

Problem 1

Solve the following using KKT conditions

a.)

$$\text{Minimize } f = 4x_1 - 3x_2 + 2x_1^2 - 3x_1x_2 + 4x_2^2$$

$$g_1(x): 2x_1 - 1.5x_2 = 5$$

KKT Conditions

$$\nabla f - \sum \lambda_i \nabla g_i = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} - \lambda \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_1} = 0 \Rightarrow 4 + 4x_1 - 3x_2 - \lambda(2) = 0$$

$$g_i(x) = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} - \lambda \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_2} = 0 \Rightarrow -3 - 3x_1 + 8x_2 - \lambda(-1.5) = 0$$

$$2x_1 - 1.5x_2 - 5 = 0 \quad 2x_1 - 1.5x_2 - 5 = 0$$

In matrix form:

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 4 & -3 & -2 \\ -3 & 8 & 1.5 \\ 2 & -1.5 & 0 \end{bmatrix}}_A \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \lambda \end{bmatrix}}_X = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ 3 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}}_b$$

$$X = A^{-1}b \Rightarrow X = \begin{bmatrix} 2.5 \\ 0 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow$$

$$f^* = 22.5$$

$$x_1^* = 2.5$$

$$x_2^* = 0$$

$$\lambda^* = 7$$

This agrees with the graphical optimum

b.) Change $g_1(x) = 2x_1 - 1.5x_2 = 5.1$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -3 & -2 \\ -3 & 8 & 1.5 \\ 2 & -1.5 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \lambda \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ 3 \\ 5.1 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{matrix} x_1^* = 2.55 \\ x_2^* = 0 \\ \lambda^* = 7.1 \end{matrix}$$

$$\Delta f_{\text{actual}} = f(2.55, 0) - f(2.5, 0) = 23.205 - 22.5 = 0.705$$

$$\Delta f_{\text{predicted}} = \lambda^* \Delta b = 7(0.1) = 0.7$$

Yes the Lagrange multiplier λ accurately predicts the change in objective value.

The difference between the actual and the predicted is only 0.005

Problem 1

c.) Are the KKT equations for a problem with a quadratic objective and a linear equality constraint always linear?

Yes. If f is quadratic, ∇f will be linear. Since g is also a linear equality constraint, the KKT equations will always be linear. \leftarrow

What about a problem with a quadratic objective and a linear inequality constraint?

Yes. ∇f will be linear same as before and so will any g (constant) that is found to be a binding inequality constraint. \leftarrow

Problem 2

No problem 2 given?

Problem 3

Solve the following using the KKT conditions:

$$\text{Minimize } f(x) = x_1^2 + 2x_2^2 + 3x_3^2$$

$$g_1(x) = x_1 + 5x_2 = 12$$

$$g_2(x) = -2x_1 + x_2 - 4x_3 \leq -18$$

Step 1 Put into proper form.

$$\text{Max } f(x) = -x_1^2 - 2x_2^2 - 3x_3^2$$

s.t.

$$g_1(x): x_1 + 5x_2 = 12$$

$$g_2(x): -2x_1 + x_2 - 4x_3 \leq 18$$

KKT conditions

$$\nabla f - \sum \lambda_i \nabla g_i = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} - \lambda_1 \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial x_1} - \lambda_2 \frac{\partial g_2}{\partial x_1} = 0 \Rightarrow -2x_1 - \lambda_1(1) - \lambda_2(-2) = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$g_i(x) = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} - \lambda_1 \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial x_2} - \lambda_2 \frac{\partial g_2}{\partial x_2} = 0 \Rightarrow -4x_2 - \lambda_1(5) - \lambda_2(1) = 0 \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_3} - \lambda_1 \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial x_3} - \lambda_2 \frac{\partial g_2}{\partial x_3} = 0 \Rightarrow -6x_3 - \lambda_1(0) - \lambda_2(-4) = 0 \quad (3)$$

$$g_1(x) = 0 \Rightarrow$$

$$x_1 + 5x_2 - 12 = 0 \quad (4)$$

$$g_2(x) = 0 \Rightarrow$$

$$-2x_1 + x_2 - 4x_3 + 18 = 0 \quad (5)$$

Assume g_1, g_2 are both binding and try to solve:

Put into linear matrix form:

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} -2 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 \\ 0 & -4 & 0 & -5 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -6 & 0 & 4 \\ 1 & 5 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & 1 & -4 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}}_A \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix}}_x = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 12 \\ -18 \end{bmatrix}}_b$$

Solving

$$x = A^{-1}b$$

\Rightarrow

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= 4.7170 \\ x_2 &= 1.4566 \\ x_3 &= 2.5057 \\ \lambda_1 &= -1.9170 \\ \lambda_2 &= 3.7585 \end{aligned}$$

$$f^* = 45.3283$$

Since λ_2 corresponding to $g_2(x)$ an inequality constraint is positive, and λ_1 corresponding to $g_1(x)$ can be positive or negative, the KKT conditions are satisfied

Problem 4

$$\text{Min } f(x) = x_1^2 + x_2$$

$$g_1(x) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 9 = 0$$

$$g_2(x) = x_1 + x_2^2 - 1 \leq 0$$

$$g_3(x) = x_1 + x_2 - 1 \leq 0$$

a.) Verify that $[-2.3723, -1.8364]$ is a local optimum

Step 1 Put into proper form:

$$\text{Max } F(x) = -x_1^2 - x_2$$

$$g_1(x): x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 9 = 0$$

$$g_2(x): x_1 + x_2^2 - 1 \leq 0$$

$$g_3(x): x_1 + x_2 - 1 \leq 0$$

Step 2 See which constraints are binding:

$g_1(x)$ is binding since it is an equality constraint

check $g_2(x)$:

$$-2.3723 + (-1.8364)^2 - 1 = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_2 \neq 0 \text{ and } g_2(x) \text{ is binding}$$

check $g_3(x)$:

$$-2.3723 - 1.8364 - 1 = -5.2087 < 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_3 = 0 \text{ and } g_3(x) \text{ is not binding}$$

Step 3 Write out Lagrange Multiplier Equations

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} - \lambda_1 \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial x_1} - \lambda_2 \frac{\partial g_2}{\partial x_1} = -2x_1 - \lambda_1(2x_1) - \lambda_2(1) = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} - \lambda_1 \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial x_2} - \lambda_2 \frac{\partial g_2}{\partial x_2} = -1 - \lambda_1(2x_2) - \lambda_2(2x_2) = 0$$

Step 4 Substitute in the point

$$-2(-2.3723) - \lambda_1((2)(-2.3723)) - \lambda_2 = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$-1 - \lambda_1(2(-1.8364)) - \lambda_2(2(-1.8364)) = 0 \quad (2)$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda_2 = -4.7446\lambda_1 + 4.7446 \quad (3)$$

sub (3) \rightarrow (2)

$$-1 - \lambda_1(2(-1.8364)) - (4.7446\lambda_1 + 4.7446)(2(-1.8364)) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow -1 + 3.6728\lambda_1 + 17.4259\lambda_1 + 17.4259 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\lambda_1 = -0.7785} \Rightarrow \boxed{\lambda_2 = 1.0508}$$

Next page \rightarrow

Problem 4 Continued

So we have that λ_1 is negative (which is OK since $g_1(x)$ is an equality constraint), and λ_2 is positive (which is required since $g_2(x)$ is an inequality constraint) and so we have a valid set of Lagrange Multipliers which means that we can conclude that the point $[-2.3723, -1.8364]$ is a local optimum \leftarrow

b.) Verify that $[-2.5, -1.6583]$ is not a local optimum

Check if $g_2(x)$ is binding: $-2.5 + (-1.6583)^2 - 1 = -0.75 \Rightarrow g_2(x)$ is not binding and $\lambda_2 = 0$ \leftarrow

Check to see if $g_3(x)$ is binding: $-2.5 - 1.6583 - 1 = -5.1583 \Rightarrow g_3(x)$ is not binding and $\lambda_3 = 0$ \leftarrow

Set up the KKT equations:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} - \lambda_1 \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial x_1} = -2x_1 - \lambda_1(2x_1) = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} - \lambda_1 \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial x_2} = -1 - \lambda_1(2x_2) = 0$$

Plugging in for x ...

$$-2(-2.5) - \lambda_1(2(-2.5)) = 0 \Rightarrow \boxed{\lambda_1 = -1}$$

$$-1 - \lambda_1(2(-1.6583)) = 0 \Rightarrow \boxed{\lambda_1 = 0.3}$$

Since λ_1 's are not consistent, this point does not satisfy the KKT equations and cannot be an optimum \leftarrow

c.)

Next Page \longrightarrow

Problem 4 Continued

C.) By inspection, ^{of the contour plot} we see that the optimum is somewhere around $[-0.25, -1.1]$ and at this point $g(x) = x_1 + x_2 - 1 \leq 0$ is not a binding constraint. Thus only the constraint $g(x) = x_1 + x_2^2 - 1 \leq 0$ is binding and we must solve for Lambda (λ)

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla f - \sum \lambda_i \nabla g_i &= 0 \Rightarrow \left. \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} - \lambda_1 \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_1} &= 0 \rightarrow -2x_1 - \lambda_1(1) = 0 \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} - \lambda_1 \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_2} &= 0 \rightarrow -1 - \lambda_1(2x_2) = 0 \end{aligned} \right\} \\ g_i(x) &= 0 \rightarrow x_1 + x_2^2 = 1 \end{aligned}$$

In matrix form:

$$\begin{bmatrix} -2 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -2x_2 \\ 1 & x_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \lambda \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ +1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \left. \vphantom{\begin{bmatrix} -2 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -2x_2 \\ 1 & x_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}} \right\} \text{This doesn't help us much since the equations are nonlinear...}$$

Solving using Matlab fsolve() to solve the above system of equations

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{x_1^* = -0.2258, \quad x_2^* = -1.1072, \quad \lambda = 0.4516}$$

$$\boxed{f^* = -1.0562}$$

Since λ is positive, we can conclude that this point is a valid local optimum

MATLAB for problem 4 part c

```
clc  
clear
```

```
x0 = [-0.25, -1.1, 1];
```

```
x = fsolve(@myfun, x0)
```

```
function F = myfun(x)  
F(1) = -2*x(1) - x(3);  
F(2) = -1 -x(3)*2*x(2);  
F(3) = x(1) + x(2)^2 -1;  
end
```