Solutions To Pandemic-Induced Mental Conditions Annotated Bibliography

Yuyang Wu (wuyuyang0703@utexas.edu) University of Texas at Austin

October 5, 2022

References

[1] R. Amerson, B. Fisher, J. Bible, L. Burgess, L. Ravan, and L. Ward, "Nursing education amid a pandemic: mental health in a time of virtual learning," *Nurse Educator*, vol. 46, no. 4, pp. 255–260, 2021. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1097/NNE.0000000000001039

The pandemic added to the high level of stress and anxiety in the field of nursing education. The number of nursing students displaying symptoms of depression. In addition, due to the pandemic, most nursing education turned virtual, creating a new risk of stress and isolation. Authors conducted a cross-sectional survey through email targeting nursing students studying for a bachelor's degree in Fall 2020 in a public university in southeast USA. Most students reported a lack of in-person courses. Results showed that most (40%) nursing students suffered from light to medium level of anxiety and stress, and many (18%) underwent severe mental disorder. It also displayed a relationship between increased screen time and greater risk of anxiety and depression, as observed from Sophomore year students who spent most of their time in front of a computer. (They displayed the greatest rate of anxiety) In addition, virtual learning restricted movement, which might be the main reason that senior students reported an increase in pressure due to limited clinical experience. At last, the authors suggest that nursing instructors be fully aware of the mental well-being of their students, who would be a crucial workforce in the future medical field, especially during crisis.

This article focuses on the mental health of nursing students during COVID-19. Not only is the article important for providing direction for our solution; (remember another article emphasizes the significance of nurses) the citation also covered mental health condition of general undergraduates and means of evaluating anxiety and depression level.

[2] K. R. Choi, M. V. Heilemann, A. Fauer, and M. Mead, "A second pandemic: Mental health spillover from the novel coronavirus (covid-19)," *Journal of the American Psychiatric Nurses Association*, vol. 26, no. 4, pp. 340–343, 2020, pMID: 32340586. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1177/1078390320919803

The article evaluates the impact of COVID-19 pandemic and social distancing policies on public mental health. (1) Patients, their families, and medical professionals may get long-term trauma due to the stressful environment at hospitals. The authors suggest that the healthcare system provide sufficient support resources for these population groups. (2) The sudden influx of COVID-19 patients may take away mental health resources. Mental health institutions need to compose plans in advance to accomodate psychiatric patients provided the potential increase of inpatients and react timely to overburdening. (3) The pandemic takes toll on mental well-being among communities. Social distancing brings anxiety, isolation, and loneliness to individuals, together with deteriorating economic stability and increased need to take care of family members. The authors call for communal mental support to prevent the ongoing mental crisis. At last, the article suggests closer cooperation among hospitals, community mental health centers, and related officials to ensure the safety and continuity of mental care for individuals. The healthcare system would require supporting mechanisms to alleviate pandemic-induced challenges mentioned above, including trauma-informed ICU care, virtual support, adequate training, staffing, and equipment. The authors expect a more important role for nurses.

The article mostly cites from professional healthcare institutions including CDC and American Psychiatric Association and medical research journals. Every claim is supported by sufficient evidence (we can also look into the evidence sources), so there should be no credibility issues. This article may help us define the mental health challenge. It also included solutions in the last two paragraphs without investigating.

- [3] S. L. Kopelovich, M. Monroe-DeVita, B. E. Buck, C. Brenner, L. Moser, L. F. Jarskog, S. Harker, and L. A. Chwastiak, "Community mental health care delivery during the covid-19 pandemic: practical strategies for improving care for people with serious mental illness," Community Mental Health Journal, vol. 57, no. 3, pp. 405–415, 2021. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10597-020-00662-z
- [4] C. Moreno, T. Wykes, S. Galderisi, M. Nordentoft, N. Crossley, N. Jones, M. Cannon, C. U. Correll, L. Byrne, S. Carr, E. Y. H. Chen, P. Gorwood, S. Johnson, H. Kärkkäinen, J. H. Krystal, J. Lee, J. Lieberman, C. López-Jaramillo, M. Männikkö, M. R. Phillips, H. Uchida, E. Vieta, A. Vita, and C. Arango, "How mental health care should change as a consequence of the covid-19 pandemic," *The Lancet Psychiatry*, vol. 7, no. 9, pp. 813–824, 2020. [Online]. Available: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2215036620303072
- [5] S. V. Rek, M. Bühner, M. A. Reinhard, D. Freeman, D. Keeser, K. Adorjan, P. Falkai, and F. Padberg, "The covid-19 pandemic mental health questionnaire (copaq): Psychometric evaluation and compliance with countermeasures in psychiatric inpatients and non-clinical individuals," BMC psychiatry, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 1–15, 2021. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-021-03425-6
- [6] C. G. Richardson, A. Slemon, A. Gadermann, C. McAuliffe, K. Thomson, Z. Daly, T. Salway, L. M. Currie, A. David, and E. Jenkins, "Use of asynchronous virtual mental health resources for covid-19 pandemic-related stress among the general population in canada: Cross-sectional survey study," J Med Internet Res, vol. 22, no. 12, p. e24868, Dec 2020. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.2196/24868

The article investigates the usage of AVMH (Asynchronous Virtual Mental Health, any apps or websites that do not involve direct synchoronous contact with mental healthcare providers) among the public in Canada during COVID-19 pandemic. As an alternative to in-person meeting between patients and professionals, AVMH displayed great potential in controlling symptoms and reducing the need for clinical care. However, its usage and effects were limited according to research before the pandemic. The authors collected data from a large multiwave cross-sectional monitoring survey conducted on the internet among Canadian adults from various backgrounds including different ages, ethnicities, genders, regions, and income levels, who underwent negative mental conditions during the pandemic. The result proved that AVMH resources have been underutilized, and the authors concluded several reasons: (1) AVMH was not previously proven to be "underutilized", and therefore its effectiveness was under question. (2) Technological literacy and privacy concerns impaired the comfort of using AVMH resources. (3) Not all apps that claimed to provide AVMH service were proven effective and trustworthy. (4) Severe symptoms might stop patients from using AVMH. However, the study also discovered that certain minorities had a higher percentage of AVMH usage due to the anonymity of AVMH, and AVMH users who received peer support were more likely to keep using related services. At last, the authors suggested that the usage of AVMH should be further promoted as a key foundation of a denser face-to-face mental support rather than a replacement for clinical diagnosis.

The survey report provided insight into AVMH as a solution to pandemic-induced mental challenges. It used mostly journal articles and the confidentiality of the sources could be verified. Although it did not involve data concerning AVMH consulting results, we could possibly suggest expanding the usage of AVMH as a solution to our main problem.