Pairwise comparisons using other noise generation techniques

Table 1: P-values using One way Anova Tukey's HSD for pairwise comparison of `Similarity` achieved by different frames selection technologies. (They are all based on the `WN` technology of noise generation part)

	Librispeech	Commonvoice	Timit
Random VS All	0.001	0.001	0.001
Important VS ALL	0.001	0.001	0.001
Random VS Important	0.001	0.0470	**0.5438**

Table 2: P-values using One way Anova Tukey's HSD for pairwise comparison of `Similarity` achieved by different frames selection technologies. (They are all based on the `GL` technology of noise generation part)

	Librispeech	Commonvoice	Timit
Random VS All	0.001	0.001	0.001
Important VS ALL	0.001	0.001	0.001
Random VS Important	0.001	0.0411	**0.7929**

Table 3: P-values using One way Anova Tukey's HSD for pairwise comparison of `Similarity` achieved by different noise generation technologies. (They are all based on the `Random` technology of frames selection part.)

	Librispeech	Commonvoice	Timit
GL VS WN	0.001	0.001	0.7334
OP VS WN	0.001	0.001	0.802
OP VS GL	**0.4847**	**0.8261**	**0.3887**

Table 4: P-values using One way Anova Tukey's HSD for pairwise comparison of `Similarity` achieved by different noise generation technologies. (They are all based on the `Important` technology of frames selection part.)

	Librispeech	Commonvoice	Timit
GL VS WN	0.001	0.001	0.0568
OP VS WN	0.001	0.001	0.4622
OP VS GL	**0.2978**	**0.6312**	**0.5102**