| Methods | Restaurant | | Laptop | | Twitter | |
|---------------|------------|-------|--------|-------|---------|-------|
| | Acc | F1 | Acc | F1 | Acc | F1 |
| DeepSeek-1.5B | 68.5 | 44.4 | 64.4 | 44.8 | 42.8 | 33.5 |
| DeepSeek-7.0B | 74.5 | 50.2 | 73.6 | 50.1 | 47.7 | 35.9 |
| Ours | 87.22 | 82.08 | 82.28 | 78.83 | 77.84 | 76.85 |

To ensure a thorough evaluation of our method, we also evaluate the performance of **deepseek-1.5b** and **-7.0b** on the benchmarks. The two LLMs are locally deployed in our server using Ollama, and the results are summarized in Tab. In general, GFN-ASSG significantly outperforms the DeepSeek models in terms of both accuracy and F1. On the Twitter dataset, our method has over 30% improvements in F1 than **DeepSeek-7.0b**, indicating that task-specific optimization is still required even for LLM.