677 Project: Discerning Wet and Dry Years

Will Zen

5/11/2022

```
packages; read data
library(fitdistrplus)
## Warning: package 'fitdistrplus' was built under R version 4.1.2
## Loading required package: MASS
## Loading required package: survival
library(readxl)
data <- read_excel("Illinois_rain_1960-1964.xlsx")</pre>
Put data in vector form for fitting
data_vec <- c(na.omit(data$`1960`),na.omit(data$`1961`),na.omit(data$`1962`),
               na.omit(data$`1963`),na.omit(data$`1964`))
sixty <- sort(na.omit(data$`1960`), decreasing = TRUE)</pre>
sixty1 <- sort(na.omit(data$`1961`), decreasing = TRUE)</pre>
sixty2 <- sort(na.omit(data$`1962`), decreasing = TRUE)</pre>
sixty3 <- sort(na.omit(data$`1963`), decreasing = TRUE)</pre>
sixty4 <- sort(na.omit(data$`1964`), decreasing = TRUE)</pre>
Fit gamma to each year. Specifying MLE is unnecessary. Zara found this package.
fit <- fitdist(data_vec, 'gamma')</pre>
fit0 <- fitdist(sixty, distr = 'gamma', method = 'mle')</pre>
fit1 <- fitdist(sixty1, distr = 'gamma', method = 'mle')</pre>
fit2 <- fitdist(sixty2, distr = 'gamma', method = 'mle')</pre>
fit3 <- fitdist(sixty3, distr = 'gamma', method = 'mle')</pre>
fit4<- fitdist(sixty4, distr = 'gamma', method = 'mle')</pre>
This table helps a little maybe.
tab <- matrix(c(fit$estimate, fit0$estimate, fit1$estimate,</pre>
                 fit2$estimate, fit3$estimate, fit4$estimate),
                 ncol=2, byrow=TRUE)
colnames(tab) <- c('shape', 'rate')</pre>
rownames(tab) <- c('total','60','61','62','63','64')
tab <- as.table(tab)</pre>
tab
##
              shape
## total 0.4408386 1.9648409
## 60 0.3542986 1.6081421
## 61
         0.5783901 2.1037195
```

```
## 62 0.4130575 2.2357824
## 63 0.5283565 2.0131998
## 64 0.4454876 2.3806945
```

Skewness = 2/sqrt(shape). Some of the shapes are slightly smaller, which indicates more skew.

I did not have to calculate the joint likelihood by multiplying f(x=x1) by f(x=x2) etc. I did not have to find the MLE of the rate in terms of the shape by setting the derivative to 0. I did not have to plug the MLE into the joint likelihood. Wikipedia just gives the formula of the profile log-likelihood. I applied that formula to the data from every year.

These log-likelihood vectors can be verified by the models above, each of which have the maximum log-likelihood as an argument.

```
k \leftarrow seq(.1, 1, .01) \# k \text{ is shape parameter}
# https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gamma_distribution#Maximum_likelihood_estimation
# equation 4
\#L \leftarrow prod(data\_vec)^k-1 / exp(N*k) / (sum(data\_vec)/k/N)^k / qamma(k)^N
N <- length(data_vec)</pre>
1 \leftarrow (k-1)*sum(log(data_vec)) - N*k - N*k*log(sum(data_vec)/k/N) - N*log(gamma(k))
N <- length(sixty)</pre>
10 \leftarrow (k-1)*sum(log(sixty)) - N*k - N*k*log(sum(sixty)/k/N) - N*log(gamma(k))
N <- length(sixty1)</pre>
11 \leftarrow (k-1)*sum(log(sixty1)) - N*k - N*k*log(sum(sixty1)/k/N) - N*log(gamma(k))
N <- length(sixty2)</pre>
12 \leftarrow (k-1)*sum(log(sixty2)) - N*k - N*k*log(sum(sixty2)/k/N) - N*log(gamma(k))
N <- length(sixty3)</pre>
13 \leftarrow (k-1)*sum(log(sixty3)) - N*k - N*k*log(sum(sixty3)/k/N) - N*log(gamma(k))
N <- length(sixty4)</pre>
14 \leftarrow (k-1)*sum(log(sixty4)) - N*k - N*k*log(sum(sixty4)/k/N) - N*log(gamma(k))
{
par(mfrow = c(2,3))
plot(k,1,type="1",col="green")
plot(k,10,type="1",col="green")
plot(k,11,type='1',col="green")
plot(k,12,type='1',col="green")
plot(k,13,type='1',col="green")
plot(k,14,type='1',col="green")
```

