Winter 18 – AMS206B Homework 7

Due: Friday March 16 (Tentative - will be confirmed).

For questions 1 and 3, you may want to use some results from questions 1 and 3 of hw6.

1. Let $y_t = \rho y_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$, $\epsilon_t \sim^{i.i.d.} N(0, \sigma^2)$. This is a popular model in time series analysis known as the autoregressive model of order one or AR(1).

Note: In hw6, we assumed a prior of the form $\pi(\rho, \sigma^2) \propto 1/\sigma^2$, and found $p(\rho, \sigma^2|y_1, \dots, y_n)$, $p(\rho|\sigma^2, y_1, \dots, y_n)$ and $p(\sigma^2|y_1, \dots, y_n)$ based on the conditional likelihood.

Simulate two data sets with n=500 observations each. One with $\rho=0.95, \sigma^2=4$ and another one with $\rho=0.3, \sigma^2=4$. Fit the model above to the two data sets. Summarize your posterior results in both cases.

- 2. Consider a model of the form $x \mid \theta \sim \text{Bin}(n, \theta)$ and $\theta \sim \text{Be}(1/2, 1/2)$. Assume that you observe n = 10 and x = 1.
 - (a) Report an exact 95% (symmetric) posterior credible interval for θ (for example, you can use the qbeta function in R).
 - (b) Report an approximate credible interval for θ using the Laplace approximation.
 - (c) Report an approximate credible interval for θ using Monte Carlo simulation.
 - (d) Repeat the previous calculations with n = 100, x = 10 and with n = 1000, x = 100. Comment on the difference between all 9 situations.
- 3. Let x_1, \ldots, x_n be an i.i.d. sample such that $x_i | \theta, \sigma^2 \sim N(\theta, \sigma^2)$ with θ and σ^2 unknown. Assume a conjugate normal-inverse-gamma prior on (θ, σ^2) such that $\theta | \sigma^2 \sim N(\theta_0, \kappa_0 \sigma^2)$ and $\sigma^2 \sim IG(a, b)$ with θ_0, κ_0, a and b known.

Note: In hw6, we found $p(\theta, \sigma^2 | x_1, \dots, x_n)$, $p(\theta | \sigma^2, x_1, \dots, x_n)$, $p(\sigma^2 | x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $p(\theta | x_1, \dots, x_n)$.

- (a) Simulate n = 1000 i.i.d. observations from a N(5,1). Fit the above model to these data assuming the following prior scenarios:
 - i fairly informative priors around the true values of both parameters
 - ii informative prior on θ and vague on σ^2
 - iii informative prior on σ^2 and vague on θ
 - iv vague on both parameters

Specify the form of your posteriors in each case.

- (b) Assume that you are interested in estimating $\eta = \theta/\sigma$. Develop a Monte Carlo algorithm for computing the posterior mean and a 95% credibility interval for η . Use the algorithm to compute such quantities under all the prior scenarios described above.
- 4. (Wasserman, 2003) A random variable Z has an inverse Gaussian distribution if it has density

$$f(z \mid \theta_1, \theta_2) \propto z^{-3/2} \exp\left\{-\theta_1 z - \frac{\theta_2}{z} + 2\sqrt{\theta_1 \theta_2} + \log(\sqrt{2\theta_2})\right\}, \ z > 0,$$

where $\theta_1 > 0$ and $\theta_2 > 0$ are parameters. It can be shown that $E(Z) = \sqrt{\theta_2/\theta_1}$ and $E(1/Z) = \sqrt{\theta_1/\theta_2} + 1/(2\theta_2)$.

- (a) Let $\theta_1 = 1.5$ and $\theta_2 = 2$. Draw a sample of size 1,000 using the independence-Metropolis-Hastings method with a Gamma distribution as the proposal density (note that in an independence-Metropolis-Hastings $q(\theta^*|\theta) = q(\theta^*)$). To assess the accuracy of the method, compare the mean of Z and 1/Z from the sample to the theoretical means. Try different Gamma distributions to see if you can get an accurate sample.
- (b) Draw a sample of size 1,000 using the random-walk Metropolis method. Since z > 0 we cannot just use a Normal density. Let $W = \log(Z)$. Find the density of W. Use the random-walk Metropolis method to get a sample W_1, \ldots, W_M and let $Z_i = e^{W_i}$. Assess the accuracy of the simulation as in the previous part.
- 5. Consider i.i.d. data x_1, \ldots, x_n such that $x_i | \nu, \theta \sim \text{Gamma}(\nu, \theta)$ where $E(x_i) = \nu/\theta$, and assign priors $\nu \sim \text{Gamma}(3, 1)$ and $\theta \sim \text{Gamma}(2, 2)$.
 - (a) Develop a Metropolis-within-Gibbs algorithm to sample from $p(\nu, \theta | x_1, ..., x_n)$ using the full conditional distributions $p(\theta | \nu, x_1, ..., x_n)$ and $p(\nu | \theta, x_1, ..., x_n)$. For the second full conditional, use a random walk proposal on $\log(\nu)$.
 - (b) Develop a Metropolis-Hastings algorithm that jointly proposes $\log(\nu)$ and $\log(\theta)$ using a Gaussian random walk centered on the current value of the parameters. Tune the variance-covariance matrix of the proposal using a test run that proposes the parameters independently (but evaluates acceptance jointly).
 - (c) Develop a Metropolis algorithm that jointly proposes $\log(\nu)$ and $\log(\theta)$ using independent proposals based on the Laplace approximation of the posterior distribution of $\log(\nu)$ and $\log(\theta)$.
 - (d) Develop a Metropolis algorithm that jointly proposes $\log(\nu)$ and $\log(\theta)$ using independent proposals based on a modified version of the Laplace approximation of the posterior distribution of $\log(\nu)$ and $\log(\theta)$ in which the normal distribution is replaced by a heavy tailed distribution (such as a multivariate Cauchy).
 - (e) Run each of the algorithms for the dataset in hw6-5.dat and compute the effective sample sizes associated with each parameter under each of the samplers. Also, construct trace and autocorrelation plots. Report posterior means for each of the parameters of interest, along with 95% symmetric credible intervals. Discuss.
- 6. (Robert and Casella) Consider a random effects model,

$$y_{i,j} = \beta + u_i + \epsilon_{i,j}, \quad i = 1: I, j = 1: J,$$

where $u_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ and $\epsilon_{i,j} \sim N(0, \tau^2)$. Assume a prior of the form

$$\pi(\beta, \sigma^2, \tau^2) \propto \frac{1}{\sigma^2 \tau^2}.$$

- (a) Find the full conditional distributions:
 - i. $\pi(u_i|y,\beta,\tau^2,\sigma^2)$;
 - ii. $\pi(\beta|y, u, \tau^2, \sigma^2)$;

iii.
$$\pi(\sigma^2|y, u, \beta, \tau^2)$$
;
iv. $\pi(\tau^2|y, u, \beta, \sigma^2)$.

- (b) Find $\pi(\beta, \tau^2, \sigma^2|y)$ up to a proportionality constant.
- (c) Find $\pi(\sigma^2, \tau^2|y)$ up to a proportionality constant and show that this posterior is not integrable since, for $\tau \neq 0$, it behaves like σ^{-2} in a neighborhood of 0.

Note: This problem shows that even though the full conditional posteriors exist and the Gibbs sampling could be easily implemented, the joint posterior distribution does not exist. Users should be aware of the risks of using the Gibbs sampler in situations like this!

- 7. (Carlin, Gelfand and Smith, 1992) Let y_1, \ldots, y_n be a sample from a Poisson distribution for which there is a suspicion of a change point m along the observation process where the means change, $m = 1, \ldots, n$. Given $m, y_i \sim \text{Poi}(\theta)$, for $i = 1, \ldots, m$ and $y_i \sim \text{Poi}(\phi)$, for $i = m+1, \ldots, n$. The model is completed with independent prior distributions $\lambda \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta)$, $\phi \sim \text{Gamma}(\gamma, \delta)$ and m uniformly distributed over $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ where α, β, γ and δ are known constants. Implement a Gibbs sampling algorithm to obtain samples from the joint posterior distribution. Run the Gibbs sampler to apply this model to the data mining.r which consists of counts of coal mining disasters in Great Britain by year from 1851 to 1962.
- 8. Souza (1999) considers a number of hierarchical models to describe the nutritional pattern of pregnant women. One of the models adopted was a hierarchical regression model where

$$y_{i,j} \sim N(\alpha_i + \beta_i t_{i,j}, \sigma^2),$$

$$(\alpha_i, \beta_i)' | \alpha, \beta \sim MVN_2((\alpha, \beta)', diag(\tau_{\alpha}^{-1}, \tau_{\beta}^{-1})),$$

$$(\alpha, \beta)' \sim MVN_2((0, 0)', diag(P_{\alpha}^{-1}, P_{\beta}^{-1}),$$

prior independent scale parameters σ^{-2} , τ_{α} and $\tau_{\beta} \sim \text{Gamma}(a,b)$, and $y_{i,j}$ and $t_{i,j}$ are the jth weight measurement and visit time of the ith woman with $j=1:n_i$ and i=1:I for I=68 pregnant women. Here $n=\sum_{i=1}^{I}n_i=427,\ P_{\alpha}=P_{\beta}=1/1000$ and a=b=0.001. Find the full conditional distributions of $\alpha,\beta,\tau_{\alpha},\tau_{\beta},\ \sigma^{-2},\alpha_i,\beta_i$, and (α_i,β_i) .