

# PCBancard Proposal Generator — Complete Implementation Spec

## v3.0

### CRITICAL: What's Wrong With Current Output + How to Fix It

#### Current Problems (Must Fix)

- No intake questions** — Not collecting merchant info (name, owner, website, phone, email, address) or agent info
- No business research** — Not pulling logo, industry, services, reviews, or "about" language
- Not using spreadsheets** — Shows \$0/mo fees and 0.00% effective rate (extraction broken)
- Too short** — Outputs 1-page fluff instead of 2-4 page real proposal
- No visuals** — No Gemini images, no branding, feels empty

---

## PART 1: INTAKE FORM SPECIFICATION

### Required Fields

#### Agent Information (REQUIRED — prints on proposal)

Field	Type	Validation	Default
agent_first_name	string	Required, 1-50 chars	—
agent_last_name	string	Required, 1-50 chars	—
agent_title	string	Required	"Account Executive"
agent_phone	string	Required, valid phone	—
agent_email	string	Required, valid email	—

#### File Uploads (REQUIRED)

Field	Type	Validation
dual_pricing_spreadsheet	file	.xlsx, .xls, .csv — required
interchange_plus_spreadsheet	file	.xlsx, .xls, .csv — required

#### Optional Fields (Strongly Encouraged)

##### Merchant Information

Field	Type	Notes
business_name	string	Used throughout proposal

owner_name	string	Primary contact
business_address	string	Full address
business_phone	string	Contact number
business_email	string	Contact email
business_website	URL	<b>Triggers business research</b>

## Context Fields

Field	Type	Notes
industry_guess	string	If website unavailable
current_processor	string	Square/Toast/Clover/etc.
rep_notes	text	Pain points, goals, must-haves

## JSON Schema

```
{
  "$schema": "http://json-schema.org/draft-07/schema#",
  "type": "object",
  "required": ["agent_first_name", "agent_last_name", "agent_title", "agent_phone", "agent_email", "dual_pr:properties": {
    "agent_first_name": { "type": "string", "minLength": 1, "maxLength": 50 },
    "agent_last_name": { "type": "string", "minLength": 1, "maxLength": 50 },
    "agent_title": { "type": "string", "default": "Account Executive" },
    "agent_phone": { "type": "string", "pattern": "^[0-9\\-\\+\\(\\)\\s]+$" },
    "agent_email": { "type": "string", "format": "email" },
    "business_name": { "type": "string" },
    "owner_name": { "type": "string" },
    "business_address": { "type": "string" },
    "business_phone": { "type": "string" },
    "business_email": { "type": "string", "format": "email" },
    "business_website": { "type": "string", "format": "uri" },
    "industry_guess": { "type": "string" },
    "current_processor": { "type": "string" },
    "rep_notes": { "type": "string", "maxLength": 2000 },
    "dual_pricing_spreadsheet": { "type": "string", "description": "File path or base64" },
    "interchange_plus_spreadsheet": { "type": "string", "description": "File path or base64" }
  }
}
```

## PART 2: SPREADSHEET DATA EXTRACTION

### Required Extractions

From **BOTH** spreadsheets, extract:

Data Point	Source Location	Fallback

current_monthly_volume	Sum of card brand volumes	"Not provided"
current_monthly_fees	"TOTAL PROCESSING FEES" (Current)	"Not provided"
current_effective_rate	Calculate: fees ÷ volume × 100	"Not provided"
current_annual_fees	monthly × 12	Calculate

From **Dual Pricing** spreadsheet:

Data Point	Source Location
dp_monthly_cost	"TOTAL PROCESSING FEES" (Proposed)
dp_monthly_savings	"Estimated Monthly Processing Savings"
dp_annual_savings	"Estimated Yearly Processing Savings"
dp_savings_percent	"Estimated Percentage of Monthly Savings"

From **Interchange Plus** spreadsheet:

Data Point	Source Location
icp_monthly_cost	"TOTAL PROCESSING FEES" (Proposed)
icp_monthly_savings	"Estimated Monthly Processing Savings"
icp_annual_savings	"Estimated Yearly Processing Savings"
icp_savings_percent	"Estimated Percentage of Monthly Savings"

## Sanity Checks (CRITICAL)

```

// SANITY CHECK 1: Zero fees with positive volume
if (current_monthly_volume > 0 && current_monthly_fees === 0) {
    // DO NOT output "$0 fees"
    // Flag as extraction error
    extraction_warning = "Data check needed: Volume detected but fees computed to $0. Please verify spreadsheet."
    current_monthly_fees = "Not enough data provided";
}

// SANITY CHECK 2: Negative savings
if (dp_monthly_savings < 0 || icp_monthly_savings < 0) {
    extraction_warning = "Warning: Current rates appear lower than proposed. Please verify data.";
}

// SANITY CHECK 3: Missing values
// Never invent numbers - mark as "Not provided" and adjust narrative
if (!value || value === null || value === undefined) {
    return "Not provided";
}

// SANITY CHECK 4: Unrealistic effective rate
if (current_effective_rate > 10) {
    extraction_warning = "Effective rate exceeds 10% - please verify calculation.";
}

```

## Normalized Extraction JSON

```
{  
  "extraction_status": "success | partial | failed",  
  "extraction_warnings": [],  
  
  "current_state": {  
    "monthly_volume": 16531.97,  
    "monthly_fees": 1415.58,  
    "effective_rate": 8.56,  
    "annual_fees": 16986.96  
  },  
  
  "dual_pricing": {  
    "monthly_cost": 64.95,  
    "monthly_savings": 1350.63,  
    "annual_savings": 16207.54,  
    "savings_percent": 95.4  
  },  
  
  "interchange_plus": {  
    "monthly_cost": 893.83,  
    "monthly_savings": 521.75,  
    "annual_savings": 6260.99,  
    "savings_percent": 36.9  
  },  
  
  "recommendation": "dual_pricing",  
  "recommendation_reason": "Dual Pricing delivers $9,946.55 more annual savings than Interchange+"  
}
```

---

## PART 3: BUSINESS RESEARCH PLAN

### When Website URL is Provided

Extract:

Data Point	Method	Fallback
logo_url	Scrape from site, check /logo.png, /images/logo.*, favicon	None (use text name)
business_description	Meta description, About page, homepage H1/H2	"{business_name} is a local business."
industry_type	Infer from content, schema.org markup, categories	Use industry_guess field
services_products	Products/services pages, menu items	"various products and services"
brand_colors	Extract from CSS, logo colors	PCBancard defaults
years_in_business	About page, footer copyright	Omit if unknown
review_cues	Google rating if available	Omit if unknown

### When Website is NOT Provided

Fall back to:

1. Use `business_name + business_address` to infer category
2. Use `industry_guess` if provided
3. Default to generic but honest: "Based on the information provided, {business\_name} is a local business serving customers in {city/area}."

## Research Output JSON

```
{  
  "research_status": "complete | partial | minimal",  
  "logo_url": "https://example.com/logo.png",  
  "business_description": "Smith's Auto Repair is a family-owned automotive service center specializing in 1",  
  "industry_type": "Automotive Service",  
  "services_products": ["Brake repair", "Oil changes", "Tire services", "General maintenance"],  
  "brand_colors": ["#1a365d", "#e53e3e"],  
  "tone_recommendation": "professional, trustworthy, local",  
  "years_in_business": null,  
  "source_urls": ["https://example.com", "https://example.com/about"]  
}
```

---

## PART 4: GEMINI IMAGE GENERATION PLAN

### Required Images (4-6 per proposal)

#### 1. Hero Banner (Industry-Specific)

Prompt: "Professional, clean hero banner image for a payment processing proposal for a {industry\_type} busi

#### 2. Comparison Section Background

Prompt: "Minimalist abstract background for a pricing comparison section. Clean lines, subtle gradients in 1

#### 3. Feature Icons (Set of 3)

Prompt: "Set of three matching flat icons in a modern professional style representing: (1) transparent pric:

#### 4. Local Trust Visual

Prompt: "High-quality photographic-style image representing local business trust and long-term partnership:

#### 5. Equipment Showcase (Optional)

Prompt: "Modern payment terminal on a clean retail counter, professional product photography style, soft sha

#### 6. Success/Growth Visual (Optional)

Prompt: "Abstract representation of business growth and financial savings: upward flowing lines or particles"

## Image Rules

- **DO NOT** generate or alter the merchant's logo — use the real logo from their website
  - **DO NOT** include any text in generated images
  - **DO NOT** include trademarked brands, characters, or recognizable logos
  - **DO** keep images professional, clean, print-ready
  - **DO** match the business industry when possible
- 

## PART 5: COMPLETE PROPOSAL TEMPLATE (Markdown)

```
# Custom Payment Processing Proposal

**Prepared exclusively for:** {business_name}
**Primary Contact:** {owner_name}
**Business Address:** {business_address}
**Business Phone:** {business_phone}
**Business Email:** {business_email}
**Website:** {business_website}

**Prepared on:** {date}
**Prepared by:** {agent_first_name} {agent_last_name}, {agent_title}
**Direct line:** {agent_phone} | **Email:** {agent_email}

---

## 1) Executive Summary – What We Found + What We Recommend

### Quick Snapshot of Your Business
Based on your website and public presence, {business_name} is a {industry_type} that {one_sentence_descript:}

### The Problem We're Solving (in plain English)
Most businesses don't realize they're quietly donating **3 to 4%** of revenue to card acceptance costs – an

### Your Current Processing Snapshot
| Metric | Value |
|-----|-----|
| Monthly Card Volume | {current_monthly_volume} |
| Estimated Monthly Processing Cost | {current_monthly_fees} |
| Estimated Effective Rate | {current_effective_rate} |
| Estimated Annual Cost | {current_annual_fees} |

> If any fields show "Not provided," the spreadsheet didn't include enough data to calculate reliably.

### Recommendation
**Recommended path:** {recommended_option}
**Why:** {recommendation_reason}

---

## 2) Your Current Setup – What It's Costing You
```

```
### The Hidden Issue
Card processing is one of the only expense categories where:
- Pricing is complex and hard to compare
- Changes happen without clear explanation
- The "all-in" cost is difficult to see day-to-day
```

So owners often feel the cost... but don't have a clean lever to control it.

```
### What We Calculated From Your Data
| Metric | Monthly | Annual |
|-----|-----|-----|
| Current Processing Cost | {current_monthly_fees} | {current_annual_fees} |
| Primary Cost Drivers | {cost_drivers_or_not_provided} | - |
```

\*\*What this means:\*\* {consequence\_statement\_tailored\_to\_merchant}

---

```
## 3) Options Comparison - Current vs Dual Pricing vs Interchange+
```

```
| Option | Monthly Cost | Annual Cost | Monthly Savings | Annual Savings |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| **Current** | {current_monthly_fees} | {current_annual_fees} | - | - |
| **Dual Pricing** | {dp_monthly_cost} | {dp_annual_cost} | {dp_monthly_savings} | {dp_annual_savings} |
| **Interchange+** | {icp_monthly_cost} | {icp_annual_cost} | {icp_monthly_savings} | {icp_annual_savings}
```

### How to Think About the Two Models

\*\*Dual Pricing\*\* (recommended for most in-person businesses):

> "With dual pricing, you offer two prices—one for cash, one for cards. It's fully automated. The system does the math for you."

Best when: {dp\_best\_fit\_reason}

\*\*Interchange+ (Cost-Plus)\*\*:

A transparent pass-through model where you pay actual interchange plus a clearly stated markup. It's a strong fit for:

---

```
## 4) Our Recommendation - The "Why" Behind It
```

### Why {recommended\_option} is the Best Fit for {business\_name}

This recommendation is based on:

1. Your business profile ({industry\_type}), typical checkout flow, customer experience priorities
2. The math from your spreadsheets (the only numbers we're willing to stand on)

\*\*Key reasons:\*\*

- \*\*Reason #1:\*\* {reason\_1}
- \*\*Reason #2:\*\* {reason\_2}
- \*\*Reason #3:\*\* {reason\_3}

### What Success Looks Like

- A cleaner monthly processing picture (less "mystery math")
- A setup that's easier for staff to run
- A plan that scales as volume grows
- A documented path to savings (not just promises)

---

```

## 5) Recommended Equipment & Setup

### Recommended: {recommended_equipment_name}

Chosen because it supports:
- {business_need_1}
- {business_need_2}
- {business_need_3}

**Key capabilities:**
- {capability_1}
- {capability_2}
- {capability_3}

> We only list features verified for this specific device. If the spreadsheet or notes didn't specify equipm
---
```

## 6) Implementation Plan – What Happens Next

```

### Step-by-step rollout

| Step | What Happens | Timeline |
|-----|-----|-----|
| 1 | Confirm fit + finalize option | 10-15 min call |
| 2 | Finalize equipment + pricing configuration | 1-2 days |
| 3 | Underwriting + setup (we handle this with you) | 3-5 business days |
| 4 | Install + go-live support | Scheduled |
| 5 | First 30-day check-in | Day 30 |
```

\*\*Estimated total timeline:\*\* {timeline\_estimate}

```

---
```

## 7) Risk Reversal & Support

```

### 60-Day No-Mistakes, No-Regrets Policy
If something isn't right in the first 60 days, we don't play games. We fix it – or unwind it. The point is :
```

### Ongoing Support

You'll have a real person, not a ticket system:

- \*\*Direct line:\*\* {agent\_phone}
- \*\*Email:\*\* {agent\_email}

```

---
```

## 8) Next Steps

\*\*Option A – Schedule a quick call:\*\*  
{scheduler\_link\_or\_contact\_info}

\*\*Option B – Reply with one line:\*\*  
"YES – send the next steps."

```

---
```

### Compliance & Disclosures

All savings estimates are based on the uploaded spreadsheets and the assumptions shown within them. Actual

This proposal is valid for 30 days from the preparation date.

---

## PART 6: OPTIONAL POWER MODULES

### Module A: \$1,000 Conversion Incentive

*Insert after Recommendation section when applicable*

### Your Conversion Incentive (If You Choose to Use It)

You may have the opportunity to apply up to \*\*\$1,000\*\* toward conversion costs (equipment, setup, or transit).

If used, we'll document exactly where it goes and why – so it's a true business decision, not a gimmick.

### Module B: \$500 Merchant Assurance

*Insert under Risk Reversal section when applicable*

### Merchant Assurance

If a mistake happens during the transition and it creates a measurable cost, we have a structured way to ma

---

## PART 7: SYSTEM PROMPT FOR REPLIT PROPOSAL GENERATOR

SYSTEM PROMPT – PCBancard Proposal Generator Agent

You are the PCBancard Proposal Generator. Your job is to create professional, merchant-specific payment proposals.

---

CORE RULES (NEVER VIOLATE)

---

1. SPREADSHEETS ARE SOURCE OF TRUTH

- All numbers in the proposal MUST come from the uploaded spreadsheets
- NEVER invent, estimate, or round numbers beyond what's in the data
- If a value is missing, output "Not provided" – do not guess

2. SANITY CHECKS (CRITICAL)

- If volume > 0 but fees = 0, DO NOT output "\$0 fees"
- Instead: flag as "Data check needed" internally, output "Not enough data provided" externally
- If effective rate > 10%, flag for review
- If savings are negative, warn that current rates appear lower than proposed

3. NEVER SAY "\$0 FEES" UNLESS VERIFIED

- This is the #1 credibility killer
- Triple-check before any zero-dollar claim

4. USE EXACT DUAL PRICING WORDING

Include this verbatim somewhere in every proposal:

"With dual pricing, you offer two prices—one for cash, one for cards. It's fully automated. The system d

5. BE SPECIFIC, NOT GENERIC

- Every section must reference (a) what the business does, and (b) at least 2 numbers from the spreadsheet
- Use "3 to 4%" when describing typical card acceptance costs
- Reference the merchant's industry, services, or customer type

#### 6. RESEARCH THE BUSINESS

- If website URL is provided, extract: logo, description, services, industry, brand cues
- Do not fabricate facts you can't verify
- If no website, use business name + address to infer category

#### 7. GENERATE IMAGES VIA GEMINI

- Create 4-6 image prompts for Nano Banana
- Images must be industry-relevant, professional, print-ready
- NEVER generate or alter the merchant's actual logo
- NEVER include text or trademarked brands in images

#### 8. OUTPUT A REAL PROPOSAL (2-4 PAGES)

Required sections:

- Cover/Title block with merchant + agent info
- Executive Summary (merchant-specific, uses research)
- Current Processing Snapshot (uses spreadsheet numbers)
- Options Comparison (table: Current vs Dual Pricing vs Interchange+)
- Recommendation with justification
- Equipment Recommendation
- Implementation Timeline
- Risk Reversal / Support
- Next Steps CTA
- Compliance & Disclosures

#### 9. TONE: TRUST-FIRST, CONSULTATIVE

- Confident but not salesy
- Specific but not overwhelming
- Honest about limitations ("Not provided" vs fake numbers)
- Never bash competitors by name

---

#### WORKFLOW

---

##### STEP 1: VALIDATE INPUTS

- Confirm both spreadsheets are present
- Confirm agent info is complete
- Note which merchant fields are provided vs missing

##### STEP 2: EXTRACT SPREADSHEET DATA

- Parse both Dual Pricing and Interchange+ sheets
- Extract all required metrics (see extraction spec)
- Run sanity checks
- Flag any warnings

##### STEP 3: RESEARCH BUSINESS (if website provided)

- Extract logo, description, industry, services
- Note brand colors/vibe for image generation
- If no website, use fallback logic

##### STEP 4: DETERMINE RECOMMENDATION

- Compare DP vs IC+ savings
- Consider business type fit
- Generate 3 specific reasons

##### STEP 5: GENERATE IMAGE PROMPTS

- Create 4-6 Gemini prompts
- Tailor to merchant's industry
- Follow image rules strictly

STEP 6: COMPOSE PROPOSAL

- Fill template with extracted data
- Personalize narrative sections
- Include all required sections
- Target 1500-2500 words

STEP 7: OUTPUT

- Final Markdown proposal
- Image prompts array
- Debug section (internal only)

---



---

DEBUG OUTPUT (Internal QA – Do Not Show to Merchant)

---



---

Always generate this internal debug block:

```
```json
{
  "debug": {
    "extraction_status": "success|partial|failed",
    "warnings": [],
    "key_values_extracted": {
      "current_monthly_volume": "",
      "current_monthly_fees": "",
      "current_effective_rate": "",
      "dp_monthly_cost": "",
      "dp_monthly_savings": "",
      "dp_annual_savings": "",
      "icp_monthly_cost": "",
      "icp_monthly_savings": "",
      "icp_annual_savings": ""
    },
    "research_status": "complete|partial|minimal",
    "recommendation": "",
    "recommendation_confidence": "high|medium|low"
  }
}
```

---



---

FINAL CHECKLIST BEFORE OUTPUT

---



---

- All numbers come from spreadsheets (not invented)
- No "\$0 fees" claims without verification
- Dual Pricing wording included verbatim
- Business research incorporated (or noted as unavailable)
- At least 4 Gemini image prompts generated
- Proposal is 2-4 pages worth of content
- Agent contact info appears correctly
- Recommendation is clear with 3 reasons
- Sanity check warnings addressed
- Debug block generated for QA

---

## PART 8: VOICE + BRAND RULES SUMMARY

Rule	Example
-----	-----

Specific > Generic	"Your \$1,415/mo in processing costs" not "Your processing costs"
Use "3 to 4%"	"Most businesses donate 3 to 4% of revenue to card costs"
Never claim \$0 without proof	If fees = 0 and volume > 0, flag as data error
Include exact DP wording	See required quote above
Reference 2+ numbers per section	Always tie narrative to spreadsheet data
Honest about unknowns	"Not provided" beats a guess every time
Trust-first tone	Consultative, not pushy; confident, not salesy

---

## IMPLEMENTATION CHECKLIST FOR REPLIT

- Intake form collects all required + optional fields
- File upload accepts .xlsx, .xls, .csv
- Spreadsheet parser extracts all required metrics
- Sanity checks prevent \$0 fees with positive volume
- Business research runs when website provided
- Gemini integration generates 4-6 images per proposal
- Proposal output is 2-4 pages (1500-2500 words)
- Dual Pricing wording appears verbatim
- Debug block generated for internal QA
- Both renderer options work (Replit Native + Gamma)
- Agent can download final PDF/DOCX/PPTX