

# Assignment 17, Authomata Theory

Oleg Sivokon

*<2016-01-23 Sat>*

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Problems</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1	Problem 1 . . . . .	3
1.1.1	Answer 2 . . . . .	3
1.2	Problem 2 . . . . .	4
1.2.1	Answer 2 . . . . .	4
1.3	Problem 3 . . . . .	5
1.3.1	Answer 3 . . . . .	5
1.4	Problem 4 . . . . .	5
1.4.1	Anwser 4 . . . . .	6
1.4.2	Answer 5 . . . . .	6

1.4.3	Answer 6 . . . . .	6
1.5	Problem 5 . . . . .	6
1.5.1	Answer 7 . . . . .	7

# 1 Problems

## 1.1 Problem 1

Prove that language  $L = \{a^i b^{i+j} c^j \mid 1 \leq i \leq j\}$  is not context-free.

### 1.1.1 Answer 2

Suppose, for contradiction,  $L$  is context-free, then, according to pumping lemma, the following applies:

1.  $p$  is the “pumping length”.
2. For every word  $z \in L$ ,  $z = uvwxy$ , s.t.
3.  $|vwx| \leq p$ .
4.  $|vx| \geq 1$ .
5.  $uv^nwx^ny \in L$ .

Consider  $p = i$ , then there are five distinct ways to decompose  $w$  into  $uvwxy$ . Of them three will decompose in a way such that both  $v$  and  $x$  are the same symbol, i.e. both  $v$  and  $x$  are either  $a$ ,  $b$  or  $c$ .

It is easy to see none of the above can be pumped: if  $v = a^r$  and  $x = a^s$  then by pumping  $a$ , eventually there will be more  $as$  in  $z$  than  $cs$ , which contradicts  $i \leq j$ . Similarly, if we pump  $bs$ , eventually there will be more  $bs$  than  $as$  and  $cs$  together. Similarly for  $cs$ .

Another two possible decompositions are:

1.  $v = a^r$  and  $x = b^s$ . However, again, if we pump  $as$ , i.e.  $r \neq 0$ , then eventually there will be more  $as$  than  $cs$ . And similarly for  $bs$ . When we pump  $as$  and  $bs$  together, eventually there will be more  $as$  than  $cs$ , again, contradicting  $i \leq j$ .

2. Thus the only case worth considering is where  $v = b^r$  and  $x = c^s$ . Consider the word  $z = a^p b^{2p} c^p \in L$  with this decomposition. If either  $r = 0$  or  $s = 0$ , we proceed as above, however, if  $r = s \neq 0$ , then it must be the case that for all words  $z' = a^p b^{p+p-r+r*i} c^{p-r+r*i}$ ,  $z' \in L$ . but it is not the case for  $i = 0$ . Since  $|a^p| > |c^{p-r}|$  contrary to the required  $i \leq j$ .

These are all the possible decompositions of  $z$ , since neither can be pumped, it must be the case that  $L$  is not context-free.

## 1.2 Problem 2

Prove that context-free languages are not closed under *max* operation.

### 1.2.1 Answer 2

Recall the definition of *max*:

$$\max(L) = \{u \in L \mid \forall v \in \Sigma^* : uv \in L \implies v = \epsilon\}.$$

Let's take  $L = \{a^n b^m c^k \mid n \leq k \vee m \leq k\}$ .  $L$  is context-free, since we can give a context-free grammar  $L(G) = L$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} S &\rightarrow X \mid Y \\ X &\rightarrow aXC \mid C \\ C &\rightarrow bCc \mid Cc \mid bBc \mid c \\ B &\rightarrow bB \mid b \\ Y &\rightarrow AZ \\ A &\rightarrow aA \mid \epsilon \\ Z &\rightarrow bZc \mid Q \\ Q &\rightarrow cQ \mid c. \end{aligned}$$

However, the  $\max(L) = \{a^n b^n c^n\}$ , which is known to be non-context-free.

### 1.3 Problem 3

Prove  $L = \{a^i b^2 c^j \mid i = 2j\}$  is context free using closure properties and some language from assignment 16.

#### 1.3.1 Answer 3

Recall that we proved language  $M = \{a^k b^i c^j d^{j-i} e^k \mid 1 \leq i \leq j, k \geq 2\}$  to be context-free. We can define homomorphism:

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} aa & \text{for } x = a \\ b & \text{for } x = b \vee x = c \vee x = d \\ c & \text{for } x = e \end{cases} .$$

Now,  $M' = h(M) = \{a^{2k} b^{i+j+j-i} c^k\}$ , where  $2j$  is any even integer, thus could be rewritten as  $\{a^{2k} b^{2j} c^k\}$ . Due to closure of context-free languages under homomorphism,  $M'$  is context-free.

Next, we can intersect  $M'$  with a regular language  $a^* b^2 c^*$  to get  $L$ . Since context-free languages are closed under intersection with regular languages we proved that  $L$  is context-free.

### 1.4 Problem 4

Prove or disprove each of the following statements:

1.  $L$  is a irregular context-free language.  $G$  is a context-sensitive language.  $L \cap G$  is not context-free.

2.  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are irregular context-free languages s.t.  $L_1 \cap L_2 \neq \emptyset$ .  $L_1 \cap L_2$  is irregular context-free language.
3.  $L$  is a regular language over  $\Sigma$ .  $G$  is a context-sensitive language. Define substitution  $f$  s.t.  $\forall \sigma \in \Sigma : f(\sigma) = G$ .  $f(L)$  is context-sensitive.

#### 1.4.1 Answer 4

An intersection of a context-free and a context-sensitive languages may be context-free. For instance,  $\{a^n b^n\} \cap \{a^n b^n c^n\} = \{a^n b^n\}$ , where  $n \geq 0$  is context-free.

#### 1.4.2 Answer 5

An intersection of two context-free languages isn't necessarily irregular. For instance  $\{a^n b^n\} \cap \{a^n c^n\} = \{a^n\}$  where  $n \geq 0$  is regular.

#### 1.4.3 Answer 6

The language  $L = \{\epsilon\}$  is regular.  $f(L) = L$  since no substitution took place, hence this claim is false.

### 1.5 Problem 5

Let  $L$  be a context-free language over the alphabet  $\Sigma = \{a, b, c, \dots, z\}$ . Prove that  $L'$  is also context-free, when defined as follows:

$$L' = \{w \mid |w| \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \wedge |w| \geq 4 \wedge \mathbf{Sub}(w)\}$$

Where  $\mathbf{Sub}(w)$  is true whenever

$$w = \begin{cases} x\mathbf{a}yz & \text{for } xpyqz \in L \wedge p \neq \mathbf{a} \wedge q \neq \mathbf{z} \\ x\mathbf{z}y\mathbf{a}z & \text{for } xpyqz \in L \wedge p \neq \mathbf{z} \wedge q \neq \mathbf{a} \end{cases} \quad |p| = |q| = 1$$

### 1.5.1 Answer 7

1. Provided  $L$  is regular, we can bring its grammar  $G$  to Greibach normal form.
2. Now, for every rule of the form  $A \rightarrow xA_1A_2A_3 \dots A_n$  we introduce new rules:  $A \rightarrow aA'_1A'_2A'_3 \dots A'_n$  whenever  $x \neq a$  and  $A' \rightarrow zA''_1A''_2A''_3 \dots A''_n$  whenever  $x \neq z$ .
3. We replace the rules of the form  $A \rightarrow x$  with  $A'' \rightarrow x$ .

The resulting grammar  $G'$  will nondeterministically substitute  $a$  for some terminal, which does not equal  $a$  and  $z$  for some terminal which does not equal  $z$ . It can only terminate the derivation when both substitutions took place. Using the same technique we can construct grammar  $G''$  which first replaces  $z$  and then  $a$ . The union of  $G'$  and  $G''$  (still a context-free grammar, since context-free languages are closed under union) will take care of **Sub**( $w$ ) condition.

Now, we can take  $G''' = (G' \cup G'') \cap R$ , where  $R = \{r \mid r \in \Sigma^+ \wedge |r| \geq 4\}$ . Since  $R$  is regular, and intersection of context-free and regular languages is known to be context-free,  $G'''$  must be context-free. This completes the proof.