Version 2.0 March 2013

© 2007-2013 Cadence Design Systems, Inc. All rights reserved worldwide. Portions of this material are © Si2, Inc. All rights reserved. Reprinted with permission. Printed in the United States of America.

Cadence Design Systems, Inc. (Cadence), 2655 Seely Ave., San Jose, CA 95134, USA.

Open SystemC, Open SystemC Initiative, OSCI, SystemC, and SystemC Initiative are trademarks or registered trademarks of Open SystemC Initiative, Inc. in the United States and other countries and are used with permission.

Trademarks: Trademarks and service marks of Cadence Design Systems, Inc. contained in this document are attributed to Cadence with the appropriate symbol. For queries regarding Cadence's trademarks, contact the corporate legal department at the address shown above or call 800.862.4522. All other trademarks are the property of their respective holders.

Restricted Permission: This publication is protected by copyright law and international treaties and contains trade secrets and proprietary information owned by Cadence. Unauthorized reproduction or distribution of this publication, or any portion of it, may result in civil and criminal penalties. Except as specified in this permission statement, this publication may not be copied, reproduced, modified, published, uploaded, posted, transmitted, or distributed in any way, without prior written permission from Cadence. Unless otherwise agreed to by Cadence in writing, this statement grants Cadence customers permission to print one (1) hard copy of this publication subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The publication may be used only in accordance with a written agreement between Cadence and its customer.
- 2. The publication may not be modified in any way.
- 3. Any authorized copy of the publication or portion thereof must include all original copyright, trademark, and other proprietary notices and this permission statement.
- 4. The information contained in this document cannot be used in the development of like products or software, whether for internal or external use, and shall not be used for the benefit of any other party, whether or not for consideration.

Disclaimer: Information in this publication is subject to change without notice and does not represent a commitment on the part of Cadence. Except as may be explicitly set forth in such agreement, Cadence does not make, and expressly disclaims, any representations or warranties as to the completeness, accuracy or usefulness of the information contained in this document. Cadence does not warrant that use of such information will not infringe any third party rights, nor does Cadence assume any liability for damages or costs of any kind that may result from use of such information.

Restricted Rights: Use, duplication, or disclosure by the Government is subject to restrictions as set forth in FAR52.227-14 and DFAR252.227-7013 et seq. or its successor

Contents

<u>1</u>	
What's Changed from CPF 1.1 to CPF 2.0	5
New Features	6
New Concepts	6
Power Domain Changes	
Hiearchical Flow Improvements	
Isolation Enhancements	
Level Shifter Enhancements	14
State Retention Enhancements	
Power Mode and Power Mode Control Group Changes	
Pad and Regulator Modeling Changes	
Simulator-Related Changes	
Other Improvements	
Command Change Summary	
Renamed Command Options	26
New Commands	
New Command Options	26
Other Syntax Changes	
Semantics Changes	29
<u>2</u>	
What's Changed from CPF 1.0 to CPF 1.1	31
New Features	
Introduced Concept of Base and Derived Power Domains	
Introduced Concept of Secondary Power Domain	
Improved Hiearchical Flow	
<u>Isolation Enhancements</u>	
Level Shifter Enhancements	
State Retention Enhancements	
Power Switch Enhancements	
Power Mode Enhancements	30

Improved Support for DVFS Designs4	Ю
Other Improvements	ŀ1
Command Change Summary 4	ŀ3
Obsoleted Command Options 4	ŀ3
Renamed Command Options 4	ŀ5
Changed Command Option Value 4	ŀ5
New Commands4	ŀ6
New Command Options	ŀ6
Syntax Changes	18

Version 2.0

1

What's Changed from CPF 1.1 to CPF 2.0

- New Features on page 6
 - □ New Concepts on page 6
 - Power Domain Changes on page 8
 - □ Hiearchical Flow Improvements on page 9
 - □ <u>Isolation Enhancements</u> on page 11
 - □ Level Shifter Enhancements on page 14
 - □ State Retention Enhancements on page 18
 - Power Mode and Power Mode Control Group Changes on page 19
 - Pad and Regulator Modeling Changes on page 20
 - □ Simulator-Related Changes on page 22
 - □ Other Improvements on page 23
- Command Change Summary on page 26
 - □ Renamed Command Options on page 26
 - □ New Commands on page 26
 - □ New Command Options on page 26
 - □ Other Syntax Changes on page 28
 - □ Semantics Changes on page 29

What's Changed from CPF 1.1 to CPF 2.0

New Features

New Concepts

- Introduced Concept of Power Source Domain on page 6
- Introduced the Concept of Global Cell on page 6
- Introduced the Concept of Generic Mode on page 6
- Introduced Concept of Power Design on page 7
- Introduced Concept of Input and output Domains for Level Shifter Cells on page 7
- Introduced Concept of Domain Crossing on page 7

Introduced Concept of Power Source Domain

Introduced the concept of a <u>power source domain</u>, that is a power domain that contains the output supply of a voltage regulator.

Introduced the Concept of Global Cell

The <u>global cell</u> replaces the always-on cell. Like the always-on cell, the global cell is a special cell that has secondary power or ground pins in addition to the primary power and ground pins (followpins). In some cell designs, when the primary power or ground are switched off, the cell function can be different from the normal function when the primary power and ground are on. Also, in some cases, the cell can also have isolation logic built in at the cell input pins.

To support this new cell, the <u>define global cell</u> command was added.

Introduced the Concept of Generic Mode

Introduced the concept of a generic mode (also referred to as mode), which is a static state of a design that performs one or more intended design functions. Typically, it is determined by the states of memory elements, states of power domains, and signal values.

To create a generic mode that applies to a subset of domains, the <u>create mode</u> command was added.

What's Changed from CPF 1.1 to CPF 2.0

Introduced Concept of Power Design

Introduced the concept of a <u>power design</u>, that is a unique power structure that can be associated with either a top design, or with one or more logic modules.

Introduced Concept of Input and output Domains for Level Shifter Cells

Inputs and output domains are the domains whose primary power and ground nets are connected to the input and output supply of the level shifter cells.

Introduced Concept of Domain Crossing

A domain crossing is a connection between one driver and one load in two different power domains. This concept replaces the net segment terminology which was not as precise and implied that multiple isolation cells could be inserted on the same crossing. Using the concept of domain crossing, clearly indicates that insertion of isolation cells and level shifters occurs on a full crossing basis.

What's Changed from CPF 1.1 to CPF 2.0

Power Domain Changes

- Added Support for Assigning Leaf-level Library Cell instances to Power Domains on page 8
- Made Instance and Boundary Port Specification in Domain Specification More Flexible on page 8
- Added Flexibility to Update the Members of a Power Domain on page 8

Added Support for Assigning Leaf-level Library Cell instances to Power Domains

In CPF 1.1, you could only specify instances of hierarchical modules and macro cells for the -instances option of the <u>create power domain</u> command. In CPF 2.0, the semantics have been expanded to allow instances of any library cells.

Made Instance and Boundary Port Specification in Domain Specification More Flexible

To support this feature, the -exclude_instances and -exclude_ports options were added to the <u>create power domain</u> command.

Added Flexibility to Update the Members of a Power Domain

The -instances and -boundary_ports options were added to the update_power_domain to allow appending newly-created instances and ports to the member list of a previously created power domain.

What's Changed from CPF 1.1 to CPF 2.0

Hiearchical Flow Improvements

- Added Support for Analog Ports in Macro Models on page 9
- Added Support for Specification of Macro Cell Ports Connected to Bonding Ports on page 9
- Added Support for Specification of Macro Cell Ports Connected to Diode Cells on page 9
- Added Capability to Create a Single Macro Model that can Apply to Multiple Cells on page 9
- Changed Semantics for set design on page 10
- Added Support to Link Multiple HDL Modules to a Power Design on page 10
- Appending Power Intent to an Existing Design on page 10
- Expanded Semantics for Virtual Ports on page 10
- Clarified Semantics for Instance Port Mapping on page 10

Added Support for Analog Ports in Macro Models

The <u>set analog ports</u> command allows you to specify a list of analog signal pins that must also be connected to pins declared as analog in other macro models or in pad cells.

Added Support for Specification of Macro Cell Ports Connected to Bonding Ports

To specify a set of macro cell ports that can directly connect to a bonding port at the chip level, the <u>set_pad_ports</u> command was added. No low power logic (such as level shifters and isolation cells) can be inserted between the top-level bonding ports and the pad ports of a macro.

Added Support for Specification of Macro Cell Ports Connected to Diode Cells

To specify a list of pins of a macro cell that connect to the positive and negative pins of a diode, the <u>set diode ports</u> command was added.

Added Capability to Create a Single Macro Model that can Apply to Multiple Cells

To formally allow a single macro model to apply to more than one cell, the -cells option was added to the set macro model command. For example, memory cells with the same architecture but different bits can use the same macro cell definition.

What's Changed from CPF 1.1 to CPF 2.0

This option also facilitates better error checking in cases where the wrong macro model was applied to an instance of a cell.

Changed Semantics for set_design

The semantics of the <u>set_design</u> command have been changed:

- CPF1.1 specified the power intent for one module.
- CPF2.0 specifies an entity, referred to as a <u>power design</u>, that defines power intent. A power design may be applied to any of the following:
 - a top design
 - instantiations of any module
 - instantiations of one or more explicitly specified modules

Added Support to Link Multiple HDL Modules to a Power Design

The -modules option was added to the <u>set design</u> command to associate multiple HDL modules to a power design.

Appending Power Intent to an Existing Design

- CPF1.1 appends power intent to an existing design using additional set_design end_design pairs
- CPF2.0 appends power intent to an existing power design using the new update_design command paired with end_design

Expanded Semantics for Virtual Ports

In CPF 1.1, the -ports option of the set design command specified input ports only.

In CPF 2.0, new options explicitly specify -input_ports, -output_ports, and -inout_ports.

Note: The existing -ports option still exists for backward compatibility.

Clarified Semantics for Instance Port Mapping

The semantics of the <code>-port_mapping</code> option of the <code>set_instance</code> command have been clarified to include the specification of real ports (such as macro model pins) in addition to virtual ports.

What's Changed from CPF 1.1 to CPF 2.0

Isolation Enhancements

- Added Support for Isolation Cell That Can be Placed in Power and Ground Shutoff Domain on page 11
- Added Support for Isolation Cell That Can Be Placed in Any Domain on page 11
- Added Support for Clamp-Type Isolation Cell on page 12
- Added Support for Isolation Cell with Synchronous Enable Control on page 12
- Added Support to Enable Multi-bit Isolation Cells on page 12
- Changed Semantics of Location in Rules on page 12
- Added Capability to Specify A Model for Special Isolation Logic on page 12
- Changed Semantics of -within hierarchy in Rules on page 13
- Added Support for Isolation Logic That Can Be Placed in Parent Hierarchy on page 13
- Added Capability to Force the Insertion of Isolation Logic on page 13
- Added Capability to Specify a Name Suffix on page 13

Added Support for Isolation Cell That Can be Placed in Power and Ground Shutoff Domain

To support these type of isolation cells, the <u>define isolation cell</u> command can be specified with both the -power_switchable and -ground_switchable options.

Added Support for Isolation Cell That Can Be Placed in Any Domain

Isolation cells without power and ground pins that connect through abutment of the cells (followpins), that is, with only a secondary power and ground pin can be placed in any domain.

To support this type of isolation cell, the following changes were made to the define isolation cell command:

- Added the any value for the -valid_location option
- The command can be specified without the -power_switchable and -ground_switchable options

Implementation tools must connect the secondary power and ground pin of this isolation cell to the supplies of the secondary domain of the rule. For more information, see <u>Secondary Power Domain of Isolation Instances</u>. Implementation tools must also ensure that placement of these cells does not break the follow pin connection of the other cells that are placed in the same standard cell row.

What's Changed from CPF 1.1 to CPF 2.0

Added Support for Clamp-Type Isolation Cell

To support clamp-type isolation cells, the following changes were made:

- Added the -clamp option to the define isolation cell command.
- Added the clamp_high and clamp_low values for the -isolation_output option of the <u>create isolation rule</u> command.

Added Support for Isolation Cell with Synchronous Enable Control

To support isolation cells with synchronous enable control, the following changes were made:

- Added the -aux_enables option to the <u>define isolation cell</u> command.
- Added the -isolation_control option to the <u>create isolation rule</u> command.

Added Support to Enable Multi-bit Isolation Cells

Added the -pin_groups option to the <u>define isolation cell</u> command.

Changed Semantics of Location in Rules

Previously, when you specified the -location and -cells options together in the update_isolation_rules command, the -cells option took precedence—the location of the cells was determined by the -valid_location specification in the define isolation cell command.

In CPF 2.0, if you specify the -location and -cells options together in the update_isolation_rules command, the value of the -location option must match the value specified for the -valid_location in the define_isolation_cell command. Otherwise an error will be given.

Added Capability to Specify A Model for Special Isolation Logic

Added the <code>-use_model</code> to the <code>update_isolation_rules</code> command to indicate that a simulation tool must use the functional model of the first cell specified in the <code>-cells</code> option. The <code>-pin_mapping</code> option was added to specify the connection between the pins of the functional model and the pins or ports in the design. If a macro model exists for the functional model, the <code>-domain_mapping</code> option can be used to specify the mapping between the domains in the macro model and the domains in the design.

What's Changed from CPF 1.1 to CPF 2.0

Changed Semantics of -within_hierarchy in Rules

Previously, when you specified the <code>-within_hierarchy</code> option in the <code>update isolation rules</code> command, the power domain of the specified instance had to match the power domain of the default location or the location specified with the <code>-location</code> option.

In CPF 2.0, the power domain of the specified instance no longer needs to match the power domain specified by the -location option. The power domain of the instance specified with the -within_hierarchy option takes precedence.

This change allows you to insert the isolation logic in a power domain that is neither the from nor the to power domain. This may be needed when the physical constraints require the placement of the isolation in a separate domain.

Also, if you specify the <code>-within_hierarchy</code> and <code>-cells</code> options together, the <code>-valid_location</code> of the cells specified in the <code>define_isolation_cell</code> command must be compatible with the power domain of the hierarchical instance specified with the <code>-within_hierarchy</code> option in the <code>update_isolation_rules</code>. Otherwise an error will be given.

Added Support for Isolation Logic That Can Be Placed in Parent Hierarchy

To support the placement of isolation logic in the logic hierarchy of the parent instance of the nets selected using the <code>-from</code>, <code>-to</code>, and <code>-pins</code> options in the <code>create_isolation_rule</code> command, the <code>parent</code> value can now be specified for the <code>-location</code> option of the <code>update_isolation_rules</code> command.

Added Capability to Force the Insertion of Isolation Logic

To support forced insertion, the -force option was added to the <u>create isolation rule</u> command. You must specify the -force option with a -pins option. If you specify a -to or -from option with the -force option, they will be ignored.

If you use the -force option to create the isolation rule, the isolation logic can only be inserted in the default location or in the parent hierarchy (see the -location option of the update isolation rules command).

Added Capability to Specify a Name Suffix

To specify the name suffix to be used when creating the isolation logic, the -suffix option was added to the update isolation rules command.

What's Changed from CPF 1.1 to CPF 2.0

Level Shifter Enhancements

- Added Support for Level Shifter Cell That Can Be Placed in Any Domain on page 14
- Added Support for Bypass Level Shifter on page 14
- Added Support to Specify Lists for Input and Output Voltages in Level Shifter Definition on page 15
- Added Support for Multi-Stage Level Shifters on page 15
- Added Support to Enable Multi-bit Cells on page 15
- Changed Semantics of Location in Rules on page 16
- Expanded Support for Input Voltage Tolerance on page 16
- Changed Semantics of -within hierarchy in Rules on page 16
- Added Support for Level Shifters That Can Be Placed in Parent Hierarchy on page 17
- Added Capability to Force the Insertion of Level Shifter Logic on page 17
- Added Capability to Specify a Name Suffix on page 17

Added Support for Level Shifter Cell That Can Be Placed in Any Domain

Level shifter cells whose input and output pins are specified as non-followpins can be placed in any domain.

To support this type of level shifter cell, the any value was added for the -valid_location option of the <u>define level shifter cell</u> command.

If the valid location of a level shifter cell is any, it can be used in a rule using -within_hierarchy with any hierarchy location.

Added Support for Bypass Level Shifter

To support bypass level shifters, the following changes were made:

- Added the -bypass_enable option to the <u>define level shifter cell</u> command
- Added the -bypass_condition option to the <u>create level shifter rule</u> command.

What's Changed from CPF 1.1 to CPF 2.0

Added Support to Specify Lists for Input and Output Voltages in Level Shifter Definition

In previous releases, you needed to specify either a single voltage or a voltage range for the input (source) and output (destination) supply voltages. However, when specifying ranges, some unintended input and output combination could be implied. Consider the following option combination in the <u>define level shifter cell</u> command:

```
-input_voltage_range 0.8:1.0:0.2 -output_voltage_range 1.0:1.2:0.2
```

This could imply that the level shifter can shift from 0.8 to 1.2, while the level shifter can only shift from either 0.8 to 1.0 or from 1.0 to 1.2.

In CPF 2.0, you can specify lists of voltages and voltage ranges. If you specify a list of voltages or ranges for the input supply voltage, you must also specify a list of voltages or voltage ranges for the output supply voltage. Both lists must be ordered and have the same number of elements. That is, each member in the list of input voltages (or ranges) has a corresponding member in the list of output voltages (or ranges).

Added Support for Multi-Stage Level Shifters

To support multi-stage level shifters, the following changes were made:

- Added -multi_stage option to the <u>define level shifter cell</u> command.
- Added the -through option to the <u>update level shifter rules</u> command which specifies the subsequent domains for the multi-stage level shifting between the first domain (specified with -from) and the last domain (specified with -to).

In addition, the -cells option of the update_level_shifter_rules command can accept a list of lists for multi-stage level shifters.

Added Support to Enable Multi-bit Cells

Added the -pin_groups option to the <u>define level shifter cell</u> command.

What's Changed from CPF 1.1 to CPF 2.0

Changed Semantics of Location in Rules

Previously, when you specified the <code>-location</code> and <code>-cells</code> options together in the <code>update_level_shifter_rules</code> command, the <code>-cells</code> option took precedence—the location of the cells was determined by the <code>-valid_location</code> specification in the <code>define_level_shifter_cell</code> command.

In CPF 2.0, if you specify the -location and -cells options together in the update_level_shifter_rules command, the value of the -location option must match the value specified for the -valid_location in the define_level_shifter_cell command. Otherwise an error will be given.

Expanded Support for Input Voltage Tolerance

In previous versions of CPF, the input voltage tolerance could only be specified for input pins of a macro model.

In CPF 2.0, the concept has been generalized for all input pins. You can also specify a different tolerance for power and ground voltages. You can specify the pins or the domain to which the constraints apply. If the input voltage tolerance is violated, a power or ground level shifter is required for the specified pins. To support these new features the syntax of the set input voltage tolerance command was revised.

Changed Semantics of -within_hierarchy in Rules

Previously, when you specified the -within_hierarchy option in the update_level_shifter_rules command, the power domain of the specified instance had to match the power domain of the default location or the location specified with the -location option.

In CPF 2.0, the power domain of the specified instance no longer needs to match the power domain specified by the -location option. The power domain of the instance specified with the -within_hierarchy option takes precedence.

This change allows you to insert level shifters in a power domain that is neither the from nor the to power domain. This may be needed when the physical constraints require the placement of the level shifter in a separate domain.

Also, if you specify the <code>-within_hierarchy</code> and <code>-cells</code> options together, the <code>-valid_location</code> of the cells specified in the <code>define_level_shifter_cell</code> command must be compatible with the power domain of the hierarchical instance specified with the <code>-within_hierarchy</code> option of the <code>update_level_shifter_rules</code> command. Otherwise an error will be given.

What's Changed from CPF 1.1 to CPF 2.0

Added Support for Level Shifters That Can Be Placed in Parent Hierarchy

To support the placement of level shifters in the logic hierarchy of the parent instance of the nets selected with the <code>-from</code>, <code>-to</code>, and <code>-pins</code> options in the <code>create_level_shifter_rule</code> command, the <code>parent</code> value can now be specified for the <code>-location</code> option of the <code>update_level_shifter_rules</code> command.

Added Capability to Force the Insertion of Level Shifter Logic

To support forced insertion, the -force option was added to the create level shifter rule command. You must specify the -force option with a -pins option. If you specify a -to or -from option with the -force option, they will be ignored.

If you use the -force option to create the level shifter rule, the level shifters can only be inserted in the default location or in the parent hierarchy (see the -location option of the update level shifter rules command).

Added Capability to Specify Input and Output Domains for the Level Shifter Cells

To specify the input and output domains for a level shifter the -input_domain and -output_domain options were added to the create-level-shifter-rule command.

Added Capability to Specify a Name Suffix

To specify the name suffix to be used when creating the level shifters, the -suffix option was added to the <u>update_level_shifter_rules</u> command.

What's Changed from CPF 1.1 to CPF 2.0

State Retention Enhancements

- Added Capability to Specify A Model for Special State Retention Logic on page 18
- Added Support for Retention Check and Precondition on page 18
- Added Capability to Preserve Output While Power is Off on page 18
- Added Capability to Require the Implementation of Retention Logic on page 18

Added Capability to Specify A Model for Special State Retention Logic

Added the <code>-use_model</code> to the <code>update_state_retention_rules</code> command to indicate that a simulation tool must use the functional model of the first cell specified in the <code>-cells</code> option. The <code>-pin_mapping</code> option was added to specify the connection between the pins of the functional model and the pins or ports in the design. If a macro model exists for the functional model, the <code>-domain_mapping</code> option can be used to specify the mapping between the domains in the macro model and the domains in the design.

Added Support for Retention Check and Precondition

In CPF 2.0, a -retention_precondition option was added to the create_state_retention_rule command and a -retention_check option to the define state retention cell command.

Added Capability to Preserve Output While Power is Off

To prevent the corruption of the output of the state retention logic when the primary power is off, the -use_secondary_for_output option was added to the create_state_retention_rule command

Added Capability to Require the Implementation of Retention Logic

To require the implementation of retention logic, the -required option was added to the create state retention rule command.

What's Changed from CPF 1.1 to CPF 2.0

Power Mode and Power Mode Control Group Changes

- Power Mode Control Group Semantic Change on page 19
- Added Capability to Specify Illegal Power Mode Transitions on page 19
- Added Capability to Associate Assertions with Power Mode Transitions on page 19
- Added Capability to Specify Condition for Power Mode on page 19

Power Mode Control Group Semantic Change

When compound power modes are specified, a design can be in more than one mode at a time. This can cause problems during verification when deterministic domain states are expected.

By allowing a power domain to be specified in multiple power mode control groups, this problem can be solved.

Note: In CPF 1.1, a power domain could only belong to one power mode control group.

Added Capability to Specify Illegal Power Mode Transitions

To support this feature, the -illegal option was added to the <u>create mode transition</u> command.

Added Capability to Associate Assertions with Power Mode Transitions

To support this feature, the -assertions option was added to the create_mode_transition command.

Added Capability to Specify Condition for Power Mode

In CPF 2.0, the -condition option was added to the <u>create power mode</u> command to indicate when the design is in the specified mode.

This option is only interpreted by the simulation and verification tools.

What's Changed from CPF 1.1 to CPF 2.0

Pad and Regulator Modeling Changes

- Simplified Pad Modeling on page 20
- Simplified Pad Instantiation on page 20
- Improved Capability to Model an On-Chip Voltage Regulator on page 21

Simplified Pad Modeling

Until now, you could only use a CPF macro model to model the IO pads. This method can be tedious and error-prone.

The <u>define pad cell</u> command allows you to create a model for simple pad cells. This command allows you to identify

- Pins that will be directly or indirectly connected to the board
- Pins which connect to the core and have internal isolation logic and their corresponding isolation control signal
- Groups of pins that belong to the same power domain
- Analog signal pins that connect to other analog pins

This model is not sufficient when your pad cell contains any of the following:

- Internal power switch and/ or state retention
- Complex internal isolation control
- Internal feed- through nets for data signals

In this case, you need to use a CPF macro model for the pad cell.

Simplified Pad Instantiation

The <u>create pad rule</u> command allows you to specify the mapping to a top-level domain of either

- A pin group in the pad cell definition
- A power domain in the macro model definition

What's Changed from CPF 1.1 to CPF 2.0

Improved Capability to Model an On-Chip Voltage Regulator

To model a voltage regulator the following features were added:

- Introduced the concept of a *power source domain*, that is a power domain that contains the output supply of the voltage regulator.
- Added the -power_source option to the <u>create power domain</u> to identify a power source domain.
- Added the <u>set power source reference pin</u> command to identify an input pin of a macro model as the voltage reference of the voltage regulator.
- Allowed specification of voltage ranges in the <u>create nominal condition</u> command.

What's Changed from CPF 1.1 to CPF 2.0

Simulator-Related Changes

- Added Capability to Specify Simulator Action when Power Domain is Switched off or Restored on page 22
- Added Capability to Specify a CPF Model for a Testbench on page 22
- Added Control over Signal Values in a Power Off Domain on page 22

Added Capability to Specify Simulator Action when Power Domain is Switched off or Restored

To allow users to specify the immediate action to be taken when the power is switched off in power domains or restored to power domains, the <u>set sim control</u> command was added.

For example, initial statements are non-synthesizable code used in simulation to create proper startup conditions at time zero of the simulation. Previous CPF versions had no provision to specify the action to be taken when the power is restored to a switchable power domain. This time is very similar to initialization of the simulation at time zero.

Added Capability to Specify a CPF Model for a Testbench

To support this feature, the -testbench option was added to the <u>set design</u> command.

Added Control over Signal Values in a Power Off Domain

To control the signal values of elements in a power domain when this domain is being switched off, the <code>-power_down_states</code> option was added to the <code>create_power_domain</code> command.

What's Changed from CPF 1.1 to CPF 2.0

Other Improvements

- Added Capability to Specify Inverted Polarity for Equivalent Control Pins on page 23
- Added Capability to Specify Libraries Specific to Power Analysis on page 23
- Improved Support for Clamp Diodes on page 23
- Improved Support for Open Source Pin on page 24
- Added Support for Deep Nwell and Deep Pwell on page 24
- Added Additional Operators for Boolean Expressions on page 24
- Added Capability to Specify a Global Connection using Ports or Liberty PG Type on page 24
- Expanded Definition of Library Set for Operating Corners on page 24
- Added Capability to Specify a Default Analysis View on page 25
- Added Capability to Find Design Objects within a Specified Scope on page 25

Added Capability to Specify Inverted Polarity for Equivalent Control Pins

By allowing specification of equivalent pins with inverted polarity in the set equivalent control pins command, insertion of special low power logic during synthesis can sometimes be simplified by using a control signal with the opposite polarity.

For example, with previous versions of CPF, when a limited number of isolation cells is available in the library, a combination of some conditions in the isolation rules might require the addition of an inverter to the control enable line. By using a control signal with the opposite polarity, the inverter is no longer needed.

Added Capability to Specify Libraries Specific to Power Analysis

To support this feature, the -power_library_set option was added to the create operating corner and update nominal condition commands.

Improved Support for Clamp Diodes

Previous versions of CPF only supported power clamp cells with one data pin.

To improve the support of clamp diodes, the define_power_clamp_cell was superseded by the new define power clamp pins command.

What's Changed from CPF 1.1 to CPF 2.0

This new command supports

- Power, ground, and power and ground clamp cells with one or more data pins
- Complex cells that can have input pins with built-in clamp diodes

Improved Support for Open Source Pin

Added the -type option to the <u>define open source input pin</u> command to specify the type of the transistor connected to the pin within the cell.

Added Support for Deep Nwell and Deep Pwell

Added the -deep_nwell and -deep_pwell options to specify bias nets in the update_power_domain command.

Added the -deep_nwell_voltage and -deep_pwell_voltage options to the create_nominal_condition command.

Added Additional Operators for Boolean Expressions

The following bit-wise and logical operators are now allowed in CPF Boolean expressions: \sim $^{\wedge}$ && $| \ |$

Added Capability to Specify a Global Connection using Ports or Liberty PG Type

The <u>create global connection</u> command allows specification of a global connection between

- the top-level module ports
- an instance's cell pins by matching the pg_type in the corresponding Liberty definitions of the cell pins

Expanded Definition of Library Set for Operating Corners

The <u>create operating corner</u> command now facilitates MMMC analysis and optimization by allowing the specification of more than one library set in the -library_set option.

What's Changed from CPF 1.1 to CPF 2.0

Added Capability to Specify a Default Analysis View

The -default option was added to the <u>create analysis view</u> command to specify the default binding of libraries for different corners to the corresponding power domains.

Added Capability to Find Design Objects within a Specified Scope

To locate and return design objects which can then be used as arguments to other CPF commands, the <u>find design objects</u> command was added.

Command Change Summary

Renamed Command Options

Command	Old Option (1.1)	New Option (2.0)
set_input_voltage_tolerance	-ports	-pins
	-bias [lower:]upper	-power lower[:upper]
		-ground [lower:]upper

New Commands

create_mode
create_pad_rule
define_global_cell
define_pad_cell
define_power_clamp_pins
find_design_objects
set_analog_ports
set_diode_ports
set_pad_ports
set_pad_ports
set_power_source_reference_pin
set_sim_control
update_design

New Command Options

Command	New Option/Argument
create_analysis_view	-default
create_global_connection	-pg_type
	-ports
create_isolation_rule	-force
	-isolation_control

What's Changed from CPF 1.1 to CPF 2.0

Command	New Option/Argument
create_level_shifter_rule	-bypass_condition
	-force
	-input_domain
	-output_domain
create_mode_transition	-assertions
	-illegal
create_nominal_condition	-deep_nwell_voltage
	-deep_pwell_voltage
create_operating_corner	-power_library_set
create_power_domain	-exclude_instances
	-exclude_ports
	-power_down_states
	-power_source
create_power_mode	-condition
create_state_retention_rule	-required
	-retention_precondition
	-use_secondary_for_output
define_isolation_cell	-aux_enables
	-clamp
	-pin_groups
define_level_shifter_cell	-bypass_enable
	-multi_stage
	-pin_groups
define_open_source_input_pin	-type
define_state_retention_cell	-retention_check

What's Changed from CPF 1.1 to CPF 2.0

Command	New Option/Argument
set_design	-inout_ports
	-input_ports
	-modules
	-output_ports
	power_design
	-testbench
set_macro_model	-cells
update_isolation_rules	-domain_mapping
	-pin_mapping
	-suffix
	-use_model
update_level_shifter_rules	-suffix
	-through
update_nominal_condition	-power_library_set
update_power_domain	-boundary_ports
	-deep_nwell_net
	-deep_pwell_net
	-instances
update_state_retention_rules	-domain_mapping
	-pin_mapping
	-use_model

Other Syntax Changes

Command	New Option
create_isolation_rule	-isolation_output option can take clamp_high and clamp_low values
create_operating_corner	allow specification of more than one library set in the -library_set option.

What's Changed from CPF 1.1 to CPF 2.0

Command	New Option
define_isolation_cell	-power_switchable and -ground_switchable options were made optional
	allow specification of combination of -power_switchable and -ground_switchable options
	-valid_location can take any value
define_level_shifter_cell	-valid_location can take any value
	-input_voltage_range and -output_voltage_range allow specification of list of voltages and voltage ranges
	-input_ground_pin, -input_power_pin, -output_ground_pin, -output_power_pin, -ground and -power options were made optional
update_isolation_rules	-location option can take parent value
update_level_shifter_rules	-location option can take parent value

Semantics Changes

Command	New Option
create_power_domain	-instances allows instances of any library cells
set_equivalent_control_pins	allow specification of equivalent pins with inverted polarity
set_instance	-design now takes a power design name specified by set_design.
set_macro_model	macro model definitions are now scope insensitive. first definition is used.
update_level_shifter_rules	-cells can take a list of lists for multi-stage level shifters

What's Changed from CPF 1.1 to CPF 2.0

What's Changed from CPF 1.0 to CPF 1.1

- New Features on page 32
 - □ <u>Introduced Concept of Secondary Power Domain</u> on page 32
 - □ <u>Improved Hiearchical Flow</u> on page 33
 - □ <u>Isolation Enhancements</u> on page 36
 - □ Level Shifter Enhancements on page 38
 - State Retention Enhancements on page 38
 - Power Switch Enhancements on page 39
 - □ Power Mode Enhancements on page 39
 - ☐ Improved Support for DVFS Designs on page 40
 - □ Other Improvements on page 41
- Command Change Summary on page 43
 - Obsoleted Command Options on page 43
 - □ Renamed Command Options on page 45
 - □ New Commands on page 46
 - □ New Command Options on page 46
 - □ Syntax Changes on page 48

What's Changed from CPF 1.0 to CPF 1.1

New Features

Introduced Concept of Base and Derived Power Domains

CPF 1.1 introduces the concepts of base and derived power domains.

- A power domain whose primary power supply provides power to another power domain through some power switch network is called a *base* domain.
- A power domain that derives its power from another power domain through some power switch network is called the *derived* domain.

To support this concept the -base_domains option to the <u>create power domain</u> command. This enables specification and simulation of domain dependencies.

Introduced Concept of Secondary Power Domain

CPF 1.0 only had the concept of a power domain which was defined as collection of instances that use the same power supply during normal operation and that can be switched on or off at the same time.

However, physical cells with multiple power pins were hard to model. Therefore the concept of secondary power domain was introduced.

A power domain X is a **secondary** power domain of a special low power instance if the primary power and ground nets of the domain X provide the power supply to the secondary power and (or) ground pins of the instance.

The secondary domain can be used to

- Enable the simulation of always-on cell
- Enable the simulation of deep sleep mode of retention cell
- Enable the physical implementation of always-on, retention logic and power switches

To support this concept the following changes were made:

- Added -secondary_domain option to the <u>create isolation rule</u> and the <u>create state retention rule</u> commands.
- Added identify secondary domain command.

What's Changed from CPF 1.0 to CPF 1.1

Improved Hiearchical Flow

To improve the hierarchical flow, the following concepts were introduced:

- Introduced Concept of Domain Mapping
- Introduced Concept of Power Mode Control Group
- Introduced Concept of Macro Model

Other improvements include

- Improved IP Support
- Defined Precedence for Rules
- Enhanced CPF Readability

Introduced Concept of Domain Mapping

CPF1.0 did not support mapping a block-level power domain into a higher-level power domain.

For example, when creating a hierarchical design, the domains of an IP are created without knowledge of final use. By introducing the concept of domain mapping, mapped power domains are treated as a single power domain.

Domain mapping can be used to

- Merge a block-level power domain into a top-level power domain in a hierarchical flow
- Reconfigure block level power domains at the top level

Mapping power domains causes some complications such as

- How to handle rules defined for the block-level and top-level power domains.
- How to merge power modes

To support this concept the following changes were made:

- Added -domain_mapping option to the set_instance command.
- Added -honor_boundary_port_domain option to the <u>set_design</u> command.

For more information on domain mapping, refer to Power Domain Mapping Concepts.

What's Changed from CPF 1.0 to CPF 1.1

Introduced Concept of Power Mode Control Group

CPF 1.0 only supported top-level power mode definitions. However, in a hierarchical flow, a block can have its own power mode definitions. To describe how the power modes at the top-level are related to the power modes of a block, the concept of power mode control group was introduced.

A power mode control group is a set of power domains with an associated set of power modes and mode transitions that apply to this group only. A power mode group can contain other power mode groups.

To support power mode control groups, the following changes were added:

- Added the <u>set_power_mode_control_group</u> command.
- Added the <u>end power mode control group</u> command.
- Added the -group_modes option to the <u>create power mode</u> command.
- Added the -group_views option in the <u>create_analysis_view</u> command.

For more information, refer to <a>Power Mode Control Groups.

Introduced Concept of Macro Model

Proper modeling of macro cells (such as a RAM) with complex power network is important because it serves as a specification for the

- Implementation tool to properly hook up the power and ground pins of the IP at the top level
- Verification tool to check for consistency between the implementation and the constraints specified in the CPF.
- Simulation tool to verify the behavior

Because the macro cell has only a behavioral model to describe its functionality, implementation and verification tools have to rely on the CPF modeling of the internal power network using the boundary ports.

To support modeling of macro cells, the concept of macro model was introduced.

To support this concept the following changes were made:

- Added set macro model command
- Added end macro model command

What's Changed from CPF 1.0 to CPF 1.1

- Added set_floating_ports command
- Added <u>set input voltage tolerance</u> command
- Added set wire feedthrough ports command

For more information, refer to Modeling a Macro Cell.

Improved IP Support

To improve support of IP's, the following changes were made:

- Added support for parameterized IP by adding the -paramater_mapping option to the set_design command.
- Added support for specifying isolation constraints at the inputs of an IP by making the -isolation_condition option in the <u>create isolation rule</u> command optional.
- The <u>define related power pins</u> command was added to specify the relationship between the power and data pins for IPs that have more than one set of power / ground pins.

Defined Precedence for Rules

In a hierarchical flow, block-level and top-level domains each can have rules defined with them. When you map power domains, the associated rules must be handled properly.

To handle the rule precedence, two categories of CPF rules are considered:

- **Specific** rules *explicitly* specify the targeted design objects.
- **Generic** rules do not explicitly specify the targeted design objects, but the targeted design objects can be *derived* from some option specified with the rule.

The following general rules of precedence apply:

- 1. Specific rules have a higher priority than generic rules.
- 2. Generic rules specified with both the -from and -to options have a higher priority than those generic rules specified with only -from or -to option.
- 3. If multiple CPF rules with the same priority are applied to the same design objects, the last rule wins.

What's Changed from CPF 1.0 to CPF 1.1

In addition, for a hierarchical flow, the following rules of precedence apply:

The following policies should be followed by all tools when a block-level CPF is integrated into the top-level CPF using the set_instance command:

- 1. Block-level rules always overwrite the top-level rules if both rules apply to the same objects at the block-level after domain mapping.
- 2. Top-level rules are only applied to block-level objects if the block-level objects have no rule specified and the block is not a macro model.
 - In this case, the tools should issue a warning to inform users that top-level CPF rules are applied to the block.
- 3. Block-level rules are never propagated to the top level.
- 4. For more information on the precedence of rules, refer to the <u>Rules</u> chapter in the *Common Power Format Language Reference*.

Enhanced CPF Readability

To improve the readability of the CPF files, the following enhancements were made:

- The end_design statement can now contain the name of the module used with the corresponding set_design command.
- The end_macro_model statement can now contain the name of the macro cell used with the corresponding set_macro_model command.

Isolation Enhancements

The following enhancements were made:

- Added support for isolation cell without enable pin by adding -no_enable option to the define isolation cell command and the -no_condition option to the create isolation rule command.
 - IP blocks can have special requirements for input ports. For example, when the signals driving these input ports are switched off, the signals must be held at specific values. For these signals, no isolation condition can be specified because the IP developer has no knowledge of how the IP will be used.
- Added support for a default isolation rule for a power domain by adding the -default_isolation_condition option to the <u>create power domain</u> command.

- Added support for tristate isolation output by adding the tristate value to the -isolation_output option of the <u>create isolation rule</u> command.
- Added support for instantiating the isolation logic in any logic hierarchy within a power domain by adding the -within_hierarchy option to the update isolation rules command.
- Added support for isolation cells that must be put in the on or off domain by adding the on and off values for the -valid_location option to the define isolation cell command.

What's Changed from CPF 1.0 to CPF 1.1

Level Shifter Enhancements

The following enhancements were made:

- Added support for instantiating the level shifters in any logic hierarchy within a power domain by adding the -within_hierarchy option to the update level shifter rules command.
- Improved support for level-shifter cells with an enable pin by adding the -enable option to the <u>define level shifter cell</u> command.
- Added support for ground level shifter by adding the -ground_input_voltage_range, -ground_output_voltage_range, -input_ground_pin and -output_ground_pin options to the define level shifter cell command.
- Added support for level shifter cells that can be put in the driving or receiving domain by adding the either value for the -valid_location option to the define level shifter cell command.

State Retention Enhancements

The following enhancements were made:

- Added support for latches by adding the -target_type to the create state retention rule command.
- Added support for level-sensitive save and restore control by adding the -restore_level and -save_level options to the create state retention rule command.
- Added support to more accurately match the implied RTL behavior with gate-level models by adding the -restore_precondition, -save_precondition, and -secondary_domain options to the <u>create state retention rule</u> command.
- Added support to specify a list of instances that must be excluded from replacement with state retention elements by adding the -exclude option to the <u>create state retention rule</u> command.
- Added support for master-slave type state retention cells which have neither a save nor restore pin. For more information, refer to <u>Handling Master-Slave Type Retention Cells</u>.

What's Changed from CPF 1.0 to CPF 1.1

Power Switch Enhancements

The following enhancements were made:

Added capability to specify the resistance of the power switch per stage.

To support this feature, the <code>-on_resistance</code> option of the <code>define power switch cell</code> command was replaced with the <code>-stage_x_on_resistance</code> options, where <code>x</code> corresponds to the stage.

Added capability to specify an acknowledge receiver condition for each stage of the power switch cells.

To support this feature, the <code>-acknowledge_receiver</code> option of the <code>update_power_switch_rule</code> command was replaced with the <code>-acknowledge_receiver_x</code> options, where <code>x</code> corresponds to the stage.

Power Mode Enhancements

CPF 1.0 only covered either the on or off state of a power domain. However, there is a need to also be able to specify when a power domain is in standby mode and to support forward bias and reverse bias.

To support specification of the state of a power domain, the -state option with values on, off, and standby option was added to the <u>create nominal condition</u> command.

To improve the functional modeling of the biased state, the <code>-ground_voltage</code> option was added to the <code>create</code> nominal condition command.

To improve the implementation of designs using body bias, the <code>-nmos_bias_voltage</code> and <code>-pmos_bias_voltage</code> options were added to the <code>create_operating_corner</code> command.

To describe forward body biasing, you must specify <code>-pmos_bias_voltage</code> with a value smaller than the value of <code>-voltage</code> and <code>-nmos_bias_voltage</code> with a value greater than the value of <code>-ground_voltage</code>. Forward biasing can be used to improve performance in the on state.

To describe reverse body biasing, you must specify <code>-pmos_bias_voltage</code> with a value larger than the value of <code>-voltage</code> and <code>-nmos_bias_voltage</code> with a value smaller than the value of <code>-ground_voltage</code>. Reverse body biasing can be used to achieve the standby state.

To describe reverse source biasing, you must specify the voltage values for the power supply (-voltage) and ground supply (-ground_voltage) that cause the logic to be in the on or standby state.

What's Changed from CPF 1.0 to CPF 1.1

Improved Support for DVFS Designs

- Added Capability to Specify Control Conditions for Different States of a Domain
- Added Support for Domain Specific Transition Time

Added Capability to Specify Control Conditions for Different States of a Domain

To define active state and conditions for each power domain, the -active_state_conditions option was added to the <u>create power domain</u> command.

Added Support for Domain Specific Transition Time

In CPF 1.0 mode transition times applied to all power domains involved in the same mode transition. The transition time could be specified in

- absolute time—using the -latency option of the create_mode_transition command
- clock cycles—using the using the -cycles option of the create_mode_transition command

In the CPF 1.0 extended version you can now specify a minimum and maximum number for the -latency and the -cycles option of the <u>create mode transition</u> command.

Starting with the CPF 1.0 extended version mode transition times can be specified per power domain. To specify the required time for a domain for a specific state transition, the following options were added to the update power domain command:

- -transition_latency {from_nom latency_list}
 - Specifies the nominal condition of the starting power state, followed by a list of transition times to complete a transition to the next power state.
- -transition_cycles {from_nom cycle_list clock_pin}
 - Specifies the nominal condition of the starting power state, followed by a list of transition cycles to complete the transition to the next power state, followed by the clock pin.
- -transition_slope

Specifies the transition rate(s) for the supply voltage of the domain during any state transition of the domain.

The specified time can be used by simulation to simulate the domain transition time or used by physical implementation tool as constraint when designing the power distribution network

What's Changed from CPF 1.0 to CPF 1.1

Other Improvements

- Added Capability to Identify Illegal Power Modes
- Added Capability to Associate User Attributes with An Analysis View
- Added Control over Assertion Evaluation
- Added Support for Equivalent Control Pins
- Equivalent Power and Ground Nets
- Refined Specification of SDC Files
- Change in Hierarchy Delimiter
- Change in Object Names

Added Capability to Identify Illegal Power Modes

To assert that a particular configuration of domain conditions and power mode control group conditions is illegal, the <u>assert illegal domain configurations</u> command was added.

Added Capability to Associate User Attributes with An Analysis View

To support this feature, the -user_attributes option was added to the create analysis view command.

Added Control over Assertion Evaluation

By default, assertions remain active when the power domain is powered down. This may create a lot of false assertions.

To inhibit evaluation of any selected assertion instance when its related power domain is powered down the create assertion control command was added.

Added Support for Equivalent Control Pins

To define a list of this pins that are equivalent with a master control pin, the set equivalent control pins command was added. The master control pin is part of the definition of a shutoff condition, isolation condition or state retention condition.

What's Changed from CPF 1.0 to CPF 1.1

Equivalent Power and Ground Nets

If a power domain is implemented in multiple disjoint physical regions, each region has its own power and ground nets. To declare these power and ground nets equivalent to the primary power and ground nets of a power domain, the <code>-equivalent_power_nets</code> and <code>-equivalent_ground_nets</code> options were added to the <code>update_power_domain</code> command.

Refined Specification of SDC Files

Added capability to group SDC files with only hold or setup constraints by adding the -hold_sdc_files and -setup_sdc_files options to the <u>update power mode</u> command.

Change in Hierarchy Delimiter

Added the colon (:) as allowed hierarchy delimiter character, and removed the caret (^) as allowed hierarchy delimiter character.

Change in Object Names

Object names can no longer contain the dollar sign (\$).

Command Change Summary

Obsoleted Command Options

Command	Obsolete Option(s)	Equivalent?
set_instance	-merge_default_domains	-domain_mapping
		The old option only allowed for merging of the default domains. The new option is more general.
update_isolation_rules	-combine_level_shifting	None By default, implementation tools should try to find a suitable cell that has both isolation and level-shifting functionality. See How to Handle Multiple Rules that Apply to a Physical Net.
	-library_set	None
		Implementation tools should automatically select valid cells from the library sets associated with the power domain through the update_nominal_condition or create_operating_corner commands.
update_level_shifter_rules	-library_set	None Implementation tools should automatically select valid cells from the library sets associated with the power domain through the update_nominal_condition or create_operating_corner commands.

Command	Obsolete Option(s)	Equivalent?
update_power_domain	-max_power_up_time	-transition_latency
	-min_power_up_time	-transition_cycles
		Because a power domain can be in a different on state (with different voltages) and it can also be in a standby state, a more fine grain specification of domain transition time was needed.
	-rail_mapping	None
	-library_set	The options were initially added to check for power/ground connectivity for special cells with multiple power and ground pins. With the introduction of secondary power domain and domain mapping, this is no longer needed. All the power/ground net connections are described in CPF.
update_power_switch_rule	-library_set	None
		Implementation tools should automatically select valid cells from the library sets associated with the power domain through the update_nominal_condition or create_operating_corner commands.
update_state_retention_rules	-library_set	None
		Implementation tools should automatically select valid cells from the library sets associated with the power domain through the update_nominal_condition or create_operating_corner commands.

Renamed Command Options

Command	Old Option (1.0)	New Option (1.1)
create_mode_transition	-from_mode	-from
	-to_mode	-to
define_isolation_cell	-always_on_pin	-always_on_pins
define_level_shifter_cell	-always_on_pin	-always_on_pins
	-output_voltage_input_pin	-enable
define_power_switch_cell	-on_resistance	-stage_x_on_resistance
define_state_retention_cell	-always_on_pin	-always_on_pins
update_power_domain	-internal_power_net	-primary_power_net
	-internal_ground_net	-primary_ground_net
update_power_switch_rule	-acknowledge_receiver	-acknowledge_receiver_x
update_state_retention_rules	-cell	-cells

Note: For backward-compatibility, the old names are also supported.

Changed Command Option Value

Command	Option	Old Value	New Value
create_ground_nets	-voltage	string	{float voltage_range}
create_isolation_rule	-isolation_output	{high low hold}	{high low hold tristate}
create_mode_transition	-cycles	integer	[integer:]integer
	-latency	float	[float:]float
create_power_nets	-voltage	string	{float voltage_range}
define_isolation_cell	-valid_location	{from to}	{from to on off}
define_level_shifter_cell	-valid_location	{to from}	{to from either}
define_library_set	-libraries	library file list	list of library files and library groups
update_power_switch_rule	-acknowledge_receiver_x	pin	expression

What's Changed from CPF 1.0 to CPF 1.1

New Commands

assert_illegal_domain_configurations
create_assertion_control
define_related_power_pins
end_macro_model
end_power_mode_control_group
get_parameter
identify_secondary_domain
include
set_equivalent_control_pins
set_floating_ports
set_input_voltage_tolerance
set_macro_model
set_power_mode_control_group
set_wire_feedthrough_ports

New Command Options

Command	New Option/Argument	
create_analysis_view	-group_views	
	-user_attributes	
create_ground_nets	-external_shutoff_condition	
create_isolation_rule	-no_condition	
	-secondary_domain	
create_nominal_condition	-ground_voltage	
	-state	
create_operating_corner	-ground_voltage	
	-nmos_bias_voltage	
	-pmos_bias_voltage	

Command	New Option/Argument
create_power_domain	-active_state_conditions
	-base_domains
	-default_isolation_condition
	-default_restore_level
	-default_save_level
	-external_controlled_shutoff
create_power_mode	-group_modes
create_state_retention_rule	-exclude
	-restore_level
	-restore_precondition
	-save_level
	-save_precondition
	-secondary_domain
	-target_type
define_isolation_cell	-no_enable
define_level_shifter_cell	-enable
	-ground_input_voltage_range
	-ground_output_voltage_range
	-input_ground_pin
	-output_ground_pin
	-power
define_power_switch_cell	-enable_pin_bias
	-gate_bias_pin
	-stage_x_on_resistance
define_state_retention_cell	-always_on_components
	-cell_type
end_design	module
end_macro_model	macro_cell
identify_power_logic	-module

What's Changed from CPF 1.0 to CPF 1.1

Command	New Option/Argument
set_design	-honor_boundary_port_domain
	-parameters
set_instance	-design
	-domain_mapping
	-model
	-parameter_mapping
update_isolation_rules	-within_hierarchy
update_level_shifter_rules	-within_hierarchy
update_power_domain	-equivalent_power_nets
	-equivalent_ground_nets
	-transition_cycles
	-transition_latency
	-transition_slope
update_power_mode	-hold_sdc_files
	-setup_sdc_files
update_power_switch_rule	-gate_bias_net
update_state_retention_rules	-set_reset_control

Syntax Changes

Command	New Option
create_isolation_rule	-pins option must be specified with -from, -to or both options
	-isolation_condition option is now optional
create_level_shifter_rule	-pins option must be specified with -from, -to or both options
create_power_domain	options -instances, -boundary_ports and -default are no longer exclusive
	modified syntax for -default_save_xx and -default_restore_xx options

Command	New Option	
create_state_retention_rule	modified syntax for the -restore_edge and -save_edge options	
define_always_on_cell	allow specification of combination of -power_switchable and -ground_switchable options	
define_level_shifter_cell	made step in voltage range optional: lower_bound:upper_bound[:step]	
define_state_retention_cell	allow specification of combination of -power_switchable and -ground_switchabl options	
	modified syntax for -save_function and -restore_function options	