SimPL Interpreter

王梓涵 517021911179

SimPL Interpreter

干梓涿	51702	1011	1170
T // T // M		171	11/7

- 1 Introduction
 - 1.1 Objective
 - 1.2 Project Structure
 - 1.2.1 Parser
 - 1.2.2 Interpreter
 - 1.2.3 Typing
- 2 Typing
 - 2.1 Type Inference
 - 2.1.2 Substitution
 - 2.1.3 Unification
 - 2.2 Type Checking
 - 2.3 Let-polymorphism
 - 2.3.1 Algorithm
 - 2.3.2 Implementation
- 3 Semantics
 - 3.1 State
 - 3.1.1 Environment
 - 3.1.2 Memory
 - 3.2 Values
 - 3.3 Evaluation
 - 3.3.1 Name-Value Bindings
 - 3.3.2 Reference Cells
- 4 Predefined Functions
 - 4.1 Semantics
 - 4.2 Environment Definition
 - 4.3 Type Definition
- 5 Bonus Features
 - 5.1 Garbage Collection
 - 5.1.1 Implementation
 - 5.1.2 Result
 - 5.2 Lazy Evaluation
 - 5.2.1 Implementation
 - 5.2.2 Result
 - 5.3 Mutually Recursive Combinator

```
5.3.1 Design
```

5.3.2 Implementation

5.3.3 Result

5.4 Infinite Stream

5.4.1 Implementation

5.4.2 Result

Appendix

A.1 Notice

A.2 Output

1 Introduction

1.1 Objective

In this project I am asked to implement an interpreter for the programming language SimPL. SimPL is a simplified dialect of ML, which can be used for both functional and imperative programming.

1.2 Project Structure

1.2.1 Parser

In package parser, infrastructures for lexical and syntactical analysis are already provided. The parser parses the source program into AST representation. My task is to implement type checking and evaluation methods for all the AST nodes.

1.2.2 Interpreter

In package interpreter, class Interpreter serves as the program entry for the whole project. Besides, there are classes representing runtime environment and values in this packages. Library functions should also be defined in this package.

1.2.3 Typing

In package typing resides classes representing types and typing environment. Substitution and unification methods should be implemented for all types, which form the basis of type inference.

2 Typing

2.1 Type Inference

Procedures required to perform type inference are listed in abstract class Type. These methods are shown in the listing:

```
public abstract class Type {
   public abstract boolean isEqualityType();

public abstract boolean contains(TypeVar tv);

public abstract Type replace(TypeVar a, Type t);

public abstract Substitution unify(Type other) throws TypeError;

/* Other members ... */

}
```

Method is Equality Type is mainly used for type checking in equality expression, so I will skip it here. In the following, implementation of the rest three methods will be discussed.

The discussion may be divided with respect to different categories of types: 'Primitive type' refers to unit, boolean and integer. 'Compound type` refers to arrow, pair, list, reference, etc. Type variable may also appear as a separate category.

2.1.2 Substitution

contains and replace are related to type substitution. contains tests whether a certian type variable ever appears in this type, and replace replaces a type variable with another type if that type variable appears in this type.

Primitive types cannot contain any type variable, and they cannot be replaced. Compound types may contain type variables, depending on whether its components contain that. And it can call replace on its components, and then combine the resulting substitutions. Type variable contains another type variable if it shares the same name with that, and replacement result is that type.

2.1.3 Unification

Type unification finds a substitution that can make a certain type uniform with another type. This algorithm is implemented in method unify.

For any type, if one but not both of the types is a type variable, and that type variable appears on the RHS, the two variables are swapped. Primitive type can only be unified with the same type, yielding an identity substitution. Compound type can only be unified with another compound type of the same kind, and then unifies its component pairwise. For other cases, report a type mismatch error. The substitution returns by the components should be composed.

For type variables, if another type is also a type variable, do nothing if they share the same name, or substitute that for this type if they don't. If that type still contains this type variable, there is a type circularity.

2.2 Type Checking

Type checking algorithm is implemeted in typeCheck methods of AST nodes. The procedure is supported by type environment TypeEnv, which records mapping from names to types. When a Name node is visited, the algorithm look the name up in TypeEnv.

In the interpreter, unification is performed *along with* derivation of constraints, insteading of *after* that. Generally, the implementation can follow a certain pattern. First, call typeCheck on sub-expressions and get their respective TypeResults. Then, perform unification on the types of sub-expressions. Finally, return type of this expression. Once a new substitution is derived, it is immediately composed with previous one. The implementation of typeCheck in ArithExpr class can serve as a good example for this pattern.

```
@Override public TypeResult typeCheck(TypeEnv E) throws TypeError {
 1
2
        // Check types of both operands
        var lhsTr = l.typeCheck(E);
3
        var rhsTr = r.typeCheck(E);
4
        var subst = lhsTr.s.compose(rhsTr.s);
5
 6
7
        // Unify both types to `int`
        subst = subst.compose(lhsTr.t.unify(Type.INT));
8
        subst = subst.compose(rhsTr.t.unify(Type.INT));
9
10
        // Return typing result
11
        return TypeResult.of(subst, Type.INT);
12
13
    }
```

2.3 Let-polymorphism

2.3.1 Algorithm

Here I adopt the algorithm introduced in Section 22.7 of *Types and Programming Languages*, instead of strictly following the rule in the specification. When a type t is bound to a name s, all type variables, except those already mentioned in the typing environment, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n , are generalized as $\forall x_i : t$. When that name is accessed during type checking, all generalized variables are instantiated with new ones y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n and type scheme $[y_1/x_1, y_2/x_2, \ldots, y_n/x_n]$ t is returned as result. By this algorithm can s takes on different forms in different contexts.

2.3.2 Implementation

Several things have to be modified to support this feature. First, two additional methods should be implemented for Type:

```
public abstract Set<TypeVar> collect();
public abstract Type clone();
```

collect recursively collects all type variables and return them as a set. clone makes a copy of the original type so that the result and original Type object is not reference equal.

Second thing is to reimplement TypeEnv as explicit name-type pairs instead of the implicit function definition provided in the original implementation. This makes it possible to iterate through each entry in the typing environment.

```
public class TypeEnv {
public TypeEnv E;
public final Symbol x;
public final Type t;

/* Other methods ... */
}
```

The rest of reimplemented TypeEnv looks very likely to Env, so I will not show it here.

Then I implement let-polymorphism as a method of Generalized of TypeEnv. Its implementation follows the algorithm stated above. The generalized variables are stored in generalized. The instantiation step is implemented in overidden get method, which replaces all generalized variables.

```
1
    public static TypeEnv ofGeneralized(final TypeEnv E, final Symbol x, final Type t) {
 2
        // Collect all type variables from given type
        var generalized = t.collect();
3
4
5
        // Prune variables that are already mentioned in typing environment
        // The rest are the ones to be generalized
 6
7
        generalized.removeIf((TypeVar tv) -> {
8
            var curE = E;
            while (!curE.isEmpty()) {
9
                 if (curE.t.contains(tv))
10
                     return true;
11
12
                 curE = curE.E;
            }
13
            return false;
14
15
        });
16
        // Override get method of this entry
17
        return new TypeEnv(E, x, t) {
18
             @Override public Type get(Symbol x) {
19
                 // Search inner entries if name does not match
20
                 if (this.x != x) {
21
22
                     if (E != null)
23
                         return E.get(x);
24
                     else
25
                         return null;
                 }
26
27
28
                 // Instantiate all generalized type variables
29
                 var ret = t.clone();
30
                 for (var tv : generalized){
```

The rest work is simple, just replace TypeEnv. of with TypeEnv. of Generalized when necessary. Of course, when checking e_2 in let expression, we need this to bind e_1 to a given name. Besides, for generic library functions, we also need polymorphism, since their contexts are quite similar to e_1 in let expressions. This work is done in constructor of DefaultTypeEnv.

3 Semantics

3.1 State

From the specification, it can be known that the machine state is composed of environment E, memory M, and memory pointer p.

3.1.1 Environment

Environment *E* stores mapping from names to values. It can be composed with another mapping, if we want to create a new binding. We can also query the environment to find value bound to a certian name.

3.1.2 Memory

Memory M stores mappings from integer addresses to values. Memory pointer p stores the address for next reference cell. It can also be understood as the number of total reference cells at that time. This pointer helps allocation of new reference cells.

3.2 Values

Package interpreter contains several classes representing values. Since the language specification adopts big-step semantics, the evaluation result of any expression must be a value. For Value subclasses, only the following method need to be implemented:

```
1 @Override public boolean equals(Object other) { /* Implementation ... */ }
```

This is actually the method inherited from Object. Only values that are of equality type can be compared. Otherwise, it always returns false. Implementation of this method for values is trivial, so I skip it here.

3.3 Evaluation

eval method of AST nodes need to be implemented to support evaluation. Like in type checking, I just discuss the common pattern and list cases that need special care.

The pattern is quite simple. Just call eval on sub-expressions according to the order specified by the corresponding evaluation rule. Usually we need to check if the values returned match a certain pattern. Finally, perform operation on the values and return as result. eval method in Add could serve as a good example:

```
@Override public Value eval(State s) throws RuntimeError {
 1
 2
        var v1 = 1.eval(s);
        if (!(v1 instanceof IntValue)) {
 3
 4
            throw new RuntimeError("lhs is not an integer");
        }
5
        var v2 = r.eval(s);
 6
7
        if (!(v2 instanceof IntValue)) {
            throw new RuntimeError("rhs is not an integer");
8
        }
9
        return new IntValue(((IntValue) v1).n + ((IntValue) v2).n);
10
11
```

3.3.1 Name-Value Bindings

Environment E stores all name-value bindings at runtime. It is queried when evaluating Name expressions. A new environment can be created by composing a new binding with a previous environment. There are three places where new bindings are created: App, Let and Rec. Name bindings created in eval method of App and Let are straightforward. eval method of Rec also create new binding, but the binding is stored in environment of the closure, instead of altering machine state.

3.3.2 Reference Cells

Ref, Deref and Assign nodes have something to do with reference cells in memory. Ref creates new reference cell and assign value to it. Deref reads value from a reference cell. Assign assigns another value to an exisiting reference cell, overwriting previous value. In my implementation, I abstract basic operations of memory as methods in Mem for better readability and extendability:

```
public class Mem extends HashMap<Integer, Value> {
 1
2
        public Value read(int ptr) { return get(ptr); }
3
4
        public void write(int ptr, Value val) { put(ptr, val); }
 5
 6
7
        public int alloc(State s) {
8
             var ptr = p.get();
            p.set(ptr + 1);
9
            return ptr;
        }
11
12
    }
```

4 Predefined Functions

There are seven predefined functions that I have to implement: four library functions fst, snd, hd and tl; three PCF functions iszero, pred and succ. They follow similar implementation patterns. Here I choose fst as the example and go through all the work that have to be done.

4.1 Semantics

Semantics for predefined functions are defined by calling the constructor of its super class FunValue. Top level functions are in empty environment. Its parameter name can be arbitrary, as long as it is consistent with the one in function body. The body expresson is an anonymous subclass of Expr. There is nothing to do with typeCheck method because it will never be called. eval method is our concern. The implementation is quite straightforward. Finally, the implementation looks like this:

```
super(Env.empty, Symbol.symbol("x"), new Expr() {
 1
 2
        Symbol x = Symbol.symbol("x");
3
        @Override public TypeResult typeCheck(TypeEnv E) {
 4
             return null;
5
        }
 6
7
        @Override public Value eval(State s) throws RuntimeError {
8
             var pairVal = s.E.get(x, s);
9
             if (!(pairVal instanceof PairValue)) {
10
                 throw new RuntimeError("not a pair");
11
12
             }
13
            return ((PairValue) pairVal).v1;
        }
14
15
    });
```

4.2 Environment Definition

Predefined functions should be added to environment to make it detectable in later evaluation. This work should be done in initialEnv, which is a static method of InitialState.

```
E = Env.of(E, Symbol.symbol("fst"), new Fst());
```

4.3 Type Definition

Type definition of predefined functions should be added to type environment to ensure the type checking for its call will not fail. This work is done in constructor of <code>DefaultTypeEnv</code>. We start by an empty type environment, and successively add type definitions of functions to it. Types of the four library functions are all parameterized types. One thing to be notice is that, if two type parameters are definitely the same, they should be assigned the same type variable.

```
1
    public DefaultTypeEnv() {
 2
        // Create empty type environment as the starting point
        E = new TypeEnv();
3
 4
 5
        // Add type declarations for predefined functions
        var fstLhs = new TypeVar(true);
 6
7
        var fstRhs = new TypeVar(true);
        E = ofGeneralized(E, Symbol.symbol("fst"), new ArrowType(new PairType(fstLhs, fstRhs),
8
    fstLhs));
9
10
        /* Other predefined functions ... */
11
    }
```

5 Bonus Features

5.1 Garbage Collection

5.1.1 Implementation

In SimPL interpreter, garbage collection means freeing reference cells that can no longer be used, allowing these locations to be allocated again in later evaluation. The key problem is how to know a reference cell is used. In SimPL, a reference is in use means it is bound to one or more names. The most convenient way to know this is to check the environment. If the environment contains mapping to this reference cell, it is used. Otherwise, it is not, and we can free this cell for a later allocation.

Thanks to encapsulation work done before, only alloc method in Mem needs to be modified. Marksweep algorithm is implemented here. The method iterates through all the name-value bindings in the environment, and marks all the cells in use. If all cells are in use, it increments the address counter and return address for the new cell. Otherwise, it returns the first cell not in use.

5.1.2 Result

Consider program gc.spl:

```
let a = ref 0 in
 1
 2
        let b = ref 1 in
             b := 2
3
        end;
4
5
        let d =
             let c = ref 3 in
6
7
                 let e = ref 4 in () end;
                 let f = ref 5 in () end;
8
9
             end in
10
11
             !d
12
         end
13
    end
```

This example demonstrates several possible cases where a reference cell could be used. The first cell is in use throughout the whole program. The second is bound to b but later lives out its scope. The third is bound to c first, and then d. The fourth and fifth are never bound to any name. Without GC, five difference cells are created. But with GC, only three are actually created.

Let's do a little hack on the eval method of Ref and see what happens:

```
00verride public Value eval(State s) throws RuntimeError {
   var cellVal = e.eval(s);
   var ptr = s.M.alloc(s);
   s.M.write(ptr, cellVal);
   System.out.println("ref@" + ptr); // print address of allocated cell
   return new RefValue(ptr);
}
```

Interesting part of output:

```
1 ref@0
2 ref@1
3 ref@1
4 ref@2
5 ref@2
```

It can be seen that only three different cells are allocated. GC works properly.

5.2 Lazy Evaluation

5.2.1 Implementation

In eager (call-by-value) evaluation strategy, *E* stores mappings from name to value. However, in lazy evaluation, *E* could also store mappings from name to expression *and* environment. For any expression whose value should be bound to a name in eager evaluation, we directly create a mapping from that name to the expression, plus the environment required to evaluate this expression. When a name is being evaluated, the interpreter should first evaluate that expression with corresponding environment. To avoid reevaluating that expression later, the interpreter caches the value in the environment.

The implementation is divided to two parts: creation of the mappings and evaluation of mapped expressions. To support this feature, Env class should be firstly modified as follows:

```
1
    public class Env {
2
        public final Env E;
3
        private final Symbol x;
4
        public Value v;
        private final Expr e;
5
 6
        private final Env Ee;
7
        // Name-expression-environment mapping
8
        public Env(Env E, Symbol x, Expr e, Env Ee) {
9
10
             this.E = E;
            this.x = x;
11
            this.v = null;
12
            this.e = e;
13
14
            this.Ee = Ee;
        }
15
16
        public static Env of(Env E, Symbol x, Expr e, Env Ee) {
17
            return new Env(E, x, e, Ee);
18
19
        }
20
21
         /* Other members ... */
    }
22
```

Only two places should create this kind of mapping: App and Let. Rec also creates new mapping, but it actually maps to a RecValue, and no expression or environment is involved. Evaluation of mapped expression is done in get method of Env. The algorithm is already stated, so I just show the code here:

```
1
     public Value get(Symbol y, State s) throws RuntimeError {
 2
          if (x != y) { // symbol not found at this level}
              if (E == null)
 3
                  return null;
4
              else
 5
                  return E.get(y, s);
 6
7
8
          if (v == null) \{ // not evaluated yet \}
9
              assert e != null;
              v = e.eval(State.of(Ee, s.M, s.p));
10
11
12
          return v;
     }
13
```

5.2.2 Result

Run all the provided test cases with lazy evaluation and GC enabled.

```
doc/examples/plus.spl
 1
 2
3
    doc/examples/factorial.spl
4
    int
5
6
    24
    doc/examples/gcd1.spl
7
8
    int
9
    1029
    doc/examples/gcd2.spl
10
11
    int
12
    doc/examples/max.spl
13
14
    int
15
16
    doc/examples/sum.spl
17
18
19
    doc/examples/map.spl
    ((tv59 -> tv66) -> (tv59 list -> tv66 list))
20
21
22
    doc/examples/pcf.sum.spl
23
    (int -> (int -> int))
24
25
    doc/examples/pcf.even.spl
    (int -> bool)
26
```

```
27
    fun
28
    doc/examples/pcf.minus.spl
29
30
    46
    doc/examples/pcf.factorial.spl
31
32
    int
33
    720
    doc/examples/pcf.fibonacci.spl
34
35
    int
    6765
37
    doc/examples/letpoly.spl
38
    int
39
    0
```

The output of programs are the same as in eager evaluation, except for gcd2.spl (see Appendix for reference). It is expected to output 1029, but we got 0 instead. Let's consider this program:

```
1
     let gcd = fn x \Rightarrow fn y \Rightarrow
 2
          let a = ref x in
                let b = ref y in
 3
 4
                     let c = ref 0 in
 5
                          (while !b \Leftrightarrow 0 \text{ do c} := !a; a := !b; b := !c % !b);
 6
                          !a
 7
                     end
 8
                end
9
           end
          gcd 34986 3087
10
      in
11
     end
```

Since it is an imperative program, it is likely that the use of reference cells causes this problem. Let's take a look at address of each allocation, just like in the previous section:

```
1 ref@0
2 ref@1
3 ref@0
```

In call-by-value evaluation, three different cells should be created, but here we only got two. The first cell is bound to b in when evaluating !b <> 0, the second bound to c and the third to a when evaluating c := !a. The reason why a and b share the same cell is that the environment for ref x contains nothing. When evaluating !a, the allocation procedure with GC takes it for granted that all cells are not in use, so it assigns ref@0 to a. If we follow the code, it is easy to find that value stored in ref@0 is zero in the first iteration of while loop, and it exits the loop because !b is also zero. By !a, we get result 0 for this program.

We can draw a conclusion that GC could lead to surprising result for a program that is not purely functional in lazy evaluation. If we disable GC, and still run gcd2.spl in lazy mode, the result is correct:

```
doc/examples/gcd2.spl
int
1 lo29
```

5.3 Mutually Recursive Combinator

5.3.1 Design

I have to extend the original SimPL definition to support this feature. When designing this syntax feature, I refer to OCaml language. In OCaml, the syntax for MRC looks like this:

```
1 let rec function1-nameparameter-list =
2 function1-body
3 and function2-nameparameter-list =
4 function2-body
```

Combining this and characteristics of SimPL, I design syntax for MRC as follows:

```
e := \dots  expressions | let x = e and x = e in e end mutually\ recursive\ combinator
```

The evaluation rule is similar to E-Let, but much trickier than that. It seems that when evaluating e_1 , E have to contain mapping from y, and it requires e_2 to be evaluated first. But evaluation of e_2 also needs x from environment, which requires e_1 to be evaluated first. Circularity is intrinsic for MRC here. There's a way to solve this. Since e_1 must be a function definition, it could evaluates to a closure, whose environment just contains neither x or y. After evaluating e_1 and e_2 to two closures, add two mappings $x \mapsto (\mathtt{fun}, E', s, e'_1)$ and $y \mapsto (\mathtt{fun}, E', t, e'_2)$ to the environment, with the environment of both closures the newly created environment. That's why E' appears on both sides of the third premise.

$$\frac{E, M, p; e_1 \Downarrow M', p'; (\texttt{fun}, E_1, s, e'_1) \quad E, M', p'; e_2 \Downarrow M'', p''; (\texttt{fun}, E_2, t, e'_2)}{E' = E[x \mapsto (\texttt{fun}, E', s, e'_1)][y \mapsto (\texttt{fun}, E', t, e'_2)] \quad E', M'', p''; e_3 \Downarrow M''', p'''; v} \quad (\text{E-LetAnd})$$

Similar circularity problem arises in terms of typing rule. We can solve this by assuming the type form of e_1 and e_2 . Since e_1 and e_2 are all functions, they must have form $t \to t$. We can assume e_1 to be of type $t_1 \to t_2$ and e_2 of type $t_3 \to t_4$, where $t_i, i \in 1..4$ are type variables, instead of concrete types. Types can be checked for e_1 and e_2 , whose results are $t_1' \to t_2'$ and $t_3' \to t_4'$ respectively. Then we check type of e_3 with mapping $x: t_1' \to t_2'$ and $y: t_3' \to t_4'$, resulting t, which is the type for the whole expression.

$$\frac{\Gamma[y:t_3\rightarrow t_4]\vdash e_1:t_1'\rightarrow t_2'\quad \Gamma[x:t_1\rightarrow t_2]\vdash e_2:t_3'\rightarrow t_4'\quad \Gamma[x:t_1'\rightarrow t_2'][y:t_3'\rightarrow t_4']\vdash e_3:t}{\Gamma\vdash \mathtt{let}\ x=e_1\ \mathtt{and}\ y=e_2\ \mathtt{in}\ e_3:t}\quad (\mathtt{T-LetAnd})$$

5.3.2 Implementation

Since the syntax is defined by myself, I have to modify the grammar file and regenerate lexer and parser classes for the new grammar. In file simpl.lex, I add and keyword in <YYINITIAL> block. This enables lexer to recognize this keyword as a token.

In simpl.grm, I first add AND as a terminal:

```
1 terminal LET, AND, IN, END;
```

Then specify the syntax of MRC:

In package parser.ast, create a new class LetAnd:

```
public class LetAnd extends Expr {
 1
2
        public Symbol x, y;
3
        public Expr e1, e2, e3;
4
        public LetAnd(Symbol x, Expr e1, Symbol y, Expr e2, Expr e3) {
5
 6
             this.x = x;
7
             this.y = y;
8
             this.e1 = e1;
9
             this.e2 = e2;
             this.e3 = e3;
10
        }
11
12
        /* Other methods */
13
14
    }
```

Then run the Makefile script in parser directory, Lexer and Parser are automatically generated in this directory. The rest work is to implement typeCheck and eval methods. The implementation just follows the rules stated before.

5.3.3 Result

Consider program mrc.even.spl which decides whether a number is even or odd:

```
1 let iseven = fn n =>
2     if iszero n then true else isodd (pred n)
3     and isodd = fn n =>
4     if iszero n then false else iseven (pred n)
5     in iseven 3
6     end
```

This program contains two mutually recursive functions: iseven and isodd. I pass 3 to iseven so that either function will be called at least twice. This could test whether the environment is correct. Run the interpreter on this program and it outputs:

```
doc/examples/mrc.even.spl
bool
false
```

The typing and evaluation results are all correct. This feature is properly implemented.

5.4 Infinite Stream

A stream is an infinite list. Like a list, a stream value contains two members, and the first is current element. The main difference is that its second field is a function $\lambda x. e$ of type $unit \rightarrow 'a \ stream$ which specifies how the following elements could be produced by the stream. The expression e will only be evaluated when the next element is actually needed, producing a new stream starting at the next position.

5.4.1 Implementation

As preparation, I create class StreamType for typing and class StreamValue for runtime value representation. Their definitions are quite similar to their list counterparts so I omit them here. Then comes the critical part: implementation of basic operations on streams. I decide to implement them as library functions, so no new syntax is introduced. In this project, three operations are supported: stream for stream creation, take for building finite list from stream, drop for dropping elements from stream.

stream creates a stream from an element and a function. Its type is $'a \rightarrow (unit \rightarrow 'a\ stream) \rightarrow 'a\ stream$. As a library function, we only need to override its evaluation method. However, unlike other library functions implemented before, this one has two arguments, so there is a nested function inside it. When streams takes one argument, it returns another function with first argument in environment. The actual construction process is done in the body of inner function. The code is shown in the following.

```
7
                     return null;
8
                 }
9
                 @Override public Value eval(State s) throws RuntimeError {
10
                     var elemVal = s.E.get(x, s);
11
                     return new FunValue(s.E, Symbol.symbol("f"), new Expr() {
12
                         Symbol f = Symbol.symbol("f");
13
14
                         @Override public TypeResult typeCheck(TypeEnv E) {
15
                             return null;
17
                         }
18
                         @Override public Value eval(State s) throws RuntimeError {
19
                             var funVal = s.E.get(f, s);
20
21
                             if (!(funVal instanceof FunValue)) {
22
                                  throw new RuntimeError("not a function");
23
24
                             return new StreamValue(elemVal, (FunValue) funVal);
                         }
25
                     });
26
                 }
27
28
             });
        }
29
    }
30
```

take takes an integer n and a stream s and returns a list containing n elements from the beginning of s. Its type is $int \rightarrow 'a \ stream \rightarrow 'a \ list$. The mechanism of this function is simple. It just need to continously take elements from stream and evaluate the function in the stream to get next stream, until there are enough elements required by n. One thing that need to be taken care is that, in SimPL, the tail of a list lies in the deepest position in AST. When we collect elements in forward direction, we have to construct the list value in *reverse* direction. The eval method for inner expression is listed below:

```
1
    @Override public Value eval(State state) throws RuntimeError {
 2
        // Check stream argument
        var streamVal = state.E.get(s, state);
 3
        if (!(streamVal instanceof StreamValue)) {
4
             throw new RuntimeError("not a stream");
 5
        }
 6
7
8
        // Store elements in an array
9
        var array = new ArrayList<Value>();
        var curStream = (StreamValue) streamVal;
10
        for (var i = 0; i < ((IntValue) numVal).n; i++) {</pre>
11
```

```
12
            array.add(curStream.x);
13
            var funcVal = curStream.f;
            var nextStream = funcVal.e.eval(State.of(funcVal.E, state.M, state.p));
14
15
            if (!(nextStream instanceof StreamValue))
                 throw new RuntimeError("not a stream");
16
            curStream = (StreamValue) nextStream;
17
        }
18
19
        // Assemble list using cons in reverse direction
20
        Value list = new NilValue();
22
        for (var i = array.size() - 1; i >= 0; i--)
            list = new ConsValue(array.get(i), list);
23
24
        return list;
    }
25
```

drop takes an integer n and a stream s and returns another stream with first n elements dropped from s. Its type is $int \rightarrow 'a \ stream \rightarrow 'a \ stream$. The implementation is similar to take except that no elements should be collected, and the final stream should be returned. The code is omitted here.

5.4.2 Result

Consider program stream.spl.

fib generate a stream of Fibonacci sequence. take 20 (drop 4 fib) drops the first four numbers in this stream and then take 20 numbers from remaining stream. To show content of this list, I modified toString method of ConsValue.

```
1 public String toString() { return v1 + "::" + v2; }
```

Run interpreter on this program, we can get the following output.

```
doc/examples/stream.spl
int list
5::8::13::21::34::55::89::144::233::377::610::987::1597::2584::4181::6765::10946::17711::286
57::46368::nil
```

The output is correct. Actually we can take far more elements from the stream. Without stream we can still get this sequence by defining a recursive function, but that could easily cause a stack overflow of interpreter, even when the required length is not so large. But with stream we don't need to worry about that. The only limit is the size of heap memory.

Appendix

A.1 Notice

- If there are too many levels of recursion in input program, JVM could possibly throws StackOverflow exception. If you are sure that the program will not cause infinite recursion, try set stack size of JVM larger through -Xss argument, for example -Xss8m if a stack of 8MB is desired.
- Two bonus features: GC, lazy evaluation, can be enabled and disabled by setting constants in Feature class in package simpl.interpreter. Whether to enable these features should be decided before compilation. Mutually recursive combinator only works in eager evaluation.

```
public class Feature {
    // Whether to enable garbage collection
    public static final boolean GC = true;
    // Whether to enable lazy evaluation
    public static final boolean LAZY = false;
}
```

A.2 Output

The following is the output of all provided test cases, excluding those written by myself. The configuration of the interpreter is: (1) eager (call-by-value) evaluation strategy (2) GC enabled. The exported jar also uses this configuration.

```
doc/examples/plus.spl
1
2
    int
    doc/examples/factorial.spl
4
5
    int
 6
7
    doc/examples/gcd1.spl
8
    int
9
    1029
    doc/examples/gcd2.spl
10
11
    int
12
    1029
13
    doc/examples/max.spl
14
    int
15
16
    doc/examples/sum.spl
17
```

```
18
    6
    doc/examples/map.spl
19
    ((tv77 -> tv78) -> (tv77 list -> tv78 list))
20
    fun
21
22
    doc/examples/pcf.sum.spl
    (int -> (int -> int))
23
24
    doc/examples/pcf.even.spl
25
26
    (int -> bool)
27
    fun
    doc/examples/pcf.minus.spl
28
29
    int
    46
30
31
    doc/examples/pcf.factorial.spl
32
    int
    720
33
    doc/examples/pcf.fibonacci.spl
34
    int
35
36
    6765
    doc/examples/letpoly.spl
37
    int
```