

SECURITY FIRST PRINCIPLES

LEAST PRIVILEGE – ASSESSMENT

Learning Outcome 1

Understanding Windows horizontal and vertical privilege escalation vulnerabilities

- Step 4 folder: C:\Users\DSU\Desktop\Step 4
- Step 6 folder: C:\System32\Step 6
- Question 2 command:
`wmic service get name,displayname,pathname,startmode |findstr /I "Auto" |findstr /I /v "C:\Windows\\" |findstr /I /v ""`
- Question 3 path: C:\Program Files\Runnable Service
 - Exploited as C:\Program Files\Runnable Service\Service.exe
- Question 5 command: `sc create [ServiceName] binPath= "[C:\Path\To\New\Shell.exe]" start= auto`

Rubric for Lab Exercise 1: Windows Unquoted Service Paths

Content Criteria	Correct (4 points)	Partially correct (2 points)	Incorrect (0 points)
Step 4	A file titled "(student name).txt" appears in the "Step 4" folder.	Something other than a .txt file is named "(student name)", the student's name is not discernable, or the student edited or deleted other files in the folder.	No text file exists in the folder.
Step 6	A file titled "(student name).txt" appears in the "Step 6" folder.	Something other than a .txt file is named "(student name)", the student's name is not discernable, or the student edited	No text file exists in the folder.



		or deleted other files in the folder.	
Question 1	The student states that the vulnerability is an unquoted service path.	The student states that the vulnerability is related to a Windows service or filesystem privilege configuration.	The student states that the vulnerability is related to any other issue.
Question 2	The student states the correct (or equivalent) cmd command which returns only the unquoted service path used in the exercise.	The student states a cmd command that is similar to the correct command, but it returns more files than necessary.	The student does not state a cmd command or the command stated does not identify the unquoted service path.
Question 3	The student states the correct vulnerable path.	The student states a more general or specific path than the correct vulnerable path.	The student states a path that is not relevant to the exercise.
Question 4	The student clearly explains how they crafted and ran their exploit. Evidence is provided.	The student attempts to explain, but some aspects are unclear. Evidence is missing or not relevant.	The student's explanation is missing or incomprehensible. Evidence is not provided.
Question 5	The student states the correct command and arguments to create the new SYSTEM-level service.	The student states the correct command, but the arguments are incorrect.	The student states an incorrect command.

Learning Outcome 2

Understanding Linux horizontal privilege escalation vulnerabilities

- Question 3 file: /usr/bin/shell



- Question 2 command:
find / -perm -u=s -type f 2>/dev/null
- Step 4 folder: /home/kali/Desktop/Step 4

Rubric for Lab Exercise 2: Linux SUID

Content Criteria	Correct (4 points)	Partially correct (2 points)	Incorrect (0 points)
Step 4	A text file titled "(student name)" appears in the "Step 4" folder on the kali user's desktop.	Something other than a .txt file is named "(student name)", the student name is not discernable, or the student edited or deleted other files in the folder.	No text file exists in the folder.
Question 1	The student correctly identifies that the SUID bit is the permission causing the vulnerability in this exercise.	The student identifies that SGID, sticky bit, or a similar permission or attribute is causing the vulnerability in this exercise.	The student identifies that any other configuration is causing the vulnerability in this exercise.
Question 2	The student states the correct (or equivalent) Bash command which returns the SUID file used in the exercise along with the default SUID files.	The student states a Bash command that is similar to the correct command, but it returns more files than necessary.	The student does not state a Bash command or the command stated does not identify the SUID file.
Question 3	The student states the correct path, identifies it as Bash, and recognizes that the 'privileged' flag is enabled. Evidence is provided.	The student states a similar path to the correct file, identifies it as a shell, that it was modified from source, or the	The student states an irrelevant path, identifies it as any other application, or does not identify the modification.



Learning Outcome 3

Understanding Linux vertical privilege escalation vulnerabilities

- Step 5 folder: /root/Step 5
- Question 3 text to append: “,/usr/bin/su”

Rubric for Lab Exercise 3: Linux visudo

Content Criteria	Correct (4 points)	Partially correct (2 points)	Incorrect (0 points)
Step 5	A text file titled “(student name)” appears in the “Step 5” folder in the root user’s home directory.	Something other than a .txt file is named “(student name)”, the student name is not discernable, or the student edited or deleted other files in the folder.	No text file exists in the folder.
Question 1	The student correctly identifies that the student user’s ability to run visudo is the permission causing the vulnerability in this exercise.	The student identifies some other error related to sudo configuration.	The student identifies an error with a command other than sudo.
Question 2	The student correctly verifies the Question 1 results by running sudo -l as the student user and has 3 or fewer failed sudo attempts in the log file for this exercise.	The student runs the sudo command with a different flag or has between 4 and 5 failed sudo attempts in the log file for this exercise.	The student runs a command other than sudo or has more than 5 failed sudo attempts in the log file for this exercise.
Question 3	The student appends the correct text to the sudoers	The student appends text to the sudoers file, but it	The student does not edit the sudoers file or edits it in a



	file, enabling su command access for the student user.	gives more permissions than necessary to the student user or gives permissions to other users.	way that makes sudo unusable.
--	--	--	-------------------------------

Learning Outcome 4 (Optional)

DevOps for PrivEsc Vulnerability Management

Rubric for Puzzler: PrivEsc Scanning Script

Content Criteria	Correct (4 points)	Partially correct (2 points)	Incorrect (0 points)
Scanning	The script(s) scan for and successfully identify all of the privilege escalation vulnerabilities in the lab as well as at least one more of the student's choice.	The script(s) scan for and successfully identify some, but not all, of the vulnerabilities in the lab.	The script(s) do not scan for or identify the vulnerabilities in the lab.
Reporting	The script(s) print out useful information that would allow a security analyst or system administrator to identify and correct the vulnerabilities.	The script(s) print out some information concerning the vulnerability, but important identifying aspects are missing or incomplete.	The script(s) do not print out a report.
Correcting	The script(s) successfully correct the identified vulnerabilities that can be corrected automatically. System stability is not impacted.	The script(s) successfully correct some of the vulnerabilities that can be corrected automatically, or the corrections	The script(s) do not correct vulnerabilities that can be corrected automatically, or the corrections crash the system.



		cause some system components to fail.	
User Interface Design	The script(s) are easy to run, handle errors without crashing, and display useful messages to the user.	The script(s) have a learning curve, don't handle some errors experienced during runtime, or don't communicate well with the user.	The script(s) are not understandable, frequently crash, or don't communicate with the user.
Documentation	The script(s) are well-documented throughout.	The script(s) have some documentation, but it is hard to understand or incomplete.	The script(s) have no documentation.

