Network Working Group

M. Leech

Request for Comments: 1928 Bell-Northern

Research Ltd

Category: Standards Track

M. Ganis

International Business

Machines

Y. Lee

NEC Systems

Laboratory

R. Kuris

Unify

Corporation

D. Koblas

Independent

Consultant

L. Jones

Hewlett-Packard

Company

March 1996

SOCKS Protocol Version 5

Status of this Memo

This document specifies an Internet standards track protocol for the $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right$

Internet community, and requests discussion and suggestions for

improvements. Please refer to the current edition of the "Internet"

Official Protocol Standards" (STD 1) for the standardization

and status of this protocol. Distribution of this memo is unlimited.

Acknowledgments

This memo describes a protocol that is an evolution of the previous

version of the protocol, version 4 [1]. This new protocol

第1页 共13页

stems from

active discussions and prototype implementations. The key contributors are: Marcus Leech: Bell-Northern Research, David Koblas:

Independent Consultant, Ying-Da Lee: NEC Systems Laboratory, LaMont

Jones: Hewlett-Packard Company, Ron Kuris: Unify Corporation, Matt

Ganis: International Business Machines.

1. Introduction

The use of network firewalls, systems that effectively isolate an

organizations internal network structure from an exterior network,

such as the INTERNET is becoming increasingly popular. These
firewall systems typically act as application-layer gateways
between

networks, usually offering controlled TELNET, FTP, and SMTP access.

With the emergence of more sophisticated application layer protocols

designed to facilitate global information discovery, there exists a

need to provide a general framework for these protocols to transparently and securely traverse a firewall.

Leech, et al Standards Track
[Page 1]

RFC 1928 SOCKS Protocol Version 5

There exists, also, a need for strong authentication of such traversal in as fine-grained a manner as is practical. This requirement stems from the realization that client-server relationships emerge between the networks of various organizations,

and that such relationships need to be controlled and often strongly

authenticated.

March 1996

The protocol described here is designed to provide a framework for

client-server applications in both the TCP and UDP domains to conveniently and securely use the services of a network firewall.

The protocol is conceptually a "shim-layer" between the

application

layer and the transport layer, and as such does not provide network-

layer gateway services, such as forwarding of ICMP messages.

2. Existing practice

There currently exists a protocol, SOCKS Version 4, that provides for

unsecured firewall traversal for TCP-based client-server applications, including TELNET, FTP and the popular information-

discovery protocols such as HTTP, WAIS and GOPHER.

This new protocol extends the SOCKS Version 4 model to include UDP,

and extends the framework to include provisions for generalized

strong authentication schemes, and extends the addressing scheme to $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right)$

encompass domain-name and V6 IP addresses.

The implementation of the SOCKS protocol typically involves the

recompilation or relinking of TCP-based client applications to use

the appropriate encapsulation routines in the SOCKS library.

Note:

Unless otherwise noted, the decimal numbers appearing in packet-

format diagrams represent the length of the corresponding field, in

octets. Where a given octet must take on a specific value, the

 $\mbox{ syntax X'hh'}$ is used to denote the value of the single octet in that

field. When the word 'Variable' is used, it indicates that the corresponding field has a variable length defined either by an associated (one or two octet) length field, or by a data type field.

3. Procedure for TCP-based clients

When a TCP-based client wishes to establish a connection to an object

that is reachable only via a firewall (such determination is left up

to the implementation), it must open a TCP connection to the appropriate SOCKS port on the SOCKS server system. The SOCKS service

is conventionally located on TCP port 1080. If the connection request succeeds, the client enters a negotiation for the

Leech, et al [Page 2]
RFC 1928

March 1996

Standards Track

SOCKS Protocol Version 5

authentication method to be used, authenticates with the chosen

method, then sends a relay request. The SOCKS server evaluates the

request, and either establishes the appropriate connection or denies

it.

Unless otherwise noted, the decimal numbers appearing in packet-

format diagrams represent the length of the corresponding field, in

octets. Where a given octet must take on a specific value, the

 $\operatorname{syntax} X'hh'$ is used to denote the value of the single octet in that

field. When the word 'Variable' is used, it indicates that the corresponding field has a variable length defined either by an associated (one or two octet) length field, or by a data type field.

The client connects to the server, and sends a version identifier/method selection message:

+	+ -	++		
	NMETHODS			
1	1	++ 1 to 255 ++		

The VER field is set to X'05' for this version of the protocol. The

NMETHODS field contains the number of method identifier octets that

appear in the METHODS field.

The server selects from one of the methods given in METHODS, and

sends a METHOD selection message:

+		+
VER	METHOD	
+	- 	۲
1	1	

+---+

If the selected METHOD is ${\tt X'FF'}$, none of the methods listed by the

client are acceptable, and the client MUST close the connection.

The values currently defined for METHOD are:

- o X'00' NO AUTHENTICATION REQUIRED
- o X'01' GSSAPI
- o X'02' USERNAME/PASSWORD
- o X'03' to X'7F' IANA ASSIGNED
- o X'80' to X'FE' RESERVED FOR PRIVATE METHODS
- O X'FF' NO ACCEPTABLE METHODS

The client and server then enter a method-specific sub-negotiation.

Leech, et al [Page 3]

Standards Track

RFC 1928

SOCKS Protocol Version 5

March 1996

Descriptions of the method-dependent sub-negotiations appear in $\dot{}$

separate memos.

Developers of new METHOD support for this protocol should contact $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +$

IANA for a METHOD number. The ASSIGNED NUMBERS document should be

referred to for a current list of METHOD numbers and their corresponding protocols.

Compliant implementations MUST support GSSAPI and SHOULD support $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left$

USERNAME/PASSWORD authentication methods.

4. Requests

Once the method-dependent subnegotiation has completed, the client

sends the request details. If the negotiated method includes encapsulation for purposes of integrity checking and/or confidentiality, these requests MUST be encapsulated in the method-

dependent encapsulation.

The SOCKS request is formed as follows:

VER	CMD	RSV	ATYP	DST.ADDR	++ DST.PORT ++
1	1	X'00'	1	Variable	1

Where:

- o VER protocol version: X'05'
- o CMD
 - o CONNECT X'01'
 - o BIND X'02'
 - o UDP ASSOCIATE X'03'
- o RSV RESERVED
- o ATYP address type of following address
 - o IP V4 address: X'01'
 - o DOMAINNAME: X'03'
 - o IP V6 address: X'04'
- o DST.ADDR desired destination address
- o DST.PORT desired destination port in network octet order

Standards Track

The SOCKS server will typically evaluate the request based on source

and destination addresses, and return one or more reply messages, as $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left($

appropriate for the request type.

Leech, et al [Page 4]

RFC 1928 SOCKS Protocol Version 5

March 1996

5. Addressing

In an address field (DST.ADDR, BND.ADDR), the ATYP field specifies

the type of address contained within the field:

o X'01'

the address is a version-4 IP address, with a length of 4 octets $\,$

o X'03'

the address field contains a fully-qualified domain name.

The first

octet of the address field contains the number of octets of

follow, there is no terminating NUL octet.

o X'04'

the address is a version-6 IP address, with a length of 16 octets.

6. Replies

The SOCKS request information is sent by the client as soon as it has

established a connection to the SOCKS server, and completed

authentication negotiations. The server evaluates the request, and

returns a reply formed as follows:

+		+	+	+	++
•				!	BND.PORT
1	1	X'00'	1	Variable	2

Where:

- o VER protocol version: X'05' o REP Reply field:
- - o X'00' succeeded
 - o X'01' general SOCKS server failure
 - o X'02' connection not allowed by ruleset
 - o X'03' Network unreachable
 - o X'04' Host unreachable
 - o X'05' Connection refused
 - o X'06' TTL expired
 - o X'07' Command not supported
 - o X'08' Address type not supported
 - o X'09' to X'FF' unassigned
- o RSV RESERVED
- o ATYP address type of following address

Leech, et al [Page 5] RFC 1928 March 1996

Standards Track

SOCKS Protocol Version 5

- o IP V4 address: X'01'
- o DOMAINNAME: X'03'

- o IP V6 address: X'04'
- o BND.ADDR server bound address
- o BND.PORT server bound port in network octet

order

Fields marked RESERVED (RSV) must be set to X'00'.

If the chosen method includes encapsulation for purposes of authentication, integrity and/or confidentiality, the replies are

encapsulated in the method-dependent encapsulation.

CONNECT

In the reply to a CONNECT, BND.PORT contains the port number that the

server assigned to connect to the target host, while BND.ADDR contains the associated IP address. The supplied BND.ADDR is often

different from the IP address that the client uses to reach the SOCKS

server, since such servers are often multi-homed. It is expected

that the SOCKS server will use DST.ADDR and DST.PORT, and the client-side source address and port in evaluating the CONNECT request.

BIND

The BIND request is used in protocols which require the client to

accept connections from the server. FTP is a well-known example,

which uses the primary client-to-server connection for commands and

status reports, but may use a server-to-client connection for transferring data on demand (e.g. LS, GET, PUT).

It is expected that the client side of an application protocol will

use the BIND request only to establish secondary connections after a

primary connection is established using CONNECT. In is expected that

a SOCKS server will use DST.ADDR and DST.PORT in evaluating the ${\tt BIND}$

request.

Two replies are sent from the SOCKS server to the client during a

BIND operation. The first is sent after the server creates and binds

a new socket. The BND.PORT field contains the port number that the

SOCKS server assigned to listen for an incoming connection. The

BND.ADDR field contains the associated IP address. The client will

typically use these pieces of information to notify (via the primary

or control connection) the application server of the rendezvous

address. The second reply occurs only after the anticipated incoming

connection succeeds or fails.

Leech, et al [Page 6] RFC 1928

March 1996

Standards Track

SOCKS Protocol Version 5

In the second reply, the ${\tt BND.PORT}$ and ${\tt BND.ADDR}$ fields contain the

address and port number of the connecting host.

UDP ASSOCIATE

The UDP ASSOCIATE request is used to establish an association within

the UDP relay process to handle UDP datagrams. The DST.ADDR and

DST.PORT fields contain the address and port that the client expects

to use to send UDP datagrams on for the association. The server ${\tt MAY}$

use this information to limit access to the association. If the

client is not in possesion of the information at the time of the UDP

ASSOCIATE, the client MUST use a port number and address of all

zeros.

ASSOCIATE request arrived on terminates.

In the reply to a UDP ASSOCIATE request, the BND.PORT and ${\tt BND.ADDR}$

fields indicate the port number/address where the client MUST

UDP request messages to be relayed.

Reply Processing

When a reply (REP value other than X'00') indicates a failure, the

SOCKS server MUST terminate the TCP connection shortly after sending

the reply. This must be no more than 10 seconds after detecting the

condition that caused a failure.

If the reply code (REP value of X'00') indicates a success, and the

request was either a BIND or a CONNECT, the client may now start

passing data. If the selected authentication method supports encapsulation for the purposes of integrity, authentication and/or

confidentiality, the data are encapsulated using the method-dependent

encapsulation. Similarly, when data arrives at the SOCKS server for

the client, the server MUST encapsulate the data as appropriate for $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right)$

the authentication method in use.

7. Procedure for UDP-based clients

A UDP-based client MUST send its datagrams to the UDP relay server at $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1$

the UDP port indicated by BND.PORT in the reply to the UDP ASSOCIATE

request. If the selected authentication method provides encapsulation for the purposes of authenticity, integrity, and/or

confidentiality, the datagram MUST be encapsulated using the appropriate encapsulation. Each UDP datagram carries a UDP request

header with it:

Leech, et al Standards Track
[Page 7]

RFC 1928 SOCKS Protocol Version 5
March 1996

+	+	-	⊦		 +
RSV	FRAG	ATYP	DST.ADDR	DST.PORT	DATA
+	+ -	+	++		+

	2	1	1	Variable	2	Variable	
4		+				++	

The fields in the UDP request header are:

- o RSV Reserved X'0000'
- o FRAG Current fragment number
- o ATYP address type of following addresses:
 - o IP V4 address: X'01'
 - o DOMAINNAME: X'03'
 - o IP V6 address: X'04'
- o DST.ADDR desired destination address
- o DST.PORT desired destination port
- o DATA user data

When a UDP relay server decides to relay a UDP datagram, it does so

silently, without any notification to the requesting client.
Similarly, it will drop datagrams it cannot or will not relay. When

a UDP relay server receives a reply datagram from a remote host, it

MUST encapsulate that datagram using the above UDP request header,

and any authentication-method-dependent encapsulation.

The UDP relay server MUST acquire from the SOCKS server the expected

IP address of the client that will send datagrams to the BND.PORT

given in the reply to UDP ASSOCIATE. It MUST drop any datagrams

arriving from any source IP address other than the one recorded for $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right)$

the particular association.

The FRAG field indicates whether or not this datagram is one of a

number of fragments. If implemented, the high-order bit indicates

end-of-fragment sequence, while a value of X'00' indicates that this

datagram is standalone. Values between 1 and 127 indicate the fragment position within a fragment sequence. Each receiver will

have a REASSEMBLY QUEUE and a REASSEMBLY TIMER associated with these

fragments. The reassembly queue must be reinitialized and the associated fragments abandoned whenever the REASSEMBLY TIMER expires,

or a new datagram arrives carrying a FRAG field whose value is less

than the highest FRAG value processed for this fragment sequence.

The reassembly timer MUST be no less than 5 seconds. It is recommended that fragmentation be avoided by applications wherever

possible.

Implementation of fragmentation is optional; an implementation that

does not support fragmentation MUST drop any datagram whose FRAG

field is other than X'00'.

Leech, et al Standards Track
[Page 8]

RFC 1928 SOCKS Protocol Version 5
March 1996

The programming interface for a SOCKS-aware UDP MUST report an available buffer space for UDP datagrams that is smaller than the

actual space provided by the operating system:

o if ATYP is X'01' - 10+method_dependent octets smaller
o if ATYP is X'03' - 262+method_dependent octets smaller
o if ATYP is X'04' - 20+method_dependent octets smaller

8. Security Considerations

This document describes a protocol for the application-layer traversal of IP network firewalls. The security of such traversal is

highly dependent on the particular authentication and encapsulation

methods provided in a particular implementation, and selected during

negotiation between SOCKS client and SOCKS server.

Careful consideration should be given by the administrator to the

selection of authentication methods.

9. References

[1] Koblas, D., "SOCKS", Proceedings: 1992 Usenix Security Symposium.

Author's Address

Marcus Leech Bell-Northern Research Ltd P.O. Box 3511, Stn. C, Ottawa, ON CANADA K1Y 4H7

Phone: (613) 763-9145 EMail: mleech@bnr.ca

Leech, et al [Page 9] □

Standards Track

第13页 共13页 14-8-8 上午10:29