RecallvsPrecision.md 2025-10-05

# Tutorial: Understanding Precision and Recall (The Alien Detector Game)

In digital forensics, security, and data science, we need to know if our tools are working correctly. Two of the most important metrics for evaluating any detection system—from a simple Regex search to a complex Al model—are **Precision** and **Recall**.

These two concepts measure different things, and understanding the difference is crucial for a complete evaluation (like in your Regex vs. GPT lab).

## 1. The Scenario: The School Playground

Imagine you've built a new **Alien Detector App** to find secret aliens hiding on the school playground.

Fact	Quantity
<b>Total People Scanned</b>	100 students
Actual Aliens (Ground Truth)	10
Actual Humans	90

You run your Alien Detector, and here are the results it produces: It flags 20 people as aliens.

#### The Breakdown

By comparing the app's output against the truth (the 10 actual aliens), we get three key numbers:

- 1. True Positives (TP): 8
  - The app **correctly** flagged 8 of the actual aliens. (Good job!)
- 2. False Positives (FP): 12
  - The app incorrectly flagged 12 actual humans as aliens. (False alarms!)
- 3. False Negatives (FN): 2
  - The app **missed** 2 of the actual aliens. (They slipped by!)

## 2. Precision: Measuring Exactness (Trustworthiness) &

Precision answers the question: "Out of everything the tool said was an artefact (or an alien), how much was actually correct?"

It focuses on minimizing **False Positives (FP)**—the junk, the false alarms, or the irrelevant log entries matched by a sloppy Regex.

\$\$\text{Precision} = \frac{\text{True Positives}}{\text{True Positives}} + \text{False Positives}}\$\$

**Calculation:**  $$\text{cor} = \frac{8}{20} = 0.4 \text{ (or } 40\%)$ 

**Precision Insight** 

RecallvsPrecision.md 2025-10-05

A low precision score means your tool is generating a lot of **noise** (False Positives). In forensics, low precision means you waste time investigating irrelevant log entries or irrelevant file matches.

# 3. Recall: Measuring Completeness (Coverage) 🧣

Recall answers the question: "Out of all the actual artefacts (or aliens) that were present, how many did the tool successfully find?"

It focuses on minimizing False Negatives (FN)—the critical piece of evidence that was missed.

\$\$\text{Recall} = \frac{\text{True Positives}}{\text{True Positives}} + \text{False Negatives}}\$\$

**Calculation:**  $$\text{call} = \frac{8}{8 + 2} = \frac{8}{10} = 0.8 \text{ (or } 80\%)$ 

### Recall Insight

A low recall score means your tool is **missing vital evidence**. In forensics, low recall could mean failing to find the one email, IP address, or login event that breaks the case.

## 4. The Critical Trade-Off: Forensic Application

The Trade-Off: Why Both Matter

High Precision (Good): Means you don't waste time chasing false alarms (like chasing 12 innocent kids).

High Recall (Good): Means you don't let any dangerous aliens sneak by undetected (like the 2 that were missed).

If your goal is to make absolutely sure you find every single alien (high recall), you might have to accept a lot of false alarms (lower precision). This is similar to how a broad Regex finds all possible matches but includes a lot of junk.

In log forensics, your choice of tool and technique directly impacts this balance:

Goal	Technique (Example)	Metric Impact	Forensic Risk
High Recall	Use a <b>broad Regex</b> (e.g., matching any 13–16 digit number).	Finds almost everything (\$\uparrow\$ Recall) but includes lots of junk (\$\downarrow\$ Precision).	<b>Risk:</b> Investigator overwhelmed by irrelevant data.
High Precision	Use a <b>highly specific</b> , <b>verified Regex</b> (e.g., credit card number <i>plus</i> the Luhn check).	Only keeps high-confidence hits (\$\uparrow\$ Precision) but might miss a few unusual/malformed items (\$\downarrow\$ Recall).	<b>Risk:</b> Missing a unique, non-standard piece of evidence.

By calculating both Precision and Recall (and the balanced **F1-score**), you get a full picture of your log analysis pipeline's strengths and weaknesses.

RecallvsPrecision.md 2025-10-05

# 5. F1-Score: Finding the Balance (The True Test)

The **F1-score** is a single number that helps you determine if your system is *both* **precise** (not crying "alien!" at humans) *and* **complete** (not missing any actual aliens).

It is the **harmonic mean** of Precision and Recall. Using the harmonic mean gives more weight to the lower of the two scores. This means a system must perform well on *both* metrics to achieve a high F1-score.

#### The Formula

 $$\text{F1-score} = 2 \cdot \frac{F1-score} = 2 \cdot \frac{F1-scor$ 

The Story: Judging the Alien Detector

Recall our results from the playground:

Precision: \$0.40\$ (or \$40%\$)Recall: \$0.80\$ (or \$80%\$)

Now, let's calculate the F1-score for the Alien Detector:

 $\text{$\cot\{F1-score\}} = 2 \cdot \text{$0.40 \cdot 0.80} = 2 \cdot \text{$1.20} \cdot \text{$0.533}$ 

The F1-score is \$\mathbf{0.533}\$ (or about \$\mathbf{53.3%}\$).

What the F1-Score Means

Imagine two different Alien Detectors:

Detector	Precision	Recall	F1- score	The Problem
Current App	<b>0.40</b> (Low)	<b>0.80</b> (High)	0.53	It finds most aliens, but creates too many false alarms (low confidence).
"Perfect" App	1.00	1.00	1.00	The ideal; catches all aliens with zero false alarms.
"Over- Cautious" App	<b>1.00</b> (Perfect)	<b>0.20</b> (Very Low)	0.33	It only flags one person it's \$100%\$ sure about. Very trustworthy, but misses 80% of the threat.

The **F1-score** punishes the "Over-Cautious" App. Even though its Precision is perfect (\$1.0\$), its F1-score is dragged down by its poor Recall (\$0.20\$).

In your forensics lab, the F1-score is the best single metric to compare your two pipelines (Regex vs. GPT). It will show which approach—the highly precise, but potentially low-recall Regex, or the high-recall, but potentially low-precision GPT—offers the most balanced performance for evidence extraction. A higher F1-score indicates a more forensically robust extraction process.