A 3D Finite Volume Method Solver for Arbitrary Solidification Boundary-Value Problems

William E Warriner

March 7, 2019

1 The Heat Equation

Consider a point in Euclidean space and let the temperature of that point be u, its density ρ and constant-pressure specific heat capacity c_p . It is assumed that all properties are temperature dependent. Then the heat equation may be formulated as

$$\rho c_p \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot \dot{\boldsymbol{q}} \tag{1}$$

where \dot{q} is the heat flux vector across the point. The equation states that the temperature of a point changes proportionally to the net heat flux through it. Both sides of the equation have units of W m⁻³.

There are three types of heat flux: conductive, convective, and radiative. It is assumed that a boundary cannot experience both conductive and convective heat transfer. Conductive heat transfer is reserved for boundaries between points with the same material, while convective is for different materials. Radiative heat transfer occurs in both cases and is added to both. The heat flux equations are

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{q}}_k = -k\nabla u \tag{2}$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{q}}_h = \mathbf{h} \circ (\mathbf{u}_{\infty} - u) \tag{3}$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{q}}_{\sigma} = \sigma \varepsilon \left(\mathbf{u}_{\infty}^4 - \mathbf{u}^4 \right) \tag{4}$$

where k is thermal conductivity, h is the vector convective heat transfer coefficient at the boundary, u_{∞} is the ambient temperature, σ is the Stefan-Boltzmann constant and ε is a constant dependent on emissivity. The symbol "o" is the Hadamard product, and is performed element-wise. The scalar temperature u at a point is implicitly expanded to a vector with an appropriate number of elements whose values are identical. All of these have units of W m⁻².

The heat equation in the case of pure conductivity becomes the more familiar

$$\rho c_p \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot k \nabla u \tag{5}$$

$$= -\nabla k \cdot \nabla u - k \nabla^2 u \tag{6}$$

whereas in the case of pure convection it becomes

$$\rho c_p \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{h} \circ (\boldsymbol{u}_{\infty} - u) \tag{7}$$

with the assumption that heat transfer modes can be freely mixed provided the point is replaced by a differential element. Such a replacement naturally leads to a finite volume method formulation for numerical solutions to the heat equation.

2 Finite Volume Method

Suppose that the problem domain is subdivided into axially-aligned rectangular prisms—or elements—whose side lengths are Δx , Δy and Δz along the X-, Y- and Z-axes, respectively. Then the volume of the cell is $V = \Delta x \Delta y \Delta z$, and the surface area components are $S_{yz} = \Delta y \Delta z$, $S_{zx} = \Delta z \Delta x$, $S_{xy} = \Delta x \Delta y$ for boundaries normal to the X-, Y- and Z-axes respectively. Note the side lengths may vary along each axis without changing the following. Let n denote the sequence of axes $\{x, y, z\}$. Assume each cell has uniform temperature and properties. Then the convective heat equation for a cell then becomes

$$\rho c_p \frac{\Delta u}{\Delta t} = -\sum_n \frac{\Delta k_n}{\Delta n} \frac{\Delta u}{\Delta n} - \sum_n k_n \frac{\Delta^2 u}{\Delta n^2}$$
 (8)

$$\frac{\Delta u}{\Delta t} = -\sum_{n} \frac{1}{\rho_n c_{p,n}} \frac{\Delta k_n}{\Delta n} \frac{\Delta u}{\Delta n} - \sum_{n} \frac{k_n}{\rho_n c_{p,n}} \frac{\Delta^2 u}{\Delta n^2}$$
 (9)

$$\Delta u = -\sum_{n} \frac{\Delta t}{\rho_n c_{p,n} \Delta n^2} \Delta k_n \Delta u - \sum_{n} \frac{k_n \Delta t}{\rho_n c_{p,n} \Delta n^2} \Delta^2 u$$
 (10)

$$\Delta u = -\sum_{n} \frac{\Delta t}{\rho_n c_{p,n} \Delta n^2} \left(\Delta k_n \Delta u + k_n \Delta^2 u \right)$$
 (11)

where the thermal conductivity of the face i + 1/2 between cells i and i + 1 is computed as

$$k_{n,i+1/2} = \left(\frac{\Delta n_i}{\Delta n_i + \Delta n_{i+1}} \cdot \frac{1}{k_i} + \frac{\Delta n_{i+1}}{\Delta n_i + \Delta n_{i+1}} \cdot \frac{1}{k_{i+1}}\right)^{-1}$$
(12)

$$= (\Delta n_i + \Delta n_{i+1}) \left(\frac{\Delta n_i}{k_i} + \frac{\Delta n_{i+1}}{k_{i+1}} \right)^{-1}$$
 (13)

so that the conductivity between cells is the half-length-weighted harmonic mean of their respective conductivities. If the cells have uniform thickness, then the

weighting becomes half for each contribution, and the result is simply double the harmonic mean.

Similarly, the value of ρc_p for boundary i + 1/2 may be determined as the volume-weighted at rithmetic mean of cells i and i + 1 as

$$\rho_{i+1/2}c_{p,i+1/2} = \frac{\left(\Delta n_i \rho_i + \Delta n_{i+1} \rho_{i+1}\right) \left(\Delta n_i c_{p,i} + \Delta n_{i+1} c_{p,i+1}\right)}{\Delta n_i + \Delta n_{i+1}} \tag{14}$$

where the areas cancel and the volume-weighting becomes length-weighting. If the cells have uniform thickness then the weighted average becomes a simple arithmetic mean.

The convective heat equation becomes

$$\rho c_p \frac{\Delta u}{\Delta t} = \sum_n h_n \frac{\Delta (u_{\infty,n} - u)}{\Delta n}$$
 (15)

$$\Delta u = \sum_{n} \frac{h_n \Delta t}{2\rho_n c_{p,n} \Delta n} \Delta u \tag{16}$$

because the ambient temperature at boundary i + 1/2 may be expressed as

$$u_{\infty,n,i+1/2} = \frac{u_i + u_{i+1}}{2} \tag{17}$$

which is the arithmetic mean of the temperature in cells i and i+1. The unweighted arithmetic mean is reasonable because it is symmetric and because it uses the average temperature at exactly the boundary. Note the convection coefficient need not be averaged because it is empirically determined for the boundary in question. Every pair of materials which have an interface must have a well-defined convection coefficient.

3 Discretization Approach

Because the conductive heat equation is second order and the governing partial differential equation is parabolic we choose to use a generalized Crank-Nicolson approach. The approach can be thought of as a linear interpolation of a fully explicit and fully implicit second-order central difference. Because the next time step is implicitly dependent on the previous time step, a system of equations must be solved. The overall system may be expressed as

$$M_m^{j+1}u^{j+1} + b_m^{j+1} = M_e^j u^j + b_e^j + d^j$$
(18)

where M are the coefficient matrices, u^j is the temperature vector of the j-th time step, b are the global boundary conditions and subscripts m and e represent implicit and explicit parts of the Crank-Nicolson equations. The term d^j is the $-\nabla k \cdot \nabla u$ and will be discussed in more detail later. The solution to the system of equations is given by

$$u^{j+1} = (\mathbf{M}_m^{j+1})^{-1} (\mathbf{M}_e^j u^j + \mathbf{b}_e^j - \mathbf{b}_m^{j+1} + \mathbf{d}^j)$$
 (19)

provided M_m^{j+1} is invertible, and is the next time-step of the temperature field.

The matrix M_e has one row per cell in the domain. The matrix is zero everywhere except on the primary diagonal and six off-diagonals. The primary diagonal entries correspond to the cells of the domain, while the off-diagonals correspond to the contributions from each cell's six neighbors. The off-diagonal entries in the upper-triangular portion are equal to $(1 - \theta) \alpha_{n,i}$ where $n \in \{x, y, z\}$ and i is the i-th row of the matrix. The coefficient θ is implicitness parameter, and may take any value in [0, 1]. The value 0 implies the system is fully explicit, 1 fully implicit, and 0.5 the Crank-Nicolson method proper. The values of the α may be computed as

$$\alpha_{k,n,i} = \frac{k_{n,i+1/2}\Delta t}{\rho_{n,i+1/2}c_{p,n,i+1/2}\Delta n^2} = \frac{k\Delta t}{\rho c_p \Delta n^2}$$
 (20)

$$\alpha_{k,n,i} = \frac{k_{n,i+1/2}\Delta t}{\rho_{n,i+1/2}c_{p,n,i+1/2}\Delta n^2} = \frac{k\Delta t}{\rho c_p \Delta n^2}$$

$$\alpha_{h,n,i} = \frac{h_{n,i+1/2}\Delta t}{\rho_{n,i+1/2}c_{p,n,i+1/2}\Delta n} = \frac{h\Delta t}{\rho c_p \Delta n}$$
(20)

where the subscript k denotes a conductivity heat flux and k denotes convection. The sign of the half-index is flipped for the lower-triangular portion. All of the alphas are symmetric with respect to the sign of the half-indiex, so the matrix will be symmetric.

Matrix symmetry implies that diffusivity between elements i and i' is the same as between i' and i, which must hold by physical symmetry. Because the matrix is symmetric, the lower-triangular portion may be constructed directly from the upper portion. The diagonal indices of the upper-triangular portion containing non-zero entries are the strides of the underlying discretization of the domain. The stride along the X-axis is 1, along the Y-axis is the number of elements along the X-axis, and along the Z-axis is the product of the number of elements along the X- and Y-axes.

Off-diagonal entries which imply the neighboring cell lies outside the domain are set to zero in M_e . These entries require application of the global boundary condition, which is the origin of the b terms. For some elements these values are implicit in the matrix. For other elements they lie in rows or columns outside the choice of matrix. Rather than expanding the matrix, which is unwieldy and destroys the regularity of the band structure, instead all such entries are set to zero. As a result the boundary conditions must be exported to a vector b_e . The vector is the product of u_{∞} with $(1-\theta) \alpha_{\infty,n,i}$ for each such boundary entry. The boundary entries for a given cell are summed together in the vector. For an element at the vertex of the domain there are thus three $\alpha_{\infty,n,i}$ terms, at an edge two, on a face one, and zero everywhere else. For the vector \boldsymbol{b}_m the elements are sums of $-\theta \alpha_{\infty,n,i}$.

The values on the primary diagonal of M_e are equal to $p_i = 2-2(1-\theta)\sum_n \alpha_{n,i}$ $2(1-\theta)\alpha_{\infty,n,i}$ where $\alpha_{n,i}$ is the upper diagonal element associated with axis n in the i-th row and $\alpha_{\infty,n,i}$ is the i-th ambient diffusivity as used in b_e . The doubling of the boundary and vector contributions is due to matrix symmetry. The matrix M_m is identical to M_e except off-diagonal entries are $-\alpha_{n,i}$ and primary diagonal entries are $p_i = 2 + 2\theta \sum_n \alpha_{n,i} + 2\theta \alpha_{\infty,n,i}$, where the entries

of the last term come from \boldsymbol{b}_m .

Up to this point only the convective heat flux and second term of the conductive heat flux have been considered. It is believed the value of d will be largest between liquid and solid because there is often a sharp change in conductivity with solidification. A product of central difference schemes will be used to compute those values where possible. If a convective boundary exists on one face of an element along a direction, then that element will use the forward or backward difference for that direction instead, as appropriate. If the element has two convective boundaries on the same axis, then the value of the term is assumed to be zero along that axis. While it is possible to incorporate the term into the general Crank-Nicolson solver with additional mathematical effort, it is simpler to assume the term acts like an additional boundary condition and add the term to the right-hand side.

4 Adaptive Time-stepping

The previous section dealt with the solution to a single time-step. Determining how large that time-step must be is another matter. Adaptive time-stepping is used based on a target heat extraction rate. An initial guess is made at a time step, and a candidate temperature field computed using the method described in the previous section. The enthalpy of every point in the previous temperature field and candidate field are computed. The enthalpy change of every point is computed. If the largest enthalpy change over the domain is within some tolerance of a target value, then the candidate is accepted as the next time step. If not, then a modified bisection method is used to find the next candidate time step. The initial range of the bisection method is zero to infinity. If the enthalpy change is smaller than the target and the upper limit is still infinity, then the next guess is double the current guess. Otherwise the bisection proceeds as usual.

5 Numerical Methods

To solve the system of equations it is possible to use any linear system solver. The choice made here is a preconditioned conjugate gradient method using an initial guess. The preconditioner is an incomplete Cholesky factorization of M_m . The initial guess is whichever previous candidate temperature field is closest to the target while determining the next time step.

It is common for the system to be stiff when real solidification properties are used due to the larger latent heat evolution over short time frames. A regularization procedure is used when calculating c_p . The values of enthalpy are precomputed from the values of c_p for each temperature. The values of enthalpy are looked up using the current and previous time steps. The difference in enthalpy divided by difference in temperature is a linear approximation to c_p . Note that the properties are lagged in time by one step. Other methods are

available but require considerably more effort to implement. For the first time step, there is not yet a previous time step. In that case c_p is used directly.