# **FAANG Interview Template Library**

## UX Design, Product Manager & PM-Technical (L3-L7)

# Name of the second seco

### **Case Study Presentation Template**

- 1. Problem Statement (2-3 min)
  - Context: What product/feature/system?
  - **User Problem**: What specific pain point?
  - Business Impact: Why does this matter?
  - Constraints: Time, resources, technical limitations
  - Success Metrics: How will we measure success?
- 2. Research & Discovery (3-5 min)

•	Research Methods Used:
	User interviews (n=)

- Surveys (n=\_\_\_)
- Analytics review
- Competitive analysis
- Usability testing
- **Key Insights**: 3-5 major findings
- User Personas/Journey Maps: Visual representation
- Problem Validation: Evidence of problem severity
- 3. Design Process (5-7 min)
  - Ideation Methods: Sketches, workshops, brainstorming
  - Concept Development:
    - Initial concepts (show 2-3 directions)
    - Why these directions?
  - Design Evolution:
    - Low-fi → Mid-fi → Hi-fi progression
    - Key decision points

Trade-offs made

#### 4. Solution Details (5-7 min)

- Final Design: High-fidelity mockups/prototypes
- Design System Integration: Component usage
- Interaction Design: Micro-interactions, transitions
- Accessibility: WCAG compliance, inclusive design
- Cross-platform Considerations: Mobile, web, native

#### 5. Validation & Impact (3-5 min)

- Testing Results: Usability metrics, user feedback
- Launch Metrics: Adoption, engagement, satisfaction
- Iterations Post-Launch: What changed and why
- Lessons Learned: What would you do differently?

### **Behavioral Question Response Template (STAR+)**

#### **Situation (Context Setting)**

- Company/Team Context: Size, stage, domain
- Your Role: Level, responsibilities
- Timeline: When did this occur?
- Stakes: What was at risk?

#### Task (Challenge/Objective)

- Specific Challenge: What needed to be solved?
- Success Criteria: How was success defined?
- Constraints: What limitations existed?
- Stakeholders: Who was involved/impacted?

#### **Action (Your Approach)**

- Strategy: Overall approach
- Specific Steps: Numbered list of actions
- Collaboration: How you worked with others
- Tools/Methods: What you used

• Obstacles: Challenges faced and overcome

### **Result (Outcome & Impact)**

• Quantitative Impact: Metrics, percentages

• Qualitative Impact: Team morale, user satisfaction

• **Recognition**: Awards, promotions, feedback

• Long-term Effects: Lasting changes

### + Reflection (Growth)

• Lessons Learned: Key takeaways

• What You'd Do Differently: Hindsight insights

• Skills Developed: New capabilities gained

### **UX Take-Home Assignment Template**

**Assignment Brief Structure** 

```
Project: [Feature/Product Name]
Time Allocation: [X hours recommended]
Deliverables:
- [ ] Design mockups (specify fidelity)
- [ ] Design rationale document
- [ ] Prototype (optional)
- [ ] Presentation deck
Context:
[Company background]
[Current state]
[Problem to solve]
Users:
[Primary persona]
[Secondary personas]
[Use cases]
Constraints:
Technical: [Platform, existing systems]
- Business: [Budget, timeline]
Design: [Brand guidelines, design system]
Success Metrics:
- Primary: [Main KPI]
- Secondary: [Supporting metrics]
Evaluation Criteria:
- Problem understanding (25%)
- Design process (25%)
- Solution quality (25%)
- Communication (25%)
```

# Product Manager Templates

# **Case Study Analysis Framework**

- 1. Problem Definition (20%)
  - Market Context: Industry, competition, trends
  - User Segmentation: TAM, SAM, SOM
  - Problem Validation:

- Quantitative evidence
- · Qualitative insights
- Opportunity Sizing: Revenue/impact potential

#### 2. Solution Framework (30%)

• Product Vision: One-line vision statement

• **Strategy**: How to win in this market

Prioritization Framework:

Feature		Impact		Effort		Priority		Rationale	
	1		-		-		- -		
A		High		Low		P0		Quick win	
B		High		High		P1		Strategic	
C		Low		Low		P2		Nice-have	

• MVP Definition: Core features for launch

• Roadmap: 0-3 months, 3-6 months, 6-12 months

#### 3. Go-to-Market (25%)

• Launch Strategy: Phased vs. big bang

• Channel Strategy: Distribution approach

• Pricing Strategy: Model and rationale

Partnership Strategy: Key relationships

• Marketing Message: Value proposition

#### 4. Metrics & Learning (25%)

• North Star Metric: Primary success indicator

Metrics Framework:

• Acquisition: [metrics]

Activation: [metrics]

• Retention: [metrics]

• Revenue: [metrics]

• Referral: [metrics]

• Learning Agenda: Hypotheses to test

• Iteration Plan: How to evolve post-launch

### **PM Behavioral Response Framework**

### **Opening Hook**

Start with impact: "I led a initiative that resulted in [specific outcome]..."

#### **SCIPAB Structure**

• **Situation**: Context and challenge

• Complication: What made it difficult

• Implication: Why it mattered

• **Position**: Your role/approach

• **Action**: What you did (60% of response)

• Benefit: Results and learning

#### **Key Elements to Include**

• Data Points: Specific metrics, not generalities

• Stakeholder Management: How you influenced

• Trade-offs: Decisions and rationale

• **Leadership**: How you drove outcomes

• **Learning**: Growth from experience

### **PM Take-Home Product Spec Template**

```
# Product Requirements Document (PRD)
## Executive Summary
[2-3 sentence overview]
## Problem Statement
### User Problem
[Detailed description]
### Business Opportunity
- Market Size: $XXX
- Growth Rate: XX%
- Strategic Importance: [explanation]
## Solution Overview
### Product Vision
[One-line vision]
### Key Features
1. **Feature Name**
  - Description:
  - User Benefit:
   - Success Metric:
## User Stories
### P0 - Must Have
- As a [user type], I want to [action] so that [benefit]
### P1 - Should Have
- As a [user type], I want to [action] so that [benefit]
## Success Metrics
### Primary KPIs
- Metric 1: [definition, target, measurement]
- Metric 2: [definition, target, measurement]
### Secondary Metrics
- [List of supporting metrics]
## Risks & Mitigations
| Risk | Probability | Impact | Mitigation |
| Technical debt | Medium | High | Allocate 20% sprint capacity |
```

```
### Timeline & Resources
### Phase 1: MVP (Month 1-3)
- Team Size: X engineers, Y designers
- Key Milestones: [list]

### Phase 2: Enhancement (Month 4-6)
- Additional Resources: [specify]
- Key Features: [list]

### Appendix
- User Research Data
- Competitive Analysis
- Technical Architecture
```

# PM-Technical Templates

### **Technical Case Study Framework**

- 1. Problem Scoping (15%)
  - Technical Context: Current architecture/stack
  - Scale Requirements:
    - Current: X QPS, Y users
    - Target: X QPS, Y users
  - Performance Constraints: Latency, reliability
  - Technical Debt: Existing limitations
- 2. Technical Strategy (35%)
  - Architecture Decision: Monolith vs. microservices
  - Technology Choices:

- Build vs. Buy: Make/buy/partner analysis
- Platform Approach: APIs, SDKs, extensibility

#### 3. Implementation Plan (25%)

#### • Technical Roadmap:

- Phase 1: Core infrastructure
- Phase 2: API development
- Phase 3: Scale optimization
- Team Structure: Squads, ownership
- Migration Strategy: If applicable
- Technical Risks: Performance, security, scale

#### 4. Technical Metrics (25%)

#### • Performance Metrics:

- Latency: p50, p95, p99
- Availability: 99.9% SLA
- Throughput: requests/second

### • Engineering Metrics:

- Deploy frequency
- Lead time
- MTTR

#### Platform Metrics:

- API adoption
- Developer satisfaction

## **PM-Technical Behavioral Template**

### **Technical Decision Making**

**Structure**: Problem → Options → Analysis → Decision → Outcome

#### **Example Framework:**

- 1. **Technical Challenge**: [Describe system/scale issue]
- 2. Options Considered:
  - Option A: Pros/cons, cost, time
  - Option B: Pros/cons, cost, time
- 3. Decision Criteria: Performance, cost, maintainability

4. **Stakeholder Alignment**: How you got buy-in

5. **Implementation**: Phasing, risk mitigation

6. **Results**: Performance gains, cost savings

# **PM-Technical Take-Home Template**

```
# Technical Product Specification
## Overview
**Product**: [Name]
**Technical PM**: [Your name]
**Date**: [Date]
## Technical Requirements
### Functional Requirements
- FR1: System shall support X concurrent users
- FR2: API response time < 200ms at p95
- FR3: Data consistency across regions
### Non-Functional Requirements
- Availability: 99.95% uptime
- Security: SOC2 compliant
- Performance: < 100ms latency</pre>
- Scalability: Linear scaling to 10M users
## System Design
### High-Level Architecture
[Include architecture diagram]
### API Design
```yaml
POST /api/v1/resource
Request:
 {
   "field1": "string",
    "field2": "integer"
  }-
Response:
 -{
   "id": "uuid",
   "status": "created"
  }-
```

#### **Data Model**

#### sql

```
Table: users
- id: UUID (PK)
- email: VARCHAR(255) (unique)
- created_at: TIMESTAMP

Table: transactions
- id: UUID (PK)
- user_id: UUID (FK)
- amount: DECIMAL(10,2)
```

# **Technical Trade-offs**

Decision	Option Chosen	Alternative	Rationale
Database	PostgreSQL	DynamoDB	ACID needed
Caching	Redis	Memcached	Persistence

# **Implementation Plan**

## Phase 1: Foundation (Week 1-4)

Set up infrastructure
Core API developmen
Basic monitoring

# Phase 2: Scale (Week 5-8)

Caching layer
Load testing
Performance optimization

# **Risk Analysis**

#### **Technical Risks**

1. Risk: Database bottleneck at scale

• Mitigation: Read replicas, sharding strategy

2. Risk: API versioning challenges

• Mitigation: Versioning strategy from day 1

#### **Success Metrics**

## **Technical KPIs**

• API Latency: p50 < 50ms, p99 < 200ms

• Error Rate: < 0.1%

• Availability: > 99.95%

# **Business KPIs**

• API Adoption: 100 partners in 6 months

• Transaction Volume: 1M/day by Q4

```
## Level-Specific Adjustments
### L3-L4 (Junior/Associate)
- **Focus**: Execution, learning, collaboration
- **Scope**: Single feature/component
- **Metrics**: Task completion, quality
- **Behavioral**: Growth mindset, teamwork
### L5 (Mid-Level)
- **Focus**: Ownership, initiative, impact
- **Scope**: Full product area
- **Metrics**: Product metrics, team efficiency
- **Behavioral**: Leadership, conflict resolution
### L6 (Senior)
- **Focus**: Strategy, vision, influence
- **Scope**: Multiple products/platform
- **Metrics**: Business impact, team development
- **Behavioral**: Executive communication, mentorship
### L7 (Staff/Principal)
- **Focus**: Organizational impact, industry leadership
- **Scope**: Company-wide initiatives
- **Metrics**: Strategic objectives, talent pipeline
- **Behavioral**: Executive presence, thought leadership
## 💕 Evaluation Rubrics
### Case Study Evaluation (All Roles)
| Criteria | Excellent (4) | Good (3) | Fair (2) | Poor (1) |
| Problem Understanding | Deep insights, nuanced view | Clear understanding | Basic
grasp | Superficial |
| Structured Thinking | Frameworks, clear logic | Organized approach | Some
structure | Disorganized |
| Solution Quality | Innovative, practical | Solid, feasible | Adequate |
Unrealistic |
```

```
Unclear |
| Business Impact | Quantified, strategic | Clear value | Some value | Unclear value
### Behavioral Evaluation
| Criteria | Excellent (4) | Good (3) | Fair (2) | Poor (1) |
| Situation Clarity | Vivid context, stakes clear | Good context | Basic setup |
Vague |
| Personal Impact | Led/drove outcome | Significant contribution | Participated |
Minimal role |
| Specific Actions | Detailed steps, methods | Clear actions | Some specifics |
Vaque actions |
| Results | Quantified, lasting impact | Clear outcomes | Some results | Unclear
impact |
| Learning | Deep insights, growth | Good reflection | Basic learning | No
reflection |
### Take-Home Evaluation
| Criteria | Excellent (4) | Good (3) | Fair (2) | Poor (1) |
|-----|
| Problem Solving | Novel approach, thorough | Solid approach | Basic solution |
Incomplete |
| Technical Quality | Production-ready | Good quality | Acceptable | Poor quality |
| Documentation | Comprehensive, clear | Well-documented | Adequate | Minimal |
| Time Management | Efficient, prioritized well | Good use of time | Rushed sections
| Incomplete |
| Presentation | Polished, engaging | Professional | Adequate | Unprofessional |
## ♥ Pro Tips
### For Case Studies
1. **Start with impact**: Lead with the outcome, then explain how
2. **Show your work**: Process matters as much as outcome
3. **Be visual**: Use diagrams, flows, mockups
4. **Acknowledge trade-offs**: No solution is perfect
5. **Include metrics**: Quantify everything possible
```

### For Behavioral Ouestions

| Communication | Compelling, concise | Clear, professional | Understandable |

- 1. \*\*Recent examples\*\*: Last 2-3 years preferred
- 2. \*\*Your role\*\*: Be clear about YOUR contribution
- 3. \*\*Conflict examples\*\*: Show maturity and growth
- 4. \*\*Failure examples\*\*: Focus on learning and recovery
- 5. \*\*Prepare 10-15 stories\*\*: Cover different competencies

#### ### For Take-Homes

- 1. \*\*Time-box\*\*: Don't exceed recommended time
- 2. \*\*Document assumptions\*\*: Be explicit
- 3. \*\*Show range\*\*: Demonstrate breadth of skills
- 4. \*\*Polish matters\*\*: Typos and errors hurt credibility
- 5. \*\*Follow instructions\*\*: Address all requirements

#### ## S Additional Resources

#### ### Recommended Preparation

- \*\*Mock interviews\*\*: 10+ practice sessions
- \*\*Case study portfolio\*\*: 3-5 polished examples
- \*\*Behavioral story bank\*\*: 15-20 STAR stories
- \*\*Take-home practice\*\*: 2-3 full assignments

#### ### Study Materials by Role

- \*\*UX\*\*: Design systems, accessibility standards, research methods
- \*\*PM\*\*: Market analysis, metrics frameworks, strategy
- \*\*PM-T\*\*: System design, API patterns, scale challenges

#### ### Company-Specific Research

- Understand each company's:
  - Products and ecosystem
  - Design language/principles
  - Technical stack and challenges
  - Business model and metrics
  - Culture and values