

## BACS HW (Week10)

106070038

2021-04-29

*Question 1 Let's make an automated recommendation system for the PicCollage mobile app.*

*a. Let's explore to see if any sticker bundles seem intuitively similar*

i. How many recommendations does each bundle have?

- Answer: **six**(iOS version)

ii. Use your intuition to recommend five other bundles in our dataset that might have similar usage patterns as this bundle.

- Answer: **HeartStickerPack** -> Similar usage patterns: super-sweet, fallinlovewiththefall, hellobaby, valentineStickers, warmn-cozy(by intuition) Because all of the stickers above are related to "love"

```
# install.packages("data.table")
library(data.table)
# setwd("/Users/weiwei/Desktop/2021Spring_Courses/BACS/HW8")
ac_bundles_dt <- fread("piccollage_accounts_bundles.csv")
ac_bundles_matrix <- as.matrix(ac_bundles_dt[, -1, with=FALSE])
```

*b. Let's find similar bundles using geometric models of similarity*

i. Let's create cosine similarity based recommendations for all bundles:

1. Create a matrix or data.frame of the **top 5 recommendations** for all bundles

```
# install.packages("lsa")
# install.packages("SnowballC")
library(SnowballC)
library(lsa)
cos_sim <- cosine(ac_bundles_matrix)
## apply(,1,): by row
cos_sim_add <- apply(cos_sim, 1, mean)
cos_sim_add_rank <- cos_sim_add[order(cos_sim_add, decreasing = TRUE)]
cos_sim_add_rank[1:5]
```

```
##      springrose eastersurprise      bemine      watercolor hipsterholiday
##      0.1578966      0.1459645      0.1383451      0.1375165      0.1368757
```

- Answer: springrose, eastersurprise, bemine, watercolor, hipsterholiday
2. Create a new function that automates the above functionality: it should take an accounts-bundles matrix as a parameter, and return a data object with the top 5 recommendations for each bundle in our data set, using cosine similarity.

```
get_top5 <- function (bundle_name) {
  reg1 <- cos_sim[bundle_name,]
  reg2 <- reg1[order(reg1, decreasing = TRUE)]
  return (reg2[2:6]) ## top1-5, exclude itself(cos_sim==1)
}
```

3. What are the top 5 recommendations for the bundle you chose to explore earlier?

```
get_top5("HeartStickerPack")
```

```
##      StickerLite      Emome WordsStickerPack  HipsterChicSara
##      0.4256352      0.3870007      0.3834636      0.3292921
## BlingStickerPack
##      0.3181781
```

- Answer: **HeartStickerPack** -> Similar usage patterns: Sticker-Lite, Emome, WordsStickerPack, HipsterChicSara, BlingSticker-Pack (by caculation) Totally not same as what I guess in a-ii.

ii. Let's create correlation based recommendations.

1. Reuse the function you created above (don't change it; don't use the cor() function)
2. But this time give the function an accounts-bundles matrix where each bundle (column) has already been mean-centered in advance.
3. Now what are the top 5 recommendations for the bundle you chose to explore earlier?

iii. Let's create adjusted-cosine based recommendations.

1. Reuse the function you created above (you should not have to change it)
2. But this time give the function an accounts-bundles matrix where each account (row) has already been mean-centered in advance.
3. What are the top 5 recommendations for the bundle you chose to explore earlier?

c. (not graded) Are the three sets of geometric recommendations similar in nature (theme/keywords) to the recommendations you picked earlier using your intuition alone? What reasons might explain why your computational geometric recommendation models produce different results from your intuition?

d. (not graded) What do you think is the conceptual difference in cosine similarity, correlation, and adjusted-cosine?

*Question 2 Correlation is at the heart of many data analytic methods so let's explore it further.*

a. Create a horizontal set of random points, with a relatively narrow but flat distribution.

i. What raw slope of x and y would you generally expect? ii. What is the correlation of x and y that you would generally expect? ## b. Create a completely random set of points to fill the entire plotting area, along both x-axis and y-axis i. What raw slope of the x and y would you generally expect?

ii. What is the correlation of x and y that you would generally expect? ## c. Create a diagonal set of random points trending upwards at 45 degrees

iii. What raw slope of the x and y would you generally expect? (note that x, y have the same scale)

iv. What is the correlation of x and y that you would generally expect? ## d. Create a diagonal set of random trending downwards at 45 degrees

v. What raw slope of the x and y would you generally expect? (note that x, y have the same scale)

vi. What is the correlation of x and y that you would generally expect? ## e. Apart from any of the above scenarios, find another pattern of data points with no correlation ( $r = 0$ ).

(optionally: can create a pattern that visually suggests a strong relationship but produces  $r = 0$ ?) ## f. Apart from any of the above scenarios, find another pattern of data points with perfect correlation ( $r = 1$ ). (optionally: can you find a scenario where the pattern visually suggests a different relationship?) ## g. Let's see how correlation relates to simple regression, by simulating any linear relationship you wish:

vii. Run the simulation and record the points you create: `pts <- interactive_regression()`

viii. Use the `lm()` function to estimate the regression intercept and slope of pts to ensure they are the same as the values reported in the simulation plot: `summary( lm( pts$y ~ pts$x ))`

- ix. Estimate the correlation of  $x$  and  $y$  to see it is the same as reported in the plot: `cor(pts)`
- x. Now, re-estimate the regression using standardized values of both  $x$  and  $y$  from `pts`
- xi. What is the relationship between correlation and the standardized simple-regression estimates?