

Problem Solving: Homework 3.2

Name: Chen Shaoyuan

Student ID: 161240004

September 13, 2017

1 [TC] Problem 25.1-4

The matrix ‘multiplication’ defined by EXTEND-SHORTEST-PATHS reads

$$C = A \cdot B$$

$$C_{ij} = \min_{1 \leq k \leq n} \{A_{ik} + B_{kj}\}$$

To prove the associativity of such ‘multiplication’, we only have to verify that $(A \cdot B) \cdot C = A \cdot (B \cdot C)$. Let D denote lhs, D' denote rhs:

$$\begin{aligned} D_{ij} &= \min_{1 \leq l \leq n} \{ \min_{1 \leq k \leq n} \{A_{ik} + B_{kl}\} + C_{lj} \} \\ &= \min_{1 \leq l \leq n} \{ \min_{1 \leq k \leq n} \{A_{ik} + B_{kl} + C_{lj}\} \} \\ &= \min_{1 \leq k \leq n} \{ \min_{1 \leq l \leq n} \{A_{ik} + B_{kl} + C_{lj}\} \} \\ &= \min_{1 \leq k \leq n} \{A_{ik} + \min_{1 \leq l \leq n} \{B_{kl} + C_{lj}\}\} = D'_{ij} \end{aligned}$$

this completes the proof of associativity.

2 [TC] Problem 25.1-5

Let W be the adjacency matrix, the single-source shortest-path problem is to calculate $V_i = W^{(\infty)} \cdot W_i$, where W_i denotes the i -th column of W . The index of source is i , and the j -th element of V_i is the weight of the shortest path from i to j . The product of two matrices $C = A \cdot B$ here is defined as

$$C_{ij} = \min_{1 \leq k \leq n} \{A_{ik} + B_{kj}\}$$

Since a shortest path contains at most $|V| - 1$ edges, we only have to find W raised to the power of $|V| - 1$. We may calculate that from right to left. Each multiplication takes $|V|^2$ time, and we performs such multiplication $|V| - 1$ times, therefore the total running time is $|V|^3$.

3 [TC] Problem 25.1-6

BUILD-PREDECESSOR-MATRIX(W, L, n)

```

1  let  $\Pi$  be a new  $n \times n$  matrix initialized with NIL
2  for  $i = 1$  to  $n$ 
3      for  $j = 1$  to  $n$ 
4          for  $k = 1$  to  $n$ 
5              if  $L_{ij} + W_{jk} == L_{ik}$  and  $i \neq k$ 
6                   $\Pi_{ik} = j$ 
7  return  $\Pi$ 
```

Remark: we can prove that, providing only the completed matrix L is not sufficient to compute the predecessor matrix Π . Consider such two graphs G_1 and G_2 , both containing n vertices ($n \geq 3$) labeled from 1. G_1 contains directed edges $(1, 2), (2, 3), \dots, (n-1, n)$ and $(n, 1)$, while G_2 contains $(n, n-1), \dots, (2, 1)$ and $(1, n)$. All edges in both graphs weigh 0. It is obvious that for both G_1 and G_2 , $L = 0_{n \times n}$. Suppose, to the contrary, that there exists such algorithm that can compute predecessor matrix Π for any valid matrix L produced by all-pair shortest-paths algorithm. If we choose $0_{n \times n}$ as the input of such algorithm, and its output matrix is Π . Let $\Pi_{ij} = k$ be any non-nil element in Π , then (k, j) must be in the original graph. Note that $E(G_1) \cap E(G_2) = \emptyset$, which means at least one of G_1 and G_2 will make such algorithm produce wrong answer.

4 [TC] Problem 25.1-9

FASTER-ALL-PAIRS-SHORTEST-PATHS-MODIFIED(W, n)

```

1   $L^{(1)} = W$ 
2   $m = 1$ 
3  while  $m < n$ 
4      let  $L^{(2m)}$  be a new  $n \times n$  matrix
5       $L^{(2m)} = \text{EXTEND-SHORTEST-PATHS}(L^{(m)}, L^{(m)})$ 
6       $m = 2m$ 
7  for  $i = 1$  to  $n$ 
8      if  $L_{ii}^{(m)} < 0$ 
9          error contains negative cycle
10 return  $L^{(m)}$ 
```

5 [TC] Problem 25.1-10

MINIMUM-LENGTH-NEGATIVE-CYCLE(W, n)

```

1   $L^{(1)} = W$ 
2  for  $m = 2$  to  $n$ 
3      let  $L^{(m)}$  be a new  $n \times n$  matrix
4       $L^{(m)} = \text{EXTEND-SHORTEST-PATHS}(L^{(m-1)}, W)$ 
5      for  $i = 1$  to  $n$ 
6          if  $L_{ii}^{(m)} < 0$ 
7              return  $m$ 
8  return  $-1$  // does not contain negative cycle

```

The total running time is $O(|V|^2 \cdot \text{ans})$ if the graph contains a negative cycle, or $O(|V|^3)$ if not.

6 [TC] Problem 25.2-2

Let W be the adjacency matrix, where $W_{ij} = 1$ if there exists a directed edge from i to j , or 0 if not. The method of computing transitive closure is the same as ‘multiplying matrices’ technique described in Section 25.1, except the definition of ‘multiplication’ of matrices A and B should be changed to

$$C_{ij} = \bigvee_{k=1}^n (A_{ik} \wedge B_{kj}).$$

7 [TC] Problem 25.2-4

The only difference between these two implementations of Floyd-Warshall algorithm is that, when updating d_{ij} , which version of d_{ik} and d_{kj} is used. Note that, during an iteration of outermost loop, for all index i , d_{ik} and d_{ki} are not changed, because $d_{kk} = 0$ and thus $\min(d_{ik}, d_{ik} + d_{kk}) = d_{ik}$ and $\min(d_{ki}, d_{kk} + d_{ki}) = d_{ki}$. Therefore, the version of d_{ik} and d_{kj} used for updating d_{ij} does not matter, and the implementation remains correct.

8 [TC] Problem 25.2-6

Let d be the matrix produced by Floyd-Warshall algorithm. If vertex i lies in some negative-weight cycle, then d_{ii} must be negative. So we only have to inspect the diagonal of d . If negative number exists in the diagonal, then the graph must contain a negative cycle.

9 [TC] Problem 25.2-6

TRANSITIVE-CLOSURE(G)

```

1  let  $T$  be a new  $|V(G)| \times |V(G)|$  matrix filled with 0
2  let  $Vis[1..|V(G)|]$  be a new boolean array
3  for  $i = 1$  to  $|V(G)|$ 
4      for  $j = 1$  to  $|V(G)|$ 
5           $V[j] = \text{FALSE}$ 
6      DFS( $T, Vis, G, i, i$ )
7  return  $T$ 

```

DFS(T, Vis, G, x, p)

```

1  if  $Vis[x]$ 
2      return
3   $Vis[x] = \text{TRUE}$ 
4   $T_{px} = 1$ 
5  for each vertex  $v$  in  $G.Adj[x]$ 
6      DFS( $T, vis, G, v, p$ )

```

The procedure described above performs depth-first search from each vertex. Every round of search takes $O(|V| + |E|) = O(|E|)$ time, and it is performed $|V|$ times, so the total running time is $O(|V||E|)$.

10 [TC] Problem 25.3-2

The purpose of adding s to V , is to determine the function $h : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, such that after the reweighting described in Lemma 25.1, all edges are non-negative, therefore Dijkstra’s algorithm applies. If define $h(v) = \delta(s, v)$, the triangle inequality guarantees the non-negativity of the edges in the new graph.

11 [TC] Problem 25.3-3

If all edges are non-negative in the original graph G , consider the vertex v added to the original graph, since v is connected to every vertex in G by an edge weighted 0, it is obvious that $\delta(v, u) = 0$ for all u in $V(G)$, i.e. $h(u) = 0$. Therefore, $w = \hat{w}$.

12 [TC] Problem 25-2

- a. By referring to Problem 6-2, the asymptotic running times for INSERT, EXTRACT-MIN, DECREASE-KEY are $O(\log_d n)$, $O(d \log_d n)$, $O(\log_d n)$, respectively. If we choose $d = \Theta(n^\alpha)$, their running times are $O(1/\alpha)$, $O(n^\alpha/\alpha)$, $O(1/\alpha)$, respectively. Since the running times for those of a Fibonacci heap are $O(1)$, $O(\log n)$, $O(1)$, respectively, the running

times for INSERT and DECREASE-KEY of a d -ary heap are equal to those of a Fibonacci heap, while d -ary heap is slower in EXTRACT-MIN.

- b.* Since the graph does not contain negative-weight edge, Dijkstra's algorithm applies here. If we use V^α -ary heap and run Dijkstra's algorithm, the total running time will be $O(V/\alpha + V \cdot V^\alpha/\alpha + V^{1+\varepsilon}/\alpha)$. If we take $\alpha = \varepsilon$, i.e. $d = V^\varepsilon$, since ε is a constant, the total running time is $O(V^{1+\varepsilon}) = O(E)$.
- c.* For each vertex in the graph, we take it as the source and run Dijkstra's algorithm described above. The total running time is $O(VE)$.
- d.* We can perform Johnson's algorithm on the graph, and use the above version of Dijkstra's algorithm in Johnson's algorithm. The total running time is $O(VE + VE) = O(VE)$.