5291 hw10

Yijin Wang

12/4/2020

Define 'AGE GROUP' as 'YOUNG" if 'age < 65", and OLD, otherwise.

- 1. Using a Cox proportional hazards model, estimate the hazard rate for old relative to young
- 2. Assess the validity of the proportional hazards assumption in (1)
- 3. Repeat 1, adjusting "Sex".

Likelihood ratio test= 3.62 on 1 df,

Score (logrank) test = 3.68 on 1 df,

= 3.65

on 1 df,

Wald test

```
library(survival)
data(lung)
#1
lung["age"] <-ifelse(lung["age"] <65, "YOUNG", "OLD")</pre>
fitcox1<-coxph(Surv(time, status) ~ age, lung)
summary(fitcox1)
## coxph(formula = Surv(time, status) ~ age, data = lung)
##
     n= 228, number of events= 165
##
##
##
               coef exp(coef) se(coef)
                                            z Pr(>|z|)
## ageYOUNG -0.2985
                       0.7419
                                0.1562 - 1.91
                                                0.0561 .
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
##
            exp(coef) exp(-coef) lower .95 upper .95
## ageYOUNG
               0.7419
                           1.348
                                    0.5462
                                                1.008
##
## Concordance= 0.538 (se = 0.022)
```

2 1) The regression coefficients: The beta coefficient for age = -0.2985 indicates that younger people have lower risk of death (lower survival rates) than older people. 2) Hazard ratios: The $\exp(\cos \theta)$ indicates that being younger people reduces the hazard by a factor of 0.7419 or 26%. 3) Statistical significance: We have p-values for three alternative tests for overall significance of the model. The P-values are all above 0.05, which indicates that age group is not significant.

p = 0.06

p=0.06

p = 0.06

```
#3
fitcox2<-coxph(Surv(time, status) ~ sex, lung)
summary(fitcox2)

## Call:
## coxph(formula = Surv(time, status) ~ sex, data = lung)
##
## n= 228, number of events= 165</pre>
```

```
##
##
         coef exp(coef) se(coef)
                                      z Pr(>|z|)
## sex -0.5310
                 0.5880
                          0.1672 -3.176 0.00149 **
##
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
##
       exp(coef) exp(-coef) lower .95 upper .95
          0.588
                      1.701
                              0.4237
## sex
##
## Concordance= 0.579 (se = 0.021)
                                           p=0.001
## Likelihood ratio test= 10.63 on 1 df,
## Wald test
                       = 10.09 on 1 df,
                                           p=0.001
## Score (logrank) test = 10.33 on 1 df,
                                           p=0.001
```

- 1) The regression coefficients: The beta coefficient for sex = -0.531 indicates that female have lower risk of death (lower survival rates) than male.
- 2) Hazard ratios: The exp(coef) indicates that being female reduces the hazard by a factor of 0.59 or 41%.
- 3) Statistical significance: We have p-values for three alternative tests for overall significance of the model. The P-values are all below 0.05, which indicates that sex is highly significant.