f(e)	Ef(e)
$e^T e$	$nM_2$
$ee^Te$	$M_31_n$
$e^T e e^T e$	$  nM_4 + n(n-1)M_2^2  $

Table 1: The moments of e.

table:moment-e

tion-property-MISQ-filter

sec:modeling-time-series

# 1 Condition and Property of MISQ Filter

# 1.1 Modeling Time Series

We follow the model of time series in the literature. Suppose  $X = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)^T$  is the underlying random vector of observed time series, we assume

$$X = \mu + e, \tag{1} \qquad eq:model-X$$

where  $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $e = (e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n)^T$  is a zero-mean random vector.

For e, we assume that e has following properties:

- $e_1, e_2, ..., e_n$  are identical and independent distributed (i.i.d.). We denote the distribution of  $e_i$  as  $\varepsilon$ . This assumption makes our work much more easily and is common in the literature such as
- $E\varepsilon = 0$ .
- $E\varepsilon^k$  are existed and bounded for k=2,3,4.

The moments of e are listed in Table ???.

For  $\mu$ , we assume that  $\mu$  is continuous which is expressed mathematically as:

$$\mu_i \approx \mu_{i+1}$$
. (2) eq:model-mu

Many readers might not agree with this assumption, but it is existed in many existed work and needed to handle time series without multiple observations for each time stamp.

Moving average is a popular techniques to estimate the  $\mu$  in model  $\Pi$ :

$$\hat{\mu}_{i} = \frac{1}{2h+1} \sum_{k=-h}^{h} X_{i+k}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2h+1} \sum_{k=-h}^{h} \mu_{i+k} + e_{i+k}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2h+1} \sum_{k=-h}^{h} \mu_{i+k} + \frac{1}{2h+1} \sum_{k=-h}^{h} e_{i+k}.$$
(3)

Under the i.i.d. assumption of  $e_i$ , the variance is reduced from  $M_2$  to  $\frac{1}{2h+1}M_2$ , so  $\hat{\mu}_i$  might be more closer to  $\mu$  compared to  $X_i$  if  $\mu_i \approx \frac{1}{2h+1} \sum_{k=-h}^{h} \mu_{i+k}$ . Therefore, the reader should notice that moving average also assumes Eq  $\frac{1}{2}$  intrinsically.

## 1.2 Linear Filtered Time Series

In this paper, we define the linear filtered time series  $\tilde{X}$  as

$$\tilde{X}_{i} = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=0}^{m} \phi_{k} X_{i+k} & \text{if } i \geq 1 \text{ and } i \leq n-m \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
 (4) eq:filter-X

We extend the range of subscribe for convenience.

If  $\phi = (-1, 1)^T$ , the filtered time series is the one in [??. If  $\phi = (\frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{5})$ , the filtered time series is the moving averaged time series.

To write the formula in matrix form, we let  $\Phi$  be a  $(n-m) \times n$  matrix with i-th row and j-th column entries

$$\Phi_{i,j} = \phi_{j-i}.$$
 (5) eq:definition-Phi\_ij

Therefore, the filtered time series is  $\Phi X$ .

Here, we add a constraint to  $\phi$ :

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m} \phi_k = 0. \tag{6}$$

When m << n, we combine the Eq  $\stackrel{\text{leq:model-mleq:model-phi}}{2}$  and Eq  $\stackrel{\text{fig:model-phi}}{6}$ :

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \Phi X & = & \Phi \mu + \Phi e & \text{according to Eq} \stackrel{\text{|eq:model-X}}{\square} \\ & \approx & \Phi e. \end{array} \tag{7} \quad \boxed{\text{eq:model-Phi-X}}$$

The last approximation holds because of

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m} \phi_{i+k} \mu_{i+k} \approx \sum_{k=0}^{m} \phi_{i+k} \mu_{i}$$

$$= 0.$$
(8)

Therefore, we have an approximation of  $\Phi e$ . To make inference according to  $\Phi e$ , we list the moments of  $\Phi e$  in Table 2. We derive moment estimators for  $M_2$ ,  $M_3$ , and  $M_4$ .

Note that we define a  $n \times n$  matrix  $\Lambda = \Phi^T \Phi$  with its i-th row and j-th column entry  $\Lambda_{i,j}$  for convenience. The vector  $diag(\Lambda) = (\Lambda_{1,1}, \Lambda_{2,2}, \dots, \Lambda_{n,n})^T \in \Re^n$  is the diagonal vector of  $\Lambda$ . The  $tr(\Lambda)$  is the trace of  $\Lambda$ . Here, we give two properties of  $\Lambda$ .

property:sum-diag-Lambda

Property 1

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Lambda_{i,i} = (n-m) \sum_{k=0}^{m} \phi_k^2.$$
 (9)

Proof

f(e)	Ef(e)
$e^T \Phi^T \Phi e$	$M_2(n-m)\sum_{k=0}^{m}\phi_k^2$
$ee^T\Phi^T\Phi e$	$M_3 diag(\Lambda)$
$e^T e e^T \Phi^T \Phi e$	$(M_4 + (n-1)M_2)(n-m) \sum_{k=0}^{m} \phi_k^2$
$e^T \Phi^T \Phi e e^T \Phi^T \Phi e$	$M_4 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Lambda_{i,i}^2 + M_2^2 \sum_{i \neq j} \Lambda_{i,i} \Lambda_{j,j} + \Lambda_{i,j}^2$

Table 2: The moments of e.

table:moment-Phie

We directly expand the definition of  $\Lambda_{i,i}$  as follow:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Lambda_{i,i} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{(n-m)} \Phi_{k,i}^{2}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{(n-m)} (n-m) \phi_{i-k}^{2}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{(n-m)} \sum_{i=k}^{k+m} \phi_{i-k}^{2}$$

$$= (n-m) \sum_{k=0}^{m} \phi_{k}^{2}$$

property:diag-Lambda-equa

**Property 2** If i > m and  $i \le n - m$ ,

$$\Lambda_{i,i} = \sum_{k=0}^{m} \phi_k^2. \tag{10}$$

## Proof

Expand the definition of  $\Lambda_{i,i}$  to prove this property. The reader should notice that Eq  $\overline{l}$  is more likely to be true in practice if mis small.

c:misq-estimator-variance

If there are two time series  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  in model 1 and model 2, let  $X = S_1 - S_2$  is still in model 1 and model 2. The sum of square of  $\mu^T \mu$  is the distance between  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  without errors. For better inference, we discuss the estimation of  $\frac{\mu^T \mu}{n}$ called mean distance in this paper.

MISQ distance estimator and variance

We define the estimator of mean distance corresponding to  $\phi$  as

$$\hat{D}_{\phi}(X) = \frac{X^T X}{n} - \frac{X^T \Phi^T \Phi X}{(n-m) \sum_{k=1}^{m} \phi_m^2},$$
(11)

because its expectation is

$$\begin{split} E\hat{D}_{\phi}(X) &\approx \frac{\mu^{T}\mu + Ee^{T}e}{n} - \frac{Ee^{T}\Phi^{T}\Phi e}{(n-m)\sum\limits_{k=1}^{m}\phi_{m}^{2}} \\ &= \frac{\mu^{T}\mu + nM_{2}}{n} - \frac{(n-m)M_{2}\sum\limits_{k=1}^{m}\phi_{m}^{2}}{(n-m)\sum\limits_{k=1}^{m}\phi_{m}^{2}} \\ &= \frac{\mu^{T}\mu}{n} \end{split} \tag{12}$$

The variance of  $\hat{D}_{\phi}(X)$  is

$$Var(\hat{D}_{\phi}(X)) = \frac{1}{n^{2}} Var(X^{T}X) - 2 \frac{1}{n(n-m) \sum_{k=1}^{m} \phi_{k}^{2}} Cov(X^{T}X, X^{T}\Phi^{T}\Phi X)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{(n-m)^{2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} \phi_{k}^{2}\right)^{2}} Var(X^{T}\Phi^{T}\Phi X)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n^{2}} \left(4M_{2}\mu^{T}\mu + 4M_{3}\mu^{T}1_{n} + nM_{4} - nM_{2}^{2}\right)$$

$$- \frac{2}{n(n-m) \sum_{k=1}^{m} \phi_{k}^{2}} \left(2M_{3}\mu^{T}diag(\Lambda) + (M_{4} - M_{2}^{2})(n-m) \sum_{k=0}^{m} \phi_{k}^{2}\right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{(n-m)^{2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} \phi_{k}^{2}\right)^{2}} \left((M_{4} - 2M_{2}^{2}) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Lambda_{i,i}^{2} + M_{2}^{2} \sum_{i,j} \Lambda_{i,j}^{2}\right)$$

$$(13)$$

## 1.4 Estimable

According to Table  $\frac{\text{table}: momented Phitch}{2}$  and  $\frac{\text{table}: momented Phitch}{2}$  can replace some unobserved quantity such as  $M_2, M_3, M_4, \mu^T \mu$  with their moment estimator. However,  $\mu^T 1_n$  and  $\mu^T diag(\Lambda)$  have no related moment estimator, so we must make sure that the coefficient corresponding to them are zero, i.e.

$$\frac{4M_3}{n^2}\mu^T 1_n - \frac{4M_3}{n(n-m)\sum_{k=0}^m \phi_k^2} \mu^T diag(\Lambda) = 0.$$
 (14)