Q3: The equation in 2.2 misses a "+" after const.? Also, how do we get the equation 4?

A3: Thank you for pointing it out! We rewrite the equation 2.2.

For equation 4, we follow [1] and derive this equation as below:

[1] introduces the reparameterized trick to discrete diffusion model, and the backward transition $q(\mathbf{x}^{(t-1)}|\mathbf{x}^{(t)},\mathbf{x}^{(0)})$ can be rewritten as:

$$q(\boldsymbol{x}^{(t-1)}|\boldsymbol{x}^{(t)},\boldsymbol{x}^{(0)}) \\ = \begin{cases} \lambda_{t-1}^{(1)}\boldsymbol{x}^{(t)} + (1-\lambda_{t-1}^{(1)})\boldsymbol{q}_{\text{noise}}, & \text{if } \boldsymbol{x}^{(t)} = \boldsymbol{x}^{(t)} \\ \lambda_{t-1}^{(2)}\boldsymbol{x}^{(t)} + (1-\lambda_{t-1}^{(2)})\boldsymbol{q}_{\text{noise}}(\boldsymbol{x}^{(t)}), & \text{if } \boldsymbol{x}^{(t)} \neq \boldsymbol{x}^{(0)} \end{cases}$$

where $\boldsymbol{q}_{\text{noise}}(\boldsymbol{x}^{(t)}) = \beta_t \boldsymbol{x}^{(t)} + (1 - \beta_t) \boldsymbol{q}_{\text{noise}}$, and both $\lambda_{t-1}^{(1)}$ and $\lambda_{t-1}^{(2)}$ are constants relating to β_t and β_{t-1} .

Sampling from it is equivalent to first sampling from a Bernoulli distribution and then the corresponding component distribution:

$$egin{aligned} v_{t-1}^{(1)} &\sim \operatorname{Bernoulli}\left(\lambda_{t-1}^{(1)}
ight), & oldsymbol{u}_t^{(1)} &\sim \operatorname{Cat}\left(oldsymbol{u}; oldsymbol{p} = oldsymbol{q}_{\operatorname{noise}}
ight) \ v_{t-1}^{(2)} &\sim \operatorname{Bernoulli}\left(\lambda_{t-1}^{(2)}
ight), & oldsymbol{u}_t^{(2)} &\sim \operatorname{Cat}\left(oldsymbol{u}; oldsymbol{p} = oldsymbol{q}_{\operatorname{noise}}(oldsymbol{x}_t)
ight) \ oldsymbol{x}_{t-1} &= egin{cases} v_{t-1}^{(1)} oldsymbol{x}_t + \left(1 - v_{t-1}^{(1)}
ight) oldsymbol{u}_t^{(1)}, & ext{if } oldsymbol{x}_t = oldsymbol{x}_0 \ v_{t-1}^{(2)} oldsymbol{x}_t + \left(1 - v_{t-1}^{(2)}
ight) oldsymbol{u}_t^{(2)}, & ext{if } oldsymbol{x}_t
eq oldsymbol{x}_0 \end{aligned}$$

This reparameterizes the backward transitions $q(\boldsymbol{x}^{(t-1)}|\boldsymbol{x}^{(t)},\boldsymbol{x}^{(0)})$ and $p_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{x}^{(t-1)}|\boldsymbol{x}^{(t)})$ into $q(\boldsymbol{x}^{(t-1)},\boldsymbol{v}^{(t-1)}|\boldsymbol{x}^{(t)},\boldsymbol{x}^{(0)})$ and $p_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{x}^{(t-1)},\boldsymbol{v}^{(t-1)}|\boldsymbol{x}^{(t)})$, respectively.

Since each token is modeled **conditionally independently**, so we consider the backward transition for **each token**, and sum the losses for them. For i-th position, the backward transition is $q(\boldsymbol{x}_i^{(t-1)}, \boldsymbol{v}_i^{(t-1)} | \boldsymbol{x}_i^{(t)}, \boldsymbol{x}_i^{(0)})$.

As shown in [1] (appendix C), the loss at i-th token can be written as below:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{J}_{t,i} &= \mathbf{E}_{q(\boldsymbol{v}_i^{(t-1)})} \left[KL[q(\boldsymbol{x}_i^{(t-1)}|\boldsymbol{v}_i^{(t-1)},\boldsymbol{x}_i^{(t)},\boldsymbol{x}_i^{(0)}) || p_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{x}_i^{(t-1)}|\boldsymbol{v}_i^{(t-1)},\boldsymbol{x}_i^{(t)})] \right] \\ \text{Let } b_i(t) &= \mathbf{1}_{x_i^{(t)} = x_i^{(0)}} \text{, } q(\boldsymbol{x}_i^{(t-1)}|\boldsymbol{v}_i^{(t-1)},\boldsymbol{x}_i^{(t)},\boldsymbol{x}_i^{(0)}) \text{ can be written as:} \end{split}$$

$$egin{aligned} q(m{x}_i^{(t-1)}|m{v}_i^{(t-1)},m{x}_i^{(t)},m{x}_i^{(0)}) \ &= egin{cases} v_{t-1,i}^{(1)}m{x}_i^{(t)} + (1-v_{t-1,i}^{(1)})m{q}_{ ext{noise}} & ext{ if } b_i(t) = 0, \ v_{t-1,i}^{(2)}m{x}_i^{(0)} + (1-v_{t-1,i}^{(2)})m{q}_{ ext{noise}} & ext{ if } b_i(t) = 1, \end{cases}$$

And $p_{ heta}(oldsymbol{x}_i^{(t-1)}|oldsymbol{v}_i^{(t-1)},oldsymbol{x}_i^{(t)})$ can be written as:

$$egin{aligned} p_{ heta}(oldsymbol{x}_i^{(t-1)}|oldsymbol{v}_i^{(t-1)},oldsymbol{x}_i^{(t)}) \ &= egin{cases} v_{t-1,i}^{(1)}oldsymbol{x}_i^{(t)} + (1-v_{t-1,i}^{(1)})oldsymbol{q}_{ ext{noise}} & ext{if } b_i(t) = 0, \ v_{t-1,i}^{(2)}p_{ heta}(oldsymbol{x}_i^{(0)}|oldsymbol{x}^{(t)}) + (1-v_{t-1,i}^{(2)})oldsymbol{q}_{ ext{noise}} & ext{if } b_i(t) = 1, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the loss at i-th token can be computed by enumerating all cases with respect to $m{v}_i^{(t-1)}$ and $b_i(t)$. As noted in [1], the KL divergence is equal to $-\log p_{\theta}(x_i^{(0)}|x^{(t)})$ when $v_{t-1,i}^{(2)}=1$ and $b_i(t)=1$, while in other cases the KL divergence is 0.

So we have:

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_t &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq L} \mathcal{J}_{t,i} \ &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq L} \mathbf{E}_{q(oldsymbol{v}_i^{(t-1)})} \left[KL[q(oldsymbol{x}_i^{(t-1)} | oldsymbol{v}_i^{(t-1)}, oldsymbol{x}_i^{(t)}, oldsymbol{x}_i^{(0)}) || p_{ heta}(oldsymbol{x}_i^{(t-1)} | oldsymbol{v}_i^{(t-1)}, oldsymbol{x}_i^{(t)})]
ight] \ &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq L} q(oldsymbol{v}_i^{(t-1)} = 1) (-\log p_{ heta}(oldsymbol{x}_i^{(0)} | oldsymbol{x}^{(t)})) \ &= -\lambda^{(t)} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq L} b_i(t) \cdot \log p_{ heta}(oldsymbol{x}_i^{(0)} | oldsymbol{x}^{(t)}) \end{aligned}$$

[1] Zheng, L., Yuan, J., Yu, L., and Kong, L. A reparameterized discrete diffusion model for text generation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.05737