```
2
               SAS GRAIN PRICE PROJECT ANALYSIS
3
  *
4
  *
    DESCRIPTION: Final project for BIOS 7400 with Xiao Song, UGA, Spring 2022.
5
  *
               Simple analysis of grain price data.
6
  *
7
8
     JOB NAME:
               analysis.SAS
9
    LANGUAGE:
               SAS v9.4 (on demand for academics)
10
  *
11 | *
    NAME:
               Zane Billings
12 | *
    DATE:
               2022-04-22
13 | *
  ****************************
15
16
  FOOTNOTE "Job run by Zane Billings on &SYSDATE at &SYSTIME.";
17
18
  TITLE 'ANALYSIS OF USDA HISTORICAL GRAIN PRICE DATA';
19
20
  OPTIONS NODATE LS=95 PS=42;
21
22
  LIBNAME HOME '/home/u59465388/SAS-Grain-Prices';
23
24
  ODS GRAPHICS / WIDTH = 6in HEIGHT = 3in;
25
26
  **********************
27
  * Show the descriptor portion of the dataset;
28
  29
30
  TITLE2 "CONTENTS OF GRAINS DATASET";
31
32
  PROC CONTENTS DATA = HOME.GRAINS;
33
  RUN:
34
35
  36
  * Plot outcome time series;
37
  38
39
  FOOTNOTE; * Remove the footnote so it isn't on the graphs;
40
41
  st Plot the time series of log grain price over time. This makes a separate
42
     time series line for each grain.;
43
  TITLE2 "PRICE PER BUSHEL OF GRAINS OVER TIME";
44
  PROC SGPLOT DATA = HOME.GRAINS;
45
     SERIES X = YEAR Y = LPE / GROUP = GRN;
46
  RUN;
47
48
  * Color the points of the time series by the President's political party. The
49
     default colors are already red and blue so we don't need to change them!
50
     Also plots a gray line underneath the points, since the JOIN option for
51
     the SCATTER statement will not connect the points in order.;
52
53 TITLE2 "GRAIN PRICES AND PRESIDENT'S POLITICAL PARTY OVER TIME";
  PROC SGPANEL DATA = HOME.GRAINS;
54
     PANELBY GRN;
55
```

```
SERIES X = YEAR Y = LPE / LINEATTRS = (COLOR = "GRAY") SMOOTHCONNECT;
56
57
       SCATTER X = YEAR Y = LPE / GROUP = PARTY
58
           MARKERATTRS = (SYMBOL = CIRCLEFILLED);
59 RUN;
60
61 ODS GRAPHICS / WIDTH = 6in HEIGHT = 6in;
62
63 * Make a boxplot of log price vs. president's political party. This ignores the
64
       time series information, but can tell us if either party has more high or
65
       low years compared to the other.;
66 TITLE2 "LOG PRICE DISTRIBUTION BY PRESIDENT'S POLITICAL PARTY";
67
   PROC SGPANEL DATA = HOME.GRAINS;
68
       PANELBY GRN;
69
       HBOX LPE / GROUP = PARTY;
<sup>70</sup> RUN;
71
72
   ODS GRAPHICS / WIDTH = 6in HEIGHT = 9in;
73
74
   * Make a scatterplot of the log price vs each covariate, ignoring the time
75
       series component of the data. There is not an easy way to connect the points
76
       like a phase portrait using PROC SGPLOT.;
77
   * I divided this into two plots so they would fit on one page nicer. In the final
78
       manuscript they could be put side by side.;
79
   TITLE2 "SCATTERPLOTS OF PRICE VS COVARIATES";
80
   PROC SGSCATTER DATA = HOME.GRAINS;
81
       PLOT LPE * (ACR HVT LNR PRD YLD) / REG
82
           COLUMNS = 2 GROUP = GRN;
83
   RUN;
84
85
   PROC SGSCATTER DATA = HOME.GRAINS;
86
       PLOT LPE * (INFL PWR TEMP VALUE) / REG
87
           COLUMNS = 2;
88
   RUN;
89
90
    *****************************
91
    * Plots of covariates across time;
92
   93
94
    st Plot the time series of each covariate, to assess how they change. I split
95
       this one into two plots to prevent the plots being too small as before.;
96
   TITLE2 "CHANGE IN COVARIATES ACROSS TIME";
97
   PROC SGSCATTER DATA = HOME.GRAINS;
98
       PLOT (ACR HVT LNR PRD YLD) * YEAR /
99
           COLUMNS = 2 GROUP = GRN JOIN MARKERATTRS = (SIZE = 0);
100
   RUN;
101
102
   PROC SGSCATTER DATA = HOME.GRAINS;
103
       PLOT (INFL PWR TEMP VALUE) * YEAR /
104
           COLUMNS = 2 JOIN MARKERATTRS = (SIZE = 0);
105
106 | RUN;
107
   108
   * Univariate analyses;
109
   **********************************
110
111
```

```
5/5/22, 10:16 AM
                                         Code: analysis SAS
  112 * Univariate analysis of main outcome (log price) by grain type;
  113 TITLE2 "UNIVARIATE SUMMARY OF GRAIN DATA OVER TIME";
  114
  115 ODS GRAPHICS / WIDTH = 4in HEIGHT = 4in;
  116
  117 | PROC UNIVARIATE DATA = HOME.GRAINS PLOTS;
  118
         VAR LPE;
  119
         CLASS GRN;
  120 | RUN;
  121
  ^{123} \mid st Bivariate analyses of price and covariates, ignoring time;
  125
  126 TITLE2 "BIVARIATE CORRELATIONS ACROSS NUMERICAL VARIABLES";
  127
      * Correlations -- check to see which covariates are correlated with the outcome,
  128
          and which are correlated with each other and should not be modeled
  129
         together.;
  130
      PROC CORR PEARSON SPEARMAN DATA = HOME.GRAINS;
  131
         VAR LPE ACR HVT LNR PRD YLD INFL PWR TEMP VALUE;
  132
         BY GRN;
  133
      RUN;
  134
  135
      TITLE2 "SUMMARY STATISTICS BY PRESIDENTIAL PARTY AND GRAIN";
  136
      * Mean difference in LPE by party -- proc corr does not have a point biserial
  137
          option, so we can check the difference/overlap in means and standard errors
  138
          to assess if party seems to impact log price for any of the grains.;
  139
      PROC MEANS DATA = HOME.GRAINS MEAN STDERR MEDIAN RANGE NWAY;
  140
         VAR LPE;
  141
         CLASS PARTY;
  142
         BY GRN;
  143
      RUN;
  144
  145
      146
      * Simple and multiple OLS regression models;
  147
      148
  149
      TITLE2 "SIMPLE LINEAR REGRESSION MODELS";
  150
  151
      * Model stratified by grain only;
  152
      PROC GLM DATA = HOME.GRAINS PLOTS = ALL;
  153
         CLASS GRN;
  154
         MODEL LPE = GRN / NOINT;
  155
     RUN;
  156
  157
      * Write a macro to fit all regression models of the form
  158
             MODEL COVAR GRN COVAR * GRN
  159
         without having to type out all of the PROC GLM statements. This model will
  160
          be parametrized without an intercept, and will generate all appropriate
  161
          diagnostic plots for the model.;
  162
  163
  164 | MACRO ALLSIMPLE(DAT = , RESP = , PRED = );
         %LET N = %SYSFUNC(COUNTW(&PRED));
  165
  166
         %DO I = 1 %TO &N;
             PROC GLM DATA = &DAT PLOTS = ALL;
  167
```

```
CLASS GRN;
168
169
               MODEL &RESP = %SCAN(&PRED, &I) | GRN / NOINT;
170
           RUN:
171
       %END;
172 %MEND;
173
174 |%ALLSIMPLE(
175
       DAT = HOME.GRAINS,
176
        RESP = LPE,
177
       PRED = ACR PRD INFL TEMP PWR YEAR
178
    );
179
180
    * Fit the same model that was used as before, but with party as a covariate.
181
        Party needs to be in the class statement, and is the only categorical
182
        variable, so it wasn't worth modifying the above macro to use party
183
        correctly and I did it manually.;
184
    PROC GLM DATA = HOME.GRAINS PLOTS = ALL;
185
       CLASS GRN PARTY;
186
       MODEL LPE = GRN | PARTY / NOINT;
187
    RUN;
188
189
    TITLE2 "1866 FULL MODEL";
190
    * 1866 FULL MODEL: this model includes all non-correlated predictors that were
191
        measured in 1866.;
192
    PROC MIXED DATA = HOME.GRAINS PLOTS = ALL;
193
       CLASS GRN PARTY;
194
       MODEL LPE =
195
           GRN HVT PRD INFL PWR YEAR PARTY
196
           GRN*HVT GRN*PRD GRN*INFL GRN*PWR GRN*YEAR GRN*PARTY /
197
           NOINT SOLUTION
198
199
    RUN;
200
201
    TITLE2 "1880 FULL MODEL";
202
    * FULL MODEL WITH TEMP (1880 MODEL): this model is the same as the previous
203
        model, but also includes the temperature anomaly information. Consequently,
204
        it only uses data from 1880 onwards (even less for sorghum).;
205
    PROC MIXED DATA = HOME.GRAINS PLOTS = ALL;
206
       CLASS PARTY GRN;
207
       MODEL LPE =
208
           GRN HVT PRD INFL PWR YEAR PARTY TEMP
209
           GRN*HVT GRN*PRD GRN*INFL GRN*PWR GRN*YEAR GRN*PARTY TEMP*PARTY/
210
           NOINT SOLUTION
211
212
    RUN;
213
214
    215
    * GLS multiple regression analysis;
    **************************
217
218
    * Take the better fitting (by AIC) of the two previous models, and run a model
219
220
       that can account for correlation using generalized least squares.
        This model assumes exchangeable correlations between each of the time points.;
221
222 TITLE2 "GENERALIZED LEAST SQUARES MODEL";
    PROC MIXED DATA = HOME.GRAINS PLOTS = ALL;
223
```

```
224
       CLASS GRN;
225
       MODEL LPE = HVT PRD INFL PWR YEAR GRN GRN*HVT GRN*PRD /
226
           NOINT SOLUTION CHISO;
227
       REPEATED:
228 | RUN;
229
   230
231
    * Simple forecasting;
232
   233
234
    * Now instead of just using regression models, we can try to fit a more
235
       flexible forecasting model using PROC ARIMA.
236
       First, we need a time variable that is actually a SAS date, so we create
237
       that first.;
238
    DATA TS DAT;
239
       SET HOME.GRAINS;
240
       T = MDY(1, 1, YEAR);
241
    RUN:
242
243
    * Next we use the IDENTIFY modeling stage. We check up to 30 lags in the
244
       first ARIMA modeling stage, and also explicitly test for stationarity at
245
       the first 10 differences using the random walk with drift test. We
246
       also use the SCAN method, which is a heuristic for identifying
247
       candidate ARIMA models.;
248
    PROC ARIMA DATA = TS DAT;
249
       IDENTIFY VAR = LPE NLAG = 30 SCAN STATIONARITY = (RW = 10);
250
       BY GRN;
251
       TITLE2 "ARIMA TESTS";
252
    RUN;
253
254
    st Next we use the ESTIMATE modeling stage. We fit several different ARIMA
255
       models to the data in order to see which fits our time series the best,
256
       and if any have white noise as the error term.;
257
    * One PROC ARIMA can contain multiple ESTIMATE statements, but I split these
258
       into multiple PROC steps to make the output easier to read.;
259
    st We are basically fitting all of these models to get the AIC and see which is
260
       the best fit.;
261
262
    * Model 1: AR(1);
263
    PROC ARIMA DATA = TS_DAT;
264
       IDENTIFY VAR = LPE;
265
       ESTIMATE P = 1;
266
       BY GRN;
267
       TITLE2 "AR(1)";
268
   RUN;
269
270
    * MODEL 2: AR(2);
271
   PROC ARIMA DATA = TS_DAT;
272
       IDENTIFY VAR = LPE;
273
       ESTIMATE P = 2;
274
275
       BY GRN;
276
       TITLE2 "AR(2)";
277 RUN;
278
    * MODEL 3: MA(1);
279
```

```
280 PROC ARIMA DATA = TS DAT;
281
        IDENTIFY VAR = LPE;
282
        ESTIMATE Q = 1;
283
        BY GRN;
284
        TITLE2 "MA(1)";
285
    RUN;
286
287 |* MODEL 4: ARMA(1, 1);
288 | PROC ARIMA DATA = TS_DAT;
289
        IDENTIFY VAR = LPE;
290
        ESTIMATE P = 1 Q = 1;
291
        BY GRN;
292
        TITLE2 "ARMA(1, 1)";
293
    RUN;
294
295 * MODEL 5: ARIMA(1, 1, 0);
296
    PROC ARIMA DATA = TS_DAT;
297
        IDENTIFY VAR = LPE(1);
298
        ESTIMATE P = 1;
299
        BY GRN;
300
        TITLE2 "ARIMA(1, 1, 0)";
301
    RUN;
302
303
    * MODEL 6: ARIMA(1, 1, 1);
304
    PROC ARIMA DATA = TS DAT;
305
        IDENTIFY VAR = LPE(1);
306
        ESTIMATE P = 1 Q = 1;
307
        BY GRN;
308
        TITLE2 "ARIMA(1, 1, 1)";
309
    RUN;
310
311
    * MODEL 7: ARIMA(0,0,0) (WHITE NOISE);
312
    PROC ARIMA DATA = TS DAT;
313
        IDENTIFY VAR = LPE;
314
        ESTIMATE P = 0 Q = 0;
315
        BY GRN;
316
        TITLE2 "ARIMA(0, 0, 0)";
317
    RUN;
318
319
    * MODEL 8: ARIMA(0,1,0) (RANDOM WALK);
320
    PROC ARIMA DATA = TS DAT;
321
        IDENTIFY VAR = LPE(1);
322
        ESTIMATE P = 0 Q = 0;
323
        BY GRN;
324
        TITLE2 "ARIMA(0, 1, 0)";
325
   RUN;
326
327
    * Finally, we use the best fitting model to make some simple forecasts in
328
        the FORECAST modeling stage. We also identify outliers of the best
329
        fitting model.;
330
331
332 PROC ARIMA DATA = TS_DAT;
        IDENTIFY VAR = LPE(1);
333
334
        ESTIMATE P = 1 Q = 1;
        OUTLIER;
335
```

343