

CS5401 FS2018 Assignment 1c with bonus

William Lorey
wwlytc@mst.edu

Contents

Introduction	1
Constraint Satisfaction EA Performance Comparisons	1

Introduction

Assignment 1c involved implementing an EA leveraging constraint satisfaction to more effectively solve Light Up puzzles. This report compares the performance of penalty function and repair function constraint satisfaction techniques as well as plain-vanilla EA performance. It also outlines a comparison between Validity Forced plus Uniform Random versus plain Uniform Random initialization for a plain-vanilla EA, a constraint satisfaction EA employing a penalty function, and a constraint satisfaction EA employing a repair function. The extent to which EA performance is affected by the penalty coefficient used in a penalty function constraint satisfaction EA will also be examined.

Constraint Satisfaction EA Performance Comparisons

For the baseline assignment, an EA leveraging a penalty function was implemented. The penalty function subtracted from a given genotype's fitness the number of constraints violated multiplied by the penalty coefficient. Violated constraints involved bulbs shining on other bulbs and black cell constraints not being met.

This EA was compared to an EA implemented for the bonus assignment that used the repair function constraint satisfaction technique. Figure 1 and Figure 2 show evaluations versus fitness for the penalty function EA and the repair function EA respectively tested against the Light Up puzzle provided on the course website.

Figure 3 and Figure 4 show evaluations versus fitness for the aforementioned EAs tested against randomly generated puzzles.

Through visually examining each configuration, it can be deduced that the penalty function EA outperformed the repair function EA. Statistical analysis supporting this claim can be found ??.




Figure 1: Evaluations versus Average Local Fitness and Evaluations versus Local Best Fitness for the **Penalty Function EA with the Validity Enforced, Provided Puzzle**, Averaged Over All Runs




Figure 2: Evaluations versus Average Local Fitness and Evaluations versus Local Best Fitness for the **Repair Function EA with the Validity Enforced, Provided Puzzle**, Averaged Over All Runs




Figure 3: Evaluations versus Average Local Fitness and Evaluations versus Local Best Fitness for the **Penalty Function EA with the Validity Enforced, Randomly Generated Puzzle**, Averaged Over All Runs




Figure 4: Evaluations versus Average Local Fitness and Evaluations versus Local Best Fitness for the **Repair Function EA with the Validity Enforced, Randomly Generated Puzzle**, Averaged Over All Runs

Table 1: Statistical Analysis performed on the Validity Enforced Penalty Function and Repair function, Randomly Generated Puzzle, EA configurations

	random_gen_validity_enforced	random_gen_validity_enforced_bonus
mean	0.9081384890909392	0.9188060294943169
variance	0.002217915192875166	0.060460786982912955
standard deviation	0.047094746977504466	0.24588775281195474
observations	30	30
df	29	29
F	0.036683531650059054	
F critical	0.5373999648406917	
Unequal variances assumed		
observations	30	
df	31	
t Stat	-0.2294580495633768	
P two-tail	0.8200141447652132	
t Critical two-tail	2.0395	
Nether random_gen_validity_enforced_bonus nor random_gen_validity_enforced is statistically better		

Table 2: Statistical Analysis performed on the Validity Enforced Penalty Function and Repair function, Provided Puzzle, EA configurations

	website_puzzle_validity_enforced	website_puzzle_validity_enforced_bonus
mean	0.7569819819819819	0.3173423423423423
variance	0.0033889801964126286	0.2015810912263615
standard deviation	0.05821494822133426	0.4489778293260832
observations	30	30
df	29	29
F	0.016811994497078302	
F critical	0.5373999648406917	
Equal variances assumed		
observations	30	
df	58	
t Stat	5.229384989438686	
P two-tail	2.4339170289675772e-06	
t Critical two-tail	2.0017	
website_puzzle_validity_enforced is statistically better than website_puzzle_validity_enforced_bonus		

Table 3: Statistical Analysis performed on the Uniform Random Initialized Penalty Function and Validity Enforced Initalized Penalty Function, Randomly Generated Puzzle, EA configurations

	random_gen_uniform_random	random_gen_validity_enforced
mean	0.8971591167744307	0.9081384890909392
variance	0.0048536750468879545	0.002217915192875166
standard deviation	0.06966832168846868	0.047094746977504466
observations	30	30
df	29	29
F	2.1883952382308878	
F critical	0.5373999648406917	
Equal variances assumed		
observations	30	
df	58	
t Stat	-0.7031014079094314	
P two-tail	0.48480534283767107	
t Critical two-tail	2.0017	
Nether random_gen_validity_enforced nor random_gen_uniform_random is statistically better		

Table 4: Statistical Analysis performed on the Uniform Random Initialized Repair Function and Validity Enforced Initalized Repair Function, Randomly Generated Puzzle, EA configurations

	random_gen_uniform_random_bonus	random_gen_validity_enforced_bonus
mean	0.9790060265443229	0.9188060294943169
variance	0.0002560072950964829	0.060460786982912955
standard deviation	0.016000227970141015	0.24588775281195474
observations	30	30
df	29	29
F	0.004234269976817107	
F critical	0.5373999648406917	
Equal variances assumed		
observations	30	
df	58	
t Stat	1.315652066180613	
P two-tail	0.19346782059815182	
t Critical two-tail	2.0017	
Nether random_gen_validity_enforced_bonus nor random_gen_uniform_random_bonus is statistically better		

Table 5: Statistical Analysis performed on the Uniform Random Initialized Vanilla and Validity Enforced Initalized Vanilla, Randomly Generated Puzzle, EA configurations

	random_gen_uniform_random_vanilla	random_gen_validity_enforced_vanilla
mean	0.03333333333333333	0.03333333333333333
variance	0.032222222222222215	0.03222222222222222
standard deviation	0.17950549357115012	0.17950549357115014
observations	30	30
df	29	29
F	0.9999999999999998	
F critical	0.5373999648406917	
Unequal variances assumed		
observations	30	
df	31	
t Stat	0.0	
P two-tail	1.0	
t Critical two-tail	2.0395	
Nether random_gen_validity_enforced_vanilla nor random_gen_uniform_random_vanilla is statistically better		

Table 6: Statistical Analysis performed on the Uniform Random Initialized Penalty Function and Validity Enforced Initalized Penalty Function, Provided Puzzle, EA configurations

	website_puzzle_uniform_random	website_puzzle_validity_enforced
mean	0.7231981981981981	0.7569819819819819
variance	0.0018428394610827035	0.0033889801964126286
standard deviation	0.042928306058854726	0.05821494822133426
observations	30	30
df	29	29
F	0.5437740424194343	
F critical	0.5373999648406917	
Equal variances assumed		
observations	30	
df	58	
t Stat	-2.515248538012428	
P two-tail	0.014687698277300898	
t Critical two-tail	2.0017	
website_puzzle_validity_enforced is statistically better than website_puzzle_uniform_random		

Table 7: Statistical Analysis performed on the Uniform Random Initialized Repair Function and Validity Enforced Initalized Repair Function, Provided Puzzle, EA configurations

	website_puzzle_uniform_random_bonus	website_puzzle_validity_enforced_bonus
mean	0.5038288288288288	0.3173423423423423
variance	0.2221989388036686	0.2015810912263615
standard deviation	0.47137982434939724	0.4489778293260832
observations	30	30
df	29	29
F	1.1022806625952564	
F critical	0.5373999648406917	
Unequal variances assumed		
observations	30	
df	31	
t Stat	1.5426809688332364	
P two-tail	0.12835995483574056	
t Critical two-tail	2.0395	
Nether website_puzzle_validity_enforced_bonus nor website_puzzle_uniform_random_bonus is statistically better		

Table 8: Statistical Analysis performed on the Valadity Inforced Initialized Penalty Function with Medium Penalty and Large Penalty, Provided Puzzle, EA configurations

	website_puzzle_validity_enforced	website_puzzle_validity_enforced_large_penalty
mean	0.7569819819819819	0.4020270270270271
variance	0.0033889801964126286	0.024783144631117603
standard deviation	0.05821494822133426	0.15742663253438918
observations	30	30
df	29	29
F	0.13674536653260058	
F critical	0.5373999648406917	
Equal variances assumed		
observations	30	
df	58	
t Stat	11.388392849154927	
P two-tail	2.0169179415154988e-16	
t Critical two-tail	2.0017	
website_puzzle_validity_enforced is statistically better than website_puzzle_validity_enforced_large_penalty		

Table 9: Statistical Analysis performed on the Valadity Inforced Initialized Penalty Function with Small Penalty and Large Penalty, Provided Puzzle, EA configurations

	website_puzzle_validity_enforced_small_penalty	website_puzzle_validity_enforced_large_penalty
mean	0.9291666666666667	0.4020270270270271
variance	0.00010251805859913959	0.024783144631117603
standard deviation	0.010125120177022077	0.15742663253438918
observations	30	30
df	29	29
F	0.004136604136604133	
F critical	0.5373999648406917	
Equal variances assumed		
observations	30	
df	58	
t Stat	17.994926171087094	
P two-tail	2.0818133467335364e-25	
t Critical two-tail	2.0017	
website_puzzle_validity_enforced_small_penalty is statistically better than website_puzzle_validity_enforced_large_penalty		

Table 10: Statistical Analysis performed on the Valadity Inforced Initialized Penalty Function with Small Penalty and Medium Penalty, Provided Puzzle, EA configurations

	website_puzzle_validity_enforced_small_penalty	website_puzzle_validity_enforced
mean	0.9291666666666667	0.7569819819819819
variance	0.00010251805859913959	0.0033889801964126286
standard deviation	0.010125120177022077	0.05821494822133426
observations	30	30
df	29	29
F	0.030250415363199546	
F critical	0.5373999648406917	
Equal variances assumed		
observations	30	
df	58	
t Stat	15.69233619401264	
P two-tail	1.5429129447240864e-22	
t Critical two-tail	2.0017	
website_puzzle_validity_enforced_small_penalty is statistically better than website_puzzle_validity_enforced		




Figure 5: Evaluations versus Average Local Fitness and Evaluations versus Local Best Fitness for the **Plain-Vanilla EA with the Validity Enforced, Provided Puzzle**, Averaged Over All Runs




Figure 6: Evaluations versus Average Local Fitness and Evaluations versus Local Best Fitness for the **Plain-Vanilla EA with the Validity Enforced, Randomly Generated Puzzle**, Averaged Over All Runs




Figure 7: Evaluations versus Average Local Fitness and Evaluations versus Local Best Fitness for the **Penalty Function EA with the Uniform Random Initialized, Provided Puzzle**, Averaged Over All Runs




Figure 8: Evaluations versus Average Local Fitness and Evaluations versus Local Best Fitness for the **Repair Function EA with the Uniform Random Initialized, Provided Puzzle**, Averaged Over All Runs




Figure 9: Evaluations versus Average Local Fitness and Evaluations versus Local Best Fitness for the **Plain-Vanilla EA with the Uniform Random Initialized, Provided Puzzle**, Averaged Over All Runs




Figure 10: Evaluations versus Average Local Fitness and Evaluations versus Local Best Fitness for the **Penalty Function EA with the Uniform Random Initialized, Randomly Generated Puzzle**, Averaged Over All Runs




Figure 11: Evaluations versus Average Local Fitness and Evaluations versus Local Best Fitness for the **Repair Function EA with the Uniform Random Initialized, Randomly Generated Puzzle**, Averaged Over All Runs




Figure 12: Evaluations versus Average Local Fitness and Evaluations versus Local Best Fitness for the **Plain-Vanilla EA with the Uniform Random Initialized, Randomly Generated Puzzle**, Averaged Over All Runs