# Assignment 11, Linear Algebra 1

## Oleg Sivokon

## <2016-02-27 Sat>

## Contents

1	Pro	blems	3
	1.1	Problem 1	3
		1.1.1 Answer 1	3
		1.1.2 Answer 2	5
	1.2	Problem 2	7
		1.2.1 Answer 3	7
		1.2.2 Answer 4	8
		1.2.3 Answer 5	8
	1.3	Problem 3	9
		1.3.1 Answer 6	9
		1.3.2 Answer 7	9
	1.4	Problem 4	10
		1.4.1 Answer 8	10
		142 Answer 9	11

	1.4.3 Answer 10	12
1.5	Problem 5	14
	1.5.1 Answer 11	14
	1.5.2 Answer 12	14
	5.3 Answer 13	1/1

## 1 Problems

## 1.1 Problem 1

Solve given systems of linear equations:

$$2x - y + 4z = 1 x + 2y - 3z = 6 x - y + z = 3$$
  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$ 

$$2x + 2y + 8z + w = 0$$

$$3x + 3y + 3z + 13w = 0$$

$$2x + 2y + 4z + 3w = 0$$

$$x, y, z, w \in \mathbb{R}$$

Which variables are bound, which are free?

## 1.1.1 Answer 1

Solution:

[%t1, %t2, %t3]

First, we subtract third equation from the second in order to express y in terms of z:

$$(x+2y-3z) - (x-y+z) = 6-3 \iff$$
$$3y-4z = 3 \iff$$
$$y = \frac{3+4z}{3}.$$

Next, we do the same for third and first equation to express x in terms of z:

$$(2x - y + 4z) - (x - y + z) = 1 - 3 \iff$$
$$x + 3z = 2 \iff$$
$$x = -2 - 3z.$$

Then we substitute x and y into the third equation:

$$-2 - 3z - \frac{3+4z}{3} + z = 3 \iff$$

$$-2z - 1 - \frac{4}{3}z = 5 \iff$$

$$-\frac{10}{3}z = 6 \iff$$

$$z = -\frac{9}{5}.$$

Similarly, we find  $x = \frac{17}{5}$  and  $y = -\frac{7}{5}$ .

Substituting the results into original system gives:

$$2\frac{17}{5} - -\frac{7}{5} + -4\frac{9}{5} = 1$$

$$\frac{17}{5} + -2\frac{7}{5} - -3\frac{9}{5} = 6$$

$$\frac{17}{5} - -\frac{7}{5} + -\frac{9}{5} = 3$$

$$34 + 7 - 36 = 5$$

$$17 - 14 + 27 = 30$$

$$17 + 7 - 9 = 15$$

$$5 = 5$$

$$30 = 30$$

$$15 = 15$$

If we convert the given system to a matrix and bring the matrix to the row-echelon form we get:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 4 \\ 1 & 2 & -3 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_3 = R_3 - R_2} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 4 \\ 1 & 2 & -3 \\ 0 & -3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_1 = R_1 - 2R_2}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -3 & 10 \\ 1 & 2 & -3 \\ 0 & -3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_1 = R_2, R_2 = R_1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -3 \\ 0 & -3 & 10 \\ 0 & -3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_3 = R_3 - R_2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -3 \\ 0 & -3 & 10 \\ 0 & 0 & -6 \end{bmatrix}$$

We can see that all columns have leading variables, thus there are no free variables.

## 1.1.2 Answer 2

```
programmode: false; linsystem: [ 2*x + 2*y + 8*z + w = 0, 3*x + 3*y + 3*z + 13*w = 0, 2*x + 2*y + 4*z + 3*w = 0]; print(linsolve(linsystem, [x, y, z, w]));
```

Solution:

[%t1, %t2, %t3, %t4]

Similarly to the 1.1.1, we first express w in terms of z:

$$2x + 2y + 8z + w - 2x - 2y - 4z - 3w = 0 \iff$$
$$4z - 2w = 0 \iff$$
$$w = 2z.$$

Now we can rewrite the system as:

$$2x + 2y + 10z = 0 
3x + 3y + 29z = 0 
2x + 2y + 10z = 0$$

$$x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$$

which is essentially the same as:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l}
 2x + 2y + 10z = 0 \\
 3x + 3y + 29z = 0
 \end{array} \right\} \qquad x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$$

Expressing x in terms of y and z gives:

$$3x + 3y + 29z - 2x - 2y - 10z = 0 \iff$$
 
$$x + y + 19z = 0 \iff$$
 
$$x = -y - 19z.$$

Substituting it back into first equation to solve for y:

$$3(-y-19z) + 3y + 29z = 0 \iff$$

$$3y - 3y - 57z + 29z = 0 \iff$$

$$28z = 0 \iff$$

$$z = 0.$$

Now we substitute this result back into our description of x, thus obtaining:

$$x = -y - 19 \times 0 \iff x = -y.$$

Which is the solution for the given system of linear equations.

We'll bring the matrix corresponding to this system to the row-echelon form to find the free and the bound variables.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 8 & 1 \\ 3 & 3 & 3 & 13 \\ 2 & 2 & 4 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_3 = R_3 - R_1} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 8 & 1 \\ 3 & 3 & 3 & 13 \\ 0 & 0 & -4 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_2 = 2R_2}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 8 & 1 \\ 6 & 6 & 6 & 26 \\ 0 & 0 & -4 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_2 = R_2 - 3R_1} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 8 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -18 & 23 \\ 0 & 0 & -4 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_3 = 9R_3}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 8 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -18 & 23 \\ 0 & 0 & -36 & 18 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_3 = R_3 - 2R_2} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 8 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -18 & 23 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -28 \end{bmatrix}$$

Since the second column doesn't have a pivot element, I conclude that y is free in this linear system, while the rest of the variables are bound.

## 1.2 Problem 2

For the given system:

$$x + ay + z = 1$$

$$ax + a^{2}y + z = 2 + a$$

$$ax + 3ay + z = 2 - t$$

$$a, t, x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$$

- 1. Find a, t s.t. the system has a unique solution.
- 2. Find a, t s.t. the system has infinitely many solutions.
- 3. Find a, t s.t. the system has no solutions.

## 1.2.1 Answer 3

We could first reduce the matrix representing this system to the row-echelon form:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & a & 1 \\ a & a^2 & 1 \\ a & 3a & a \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_3 = R_3 - R_2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a & 1 \\ a & a^2 & 1 \\ 0 & 3a - a^2 & a \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_2 = R_2 - aR_2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 - a \\ 0 & 3a - a^2 & a \end{bmatrix}$$

From which we conclude that whenever  $1 - a \neq 0$  and  $3a - a^2 \neq 0$  there would be a pivot element in every column, thus ensuring the system has exactly one solution.

Second equation factors as a(3-a), thus its roots are a=0 and a=3. Subsequently, whenever  $a \neq 1$  and  $a \neq 0$  and  $a \neq 3$  the system has a unique solution.

#### 1.2.2 Answer 4

If we put a=3, t=-3 then the system has infinitely many solutions since the second and the third its equations become multiples of each other:

$$3x + 3^{2}y + z = 2 + 3$$

$$while, at the same time$$

$$3x + 3 \times 3 + z = 2 - (-3)$$

$$simplifying both parts$$

$$3x + 9y + z = 5$$

$$and$$

$$3x + 9y + z = 5$$
.

## 1.2.3 Answer 5

It is easy to see that whenever a = 1, no matter the value of t, the system is inconsistent:

$$x+1\times y+z=1$$
 while, at the same time 
$$1\times x+1^2y+z=2+1$$
 subtracting both parts 
$$x+y+z-x-y-z=1-3\iff 0=-2\;.$$

Another case when the system becomes inconsisten is when a = 0 and  $t \neq 0$ , since the third and the second equations would become inconsistent:

$$0x + 0^{2}y + z = 2 + 0$$

$$while, at the same time$$

$$0x + 3 \times 0 + z = 2 - t$$

$$simplifying both parts$$

$$z = 2$$

$$and$$

$$z = 2 - t$$
.

## 1.3 Problem 3

Given that vectors  $\vec{v} = (4, -2, -2, 4)$  and  $\vec{u} = (-2, 4, 4, -2)$  are solutions to the system of linear equations M with four unknowns. Also known that (2, 2, 2, 2) isn't a solution of M.

- 1. Prove that the system isn't homogeneous.
- 2. Prove that (0, 2, 2, 0) is also a solution of the system.

## 1.3.1 Answer 6

Suppose, for contradiction, M is homogeneous. Then it must be the case that any linear combination of  $\vec{v}$  and  $\vec{u}$  is also a solution to the system. In particular,  $\vec{v} + \vec{u}$  is such a solution, but  $\vec{v} + \vec{u} = (2, 2, 2, 2)$ , contrary to the given.

Hence, by contradiction, M is not homogeneous.

## 1.3.2 Answer 7

Since the set of all solutions to the linear system is closed under multiplication by a scalar, it is possible that  $\vec{v}$ ,  $\vec{u}$ , or their linear combination multiplied by a scalar will result in (0, 2, 2, 0), and indeed,  $\frac{1}{3}(\vec{v} + 2\vec{u}) = (0, 2, 2, 0)$ .

Hence, (0, 2, 2, 0) is a solution of M.

## 1.4 Problem 4

Let  $\{\vec{u_1}, \vec{u_2}, \vec{u_3}, \vec{u_4}\}$  be a basis in  $\mathbb{R}^4$ .

$$\vec{v_1} = k\vec{u_1} - \vec{u_3} + \vec{u_4}$$
 
$$\vec{v_2} = \vec{u_1} + \vec{u_2} - \vec{u_4}$$
 
$$\vec{v_3} = 4\vec{u_2} + k\vec{u_3} - 6\vec{u_4}$$
 where  $k \in \mathbb{R}$ 

- 1. For what values of k vectors  $\vec{v_1}$ ,  $\vec{v_2}$ ,  $\vec{v_3}$  are linearly (in-)dependent?
- 2. Whenever the above vectors are linearly dependent, write  $\vec{v_3}$  as a combination of  $\vec{v_1}$  and  $\vec{v_2}$ .
- 3. What are the values of k for which the set  $\{\vec{u_1}, \vec{u_2}, \vec{u_3}, \vec{v_1}, \vec{v_2}\}$  spans  $\mathbb{R}^4$ ?

## 1.4.1 Answer 8

Recall that matrix comprised of column vectors adjoined to the solution vector (zero in our case) will have single solution if the vectors are linearly independent. Hence, represent the  $\vec{v_i}$  first in terms of  $\vec{u_i}$ , then in matrix form:

$$a_{1}(k\vec{u_{1}} - \vec{u_{3}} + \vec{u_{4}}) + a_{2}(\vec{u_{1}} + \vec{u_{2}} - \vec{u_{4}}) + a_{3}(\vec{u_{2}} + k\vec{u_{3}} - 6\vec{u_{4}}) = \vec{0} \iff$$

$$(a_{1}k + a_{2})\vec{u_{1}} + (a_{2} + 4a_{3})\vec{u_{2}} + (ka_{3} - a_{1})\vec{u_{3}} + (a_{1} - a_{2} - 6a_{3})\vec{u_{4}} = \vec{0} \iff$$

$$has \ unique \ solution \begin{bmatrix} k & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 4 \\ -1 & 0 & k \\ 1 & -1 & -6 \end{bmatrix}$$

It is important now to see what happens when k=0 since this will affect the first pivot element:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 4 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & -6 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_1 = R_4, R_4 = R_1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & -6 \\ 0 & 1 & 4 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_3 = R_3 + R_1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & -6 \\ 0 & 1 & 4 \\ 0 & -1 & -6 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_3 = R_3 + R_2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & -6 \\ 0 & 1 & 4 \\ 0 & -1 & -6 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_3 = R_3 + R_2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & -6 \\ 0 & 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & -4 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_4 = R_4 + 2R_3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & -6 \\ 0 & 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

I.e. when k = 0, the system has unique solution. Otherwise:

$$\begin{bmatrix} k & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 4 \\ -1 & 0 & k \\ 1 & -1 & -6 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_3 = R_3 + R_4} \begin{bmatrix} k & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 4 \\ 0 & -1 & k - 6 \\ 1 & -1 & -6 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_4 = kR_4 - R_1} \begin{bmatrix} k & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 4 \\ 0 & -1 & k - 6 \\ 0 & -k - 1 & -6 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_3 = R_3 + R_2} \begin{bmatrix} k & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & k - 2 \\ 0 & -k - 1 & -6 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_4 = R_4 + (k+1)R_2} \begin{bmatrix} k & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & k - 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 4k - 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

We can see that the third and fourth equations are equivalent, and the system only has unique solution whenever  $k \neq \frac{1}{2}$  and  $k \neq 2$ .

## 1.4.2 Answer 9

In the way similar to the 1.4.1, we can write a system of symultaneous equations:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} kx_1 + x_2 = 0 \\ x_2 = 4y \\ -x_1 = ky \\ -x_1 - x_2 = 6y \end{array} \right\} \Longleftrightarrow$$

$$\begin{array}{l} -x_1 = 6y + x_2 \\ ky = 6y + x_2 \\ (k+6)y = 4y \\ k+6 = 4 \lor y = 0 \\ assume \ y \neq 0 \\ k = -2 \ . \end{array}$$

Verifying:

$$-2x_1 + x_2 = 0 \land x_2 = 4y \land -x_1 = -2y \land -x_1 - x_2 = 6y$$

$$is \ consitent \iff x_1 = \frac{1}{2}x_2 \land x_2 = 4y \land -\frac{1}{2}x_2 = -2y \land -\frac{1}{2}x_2 - x_2 = -\frac{3}{2}x_2 = 6y \ .$$

Hence whenever k = -2,  $y(v_1 + v_2) = v_3$ .

## 1.4.3 Answer 10

Since  $u_i$  are the basis, none of them is a linear combination of the others. Hence  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  must "compensate" for the loss of  $u_4$ . In other words, whenever  $u_4$  is a linear combination of  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ , the set  $\{\vec{u_1}, \vec{u_2}, \vec{u_3}, \vec{v_1}, \vec{v_2}\}$  spans  $\mathbb{R}^4$ .

More formally, whenever:

$$a_{1}(k\vec{u_{1}} - \vec{u_{3}} + \vec{u_{4}}) + a_{2}(\vec{u_{1}} + \vec{u_{2}} - \vec{u_{4}}) = \vec{u_{4}} \iff (ka_{1} + a_{2})\vec{u_{1}} + a_{2}\vec{u_{2}} - a_{1}\vec{u_{3}} - (a_{1} - a_{2} - 1)\vec{u_{4}} = 0 \iff \begin{bmatrix} k & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} has solution$$

The above set spans  $\mathbb{R}^4$ .

As before, we need to solve for k = 0 and when it doesn't.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_1 \text{ is redundatn}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_1 = R_2, R_2 = R_1} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_3 = R_3 + R_1} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_3 = R_3 + R_2} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

In conclusion, whenever k=0, we can represent  $\vec{u_4}$  as a linear combination of  $\vec{v_1}$  and  $\vec{v_2}$ .  $k \neq 0$  case:

$$\begin{bmatrix} k & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_4 = R_4 + R_3} \begin{bmatrix} k & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_3 = kR_3 + R_1} \begin{bmatrix} k & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_2 \text{ is redundant}} \begin{bmatrix} k & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_3 = R_3 + R_2} \begin{bmatrix} k & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus, independent of k, we will always be able to represent  $\vec{u_4}$  as a linear combination of vectors  $\vec{v_1}$  and  $\vec{v_2}$ . Another way to see this is to notice that both  $\vec{v_1}$  and  $\vec{v_2}$  have a component from  $\vec{u_4}$  and this component cannot be cancelled by any other vector, otherwise those other vectors wouldn't have formed a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

## 1.5 Problem 5

Let  $\vec{v}$ ,  $\vec{u_1}$ ,..., $\vec{u_k}$  be vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .  $\vec{v}$  has a unique representation as a linear combination of vectors  $\vec{u_1}$ ,..., $\vec{u_k}$ .

For questions (2) and (3) assume that for some  $w \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $w = x_1 \vec{u_1} + \cdots + x_k \vec{u_k}$  has no solutions.

- 1. Prove  $\vec{u_1}, \dots, \vec{u_k}$  are linearly independent.
- 2. Prove k < n.
- 3. Prove  $\{w, \vec{u_1}, \dots, \vec{u_k}\}$  is linearly independent.

## 1.5.1 Answer 11

Assume, for contradiction,  $\vec{u_1}, \ldots, \vec{u_k}$  are linearly dependent. Then, there exist some  $\vec{u_n}$  s.t. for some  $x_1\vec{u_1} + \cdots + x_k\vec{u_k} = \vec{u_n}$ . Then, since  $\vec{u_n}$  is used in the representation of  $\vec{v}$ , we can write this representation in two distinct ways: one that involves  $\vec{u_n}$  and the other one which doesn't. However, we are given the representation is unique.

Hence, by contradiction,  $\vec{u_1}, \dots, \vec{u_k}$  are linearly independent.

### 1.5.2 Answer 12

Observe that k is at most n, otherwise  $\vec{u_1}, \ldots, \vec{u_k}$  would be linearly dependent. (We proved this in 1.5.1.)

Assume, for contradiction k = n, then  $\vec{u_1}, \ldots, \vec{u_k}$  spans  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , hence, every vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is representable as a linear combination of  $\vec{u_1}, \ldots, \vec{u_k}$ . However, we are given that w is not representable as a linear combination of these vectors.

Hence, by contradition, k < n.

### 1.5.3 Answer 13

The proof is immediate from the definition.  $w \neq x_1 \vec{u_1} + \cdots + x_k \vec{u_k}$ , hence w is not a linear combination of  $\vec{u_1}, \dots, \vec{u_k}$ , hence  $\{w, \vec{u_1}, \dots, \vec{u_k}\}$  are linearly independent.