

13.9

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{M}, \Theta \rangle &= \langle \sigma \cdot W, \Theta^T \cdot W \rangle \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \langle \sigma_1 \cdot W, \Theta^T \cdot W \rangle \\ \langle \sigma_2 \cdot W, \Theta^T \cdot W \rangle \\ \dots \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Note that,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \sigma_1 \cdot W, \Theta^T \cdot W \rangle &= \sigma_1 (\theta^T)^T \cdot i \\ &= \sigma_1 \sigma^{-1} (b - r\vec{1}) \cdot i \\ &= (1, 0, 0, \dots) \begin{pmatrix} b_1 - r \\ b_2 - r \\ \dots \end{pmatrix} \cdot i \\ &= (b_1 - r) \cdot i \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{M}, \Theta \rangle &= \begin{pmatrix} b_1 - r \\ b_2 - r \\ \dots \end{pmatrix} \cdot i \\ &= (b - r\vec{1}) \cdot i \end{aligned}$$

13.12

$$\begin{aligned} d\left(V_t e^{-\int_0^t r_u du}\right) &= e^{-\int_0^t r_u du} dV_t + V_t d\left(e^{-\int_0^t r_u du}\right) + \frac{1}{2} x \cdot 0 \\ &= e^{-\int_0^t r_u du} dV_t + V_t e^{-\int_0^t r_u du} \cdot (r_t) dt \end{aligned}$$

As $dV_t = V_t r_t dt + \pi_t^T dX_t - c_t dt$, then

$$\begin{aligned} d\left(V_t e^{-\int_0^t r_u du}\right) &= e^{-\int_0^t r_u du} (V_t r_t dt + \pi_t^T dX_t - c_t dt) - V_t e^{-\int_0^t r_u du} (r_t) dt \\ &= e^{-\int_0^t r_u du} \pi_t^T dX_t - e^{-\int_0^t r_u du} c_t dt \\ V_t e^{-\int_0^t r_u du} &= V_0 + \int_0^t e^{-\int_0^s r_u du} \pi_s^T dX_s - \int_0^t e^{-\int_0^s r_u du} c_s ds \\ \Rightarrow V_t &= e^{\int_0^t r_u du} \left(x + \int_0^t e^{-\int_0^s r_u du} \pi_s^T dX_s - \int_0^t e^{-\int_0^s r_u du} c_s ds \right) \end{aligned}$$

13.13

$$d\left(V_t e^{-\int_0^t r_u du}\right) = e^{-\int_0^t r_u du} dV_t + V_t e^{-\int_0^t r_u du} \cdot (r_t) dt$$

As $dV_t = V_t r_t dt - \pi_t^T dX_t - c_t dt$, then

$$\begin{aligned} d\left(V_t e^{-\int_0^t r_u du}\right) &= e^{-\int_0^t r_u du} (V_t r_t dt - \pi_t^T dX_t - c_t dt) + V_t e^{-\int_0^t r_u du} (r_t) dt \\ &= -e^{-\int_0^t r_u du} \pi_t^T dX_t - e^{-\int_0^t r_u du} c_t dt \\ V_t e^{-\int_0^t r_u du} &= V_0 - \int_0^t e^{-\int_0^s r_u du} \pi_s^T dX_s - \int_0^t e^{-\int_0^s r_u du} c_s ds \\ \Rightarrow V_t &= e^{\int_0^t r_u du} \left(V_0 - \int_0^t e^{-\int_0^s r_u du} \pi_s^T dX_s - \int_0^t e^{-\int_0^s r_u du} c_s ds \right) \end{aligned}$$

13.18

As π is Q -tame, then $K^{0,\pi} - M \geq 0$. Thus, $X = \sigma \cdot (W + \theta \cdot i)$, then X is (\mathcal{G}, P) -semimartingale and Q is an ELMM for P , which implies X is (\mathcal{G}, Q) local martingale. Then, we can get $K^{0,\pi} = (S^0)^{-1}\pi^T \cdot X$ is a local martingale. As M is also a (\mathcal{G}, Q) local martingale, then $K^{0,\pi} - M$ is a positive (\mathcal{G}, Q) local martingale, which means $K^{0,\pi} - M$ is a supermartingale.

$$\begin{aligned} E[K_t^{0,\pi} | \mathcal{F}_s] &= E[K_t^{0,\pi} - M_t + M_t | \mathcal{F}_s] \\ &= E[K_t^{0,\pi} - M_t | \mathcal{F}_s] + E[M_t | \mathcal{F}_s] \\ &\leq K_s^{0,\pi} - M_s + M_s = K_s^{0,\pi} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $K^{0,\pi}$ is a (\mathcal{G}, Q) supermartingale.

13.19

As $(S^0)^{-1}\pi^T \cdot X$ is a supermartingale with respect to Q , and $x^* = E^Q[(S_T^0)^{-1}V_T + ((S^0)^{-1}c \cdot i)_T]$, then, $x^* + (S^0)^{-1}\pi^T \cdot X$ is a supermartingale. Therefore, $(S^0)^{-1}V + ((S^0)^{-1}c \cdot i)$ is also a (\mathcal{G}, Q) supermartingale.

$$E^Q[(S_0^0)^{-1}V_0 + ((S^0)^{-1}c \cdot i)_0] = E^Q[V_0] = x^*$$

Therefore, $(S^0)^{-1}V + ((S^0)^{-1}c \cdot i)$ is a (\mathcal{G}, Q) martingale, and $x^* + (S^0)^{-1}\pi^T \cdot X$ is a (\mathcal{G}, Q) martingale.

13.20

$$\beta = \sigma^{-1} \cdot X$$

As X is a (\mathcal{G}, Q) -martingale, then β is also a (\mathcal{G}, Q) -martingale.

$$\beta = W + \theta \cdot \langle W, W \rangle = W + \theta \cdot i$$

Then, β is continuous, which also means β is a continuous (\mathcal{G}, Q) local martingale.

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_0 &= W_0 + (\theta \cdot i)_0 = 0 \\ \langle \beta, \beta \rangle &= \langle W + \theta \cdot i, W + \theta \cdot i \rangle = \langle W, W \rangle = i \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we can say that β is a BM w.r.t Q .

14.9

Suppose we have (x, π^+, c) is a Q -tame self-financing strategy and is an upper hedging strategy; (x', π^-, c') is a Q -tame self-financing strategy and is a lower hedging strategy. Then, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (S^0)^{-1}V + (S^0)^{-1}c \cdot i &= x + (S^0)^{-1}(\pi^+)^T \cdot X \\ (S^0)^{-1}V' + (S^0)^{-1}c' \cdot i &= x' - (S^0)^{-1}(\pi^-)^T \cdot X \end{aligned}$$

As proved in (13.18), $K^{0,\pi^+} = (S^0)^{-1}(\pi^+)^T \cdot X$ is a supermartingale relative to Q ; thus, $K^{0,\pi^-} = -(S^0)^{-1}(\pi^-)^T \cdot X$ is a submartingale.

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore x &\geq E^Q[(S^0)^{-1}V + (S^0)^{-1}c \cdot i]_t \\ x' &\leq E^Q[(S^0)^{-1}V' + (S^0)^{-1}c' \cdot i]_t \\ \Rightarrow x - x' &\geq E^Q[(S^0)^{-1}(V - V') + (S^0)^{-1}(c - c') \cdot i]_t \end{aligned}$$

As $c_t \geq \varphi_t \geq c'_t$, and $V_T \geq \Phi \geq V'_T$, then $V_T - V'_T \geq 0$, $c - c' \geq 0$. Therefore,

$$(x - x')_T = x - x' \geq E^Q[(S^0)^{-1}(V - V') + (S^0)^{-1}(c - c') \cdot i]_t \geq 0$$

Then, we can claim that $x - x' \geq 0$ for all initial endowment and $\pi^+ \geq \pi^-$.

14.11

(a)

From (14.10), we can have:

$$M_0 = E^Q[(S_T^0)^{-1}\Phi + \int_0^T (S_u^0)^{-1} \varphi_u du]$$

Also, from (14.9), we know:

$$\begin{aligned} V_0^+ = x &\geq \pi^+ \geq E^Q[(S^0)^{-1}V + (S^0)^{-1}c \cdot i]_t \geq E^Q[(S_T^0)^{-1}\Phi + ((S_T^0)^{-1}\varphi \cdot i)_T] = M_0 \\ V_0^- = x' &\leq \pi^- \leq E^Q[(S^0)^{-1}V' + (S^0)^{-1}c \cdot i]_t \leq E^Q[(S_T^0)^{-1}\Phi + ((S_T^0)^{-1}\varphi \cdot i)_T] = M_0 \\ \therefore V_0^+ &\geq M_0 \geq V_0^- \end{aligned}$$

And, we can further get

$$\pi^+ \geq M_0 \geq \pi^-$$

(b)

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_t^\pm &= \pm S_t^0 (\sigma_t^T)^{-1} h_t \\ K^{0, \pi^\pm} &= (\pm h^T (\sigma)^{-1} \cdot X)_t = (\pm h^T (\sigma)^{-1} \cdot (\sigma \cdot \beta))_t \\ &= \pm (h^T \cdot \beta)_t = \pm (M_t - M_0) \end{aligned}$$

As M_t by definition is a (\mathcal{G}, Q) -martingale, and as $K^{0, \pi^\pm} = \pm(M_t - M_0)$, then K^{0, π^\pm} is a martingale. Therefore, $K^{0, \pi^\pm} \geq \pm(M_t - M_0)$, which implies that $\pi_t^\pm = \pm S_t^0 (\sigma_t^T)^{-1} h_t$ are both Q -tame.

(c)

The investment consumption strategy is (M_0, π^+, φ) , then:

$$\begin{aligned} V^{M_0, \pi^+, \varphi} &= (S^0)(M_0 + (S^0)^{-1}(\pi^+)^T \cdot X - (S^0)^{-1}\varphi \cdot i) \\ V_T &= (S_T^0)(M_0 + M_T - M_0 - ((S^0)^{-1}\varphi \cdot i)_T) = (S_T^0)M_T - (S_T^0)((S^0)^{-1}\varphi \cdot i)_T \\ M_T &= [(S_T^0)^{-1}\Phi + \int_0^T (S_u^0)^{-1} \varphi_u du] = [(S_T^0)^{-1}\Phi + ((S_T^0)^{-1}\varphi \cdot i)_T] \\ \Rightarrow V_T &= \Phi + S_T^0(((S^0)^{-1}\varphi \cdot i)_T) - S_T^0(((S^0)^{-1}\varphi \cdot i)_T) = \Phi \\ \therefore V_T &\geq \Phi \end{aligned}$$

As $c = \varphi$, then $c \geq \varphi$, therefore, (M_0, π^+, φ) is an upper hedging strategy, which implies $M_0 \geq \pi^+$.

(d)

The investment consumption strategy is (M_0, π^-, φ) , then:

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{V}^{M_0, \pi^-, \varphi} &= (S^0)(M_0 - (S^0)^{-1}(\pi^+)^T \cdot X - (S^0)^{-1}\varphi \cdot i) \\ \widetilde{V}_T &= (S_T^0)(M_0 + M_T - M_0 - ((S^0)^{-1}\varphi \cdot i)_T) = (S_T^0)M_T - (S_T^0)((S^0)^{-1}\varphi \cdot i)_T \\ M_T &= [(S_T^0)^{-1}\Phi + \int_0^T (S_u^0)^{-1} \varphi_u du] = [(S_T^0)^{-1}\Phi + ((S_T^0)^{-1}\varphi \cdot i)_T] \\ \Rightarrow \widetilde{V}_T &= \Phi + S_T^0(((S^0)^{-1}\varphi \cdot i)_T) - S_T^0(((S^0)^{-1}\varphi \cdot i)_T) = \Phi \\ \therefore \widetilde{V}_T &\leq \Phi \end{aligned}$$

As $c = \varphi$, then $c \leq \varphi$, therefore, (M_0, π^-, φ) is a lower hedging strategy, which implies $M_0 \leq \pi^-$.

(e)

$$\pi^+ \leq M_0 \leq \pi^-$$

And,

$$\pi^+ \geq \pi^-$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi^+ &= \pi^- = M_0 \\
&= \pi \\
&= E^Q[(S_T^0)^{-1}\Phi + \int_0^T (S_u^0)^{-1} \varphi_u du | \mathcal{G}_0] \\
&= E^Q[(S_T^0)^{-1}\Phi + \int_0^T (S_u^0)^{-1} \varphi_u du]
\end{aligned}$$

14.13

According to (14.11), we know that π will be an upper hedging strategy if $\pi = M_0$ and $\pi = S_t^0(\sigma_t^T)^{-1}h_t$. Likewise, we can have $-\pi = -S_t^0(\sigma_t^T)^{-1}h_t$ is a lower hedging strategy. Thus, the investment-consumption strategy in (14.11b) is a replicating strategy.

As $V_T = \Phi$, $c = \varphi$, and $(S^0)^{-1}V + (S^0)^{-1}\varphi \cdot i$ is \mathcal{G} -martingale, then M_t is a \mathcal{G} -martingale. As the market is complete, then the ELMM is unique. Assume $\exists h'$ that also satisfies $M_t - M_0 = h' \cdot \beta$ and $M_t - M_0 = h \cdot \beta$ where β is a BM. Then, obviously

$$\begin{aligned}
&(h - h') \cdot \beta = 0 \\
\Rightarrow &\langle (h - h') \cdot \beta, (h - h') \cdot \beta \rangle = 0 \\
&\Rightarrow (h - h')^2 \cdot t = 0 \\
&\therefore h = h'
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we can claim that h is unique, which implies that the replicating strategy is unique.

14.16

In the context of BSM, $\sigma_t = \sigma = \text{constant}$, $r_t = r = \text{constant}$. Then the contingent claim $\mathcal{K}_0(T, S_T^4)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
M_t &= E^Q \left[(S_T^0)^{-1}\Phi + \int_0^T (S_u^0)^{-1} \varphi_u du \mid \mathcal{G}_t \right] \\
&= E^Q [(S_T^0)^{-1}S_T^4 \mid \mathcal{G}_t] \\
&= e^{-rT} E^Q [S_0^4 \times e^{4\sigma\beta_T + 4rT - 2\sigma^2T} \mid \mathcal{G}_t] \\
&= e^{-rT + 4rT - 2\sigma^2T} E^Q [S_0^4 \times e^{4\sigma\beta_T} \mid \mathcal{G}_t] \\
&= S_0^4 e^{3rT - 2\sigma^2T} E^Q [e^{4\sigma\beta_T} \mid \mathcal{G}_t] \\
&= S_0^4 e^{3rT - 2\sigma^2T} E^Q [e^{4\sigma(\beta_T - \beta_t)} e^{4\sigma\beta_t} \mid \mathcal{G}_t] \\
&= S_0^4 e^{3rT + 8\sigma^2(T-t) - 2\sigma^2T + 4\sigma\beta_t} \\
D\Phi_s &= 4\sigma S_0^4 e^{3rT - 2\sigma^2T + 8\sigma^2(T-t) + 4\sigma\beta_t} \\
E[D\Phi_s \mid \mathcal{G}_s^\beta] &= 4\sigma S_0^4 e^{3rT + 8\sigma^2(T-s) + 4\sigma\beta_s - 2\sigma^2T} \\
\pi_t &= 4S_0^4 e^{3rT - 8\sigma^2t + 4\sigma\beta_t + 6\sigma^2T + r_t} \\
x &= S_0^4 e^{3rT + 6\sigma^2T}
\end{aligned}$$

14.17

For $K > 0$, $\Phi = (S_T - K)^+ = (S_T - K)1_{[K, \infty[}(S_T)$

$$\begin{aligned}
M_t &= E^Q [(S_T^0)^{-1}(S_T - K)1_{[K, \infty[}(S_T) \mid \mathcal{G}_t] \\
&= e^{-rT} E^Q \left[\left(S_0 e^{\sigma\beta_T + rT - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2T} - K \right) 1_{[a, \infty[}(\beta_T) \mid \mathcal{G}_t \right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
a &= \frac{1}{\sigma} \left(\ln \frac{K}{S_0} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 T - rT \right) \\
\Rightarrow M_t &= e^{-rT} (-K) P(\beta_T \geq c) + S_0 e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 T} E^Q[e^{\sigma \beta_T} 1_{\mathcal{G}_t}] \\
&\times E^Q[e^{\sigma \beta_T} 1_{[c, \infty[}(\beta_T) | \mathcal{G}_t]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
h_t &= E[DM_t | \mathcal{F}_t^W] \\
&= E[DE[e^{-rT} \Phi | \mathcal{G}_t] | \mathcal{F}_t^W] \\
&= e^{-rT} E[D\Phi | \mathcal{G}_t] \\
&= e^{-rT} E_A \left[D \left(S_0 e^{\sigma \beta_T + rT - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 T} - K \right) | \mathcal{G}_t \right] \\
\text{where } A: B_T &\geq a: \frac{1}{\sigma} \left(\ln \frac{K}{S_0} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 T - rT \right) \\
&= e^{-rT} \sigma e^{rT - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 T} S_0 E_A[e^{\sigma \beta_T} | \mathcal{G}_t] \\
&= S_0 \sigma e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 T + \sigma \beta_T} \int_{a - \beta_T}^{\infty} \frac{e^{\sigma x} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2(T-t)}}}{\sqrt{2\pi(T-t)}} dx \\
&= S_0 \sigma e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 T + \sigma \beta_T + \frac{1}{2} (T-t) \sigma^2} P(\beta_T \geq a - (T-t)\sigma)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_0 \Pi_t &= e^{rt} \frac{1}{\sigma} h_t \\
&= S_0 e^{\sigma \beta_T + rt - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 t} P(\beta_T \geq a - (T-t)\sigma) \\
P(\beta_T \geq a - (T-t)\sigma) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \operatorname{erf} \frac{a - (T-t)\sigma}{\sqrt{2T}} \right) \\
\Rightarrow \Pi_t &= \frac{1}{2} S_0 e^{\sigma \beta_T + rt - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 t} \left(1 - \operatorname{erf} \frac{a - (T-t)\sigma}{\sqrt{2T}} \right) \\
a &= \frac{1}{\sigma} \left(\ln \frac{K}{S_0} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 T - rT \right)
\end{aligned}$$

14.23

(a)

The wealth process of lower hedging strategy is:

$$(S^0)^{-1} \tilde{V}^- + (S^0)^{-1} c \cdot t = x^- (S^0)^{-1} (\pi^-)^T \cdot X$$

As π^- is Q -admissible, then the RHS is a sub-martingale. Thus, $\tilde{V}^- \leq \Phi$, $c \leq \varphi$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
x^- &\leq E^Q[(S_t^0)^{-1} \tilde{V}^- + ((S^0)^{-1} c \cdot t)_t | \mathcal{G}_0] \\
&\leq E^Q[(S_t^0)^{-1} \Phi + ((S^0)^{-1} \varphi \cdot t)_t | \mathcal{G}_0] \\
&= E^Q[H_t | \mathcal{G}_0] \\
&= E^Q[H_t]
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we can claim that the initial loan in a lower hedging strategy for the closing time t cannot exceed $E^Q[H_t]$.

(b)

Define the following (\mathcal{G}^t, Q) -martingale,

$$M_t \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} E^Q[(S_t^0)^{-1}\Phi_t + ((S^0)^{-1}\varphi \cdot t)_t | \mathcal{G}_{t \wedge t}]$$

By (9.45) and (9.78), we can get M_t is also a (\mathcal{G}, Q) -martingale. According to the PRP, M_t can be expressed as $M_t = M_0 + h^T \cdot \beta$ for some predictable h , and we can set $\pi_t^- \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -(\sigma_t^T)^{-1} S_t^0 h_t$.

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} (S_{t \wedge t}^0)^{-1} \tilde{V}^- + ((S^0)^{-1} c \cdot t)_{t \wedge t} &= M_0 - ((S^0)^{-1} (\pi^-)^T)_{t \wedge t} = M_t \\ (S_{t \wedge t}^0)^{-1} \tilde{V}^- &= E^Q[(S_t^0)^{-1} \Phi_t + ((S^0)^{-1} \varphi \cdot t)_{t \wedge t} | \mathcal{G}_{t \wedge t}] - ((S^0)^{-1} \varphi \cdot t)_{t \wedge t} \\ &= E^Q \left[(S_t^0)^{-1} \Phi_t + \int_{t \wedge t}^t e^{-\int_{t \wedge t}^s r_s ds} \varphi_u du \middle| \mathcal{G}_{t \wedge t} \right] \\ \Rightarrow \tilde{V}^- &= E^Q \left[e^{-\int_{t \wedge t}^t r_u du} \Phi_t + \int_{t \wedge t}^t e^{-\int_{t \wedge t}^s r_s ds} \varphi_u du \middle| \mathcal{G}_{t \wedge t} \right] \end{aligned}$$

By definition, we can conclude that $\pi^- = \sup_{t \in \mathcal{T}_{[0, T]}} E^Q[H_t]$.

14.24

π^+ is a Q -tame upper hedging strategy, then.

$$(S^0)^{-1} V^+ + (S^0)^{-1} c \cdot t = x^+ + (S^0)^{-1} (\pi^+)^T \cdot X$$

The RHS is a super-martingale with $V^+ \geq \Phi$, $c \geq \varphi$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} x^+ &\geq E^Q[(S_t^0)^{-1} V^+ + ((S^0)^{-1} c \cdot t)_t | \mathcal{G}_0] \\ &\geq E^Q[(S_t^0)^{-1} \Phi + ((S^0)^{-1} \varphi \cdot t)_t | \mathcal{G}_0] \\ &= E^Q[H_t | \mathcal{G}_0] \\ &= E^Q[H_t] \end{aligned}$$

By (14.23), we know that $x^- \leq E^Q[H_t]$, then:

$$\begin{aligned} x^+ &\geq E^Q[H_t] \geq x^- \\ \therefore \Pi^+ &\geq \Pi^- \end{aligned}$$

14.28

Define a uniformly integrable martingale:

$$M_t \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} E \left[\sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}_+} H_s \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right]$$

Then, by the definition of essential supremum, we can get

$$\begin{aligned} M_t &= E \left[\sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}_+} H_s \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right] \geq E \left[\sup_{s \in \mathcal{T}[0, +\infty]} H_s \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right] \\ &\Rightarrow M_t \geq U_t \end{aligned}$$

Particular, $M_t \geq U_t$. Given $E \left[\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} H_t \right] < \infty$, then,

$$E[|M_t|] = E[M_t] \leq E \left[E \left[\sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}_+} H_s \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right] \right] = E \left[\sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}_+} H_s \right] < \infty$$

Therefore, M_t is integrable, and according to (4.25), U_t is uniformly integrable for all stopping time. Thus, we can claim that U is of class D.

14.29

Suppose that \exists another right-continuous super-martingale \tilde{U} such that $\tilde{U} \geq H$. Then, for any $s \in \mathcal{T}_{[0, \infty]}$, $s \geq t$,

$$\begin{aligned} E[H_s|\mathcal{F}_t] &\leq E[\tilde{U}_s|\mathcal{F}_t] \leq \tilde{U}_t \\ \Rightarrow U_t &= \text{ess sup}_{s \in \mathcal{T}_{[0,\infty]}} E[H_s|\mathcal{F}_t] \leq \tilde{U}_t \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we can claim that Snell envelope U is the smallest satisfies the conditions.

14.30

If (a) and (b) hold, then

$$\begin{aligned} U_{t \wedge t^*} &= E[U_{t^*}|\mathcal{F}_{t \wedge t^*}] \\ \Rightarrow U_0 &= E[U_{t^*}|\mathcal{F}_0] = E[U_{t^*}] \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, t^* is optimal.

If t^* is an optimal stopping time, then

$$U_0 = E[H_{t^*}] = E[E[H_{t^*}|\mathcal{F}_s]] \leq E[U_s] \leq U_0$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} E[E[H_{t^*}|\mathcal{F}_s]] &= E[U_s] \\ \Rightarrow U_s &= E[H_{t^*}|\mathcal{F}_s] \\ E[U_s] &= U_0 \end{aligned}$$

Then, for any bounded stopping time s' :

$$\begin{aligned} E[U_{s'}^{t^*}] &= E[U_{t^* \wedge s'}] = E[U_0] = U_0 \\ E[|U_{s'}|] &= E[U_{s'}] \leq E\left[\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} |H_t|\right] < \infty \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, U^{t^*} is a martingale, and

$$U_{t^*} = E[H_{t^*}|\mathcal{F}_{t^*}] = H_{t^*}$$

14.33

$$\begin{aligned} (S^0)^{-1}\pi \cdot x &= (S^0)^{-1}(S^0(\sigma^T)^{-1})^T \cdot x \\ &= ((S^0)^{-1}S^0\sigma^{-1}h^T) \cdot x \\ &= (\sigma^{-1}h^T) \cdot x \\ &= h^T \cdot (\sigma^{-1}x) \\ &= h^T \cdot \beta \end{aligned}$$

which is a martingale under Q . Then $(S^0)^{-1}\pi \cdot x$ can be the lower bound by itself as it is a martingale. Therefore, $U_0 = M_0$ and $dC_t \geq \varphi_t dt$, (U_0, π, dC) is Q -admissible.

14.35

Given $\delta = 0$, $e^{-r \cdot i} S$ is a martingale and $e^{-r \cdot i} K$ is a super-martingale under Q . Then $e^{-r \cdot i} (S - K)$ is a sub-martingale. As $(\cdot)^+$ is a convex function, $e^{-r \cdot i} (S - K)^+$ is also a sub-martingale. By (9.37), we can get

$$\begin{aligned} U_t &= \text{ess sup}_{t \in \mathcal{T}_{[t,T]}} E^Q[e^{-rt}(S_t - K)^+|\mathcal{G}_t] \\ &= E^Q[e^{-rT}(S_T - K)^+|\mathcal{G}_t] \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{as } E^Q[e^{-rt_1}(S_{t_1} - K)^+|\mathcal{G}_t] \leq E^Q[E^Q[e^{-rt_2}(S_{t_2} - K)^+|\mathcal{G}_t]|\mathcal{G}_t] = E^Q[e^{-rt}(S_{t_2} - K)^+|\mathcal{G}_t]$$