

Assignment 13, Authomata Theory

Oleg Sivokon

<2015-09-11 Fri>

Contents

1	Problems	2
1.1	Problem 1	2
1.1.1	Answer 1	2
1.2	Problem 2	3
1.2.1	Answer 2	3
1.3	Problem 3	3
1.3.1	Answer 3	4
1.4	Problem 4	4
1.5	Problem 5	4

1 Problems

1.1 Problem 1

Prove that

$$L = \{w \in \{a, b, c, d\}^* \mid w = dv, v \in \{a, b, c\}^*, \#_a(w) \cdot \#_c(w) < \#_b(w)\}$$

is not regular.

1.1.1 Answer 1

Assume, for contradiction that L is regular, then pumping lemma must hold. Let p be the pumping length of L , the $dabc^p b^p b \in L$ because $1 \cdot p = p$. Consider cases of selecting the middle substring y defined by pumping lemma:

1. If y contains d , then we cannot repeat it, since by definition d happens only once in the beginning of each word.
2. If y contains a we cannot repeat it, since in that case $p \cdot x > p$ whenever $x > 1$, which violates the definition of L .
3. If we repeat any string containing c , (but not containing b , which see in the next bullet point), we will violate the requirement that $\#_b(w) > \#_c(w) \cdot \#_a(w)$.
4. Since the prefix concatenated with y should not be longer than p , we cannot repeat any string containing b because the prefix of length p has no b in it.

Since these are our only options, it must be impossible to satisfy the requirements of pumping lemma, hence, by contradiction, the language L is not regular.

1.2 Problem 2

Prove that the language

$$L = \{w \in \{x, y\}^* \mid (w = x^k(yyy)^{m!}, k, m \geq 2) \vee w = y^{2^l}, l \geq 2\}$$

is not regular.

1.2.1 Answer 2

Suppose, for contradiction L is regular, then pumping lemma must be applicable to it. Consider the substrings of $y^{2(p+1)}$, where p is the pumping length. Consider the second condition of pumping lemma, i.e. that the prefix concatenated to the pumping substring should be no longer than the pumping length, thus $|vw| \leq p$, this leaves us with the prefix of at most y repeated p times, but if we repeat it, we will produce the string $y^{2(p+1)+p} = y^{2+3p}$. Provided $p > 2$, this string will be too short to satisfy the requirements of the lemma. Hence, the original conjecture is falsified, hence L is not regular.

1.3 Problem 3

Let L be a regular language over alphabet Σ . Prove that the following language is also regular.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Reversed}(\mu_1\mu_2 \dots \mu_n, n) &= \mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n \in \Sigma, \\ &\mu_1\mu_2 \dots \mu_n \in L^R. \\ \text{Interleaved}(\mu_1\mu_2 \dots \mu_n, n) &= \exists(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n, \zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_n \in \Sigma) : \\ &(\mu_1\sigma_1\zeta_1\mu_2\sigma_2\zeta_2 \dots \mu_n\sigma_n\zeta_n \in L) \\ \hat{L} &= \{\mu_1\mu_2 \dots \mu_n \mid \\ &n \geq 0, \\ &\text{Reversed}(\mu_1\mu_2 \dots \mu_n, n), \\ &\text{Interleaved}(\mu_1\mu_2 \dots \mu_n, n)\} \end{aligned}$$

And whenever $\epsilon \in L$, it is also the case that $\epsilon \in \hat{L}$.

1.3.1 Answer 3

First I note that L^R is also regular, this is so because there must be a DFA accepting L (by definition), we can transform this DFA in the following way:

1. Reverse all transitions.
2. Make the start state an accepting one.
3. Make all previously accepting states connect to a newly added start state by ϵ -transitions. Thus we obtain an NFA for the reversed language.

Thus, $R_{reversed}(\dots)$ alone selects a regular language.

Next, I note that regular languages are closed under intersection. Thus proving that $Interleaved(\dots)$ predicate selects a regular language will prove that \hat{L} is regular, but we are given by definition, that $Interleaved(\dots)$ selects the language L , or some regular subset of it, hence \hat{L} must be regular. The subset is regular because it can be given by regular expression $(\mu + \sigma + \zeta)^*$.

1.4 Problem 4

Let L be a regular language over Σ . Prove that the following language is also regular:

$$\frac{1}{3}L = \{w \in \Sigma^* \mid wxy \in L, |x| = |y| = |w|\}$$

1.5 Problem 5

1. Write regular expression accepting the language $0^*01^*/0^+$.

2. Prove that if L is regular then $\overset{\leftrightarrow}{L} = \{xy \in \Sigma^* \mid yx \in L\}$.
3. What is wrong with $\overset{\leftrightarrow}{L} = (\Sigma^* \setminus L) \cdot (L/\Sigma^*)$ if it was offered as a solution for the previous question?