

# Assignment 13, Linear Algebra 1

Oleg Sivokon

*<2016-04-16 Sat>*

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Problems</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Problem 1 . . . . .	2
1.1.1	Answer 1 . . . . .	2
1.1.2	Answer 3 . . . . .	3
1.2	Problem 2 . . . . .	3
1.2.1	Answer 3 . . . . .	3
1.3	Problem 3 . . . . .	6
1.3.1	Answer 5 . . . . .	6
1.4	Problem 4 . . . . .	6
1.4.1	Answer 6 . . . . .	7
1.5	Problem 5 . . . . .	7
1.5.1	Answer 7 . . . . .	8
1.6	Problem 6 . . . . .	8
1.6.1	Answer 8 . . . . .	9

# 1 Problems

## 1.1 Problem 1

1. Find all solutions of  $z^3 + 3i\bar{z} = 0$ .
2. Let  $z_1, z_2$  be complex numbers. Prove that unless  $z_1 z_2 = 1$  and  $|z_1| = |z_2| = 1$ , then  $\frac{z_1 + z_2}{1 + z_1 z_2}$  is a real number.

### 1.1.1 Answer 1

First, note that zero is a solution of this equation. Other roots can be found as follows:

$$z = r \operatorname{cis} \theta$$

$$\begin{aligned} r^3 \operatorname{cis}(3\theta) + 3i \operatorname{cis}(-\theta) &= 0 \iff \\ r^3 \operatorname{cis}(3\theta) + 3(i \cos(-\theta) + i^2 \sin(-\theta)) &= 0 \iff \\ r^3 \operatorname{cis}(3\theta) + 3(i \sin(\theta) + \cos(\theta)) &= 0 \iff \\ r^3 \operatorname{cis}(3\theta) + 3 \operatorname{cis}(\theta) &= 0 \iff \\ r^3 \operatorname{cis}(3\theta) &= -3 \operatorname{cis}(\theta) \end{aligned}$$

*Equating radius and angle:*

$$\begin{aligned} r^3 &= -3 \iff \\ r &= -3^{\frac{1}{3}} \\ 3\theta &= \theta \pmod{2\pi} \iff \\ 2\theta &= 0 \pmod{2\pi} \iff \\ \theta &= \pi \pmod{2\pi} . \end{aligned}$$

Hence, other roots are:

$$\begin{aligned} z_1 &= -3^{\frac{1}{3}} \operatorname{cis}(0) \\ z_2 &= -3^{\frac{1}{3}} \operatorname{cis}(\pi) \end{aligned}$$

### 1.1.2 Answer 3

Since  $|z_1|^2 = z_1 \overline{z_1} = 1$ , we have that  $z_1 = \overline{z_1} = \frac{1}{z_1}$ , and similarly  $z_2 = \overline{z_2} = \frac{1}{z_2}$ . Further using the properties of complex conjugate we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{z_1 + z_2}{1 + z_1 z_2} &= \frac{\frac{1}{z_1} + \frac{1}{z_2}}{1 + \frac{1}{z_1 z_2}} \\ &= \frac{\frac{\overline{z_1} + \overline{z_2}}{1 + \overline{z_1} \overline{z_2}}}{\frac{z_1 + z_2}{1 + z_1 z_2}} \\ &= \frac{z_1 + z_2}{1 + z_1 z_2} \end{aligned}$$

And since  $z = \overline{z} \implies z \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have that the given expression is real.

## 1.2 Problem 2

Let  $\mathbb{Q}$  denote the field of rational numbers. And  $K$  defined as follows:

$$K = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & 2b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Q} \right\}.$$

Is  $K$  a field under matrix addition and multiplication?

### 1.2.1 Answer 3

Yes,  $K$  is a field, following is the illustration of field axioms satisfied by  $K$ .

1. Closure under addition:

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & 2b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} c & 2d \\ d & c \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a+c & 2(b+d) \\ b+d & a+c \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $(a+c) \in \mathbb{Q} = e$ ,  $(b+d) \in \mathbb{Q} = f$ , results in a general matrix:

$$\begin{bmatrix} e & 2f \\ f & e \end{bmatrix} \in K$$

2. Closure under multiplication:

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & 2b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} c & 2d \\ d & c \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} ac + 2db & 2ad + 2bc \\ bc + ad & 2db + ac \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $(ac + 2db) \in \mathbb{Q} = e$  and  $2(ad + 2bc) \in \mathbb{Q} = f$  and, similarly:

$$\begin{bmatrix} e & 2f \\ f & e \end{bmatrix} \in K$$

3. Associativity of addition:

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \begin{bmatrix} a & 2b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} c & 2d \\ d & c \end{bmatrix} \right) + \begin{bmatrix} e & 2f \\ f & e \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} a+c & 2(b+d) \\ b+d & a+c \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} e & 2f \\ f & e \end{bmatrix} = \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} a+c+e & 2(b+d+f) \\ b+d+f & a+c+e \end{bmatrix} = \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} a & 2b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} c+e & 2(d+f) \\ d+f & c+e \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & 2b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix} + \left( \begin{bmatrix} c & 2d \\ d & c \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} e & 2f \\ f & e \end{bmatrix} \right) \end{aligned}$$

4. Associativity of multiplication:

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \begin{bmatrix} a & 2b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} c & 2d \\ d & c \end{bmatrix} \right) \times \begin{bmatrix} e & 2f \\ f & e \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} ac + 2db & 2ad + 2bc \\ bc + ad & 2db + ac \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} e & 2f \\ f & e \end{bmatrix} = \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} e(ac + 2db) + f(2ad + 2bc) & 2f(ad + 2db) + e(2ad + 2bc) \\ e(bc + ad) + f(2db + ac) & 2f(bc + ad) + e(2db + ac) \end{bmatrix} = \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} a & 2b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} ec + 2df & 2fc + 2ed \\ ed + fc & 2fd + ec \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & 2b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix} \times \left( \begin{bmatrix} c & 2d \\ d & c \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} e & 2f \\ f & e \end{bmatrix} \right) \end{aligned}$$

5. Commutativity of addition:

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & 2b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} c & 2d \\ d & c \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a+c & 2(b+d) \\ b+d & a+c \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} c & 2d \\ d & c \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} a & 2b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix}$$

6. Commutativity of multiplication:

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & 2b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} c & 2d \\ d & c \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} ac + 2db & 2ad + 2bc \\ bc + ad & 2db + ac \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} c & 2d \\ d & c \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} a & 2b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix}$$

7. Additive identity is the zero matrix (from matrix addition properties).

8. Multiplicative identity is the identity matrix (again, from matrix multiplication properties).

9. General inverse under addition:

$$\left( \begin{bmatrix} a & 2b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix} \right)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} c & 2d \\ d & c \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $c + a = 0, d + b = 0$

i.e.  $c = -a, d = -b$

$$\left( \begin{bmatrix} a & 2b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix} \right)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} -a & -2b \\ -b & -a \end{bmatrix}$$

10. General inverse under multiplication. First, we will find the determinant of a generic matrix in  $K$ :

$$D = \begin{vmatrix} a & 2b \\ b & a \end{vmatrix} = aa - 2bb = a^2 - 2b^2$$

Since 2 appears without a pair in the expression  $2b^2$ , it means that the prime factorization of this expression contains an odd number of twos. Hence, it is not possible for  $a^2$  to be equal to  $2b^2$ , unless both  $a = 0$  and  $b = 0$ . Hence, the only element of  $K$  which doesn't have an inverse is the zero matrix. For every other element its inverse is:

$$\left( \begin{bmatrix} a & 2b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix} \right)^{-1} = \frac{1}{D} \begin{bmatrix} a & -2b \\ -b & a \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{a^2 - 2b^2} \begin{bmatrix} a & -2b \\ -b & a \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{a}{a^2 - 2b^2} & \frac{-2b}{a^2 - 2b^2} \\ \frac{-b}{a^2 - 2b^2} & \frac{a}{a^2 - 2b^2} \end{bmatrix}$$

As before,  $\frac{a}{a^2 + 2b^2} \in \mathbb{Q} = e$  and  $\frac{-b}{a^2 + 2b^2} \in \mathbb{Q} = f$ , hence:

$$\begin{bmatrix} e & 2f \\ f & e \end{bmatrix} \in K$$

11. Finally, distributivity of multiplication over addition:

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} a & 2b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix} \times \left( \begin{bmatrix} c & 2d \\ d & c \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} e & 2f \\ f & e \end{bmatrix} \right) &= \begin{bmatrix} a & 2b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} c+e & 2(d+f) \\ d+f & c+e \end{bmatrix} = \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} a(c+e) + 2b(d+f) & 2b(c+e) + 2a(d+f) \\ a(d+f) + b(c+e) & 2b(d+f) + a(c+e) \end{bmatrix} = \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} ac + 2bd & 2ad + 2bc \\ bc + ad & 2bd + ac \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} ae + 2bf & 2af + 2be \\ be + af & 2bf + ae \end{bmatrix} = \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} a & 2b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} c & 2d \\ d & c \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} a & 2b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} e & 2f \\ f & e \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

### 1.3 Problem 3

Verify that  $V$  is a vectors space over field  $\mathbf{F}$ :

1.  $\mathbf{F} = \mathbb{C}, V = \mathbb{M}_{n \times n}^{\mathbb{C}}$  and addition defined to be the regular addition, while multiplication is defined to be  $\lambda \times A = |\lambda| \times A$ .
2.  $\mathbf{F} = \mathbb{R}, V = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid y = 2x\}$ , with addition being the addition of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and multiplication  $\lambda \times (x, y) = (\lambda x, 0)$ .

#### 1.3.1 Answer 5

1. Distributivity of scalar addition prevents  $V$  from being a field over  $\mathbf{F}$ . Consider this example:

$$\begin{aligned} & |-1+i| \times \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + |1-i| \times \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \\ & \sqrt{(-1)^2 + 1^2} \times \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \sqrt{1^2 + (-1)^2} \times \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2\sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 2\sqrt{2} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

while, at the same time:

$$|(-1+i) + (1-i)| \times \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \sqrt{0^2 + 0^2} \times \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Obviously,

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2\sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 2\sqrt{2} \end{bmatrix} \neq \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

2. Of course  $V$  is not a vector space over  $\mathbf{F}$ , almost none of scalar multiples are in  $V$ , since they are of the form  $(x, y)$ , where  $y = 0$  and  $y = 2x$ , but this is only true when  $x = 0$  as well. Any other value of  $x$  will not satisfy closure under multiplication requirement.

### 1.4 Problem 4

Given sets:

1.  $K = \{(x, y, z, t) \in \mathbb{R}^4 \mid x + y - z + t = 0 \wedge 2x + y + z - 3t = 0\}$ .

2.  $L = \{f \in V \mid f\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) > f(2)\}$ ,  $V$  is the vector space of all real-valued functions.
3.  $M = \{p(x) \in \mathbb{R}^4[x] \mid p(-3) = 0\}$ .
4.  $R = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x^2 + y^2 = 0\}$ .
5.  $R = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x^2 - y^2 = 0\}$ .

For each of sets given, assert them being vector spaces. In case they are, prove this in two different ways.

#### 1.4.1 Answer 6

1. By substitution find that  $x = 4t - 2z$ ,  $y = 3z - 5t$ . This gives us vectors  $\vec{v}_1 = (4, -5, 0, 1)^T$  and  $\vec{v}_2 = (-2, 3, 1, 0)^T$  which span  $K$ . In other words,  $K$  is a vector space over the field of real numbers with the operations of vector addition and multiplication.
2.  $L$  is not a vector space. For example, it doesn't have additive inverse. Suppose for contradiction that there exists additive inverse in  $L$ , then  $f(x) + f'(x) = 0$ , in particular,  $f(\frac{1}{2}) + f'(\frac{1}{2}) = 0$  and  $f(2) + f'(2) = 0$ . We know that  $f(\frac{1}{2}) > f(2)$ . Let  $f(\frac{1}{2}) = n$  and  $f(2) = m$ . Then  $f'(\frac{1}{2}) = -n$  and  $f'(2) = -m$ . However, if  $n > m$ , then  $-n < -m$ . Contradiction. Hence,  $L$  is not a vector space.
3.  $M$  is a vector space with the span  $P = \text{Sp}\{(1, 0, 0, (-3)^3), (0, 1, 0, (-3)^2), (0, 0, 1, (-3)^1), (0, 0, 0, 0)\}$ . To see why these vectors span  $M$  suppose there was a polynomial  $p(x)$  s.t.  $p(-3) = 0$ , but it is not a linear combination of  $P$ . However,  $p(x)$  must be representable as follows  $(\alpha(-3)^3 - c_\alpha) + (\beta(-3)^2 - c_\beta) + (\gamma(-3)^1 - c_\gamma) = 0$ , with  $c_i$  some constants. Now, note that each of the summands individually can be represented by the elements of  $P$ , hence, contrary to assumed,  $p(x)$  is a linear combination of  $P$ . Hence,  $P$  spans  $M$ .
4.  $R$  is a vector space, if you allow vector spaces with just one element: the condition  $x^2 + y^2 = 0$  in real numbers can only be satisfied when  $x = y = 0$ , since squares of real numbers are non-negative. This space would be the  $\text{Sp}\{(0, 0)\}$ .
5.  $S$  is a vector space defined by  $\text{Sp}\{(1, 1), (0, 0)\}$ , it is equivalent to just the real numbers.

#### 1.5 Problem 5

Given vector space  $V$  and  $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3$  distinct vectors prove or disprove:

1. If  $\text{Sp}\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2\} = \text{Sp}\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_3\}$ , then  $\vec{v}_2$  is a multiple of  $\vec{v}_3$ .
2. If  $\vec{v}_1 - 2\vec{v}_2 + \vec{v}_3 = \vec{0}$ , then  $\text{Sp}\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2\} = \text{Sp}\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_3\}$ .
3. If  $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3\}$  is linearly dependent, then  $\text{Sp}\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2\} = \text{Sp}\{\vec{v}_1 + \vec{v}_3, \vec{v}_2 + \vec{v}_3\}$ .

### 1.5.1 Answer 7

1. False, counterexample:  $\vec{v}_1 = (1, 0)^T$ ,  $\vec{v}_2 = (1, 1)^T$ ,  $\vec{v}_3 = (0, 1)^T$ , but there doesn't exist  $\lambda$  s.t.  $\lambda\vec{v}_2 = \vec{v}_3$ .
2. True, take any vector generated by the first span:

$$\vec{x} = \alpha(2\vec{v}_2 - \vec{v}_3) + \beta\vec{v}_2$$

$$\vec{y} = \gamma(2\vec{v}_2 - \vec{v}_3) + \delta\vec{v}_3$$

*Group the coefficients:*

$$\vec{x} = (2\alpha + \beta)\vec{v}_2 - \alpha\vec{v}_3$$

$$\vec{y} = 2\gamma\vec{v}_2 - (\delta - \gamma)\vec{v}_3$$

Since both  $\vec{x}$  and  $\vec{y}$  are linear combinations of  $\vec{v}_2$  and  $\vec{v}_3$ , they are in the same span. Hence  $\text{Sp}\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2\} = \text{Sp}\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_3\}$ .

3. False, counterexample:  $\vec{v}_1 = (0, 0)^T$ ,  $\vec{v}_2 = (1, 0)^T$ ,  $\vec{v}_3 = (0, 1)^T$ , but  $\dim \text{Sp}\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2\} = 1$  and  $\dim \text{Sp}\{\vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3\} = 2$ .

### 1.6 Problem 6

Given following subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ :  $U = \text{Sp}\{(1, 1, 2), (2, 2, 1)\}$  and  $W = \text{Sp}\{(1, 3, 4), (2, 5, 1)\}$ , find spanning set of  $U \cap W$ .



### 1.6.1 Answer 8

Using linear space sum dimension theorem:  $\dim(W + U) = \dim W + \dim U - \dim(W \cap U)$  we have that  $\dim(W \cap U) = \dim W + \dim U - \dim(W + U)$ . Now, let's find the summands:

$$\begin{aligned}\dim U &= \dim \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &= \dim \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & -3 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &= 2 .\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\dim W &= \dim \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 5 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &= \dim \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & -1 & -7 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &= 2 .\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\dim(W + U) &= \dim \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 4 \\ 0 & -1 & -7 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & -3 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &= \dim \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 4 \\ 0 & -1 & -7 \\ 0 & -2 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & -3 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &= \dim \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 4 \\ 0 & -1 & -7 \\ 0 & 0 & 16 \\ 0 & 0 & -3 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &= \dim \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 4 \\ 0 & -1 & -7 \\ 0 & 0 & 16 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &= 3 .\end{aligned}$$

Imporatnt observation here is that the number of pivot elements in reduced echelon form is the dimension of the matrix.

Hence,  $\dim(W \cap U) = 2 + 2 - 3 = 1$ , or, in other words,  $U$  and  $W$  share one common axis.