Problem Solving: Homework 3.13

Name: Chen Shaoyuan Student ID: 161240004

November 28, 2017

1 [TC] Problem 31.1-12

```
DIVIDE(a, b, \beta)
 1 b = b \ll \beta
 2 Let r be a \beta-bit integer
 3 Let \langle r_{\beta-1}, \cdots, r_0 \rangle be the binary representation of r
 4 i = \beta
 5
      while i > 0
           i = i - 1
 6
 7
           b = b \gg 1
           if a \ge b
 8
 9
                 r_i = 1
10
                a = a - b
           else r_i = 0
11
12
     return r, a
```

where \ll , \gg means logical left and right shift, respectively. The returned r is the quotient, a is the remainder.

Each elementary operation (assignment, subtraction, comparison, logical shift) on β -bit integer(s) takes $\Theta(\beta)$ time, and there are $\Theta(\beta)$ operations in total, so this algorithm runs in $\Theta(\beta^2)$ time.

2 [TC] Problem 31.1-13

Convert(a)

```
1 Make the length of a a power of 2 by zero padding 2 l = a.length 3 p[0] = 2 4 i = 2 5 while 2^i < l 6 p[\log_2 i] = p[\log_2 i - 1] \times p[\log_2 i - 1] 7 i = i + 1 8 return CONVERT-REC(a)
```

CONVERT-REC(a)

```
1 l = a.length

2 if l == 1

3 return a

4 let a_1, a_2 be the higher and lower l/2 bits

5 b_1 = \text{Convert-Rec}(a_1)

6 b_2 = \text{Convert-Rec}(a_2)

7 return b_1 \times P[\log_2 l - 1] + b_2
```

The precalculation of the decimal representations of 2^{2^k} takes $\Theta(M(\beta)\log\beta)$ time. Since $\Theta(n^2)$ brute-force multiplication algorithm is known, we may assume that $M(\beta) = O(\beta^2) = \Omega(\beta)$. The running time of the recursion satisfies the following recurrence

$$f(n) = 2f(n/2) + M(n)$$

since kM(n/k) = O(M(n)) for positive integer k, we may conclude that $f(n) = O(M(\beta) \log \beta)$. Hence the total running time if $\Theta(M(\beta) \log \beta)$.

3 [TC] Problem **31.2-4**

```
EUCLID(a,b)

1 while b \neq 0

2 t = a \mod b

3 a = b

4 b = t

5 return a
```

4 [TC] Problem 31.2-5

By Lamé's theorem, the call $\operatorname{EUCLID}(a,b)$ makes at most k recursive calls, where $b < F_{k+1}$. Since $F_{k+1} > \phi^{k-1}$ when k > 1, $b < \phi^{k-1}$ implies $b < F_{k+1}$, so we can take $k = 1 + \log_{\phi} b$ when b > 1. If b = 1, it only makes one recursive call. Hence $\operatorname{EUCLID}(a,b)$ makes at most $1 + \log_{\phi} b$ recursive calls.

The executions of EUCLID(a,b) and EUCLID(a/gcd(a,b),b/gcd(a,b)) are same except that the numbers in the former one is gcd(a,b) times larger than those in the latter one. Hence they make the same number of recursive calls. This improves the bound to $1 + \log_{\phi}(b/gcd(a,b))$.

5 [TC] Problem 31.2-6

It returns $(1,(-1)^kF_{k-1},(-1)^{k+1}F_k)$. We prove this by induction.

For the base case, k = 1, the algorithm returns $(1,1,0) = (1,(-1)^k F_{k-1},(-1)^{k+1} F_k)$.

For the induction space, let k' = k + 1, the algorithm returns

$$(1,(-1)^{k+1}F_k,(-1)^kF_{k-1} - \lfloor F_{k+2}/F_{k+1} \rfloor (-1)^{k+1}F_k)$$

$$= (1,(-1)^{k'}F_{k'-1},(-1)^k(F_{k-1}+F_k))$$

$$= (1,(-1)^{k'}F_{k'-1},(-1)^{k'+1}F_{k'})$$

By mathematical induction, the conclusion is correct.

6 [TC] Problem 31.2-9

 $gcd(n_1n_2, n_3n_4) = 1$ implies n_i and n_j are relatively prime, where i = 1, 2, j = 3, 4. $gcd(n_1n_3, n_2n_4) = 1$ implies n_i and n_j are relatively prime, where i = 1, 3, j = 2, 4. So n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4 are pairwise relatively prime.

Let $p_i(1 \le i \le \lceil \lg k \rceil)$ (q_i , resp.) denote the product of n_j , where the i-th bit of the binary representation of j-1 is 1 (0, resp.). Then n_1, n_2, \cdots, n_k are pairwise relatively prime if and only if $p_i, q_i(1 \le i \le \lceil \lg k \rceil)$ are relatively prime:

If: for every pair of numbers in n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k , let n_i, n_j denote them. Since $i \neq j$, the binary representation of i and j must differ at at least one bit. Let a denote the index of the bit, then n_i is a term of p_a (or q_a , resp.), n_j is a term of q_a (or p_a , resp.). Since p_a and q_a are relatively prime, n_i and n_j are also relatively prime. Hence n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k are pairwise relatively prime.

Only if: suppose to the contrary that p_i and q_i are not relatively prime. Let r be any prime factor of $\gcd(p_i,q_i)$. Then, at lest one term in p_i (and q_i) is a multiple of r. Let n_x be the term in p_i , n_y be the term in q_i . Since the binary representation of x and y differ at the i-th bit, we have $x \neq y$, but n_x and n_y are multiples of r, which means they are not relatively prime, leading to contradiction. So $p_i, q_i (1 \leq i \leq \lceil \lg k \rceil)$ are relatively prime.

7 [TC] Problem 31.3-5

If $f_a(x) = f_a(y)$, i.e. $ax \equiv ay \pmod{n}$. Multiplying a^{-1} on both sides gives $x \equiv y \pmod{n}$, i.e. x = y, so $f_a(x)$ is one-to-one. For every $y \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$, $f(a^{-1}y) = y$, so the function is onto. Therefore, $f_a(x)$ is a bijection from $y \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$ to $y \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$, so it is a permutation of \mathbb{Z}_n^* .

8 [TC] Problem 31.4-2

Since gcd(a, n) = 1, the multiplicative inverse of a modulo n exists. Multiplying a^{-1} on both sides of $ax \equiv ay \pmod{n}$ gives $x \equiv y \pmod{n}$.

 $2 \times 3 \equiv 2 \times 8 \pmod{10}$, while $3 \neq 8 \pmod{10}$, because gcd(2,10) > 1.

9 [TC] Problem 31.4-3

This will work. Let $x'_0 = x'(b/d) \mod (n/d)$, then $x'_0 = x_0 \mod (n/d) = x_0 + k(n/d)$, which is also a solution. By Theorem 31.24, this will work.

10 [TC] Problem 31.5-2

The equation system is

$$x \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$$

 $x \equiv 2 \pmod{8}$
 $x \equiv 3 \pmod{7}$

then we have $a_1 = 1, n_1 = 9, m_1 = 56, c_1 = m_1(m_1^{-1} \mod n_1) = 56 \times 5 = 280, \ a_2 = 2, n_2 = 8, m_2 = 63, c_2 = m_2(m_2^{-1} \mod n_2) = 63 \times 7 = 441, a_3 = 3, n_3 = 7, m_3 = 72, c_3 = m_3(m_3^{-1} \mod n_3) = 72 \times 4 = 288.$ By the Chinese remainder theorem, we have

$$x \equiv a_1c_1 + a_2c_2 + a_3c_3$$
 (mod 504)
 $x \equiv 1 \times 280 + 2 \times 441 + 3 \times 288$ (mod 504)
 $x \equiv 2026$ (mod 504)
 $x \equiv 10$ (mod 504)

So the solutions are x = 10 + 504k, where k is an integer.

11 [TC] Problem 31.5-3

Since gcd(a, n) = 1, we have $gcd(a, n_i) = 1$, so the multiplicative inverse of a modulo n_i exists. Because $a_i = a \mod n_i$, we have $a_i^{-1} \equiv a^{-1} \pmod {n_i}$. Hence we have the correspondence

$$(a^{-1} \bmod n) \leftrightarrow ((a_1^{-1} \bmod n_1), \cdots, (a_k^{-1} \bmod n_k)).$$

12 [TC] Problem 31.6-2

MODULAR-EXPONENTIATION (a, b, n)

```
1 c = a

2 d = 1

3 \operatorname{let} \langle b_k, b_{k-1}, \cdots b_0 \rangle be the binary representation of b

4 for i = 0 to k

5 if b_i == 1

6 d = (d \cdot c) \mod n

7 c = (c \cdot c) \mod n

8 return d
```

13 [TC] Problem 31.6-2

By Euler's theorem, $a^{\phi(n)-1}\cdot a\equiv 1\pmod n$, so $a^{\phi(n)-1} \mod n$ is the multiplicative inverse of a modulo n, i.e. $a^{-1} \mod n = a^{\phi(n)-1} \mod n$, which can be calculated by MODULAR-EXPONENTIATION.