = 0$, respectively

$$\begin{aligned} |\gamma \bar{\delta}\rangle^{S=0, J=0} &= (-1)^{l_\delta} \frac{\hat{j}_\delta}{\hat{s}_l} \delta_{l_\gamma, l_\delta} \delta_{j_\gamma, j_\delta} R_{n_\gamma l_\gamma}(r_1, b_0) \\ &\times R_{n_\delta l_\delta}(r_2, b_0) |\lambda = 0\rangle |S = 0\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (87)$$

with $\hat{j} = \sqrt{2j+1}$. The expressions

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda = 0\rangle &= [Y_{l_\gamma}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_1) \otimes Y_{l_\delta}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_2)]_0 \quad \text{and} \\ |S = 0\rangle &= [\chi_{1/2} \otimes \chi_{1/2}]_0 \end{aligned} \quad (88)$$

denote the angular momentum and spin wave functions coupled to angular momentum $\lambda = 0$ and spin $S = 0$. The spatial wave functions are expressed in laboratory coordinates, whereas the separable pairing interaction Eq. (84) depends on the center-of-mass coordinate and the relative coordinate of a nucleon pair. The transformation between the laboratory and center-of-mass reference frames can be carried out by using the Talmi–Moshinsky brackets [47–49]. In particular, the definition of Baranger [50] is used

$$|n_\gamma l_\gamma n_\delta l_\delta; \lambda \mu\rangle = \sum_{NLnl} M_{n_\gamma l_\gamma n_\delta l_\delta}^{NLnl} |NLnl; \lambda \mu\rangle, \quad (89)$$

with $|\lambda \mu\rangle = [Y_L(\hat{\mathbf{R}}) \otimes Y_l(\hat{\mathbf{r}})]_{\lambda \mu}$ and $|NLnl\rangle = R_{NL}(R, b_0) R_{nl}(r, b_0)$. $M_{n_\gamma l_\gamma n_\delta l_\delta}^{NLnl}$ are the Talmi–Moshinsky brackets, and the conservation of harmonic oscillator quanta yields the selection rule

$$2N + L + 2n + l = 2n_\gamma + l_\gamma + 2n_\delta + l_\delta. \quad (90)$$

Finally, the pairing matrix elements of the interaction Eq. (84) can be expressed as a sum over the quantum numbers N, L, N', L', n, l, n' and l' . The integration over the center of the mass coordinates \mathbf{R} and \mathbf{R}' yields $N = N', L = L'$. Furthermore, the sum contains the integrals over the relative coordinates

$$\int R_{nl}(r) Y_{lm}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) P(r) d^3r, \quad (91)$$

where $P(r)$ is given by Eq. (55). This integral vanishes for $l \neq 0$. Since the spherical harmonics $Y_L(\hat{\mathbf{R}})$ and $Y_l(\hat{\mathbf{r}})$ are coupled to $\lambda = 0$, the condition $l = 0$ also implies $L = 0$. The quantum numbers n

and n' are determined by the selection rule Eq. (90), that is, a single sum of separable terms over the quantum number N is obtained

$$V_{\alpha \bar{\beta} \gamma \bar{\delta}}^{J=0} = -G \sum_N W_{\alpha \bar{\beta}}^{N*} W_{\gamma \bar{\delta}}^N. \quad (92)$$

The single-particle matrix elements read

$$W_{\gamma \bar{\delta}}^N = (-1)^l \frac{\hat{j}}{\hat{s}_l} M_{n_\gamma l_\gamma n_\delta l}^{N0n0} \int_0^\infty R_{n0}(r, b_0) P(r) r^2 dr, \quad (93)$$

with $l \equiv l_\gamma = l_\delta$ and $j \equiv j_\gamma = j_\delta$. The radial integral over the relative coordinate can easily be reduced to the following form

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty R_{n0}(r, b_0) P(r) r^2 dr &= \frac{1}{b_0^{3/2}} \frac{1}{2^{1/4}} \frac{\mathcal{N}_{n0}}{(4\pi\alpha^2)^{3/2}} \\ &\times \int_0^\infty \eta^{1/2} L_n^{1/2}(\eta) e^{-\frac{\eta}{2}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha_0}\right) d\eta, \end{aligned} \quad (94)$$

where the parameter $\alpha_0 = a/b_0$ characterizes the width of the function $P(r)$ Eq. (55) in units of the oscillator length b_0 . The integral in Eq. (94) can be computed analytically by using the generating function for the associated Laguerre polynomials [46]

$$\frac{e^{\frac{\eta z}{z-1}}}{(1-z)^{3/2}} = \sum_{n=1}^\infty L_n^{1/2}(\eta) z^n. \quad (95)$$

Finally,

$$W_{\gamma \bar{\delta}}^N = \frac{(-1)^l}{b_0^{3/2}} \frac{\hat{j}}{\hat{s}_l} M_{n_\gamma l_\gamma n_\delta l}^{N0n0} \frac{\sqrt{(2n+1)!}}{(2\pi)^{3/4} 2^n n!} \left(\frac{1}{1+\alpha_0^2}\right)^{3/2} \left(\frac{1-\alpha_0^2}{1+\alpha_0^2}\right)^n, \quad (96)$$

where the quantum number n is determined by the selection rule $n = n_\gamma + n_\delta + l - N$.

3.2. Nuclei with axially symmetric quadrupole deformation

In the case of quadrupole deformation with axial symmetry, the third component J_z of the total angular momentum is conserved and defines the quantum number Ω_i that labels the nucleon spinor

$$\psi_i(\mathbf{r}, s, t) = \begin{pmatrix} f_i^+(r_\perp, z) e^{i\Lambda_- \phi} \\ f_i^-(r_\perp, z) e^{i\Lambda_+ \phi} \\ ig_i^+(r_\perp, z) e^{i\Lambda_- \phi} \\ ig_i^-(r_\perp, z) e^{i\Lambda_+ \phi} \end{pmatrix} \chi_{t_i}(t), \quad (97)$$

where $\Lambda_\pm = \Omega_i \pm 1/2$, and $\{r_\perp, z, \phi\}$ are the standard cylindrical coordinates

$$x = r_\perp \cos \phi, \quad y = r_\perp \sin \phi, \quad z = z. \quad (98)$$

Furthermore, the assumption is that parity and the third component of the isospin are conserved. The nucleon wave functions are expanded in a basis of eigenfunctions of a single-particle Hamiltonian for an axially deformed harmonic oscillator potential:

$$V_{\text{osc}}(z, r_\perp) = \frac{1}{2} m \omega_z^2 z^2 + \frac{1}{2} m \omega_\perp^2 r_\perp^2. \quad (99)$$

Imposing volume conservation, the two oscillator frequencies $\hbar\omega_\perp$ and $\hbar\omega_z$ can be expressed in terms of a deformation parameter β_0 ,

$$\hbar\omega_z = \hbar\omega_0 e^{-\sqrt{\frac{5}{4\pi}} \beta_0}, \quad \hbar\omega_\perp = \hbar\omega_0 e^{\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{5}{4\pi}} \beta_0}. \quad (100)$$

The corresponding oscillator length parameters are

$$b_z = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{m\omega_z}}, \quad b_\perp = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{m\omega_\perp}}. \quad (101)$$

$b_\perp^2 b_z = b_0^3$ because of volume conservation. The basis is now determined by the two constants $\hbar\omega_0$ and β_0 . The eigenfunctions of the deformed harmonic oscillator potential are labeled by the set

of quantum numbers

$$|\alpha\rangle = |n_z n_r \Lambda m_s\rangle, \quad (102)$$

where n_z and n_r are the number of nodes in the z - and r_\perp -directions, respectively. Λ and m_s are projections of the orbital angular momentum and spin on the intrinsic z -axis, respectively. Making use of the dimensionless variables

$$\xi = z/b_z, \quad \eta = r_\perp^2/b_\perp^2, \quad (103)$$

the harmonic oscillator eigenfunctions read

$$\Phi_\alpha(\mathbf{r}, s) = \varphi_{n_z}(z, b_z) \varphi_{n_r}^\Lambda(r_\perp, b_\perp) \frac{e^{i\Lambda\phi}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \chi(s), \quad (104)$$

where

$$\varphi_{n_z}(z, b_z) = b_z^{-1/2} \varphi_{n_z}(\xi) = b_z^{-1/2} \mathcal{N}_{n_z} H_{n_z}(\xi) e^{-\xi^2/2}, \quad (105)$$

$$\varphi_{n_r}^\Lambda(r_\perp, b_\perp) = b_\perp^{-1} \varphi_{n_r}^\Lambda(\eta) = b_\perp^{-1} \mathcal{N}_{n_r}^\Lambda \sqrt{2} \eta^{|\Lambda|/2} L_{n_r}^{|\Lambda|}(\eta) e^{-\eta/2}. \quad (106)$$

$H_{n_z}(\xi)$ and $L_{n_r}^{|\Lambda|}(\eta)$ denote the Hermite and associated Laguerre polynomials, respectively. The normalization factors are

$$\mathcal{N}_{n_z} = (\sqrt{\pi} 2^{n_z} n_z!)^{-1/2} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{N}_{n_r}^\Lambda = (n_r!/(n_r + |\Lambda|)!)^{1/2}. \quad (107)$$

The large and small components of a Dirac spinor are expanded independently in terms of the oscillator eigenfunctions

$$\begin{aligned} f_i(\mathbf{r}, s, t) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(f_i^+(z, r_\perp) e^{i\Lambda-\phi} \right. \\ &= \sum_{\alpha}^{\alpha_{\max}} f_{\alpha}^{(i)} \Phi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}, s) \chi_{t_i}(t), \end{aligned} \quad (108)$$

$$\begin{aligned} g_i(\mathbf{r}, s, t) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(g_i^+(z, r_\perp) e^{i\Lambda-\phi} \right. \\ &= \sum_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{\tilde{\alpha}_{\max}} g_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{(i)} \Phi_{\tilde{\alpha}}(\mathbf{r}, s) \chi_{t_i}(t). \end{aligned} \quad (109)$$

To avoid the appearance of spurious states, the quantum numbers α_{\max} and $\tilde{\alpha}_{\max}$ are chosen in such a way that the corresponding major quantum numbers $N = 2n_r + |\Lambda| + n_z$ are not larger than N_{\max} and $N_{\max} + 1$ for the large and small components, respectively.

3.2.1. The Dirac Hamiltonian

The matrix elements of the Dirac Hamiltonian for the case of axially symmetric quadrupole deformation are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \mathcal{A}_{\alpha\alpha'} \\ \mathcal{C}_{\alpha\alpha'} \end{smallmatrix} \right) &= \delta_{\Lambda\Lambda'} \delta_{m_s m_s'} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\xi \varphi_{n_z}(\xi) \varphi_{n_z'}(\xi) \\ &\times \int_0^{\infty} d\eta \varphi_{n_r}^\Lambda(\eta) \varphi_{n_r'}^\Lambda(\eta) \\ &\times [M^*(b_z \xi, b_\perp \sqrt{\eta}) \pm V(b_z \xi, b_\perp \sqrt{\eta})] \end{aligned} \quad (110)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_{\alpha\tilde{\alpha}} &= \delta_{\Lambda\tilde{\Lambda}} \delta_{m_s \tilde{m}_s} \delta_{n_r \tilde{n}_r} (-1)^{1/2-m_s} \frac{1}{b_z} \\ &\times \left(\delta_{n_z \tilde{n}_z-1} \sqrt{\frac{\tilde{n}_z}{2}} - \delta_{n_z \tilde{n}_z+1} \sqrt{\frac{n_z}{2}} \right) \\ &+ \delta_{\Lambda\tilde{\Lambda}+1} \delta_{m_s \tilde{m}_s-1} \delta_{n_z \tilde{n}_z} \frac{\mathcal{N}_{n_r}^\Lambda \mathcal{N}_{\tilde{n}_r}^{\tilde{\Lambda}}}{b_\perp} \\ &\times \int_0^{\infty} d\eta e^{-\eta} \eta^{\Lambda-1/2} L_{n_r}^\Lambda(\eta) \left(\tilde{L}_{\tilde{n}_r}^{\tilde{\Lambda}}(\eta) - \Lambda L_{\tilde{n}_r}^{\tilde{\Lambda}}(\eta) \right) \\ &+ \delta_{\Lambda\tilde{\Lambda}-1} \delta_{m_s \tilde{m}_s+1} \delta_{n_z \tilde{n}_z} \frac{\mathcal{N}_{n_r}^\Lambda \mathcal{N}_{\tilde{n}_r}^{\tilde{\Lambda}}}{b_\perp} \\ &\times \int_0^{\infty} d\eta e^{-\eta} \eta^{\Lambda-1/2} L_{n_r}^\Lambda(\eta) \left(\tilde{L}_{\tilde{n}_r}^{\tilde{\Lambda}}(\eta) + \tilde{\Lambda} L_{\tilde{n}_r}^{\tilde{\Lambda}}(\eta) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (111)$$

3.2.2. Coulomb interaction

The mean-field potential for protons includes the direct Coulomb field

$$V_C(\mathbf{r}) = e^2 \int d^3 r' \frac{\rho_p(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|}. \quad (112)$$

The logarithmic singularity in the integrand at the point $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}'$ can be eliminated by using the identity [43]

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{r}'} |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'| = \frac{2}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|}, \quad (113)$$

that, together with an integration by parts, gives

$$V_C(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{e^2}{2} \int d^3 r' |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'| \Delta_{\mathbf{r}'} \rho_p(\mathbf{r}'). \quad (114)$$

After integrating over the azimuthal angle ϕ , one obtains the following expression

$$\begin{aligned} V_C(r_\perp, z) &= 2e^2 \int_0^\infty r'_\perp dr'_\perp \int_{-\infty}^\infty dz' d(r_\perp, z) \\ &\times E\left(\frac{4r_\perp r'_\perp}{d(r_\perp, z)}\right) \Delta \rho_p(r'_\perp, z'), \end{aligned} \quad (115)$$

with $d(r_\perp, z) = \sqrt{(z - z')^2 + (r_\perp + r'_\perp)^2}$. The complete elliptic integral of the second kind is approximated by the standard polynomial formula [46].

3.2.3. Klein–Gordon equations

In the case of axial symmetry the Helmholtz equations for the meson fields $\phi = \sigma, \omega, \rho$ read

$$\left(-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r_\perp^2} - \frac{2}{r_\perp} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_\perp} + m_\phi^2 \right) \phi(r_\perp, z) = s_\phi(r_\perp, z). \quad (116)$$

The solution is obtained by expanding the fields in a complete set of basis states:

$$\phi(z, r_\perp) = \sum_{n_z n_r}^{N_B} \phi_{n_z n_r} \varphi_{n_z}(z, b_z) \varphi_{n_r}^0(r_\perp, b_\perp). \quad (117)$$

It is convenient to use the same deformation parameter β_0 and oscillator frequency $\hbar\omega_0$ as for the nucleon wave functions. Inserting the expansion into the Klein–Gordon equation, one obtains an inhomogeneous set of linear equations

$$\sum_{n'_z n'_r}^{N_B} \mathcal{H}_{n_z n_r n'_z n'_r} \phi_{n'_z n'_r} = s_{n_z n_r}^\phi, \quad (118)$$

with the matrix elements

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{n_z n_r n'_z n'_r} &= \delta_{n_r n'_r} \delta_{n_z n'_z} \left(\frac{1}{b_z^2} \left(n_z + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{b_\perp^2} (2n_r + 1) + m_\phi^2 \right) \\ &- \frac{1}{2b_z^2} \delta_{n_r n'_r} \left(\sqrt{(n_z + 1)n'_z} \delta_{n_z n'_z-2} + \sqrt{n_z(n'_z + 1)} \delta_{n_z n'_z+2} \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{b_\perp^2} \delta_{n_z n'_z} (n'_r \delta_{n_r n'_r-1} + n_r \delta_{n_r n'_r+1}). \end{aligned} \quad (119)$$

The set of equations (118) is solved by inversion.

3.2.4. The pairing matrix elements

Although the total angular momentum J is no longer a good quantum number, $\Omega_{\text{tot}} = 0$ is still valid in the pairing channel. For

the matrix element of the pairing interaction Eq. (54) the operator $(1 - P^\sigma)/2$ projects onto the $S = 0$ spin-singlet product space

$$|\gamma\delta\rangle_{S=0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \varphi_{n_z^\gamma}(z_1, b_z) \varphi_{n_z^\delta}(z_2, b_z) \varphi_{n_r^\gamma}^{\Lambda^\gamma}(r_{\perp,1}, b_\perp) \varphi_{n_r^\delta}^{\Lambda^\delta}(r_{\perp,2}, b_\perp) \\ \times \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{i\Lambda^\gamma(\phi_1 - \phi_2)} \delta_{\Lambda^\gamma \Lambda^\delta} \delta_{m_s^\gamma m_s^\delta}. \quad (120)$$

Since the separable pairing interaction is expressed in terms of the center-of-mass coordinate \mathbf{R} and the relative coordinate \mathbf{r} of a pair, the two-particle wave function is transformed to the center-of-mass frame:

$$|n_z^\gamma n_z^\delta\rangle = \sum_{N_z n_z} M_{n_z^\gamma n_z^\delta}^{N_z n_z} |N_z n_z\rangle, \quad (121)$$

$$|n_r^\gamma \Lambda^\gamma n_r^\delta \Lambda^\delta\rangle = \sum_{N_r \Lambda} \sum_{n_r \lambda} M_{n_r^\gamma \Lambda^\gamma n_r^\delta \Lambda^\delta}^{N_r \Lambda n_r \lambda} |N_r \Lambda n_r \lambda\rangle, \quad (122)$$

where $M_{n_z^\gamma n_z^\delta}^{N_z n_z}$ and $M_{n_r^\gamma \Lambda^\gamma n_r^\delta \Lambda^\delta}^{N_r \Lambda n_r \lambda}$ denote the one- and two-dimensional Talmi–Moshinsky transformation brackets, respectively [51,52]. The selection rule $\Lambda^\gamma + \Lambda^\delta = \Lambda + \lambda$ yields the constraint $\Lambda + \lambda = 0$. The pairing matrix element can be expressed as a sum over the complete set of quantum numbers $N_z, n_z, N_r, n_r, \Lambda, \lambda, N_z', n_z', N_r', n_r', \Lambda', \lambda'$. However, the factor $\delta(\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R}')$ in the pairing interaction restricts this sum to $N_z = N_z', N_r = N_r', \lambda = \lambda', \Lambda = \Lambda'$. Furthermore, the angular part of the integral over the relative coordinate vanishes for $\lambda \neq 0$, that is, $\Lambda = \lambda = 0$. Thus the matrix element of the separable force equation (54) in the axially deformed oscillator basis can be written as a sum over two quantum numbers: N_z and N_r ,

$$\langle \alpha \tilde{\beta} | V^{pp} | \gamma \tilde{\delta} \rangle_a = -G \sum_{N_z N_r} W_{\alpha \tilde{\beta}}^{N_z N_r} W_{\gamma \tilde{\delta}}^{N_z N_r}, \quad (123)$$

The summation over the quantum numbers n_z, n_r, n_z' and n_r' vanishes because of the conservation of the harmonic oscillator quanta

$$n_z = n_{z1} + n_{z2} - N_z, \quad (124)$$

$$n_r = n_{r1} + n_{r2} + |\Lambda_1| - N_r. \quad (125)$$

The single-particle matrix element $W_{\gamma \tilde{\delta}}^{N_z N_r}$ can be factorized into two one-dimensional integrals

$$W_{\gamma \tilde{\delta}}^{N_z N_r} = W_{\gamma \tilde{\delta}}^{N_z} W_{\gamma \tilde{\delta}}^{N_r}. \quad (126)$$

For $W_{\gamma \tilde{\delta}}^{N_z}$ we find

$$W_{\gamma \tilde{\delta}}^{N_z} = \frac{1}{b_z^{1/2}} M_{n_z^\gamma n_z^\delta}^{N_z n_z} I_{n_z}(\alpha_z), \quad (127)$$

where $\alpha_z = a/b_z$. The integral over the relative coordinate

$$I_n(\alpha) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi_n(\xi) e^{-\xi^2/2\alpha^2} d\xi, \quad (128)$$

vanishes for odd values of the quantum number n . The integration can be performed using the generating function for the Hermite polynomials [46]

$$e^{2\xi z - z^2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} H_n(\xi) z^n \quad (129)$$

and one obtains

$$I_n(\alpha) = \delta_{n,\text{even}} \frac{(-1)^{n/2}}{(2\pi)^{1/4}} \frac{\sqrt{n!}}{2^{n/2}(n/2)!} \\ \times \left(\frac{1}{1 + \alpha^2} \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{1 - \alpha^2}{1 + \alpha^2} \right)^{n/2}. \quad (130)$$

The integral over the perpendicular coordinate with $\alpha_\perp = a/b_\perp$

$$W_{\gamma \tilde{\delta}}^{N_r} = \frac{1}{b_\perp} M_{n_r^\gamma \Lambda^\gamma n_r^\delta \Lambda^\delta}^{N_r 0 n_r 0} \frac{1}{\alpha_\perp^2} \int_0^\infty \varphi_{n_r}^0(\eta) e^{-\eta^2/4\alpha_\perp^2} \eta d\eta, \quad (131)$$

can be evaluated using the generating function for the Laguerre polynomials [46]

$$\frac{e^{-\eta z/(1-z)}}{1-z} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} L_n(\eta) z^n, \quad (132)$$

with the resulting expression

$$W_{\gamma \tilde{\delta}}^{N_r} = \frac{1}{b_\perp} M_{n_r^\gamma \Lambda^\gamma n_r^\delta \Lambda^\delta}^{N_r 0 n_r 0} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{1/2}} \frac{1}{1 + \alpha_\perp^2} \left(\frac{1 - \alpha_\perp^2}{1 + \alpha_\perp^2} \right)^{n_r}. \quad (133)$$

3.3. Nuclei with triaxial quadrupole shapes

The Dirac single-nucleon spinors are expanded in a basis of eigenfunctions of a three-dimensional harmonic oscillator in Cartesian coordinates [53,54]:

$$V(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{2} m \omega_x^2 x^2 + \frac{1}{2} m \omega_y^2 y^2 + \frac{1}{2} m \omega_z^2 z^2. \quad (134)$$

Because of volume conservation, the three oscillator frequencies can be expressed in terms of the basis deformation parameters β_0 and γ_0 ,

$$\hbar\omega_x = \hbar\omega_0 e^{-\sqrt{\frac{5}{4\pi}} \beta_0 \cos(\gamma_0 - 2\pi/3)}, \quad (135)$$

$$\hbar\omega_y = \hbar\omega_0 e^{-\sqrt{\frac{5}{4\pi}} \beta_0 \cos(\gamma_0 + 2\pi/3)}, \quad (136)$$

$$\hbar\omega_z = \hbar\omega_0 e^{-\sqrt{\frac{5}{4\pi}} \beta_0 \cos \gamma_0}. \quad (137)$$

The corresponding oscillator lengths are $b_\mu = \sqrt{\hbar/m\omega_\mu}$ and, because of volume conservation, $b_x b_y b_z = b_0^3$. The basis is completely determined by the three constants $\hbar\omega_0$, β_0 , and γ_0 . The basis states are products of three one-dimensional harmonic oscillator eigenfunctions and the spin factor:

$$\Phi_\alpha(\mathbf{r}; m_s) = \varphi_{n_x}(x, b_x) \varphi_{n_y}(y, b_y) \varphi_{n_z}(z, b_z) \chi_{m_s}, \quad (138)$$

The one-dimensional oscillator eigenfunctions read

$$\varphi_{n_\mu}(x_\mu, b_\mu) = b_\mu^{-1/2} \varphi_{n_\mu}(\xi_\mu) \\ = b_\mu^{-1/2} \mathcal{N}_{n_\mu} H_{n_\mu}(\xi_\mu) e^{-\xi_\mu^2/2} \quad (\mu \equiv x, y, z), \quad (139)$$

where ξ_μ denotes the ratio between the Cartesian coordinate and the corresponding oscillator length $b_\mu = \sqrt{\hbar/m\omega_\mu}$. The normalization factor reads

$$\mathcal{N}_n = (\sqrt{\pi} 2^n n!)^{-1/2}, \quad (140)$$

and the Hermite polynomial $H_n(\xi)$ is defined in Ref. [46].

The spatial part of the wave function is labeled by the quantum numbers $\alpha = \{n_x, n_y, n_z\}$. For each combination of these quantum numbers the spin part is chosen in such a way that the basis state is an eigenfunction of the x -simplex operator $\hat{S}_x = \hat{P} e^{-i\pi J_x}$, where \hat{P} denotes the parity operator. The positive and negative x -simplex operator [54] eigenstates read

$$|n_x n_y n_z; i = +\rangle = |n_x n_y n_z\rangle \frac{i^{n_y}}{\sqrt{2}} [|\uparrow\rangle - (-1)^{n_x} |\downarrow\rangle], \quad (141)$$

$$|n_x n_y n_z; i = -\rangle = |n_x n_y n_z\rangle (-1)^{n_x + n_y + 1} \frac{i^{n_y}}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \times [|\uparrow\rangle + (-1)^{n_x} |\downarrow\rangle], \quad (142)$$

and are related by the time-reversal operator

$$|n_x n_y n_z; i = -\rangle = \hat{T} |n_x n_y n_z; i = +\rangle. \quad (143)$$

For a Dirac spinor with a positive simplex eigenvalue, the large component corresponds to positive eigenvalues and the small

component to negative eigenvalues. The large and small components are expanded in terms of the basis states

$$f_i(\mathbf{r}, \pm) = \sum_{\alpha}^{\alpha_{\max}} f_i^{\alpha} \Phi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}; \pm), \quad g_i(\mathbf{r}, \pm) = \sum_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{\tilde{\alpha}_{\max}} g_i^{\tilde{\alpha}} \Phi_{\tilde{\alpha}}(\mathbf{r}; \pm). \quad (144)$$

In the present implementation of the model parity is also conserved, thus allowing a further reduction of the basis to four simplex-parity blocks. To avoid the appearance of spurious states, the quantum numbers α_{\max} and $\tilde{\alpha}_{\max}$ are chosen in such a way that the corresponding major quantum number $N = n_x + n_y + n_z$ does not exceed N_{\max} and $\tilde{N}_{\max} = N_{\max} + 1$ for large and small components, respectively.

3.3.1. The Dirac Hamiltonian

In addition to x -simplex and parity, one assumes that the densities and fields are reflection-symmetric with respect to the yz , xz and xy planes

$$V(-x, y, z) = V(x, y, z), \quad (145)$$

$$V(x, -y, z) = V(x, y, z), \quad (146)$$

$$V(x, y, -z) = V(x, y, z). \quad (147)$$

The matrix elements of the Dirac Hamiltonian in Cartesian coordinates are given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}_{\alpha\alpha'} \\ \mathcal{C}_{\alpha\alpha'} \end{pmatrix} = (-1)^{(n_y+n'_y)/2} \delta_{n_x+n'_x, \text{even}} \delta_{n_y+n'_y, \text{even}} \delta_{n_z+n'_z, \text{even}} \times \langle n_x n_y n_z | M^*(x, y, z) \pm V(x, y, z) | n'_x n'_y n'_z \rangle \quad (148)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_{\alpha\tilde{\alpha}} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}b_x} \delta_{n_y\tilde{n}_y} \delta_{n_z\tilde{n}_z} (-1)^{n_x+n_y} \left[\sqrt{n_x} \delta_{n_x\tilde{n}_x+1} - \sqrt{\tilde{n}_x} \delta_{n_x\tilde{n}_x-1} \right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}b_y} \delta_{n_x\tilde{n}_x} \delta_{n_z\tilde{n}_z} (-1)^{n_y} \left[\sqrt{n_y} \delta_{n_y\tilde{n}_y+1} + \sqrt{\tilde{n}_y} \delta_{n_y\tilde{n}_y-1} \right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}b_z} \delta_{n_x\tilde{n}_x} \delta_{n_y\tilde{n}_y} (-1)^{n_x+n_y} \left[\sqrt{n_z} \delta_{n_z\tilde{n}_z+1} - \sqrt{\tilde{n}_z} \delta_{n_z\tilde{n}_z-1} \right]. \quad (149) \end{aligned}$$

3.3.2. Coulomb interaction

The direct Coulomb potential is given by the three-dimensional integral

$$U_c(\mathbf{r}) = e^2 \int d^3r' \frac{\rho_p(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|}. \quad (150)$$

Although a direct integration of the Poisson equation can easily be performed in calculations when the problem is characterized by spherical or axial symmetry, the number of mesh points on the three dimensional grid can easily become prohibitively large. In this case the Poisson equation can be solved using the conjugate gradient method [55], or the Coulomb interaction is replaced by an integral over Gaussian interactions [56,57]. The DIRHB triaxial code employs a method based on the Coulomb Green's function, that is also used in the non-relativistic HFODD code [58]. The direct Coulomb potential can be expressed through the Dirichlet Green's function $G_D(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')$ in the following way [59]

$$\begin{aligned} U_c(\mathbf{r}) &= e^2 \int_V d^3r' G_D(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \rho_p(\mathbf{r}') \\ &- \frac{e^2}{4\pi} \oint_S d^2s' \frac{\partial G_D(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')}{\partial n'} U_c(\mathbf{r}'). \quad (151) \end{aligned}$$

The first term is the volume integral over an arbitrary closed volume, whereas the second integral is evaluated over the surface enclosing this volume. The Dirichlet Green's function presents a solution of the Poisson equation for a point charge, and vanishes at the surface. The normal derivative in the surface term is calculated with respect to the outward direction perpendicular to the surface.

The method proposed in Ref. [58] adopts the volume in the form of the parallelepiped

$$-D_x \leq x \leq D_x, \quad -D_y \leq y \leq D_y, \quad -D_z \leq z \leq D_z. \quad (152)$$

The Dirichlet Green's function can be expressed in a separable form as

$$G_D(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \frac{4\pi}{D_x D_y D_z} \times \sum_{j_x j_y j_z} \frac{f(J_x x) f(J_y y) f(J_z z) f(J_x x') f(J_y y') f(J_z z')}{J_x^2 + J_y^2 + J_z^2}, \quad (153)$$

where the functions f ensure the Dirichlet boundary conditions,

$$f(J_i x_i) = \begin{cases} \cos(J_i x_i) & \text{for } J_i \text{ even,} \\ \sin(J_i x_i) & \text{for } J_i \text{ odd,} \end{cases} \quad \text{with } J_i = \frac{(j_i + 1)\pi}{2D_i}. \quad (154)$$

The Coulomb potential on the surface of the parallelepiped is approximated by the multipole expansion

$$U_c(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\lambda\mu} \frac{4\pi e^2}{(2\lambda + 1)r^{2\lambda+1}} Q_{\lambda\mu}^{(p)} r^{\lambda} Y_{\lambda\mu}(\theta, \phi). \quad (155)$$

In practical calculations it suffice to retain the monopole, quadrupole and hexadecupole terms in the multipole expansion.

3.3.3. The Helmholtz equation

In Cartesian coordinates the Helmholtz equations for the meson fields $\phi = \sigma, \omega, \rho$ read

$$\left(-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} + m_{\phi}^2 \right) \phi(x, y, z) = s_{\phi}(x, y, z). \quad (156)$$

The solution is obtained by expanding the fields in a complete set of basis states

$$\phi(x, y, z) = \varphi_{n_x}(x, b_x) \varphi_{n_y}(y, b_y) \varphi_{n_z}(z, b_z). \quad (157)$$

Also in this case the same deformation parameter β_i is used as for the nucleon wave functions. Inserting the expansion into the Klein–Gordon equation, one obtains an inhomogeneous set of linear equations

$$\sum_{n'_x n'_y n'_z}^{N_B} \mathcal{H}_{n_x n_y n_z n'_x n'_y n'_z} \phi_{n'_x n'_y n'_z} = s_{n_x n_y n_z}^{\phi}, \quad (158)$$

with the matrix elements given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{n_x n_y n_z n'_x n'_y n'_z} &= -\frac{1}{b_x^2} \left[\sqrt{(n_x + 1)n'_x} \delta_{n_x n'_x - 2} + \sqrt{n_x(n'_x + 1)} \delta_{n_x n'_x + 2} \right] \delta_{n_y n'_y} \delta_{n_z n'_z} \\ &- \frac{1}{b_y^2} \left[\sqrt{(n_y + 1)n'_y} \delta_{n_y n'_y - 2} + \sqrt{n_y(n'_y + 1)} \delta_{n_y n'_y + 2} \right] \delta_{n_x n'_x} \delta_{n_z n'_z} \\ &- \frac{1}{b_z^2} \left[\sqrt{(n_z + 1)n'_z} \delta_{n_z n'_z - 2} + \sqrt{n_z(n'_z + 1)} \delta_{n_z n'_z + 2} \right] \delta_{n_x n'_x} \delta_{n_y n'_y} \\ &+ \left[\frac{n_x + \frac{1}{2}}{b_x^2} + \frac{n_y + \frac{1}{2}}{b_y^2} + \frac{n_z + \frac{1}{2}}{b_z^2} + m_{\phi}^2 \right] \delta_{n_x n'_x} \delta_{n_y n'_y} \delta_{n_z n'_z}. \quad (159) \end{aligned}$$

The set of equations (158) is solved by inversion.

3.3.4. Pairing matrix elements

The antisymmetric matrix elements of the pairing interaction Eq. (54) can be separated into a product of spin and coordinate space factors. The operator $(1 - P^{\sigma})/2$ projects onto the $S = 0$

spin-singlet product state

$$|\gamma\bar{\delta}\rangle_{S=0} = -|\bar{\delta}\gamma\rangle_{S=0} = \frac{1}{2}i^{n_y^\gamma+n_y^\delta}(-1)^{n_y^\delta+1} \times \delta_{n_x^\gamma+n_x^\delta, \text{even}} [|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle - |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle] |n^\gamma n^\delta\rangle, \quad (160)$$

and the problem reduces to the calculation of the spatial part of the matrix element. This integral is separable in the x -, y - and z -coordinates. As an example, the x component reads

$$V_x = \int \varphi_{n_x^\alpha}(x_1, b_x) \varphi_{n_x^\beta}(x_2, b_x) P(x) \delta(X - X') P(x') \times \varphi_{n_x^\gamma}(x'_1, b_x) \varphi_{n_x^\delta}(x'_2, b_x) dx_1 dx_2 dx'_1 dx'_2. \quad (161)$$

This integral is evaluated by making use of the 1D Talmi–Moshinsky transformation and the generating function for the Hermite polynomials (see Section 3.2.4). One finds that the pairing matrix element,

$$\langle\alpha\bar{\beta}|V^{pp}|\gamma\bar{\delta}\rangle_a = -G \sum_{N_x=0}^{N_x^0} \sum_{N_y=0}^{N_y^0} \sum_{N_z=0}^{N_z^0} \left(W_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}^{N_x N_y N_z}\right)^* W_{\gamma\bar{\delta}}^{N_x N_y N_z}, \quad (162)$$

can be represented as a sum of separable terms in a 3D Cartesian harmonic oscillator basis with the single-particle matrix elements

$$W_{\gamma\bar{\delta}}^{N_x N_y N_z} = \delta_{n_x^\gamma+n_x^\delta, \text{even}} i^{n_y^\gamma-n_y^\delta} W_{n_x^\gamma n_x^\delta}^{N_x} W_{n_y^\gamma n_y^\delta}^{N_y} W_{n_z^\gamma n_z^\delta}^{N_z}. \quad (163)$$

The factors $W_{n_1 n_2}^{N_\mu}$ are given by

$$W_{n_\mu^\gamma n_\mu^\delta}^{N_\mu} = \frac{1}{b_\mu^{1/2}} M_{n_\mu^\gamma n_\mu^\delta}^{N_\mu} I_n(\alpha_\mu) \quad \text{with } n = N_\mu - n_\mu^\gamma - n_\mu^\delta \quad (164)$$

where $M_{n_\mu^\gamma n_\mu^\delta}^{N_\mu}$ denotes the 1D Talmi–Moshinsky bracket, $\alpha_\mu = a/b_\mu$, and the integral $I_n(\alpha)$ is given in Eq. (130).

Because of reflection symmetry (145) of the potentials and corresponding densities, only those matrix elements with even values for $n_x^\gamma + n_x^\delta$, $n_y^\gamma + n_y^\delta$, and $n_z^\gamma + n_z^\delta$ contribute to $P^{N_x N_y N_z} = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(W^{N_x N_y N_z} \kappa)$ and, therefore, N_x , N_y , and N_z have to be even. As a consequence, only matrix elements of $\Delta_{\gamma\bar{\delta}}$ with even values for $n_x^\gamma + n_x^\delta$, $n_y^\gamma + n_y^\delta$, and $n_z^\gamma + n_z^\delta$ do not vanish.

4. Structure of the DIRHB program package

All three codes included in the DIRHB package (DIRHBS, DIRHBZ and DIRHBT) consist of a Fortran program and two additional files: DIRHB.PAR and DIRHB.DAT. The file DIRHB.PAR contains the relevant information about the dimension of the arrays, depending on the number of oscillator shells selected for the expansion of nucleon spinors (NOFX) and boson fields (NOBX), and the number of Gaussian mesh points. The file DIRHB.DAT includes the data for the specific nucleus being calculated. The main program calls various subroutines that read the data and perform the computation. The execution essentially consists of three parts. The first part uses the file DIRHB.DAT to start the program, initializes and generates all the relevant information. It uses the subroutines DEFAULT, READER, FORCES, GAUSS, BASE, PREP, INOUT, DINOUT, START, GAUPOL, SINGF and SINGD, GREEMES, and GREECOU.

The second part of the code carries out the self-consistent computation. The iterative procedure is performed by the subroutine ITER. When the parameter IBROYD is set to 0, the intermediate solutions are combined using the linear mixing procedure. In this case the program runs interactively. After three iterations the user has to input the number of iterations, and the value of the parameter

that determines the amount of mixing between the new matrix elements and those calculated in the previous iteration

$$\phi_{n+1}(\mathbf{r}) = x_{\text{mix}} \phi_{n+1}(\mathbf{r}) + (1 - x_{\text{mix}}) \phi_n(\mathbf{r}). \quad (165)$$

For example x_{mix} can be set to 100, and the value of x_{mix} to 0.2. For the parameter x_{mix} to change automatically during the iteration procedure, the value has to be input with a negative sign, that is, -100 instead of 100. Setting the value of x_{mix} to 0 stops the iteration immediately. When the parameter IBROYD (predefined in the subroutine DEFAULT) is set to 1, the intermediate solutions are combined using the Broyden mixing procedure [45]. In this mode the program runs automatically with the mixing parameter $x_{\text{mix}} = 0.5$. At each iteration step the program prints out the iteration number, the current level of accuracy (si) that corresponds to the maximal difference between the matrix elements calculated in the previous and current iteration, the current mixing parameter between the previous and fields from the present iteration (x_{mix}), the total energy per particle² and the current value of the mass distribution r_{ms} radius. For the DIRHBZ code the printout also contains the deformation parameter β and, correspondingly, both deformation parameters β and γ for the DIRHBT code. The iteration procedure stops when, for all mean-field and pairing matrix elements, the changes between two consecutive iteration steps become smaller than the parameter epsi , predefined in the subroutine DEFAULT.

The third part of the code performs the remaining computations after convergence is reached. The quasiparticle states and the single-particle states in the canonical basis are printed out, the center-of-mass correction is evaluated, and various expectation values are computed and printed. The structure of the DIRHB program package is outlined in Table 1.

4.1. Input and output data

The input data include:

- Number of oscillator shells for fermions and bosons (nOf, nOb). These numbers must not exceed the values of the parameters nOfx and nObx in the file dirhb.par.
- β -deformation parameter of the harmonic oscillator basis (beta0 for the axial and triaxial codes).
- γ -deformation parameter of the harmonic oscillator basis (gamma0 for the triaxial code).
- β -deformation parameter for the initial Woods–Saxon potential (betai, only for the axial and triaxial codes).
- γ -deformation parameter of the initial Woods–Saxon potential (gammai, only for the triaxial code).
- The starting parameter for the potential (inin). If the parameter inin is set to 1, the code starts from a default Woods–Saxon potential predefined in the code. If the parameter inin is set to 0, the initial potential is read from the file dirhb.wel.
- The starting parameter for the potential and pairing field (inink). If inink is set to 1, the code starts with the diagonal pairing field with equal matrix elements delta0 . If inink is set to 0, the initial pairing matrix elements are read from the file dirhb.del.
- Neutron and proton initial pairing gaps (delta0).
- The nuclide to be computed: the element name (nucnam) followed by the mass number (nama).
- Acronym of the parameter set of the selected energy density functional (parname).

The following parameters, used to control the constrained calculation, are used only by the DIRHBZ and DIRHBT codes. In the case of axial symmetry only β is constrained.

² To speed up the calculation, the center-of-mass correction is computed only after convergence is achieved.

Table 1

Program structure of the DIRHB computer codes.

Subroutine	Task
-main	
-default	Initializes all variables.
-reader	Reads parameters from the input data file <code>dirhb.dat</code> .
-prep	Prepares variables according to the input data file.
-inout and dinout	Reads initial fields ($inin=0$) and pairing tensor ($inink=0$).
-start	Sets up the initial Woods–Saxon fields ($inin=1$) and pairing tensor ($inink=1$).
-base	Constructs the configuration space and the arrays of quantum numbers.
-gaupol	Calculates the HO basis functions at the Gaussian mesh points.
-singf	Calculates the single-particle matrix elements.
-singd	Calculates the pairing matrix elements.
-greecou	Calculates the Coulomb Green's function.
-greemes	Calculates the meson Green's function.
-iter	Main iteration loop, repeated until convergence is reached.
-gamma	Calculates the Dirac Hamiltonian matrix elements.
-delta	Calculates and stores matrix elements of the pairing field.
-dirhb	Diagonalizes the RHB equation.
-denssh	Calculates the density matrix and pairing tensor in the oscillator space.
-densit	Transforms the densities into coordinate space.
-gdd	Calculates the density dependent couplings in coordinate space.
-field	Calculates the fields in coordinate space.
-coulom	Calculates the Coulomb potential in coordinate space.
-expect	Calculates various expectation values.
-cstrpot	Calculates the constraining potential (relevant only for the axial and the triaxial code).
-poten	Calculates the potentials in coordinate space.
-itestc	Verifies whether the convergency criterion is satisfied.
-canon	Constructs the canonical basis.
-centmas	Calculates the center-of-mass correction.
-resu	Prints out the quasiparticle properties.
-inout	Stores the final potentials for future use.
-dinout	Stores the pairing matrix elements for future use.
-plot	Prints out the densities in coordinate space.

- The quadrupole constraint control parameter `icstr`. If `icstr` is set to 0, the quadrupole constraint is not included, and the parameters `betac`, `gammac` and `cqad` are not used. If `icstr` is set to 1, then `betac` and `gammac` denote the constrained value of the quadrupole deformation in the β - γ plane.
- Constrained value of the β -deformation parameter (`betac`).
- Constrained value of the γ -deformation parameter (`gammac`).
- Stiffness constant for the quadrupole constraint (`cqad`).

The output of the calculation is rather simple and we only briefly describe the different sections of the output file `dirhb.out`.

- **Header:** The title of the code, date and time of execution, and the name, mass, neutron and proton number of the nucleus, the acronym of the effective interaction used in the calculation.
- **Input data:** Summary of the input data.
- **Force:** The acronym and parameters of the effective interaction used in the particle–hole and particle–particle channels.
- **Numerical:** Summary of the numerical parameters and options.
- **Iterations:** Brief information for each iteration step.
- **Canonical basis:** Quantum numbers of the harmonic oscillator basis state that corresponds to the largest component of the canonical wave function, contribution of this basis state in the canonical wave function, single-particle energies, pairing gaps and occupation probabilities in the canonical basis.
- **Quasiparticle basis:** Quantum numbers of the harmonic oscillator basis state that corresponds to the largest component of the quasiparticle wave function, quasiparticle energies, norms of the U and V coefficients. If the norm of the coefficient V is larger than 0.5 the state is labeled as a hole state (h), otherwise it is labeled as a particle state (p).
- **Observables:** Expectation values of various observables calculated for the final RHB state.

The trace of the vector/scalar density is calculated by integrating the corresponding density. The charge radius is calculated using the simple formula:

$$r_c = \sqrt{r_p^2 + 0.64} \quad (\text{fm}), \quad (166)$$

where r_p denotes the *rms* radius of the proton density distribution. The term 0.64 fm^2 accounts for the finite size of the proton. The quadrupole moments $Q_{20}^{(n,p)}$ and $Q_{22}^{(n,p)}$ are calculated using the expressions

$$Q_{20}^{(n,p)} = \sqrt{\frac{5}{16\pi}} \langle 3z^2 - r^2 \rangle_{(n,p)}, \quad (167)$$

$$Q_{22}^{(n,p)} = \sqrt{\frac{5}{32\pi}} \langle x^2 - y^2 \rangle_{(n,p)}.$$

The following relations for the quadrupole moments expressed in terms of the deformation parameters a_{20} and a_{22}

$$Q_{20}^{(n,p)} = \frac{3A}{4\pi} R_0^2 a_{20}^{(n,p)}, \quad Q_{22}^{(n,p)} = \frac{3A}{4\pi} R_0^2 a_{22}^{(n,p)}, \quad (168)$$

determine the deformation parameters β and γ :

$$\beta = \sqrt{a_{20}^2 + 2a_{22}^2}, \quad \gamma = \arctan \left(\sqrt{2} \frac{a_{22}}{a_{20}} \right), \quad (169)$$

with $R_0 = 1.2A^{1/3} \text{ (fm)}$. The sign convention is that of Ref. [34]. For the case of axial symmetry, the deformation parameter β is determined by the quadrupole moment Q_{20} :

$$Q_{20} = \sqrt{\frac{9}{5\pi}} AR_0^2 \beta. \quad (170)$$

Positive β values correspond to the $\gamma = 0^\circ$ axis (prolate shapes), and negative values to the $\gamma = 180^\circ$ axis (oblate shapes). The hexadecapole moment is computed using the expression

$$H_{n,p} = \langle 8z^4 - 24z^2(x^2 + y^2) + 3(x^2 + y^2)^2 \rangle_{n,p}. \quad (171)$$

After the self-consistent solution of the RHB equations is reached, the center-of-mass correction

$$E_{cm} = -\frac{P_{cm}^2}{2AM} \quad (172)$$

is subtracted from the total binding energy. P_{cm} is the total momentum of a nucleus with A nucleons.

The densities in coordinate space are stored in the file `dirhb.plo`. The details depend on the particular code:

- DIRHBS: prints out the total vector density as a function of the radial coordinate.
- DIRHBZ: prints out the total vector density in the xz plane limited to $x > 0$ because of axial symmetry. The first column denotes the coordinate x , the second z , and the third column is the corresponding value of the total vector density.
- DIRHBT: prints out the total vector density in the xy (`dirhb-xy.plo`), xz (`dirhb-xz.plo`) and yz (`dirhb-yz.plo`) planes;

Acknowledgments

This work was partly supported by the MZOS Project No. 1191005-1010, and the DFG cluster of excellence “Origin and Structure of the Universe” (www.universe-cluster.de). T.N. acknowledges support from the Croatian Science Foundation.

References

- [1] M. Bender, P.-H. Heenen, P.-G. Reinhard, *Rev. Modern Phys.* 75 (2003) 121.
- [2] D. Vretenar, A.V. Afanasjev, G.A. Lalazissis, P. Ring, *Phys. Rep.* 409 (2005) 101.
- [3] J.R. Stone, P.-G. Reinhard, *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.* 58 (2007) 587.
- [4] T. Nikšić, D. Vretenar, P. Ring, *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.* 66 (2011) 519.
- [5] B.D. Serot, J.D. Walecka, *Adv. Nucl. Phys.* 16 (1986) 1.
- [6] B.D. Serot, J.D. Walecka, *Internat. J. Modern Phys. E* 6 (1997) 515.
- [7] G.A. Lalazissis, P. Ring, D. Vretenar (Eds.), *Lecture Notes in Physics*, Vol. 641, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 2004.
- [8] R.J. Furnstahl, B.D. Serot, *Comments Nucl. Part. Phys. A* 2 (2000) 23.
- [9] P. Ring, *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.* 37 (1996) 193.
- [10] S.A. Chin, *Ann. Phys. (NY)* 108 (1977) 301.
- [11] R.J. Perry, *Phys. Lett. B* 182 (1986) 269.
- [12] Zhiyuan Zhu, H.J. Mang, P. Ring, *Phys. Lett. B* 254 (1991) 325.
- [13] R.J. Furnstahl, B.D. Serot, H.-B. Tang, *Nuclear Phys. A* 618 (1997) 446.
- [14] J. Boguta, A.R. Bodmer, *Nuclear Phys. A* 292 (1977) 413.
- [15] W. Panert, P. Ring, J. Boguta, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 59 (1987) 2420.
- [16] P.G. Reinhard, M. Ruffa, J. Maruhn, W. Greiner, J. Friedrich, *Z. Phys. A* 323 (1986) 13.
- [17] Y.K. Gambhir, P. Ring, A. Thimet, *Ann. Phys. (NY)* 198 (1990) 132.
- [18] G.A. Lalazissis, J. König, P. Ring, *Phys. Rev. C* 55 (1997) 540.
- [19] B.G. Todd-Ruttel, J. Piekarewicz, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 95 (2005) 122501.
- [20] P. Ring, Y. Gambhir, G. Lalazissis, *Comput. Phys. Comm.* 105 (1997) 77.
- [21] R. Brockmann, H. Toki, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 68 (1992) 3408.
- [22] S. Typel, H.H. Wolter, *Nucl. Phys. A* 656 (1999) 331.
- [23] F. Hofmann, C.M. Keil, H. Lenske, *Phys. Rev. C* 64 (2001) 034314.
- [24] T. Nikšić, D. Vretenar, P. Finelli, P. Ring, *Phys. Rev. C* 66 (2002) 024306.
- [25] G.A. Lalazissis, T. Nikšić, D. Vretenar, P. Ring, *Phys. Rev. C* 71 (2005) 024312.
- [26] F. de Jong, H. Lenske, *Phys. Rev. C* 57 (1998) 3099.
- [27] P. Manakos, T. Mannel, *Z. Phys. A* 330 (1989) 223.
- [28] J.J. Rusnak, R.J. Furnstahl, *Nuclear Phys. A* 627 (1997) 495.
- [29] T. Bürvenich, D.G. Madland, J.A. Maruhn, P.G. Reinhard, *Phys. Rev. C* 65 (2002) 044308.
- [30] T. Nikšić, D. Vretenar, P. Ring, *Phys. Rev. C* 78 (2008) 034318.
- [31] P.W. Zhao, Z.P. Li, J.M. Yao, J. Meng, *Phys. Rev. C* 82 (2010) 054319.
- [32] D.R. Entem, R. Machleidt, *Phys. Rev. C* 68 (2003) 041001(R).
- [33] H. Kucharek, P. Ring, *Z. Phys. A* 339 (1991) 23.
- [34] P. Ring, P. Schuck, *The Nuclear Many-Body Problem*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1980.
- [35] T. Gonzalez-Llarena, J. Egidio, G. Lalazissis, P. Ring, *Phys. Lett. B* 379 (1996) 13.
- [36] M. Serra, P. Ring, *Phys. Rev. C* 65 (2002) 064324.
- [37] J.F. Berger, M. Girod, D. Gogny, *Comput. Phys. Comm.* 61 (1991) 365.
- [38] A.V. Afanasjev, J. König, P. Ring, *Phys. Rev. C* 60 (1999) 051303R.
- [39] Y. Tian, Z.Y. Ma, P. Ring, *Phys. Lett. B* 676 (2009) 44.
- [40] Y. Tian, Z.Y. Ma, P. Ring, *Phys. Rev. C* 79 (2009) 064301.
- [41] Y. Tian, Z.Y. Ma, P. Ring, *Phys. Rev. C* 80 (2009) 024313.
- [42] T. Nikšić, P. Ring, D. Vretenar, Y. Tian, Z.Y. Ma, *Phys. Rev. C* 81 (2010) 054318.
- [43] D. Vautherin, *Phys. Rev. C* 7 (1973) 296.
- [44] A. Staszak, M. Stoitsov, A. Baran, W. Nazarewicz, *Eur. Phys. J. A* 46 (2010) 85.
- [45] A. Baran, A. Bulgac, M.M. Forbes, G. Hagen, W. Nazarewicz, N. Schunck, M.V. Stoitsov, *Phys. Rev. C* 78 (2008) 014318.
- [46] M. Abramowitz, I.A. Stegun, *Handbook of Mathematical Functions*, Dover Publications, New York, 1970.
- [47] I. Talmi, *Helv. Phys. Acta* 25 (1952) 185.
- [48] M. Moshinsky, *Nuclear Phys.* 13 (1959) 104.
- [49] T. Brody, G. Jacob, M. Moshinsky, *Nuclear Phys.* 17 (1960) 16.
- [50] M. Baranger, K. Davies, *Nucl. Phys. A* 79 (1966) 403.
- [51] J.D. Talman, *Nucl. Phys. A* 141 (1970) 273.
- [52] L. Chaos-Cador, E. Ley-Koo, *Int. J. Quant. Chem.* 97 (2004) 844.
- [53] W. Koepf, P. Ring, *Phys. Lett. B* 212 (1988) 397.
- [54] J. Peng, J. Meng, P. Ring, S.Q. Zhang, *Phys. Rev. C* 78 (2008) 024313.
- [55] P. Bonche, H. Flocard, P.-H. Heenen, *Comput. Phys. Comm.* 171 (2005) 49.
- [56] M. Girod, B. Grammaticos, *Phys. Rev. C* 27 (1983) 2317.
- [57] M. Stoitsov, J. Dobaczewski, W. Nazarewicz, P. Ring, *Comput. Phys. Comm.* 167 (2005) 43.
- [58] J. Dobaczewski, J. Dudek, *Comput. Phys. Comm.* 102 (1997) 166.
- [59] J.D. Jackson, *Classical Electrodynamics*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1962.