

Stochastic Methods in Asset Pricing

The MIT Press (2017)

The Coin Toss Space and the Random Walk

(section 1.4)

Andrew Lyasoff

© 2020 by Andrew Lyasoff | www.andrewlyasoff.tech

All rights are reserved.

Event Trees

Coin Toss Space

Probability on Ω_∞

Technicalities

Infinitely Often

Event Trees and Partitions of the Sample Space

The Coin Toss Space

The Natural Probability Measure on the Coin Toss Space

Purely Atomic Measures and Completion of the σ -field

First Borel-Cantelli Lemma

Event Trees

Coin Toss Space

Probability on Ω_∞

Technicalities

Infinitely Often

Event Trees and Partitions of the Sample Space

The Coin Toss Space

The Natural Probability Measure on the Coin Toss Space

Purely Atomic Measures and Completion of the σ -field

First Borel-Cantelli Lemma

Event Trees

Coin Toss Space

Probability on Ω_∞

Technicalities

Infinitely Often

Event Trees and Partitions of the Sample Space

The Coin Toss Space

The Natural Probability Measure on the Coin Toss Space

Purely Atomic Measures and Completion of the σ -field

First Borel-Cantelli Lemma

Event Trees

Coin Toss Space

Probability on Ω_∞

Technicalities

Infinitely Often

Event Trees and Partitions of the Sample Space

The Coin Toss Space

The Natural Probability Measure on the Coin Toss Space

Purely Atomic Measures and Completion of the σ -field

First Borel-Cantelli Lemma

Event Trees

Coin Toss Space

Probability on Ω_∞

Technicalities

Infinitely Often

Event Trees and Partitions of the Sample Space

The Coin Toss Space

The Natural Probability Measure on the Coin Toss Space

Purely Atomic Measures and Completion of the σ -field

First Borel-Cantelli Lemma

Event Trees

Coin Toss Space

Probability on Ω_∞

Technicalities

Infinitely Often

Event Trees

Coin Toss Space

Probability on Ω_∞

Technicalities

Infinitely Often

Definition (Partition of Ω)

Definition (Partition of Ω)

A partition of Ω is any finite collection of sets (atoms) $\mathcal{P} = (A_i \subseteq \Omega)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_n}$ such that $A_i \neq \emptyset$, $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$, and $\cup_{i \in \mathbb{N}_n} A_i = \Omega$.

Definition (Partition of Ω)

A partition of Ω is any finite collection of sets (atoms) $\mathcal{P} = (A_i \subseteq \Omega)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_n}$ such that $A_i \neq \emptyset$, $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$, and $\cup_{i \in \mathbb{N}_n} A_i = \Omega$.

The collection of all sets inside Ω that can be formed by taking unions of atoms from the partition \mathcal{P} is a σ -field denoted by $\mathcal{F} = \sigma(\mathcal{P})$. This σ -field has cardinality $|\mathcal{F}| = 2^{n+1}$ (since $|\mathbb{N}_n| = n + 1$) and is called the σ -field generated by the partition \mathcal{P} .

Definition (Partition of Ω)

A partition of Ω is any finite collection of sets (atoms) $\mathcal{P} = (A_i \subseteq \Omega)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_n}$ such that $A_i \neq \emptyset$, $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$, and $\cup_{i \in \mathbb{N}_n} A_i = \Omega$.

The collection of all sets inside Ω that can be formed by taking unions of atoms from the partition \mathcal{P} is a σ -field denoted by $\mathcal{F} = \sigma(\mathcal{P})$. This σ -field has cardinality $|\mathcal{F}| = 2^{n+1}$ (since $|\mathbb{N}_n| = n + 1$) and is called the σ -field generated by the partition \mathcal{P} .

N.B. Every σ -field that has finite cardinality $|\mathcal{F}| < \infty$ is generated by some finite partition ($\mathcal{F} = \sigma(\mathcal{P})$).

Definition (Partition of Ω)

A partition of Ω is any finite collection of sets (atoms) $\mathcal{P} = (A_i \subseteq \Omega)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_n}$ such that $A_i \neq \emptyset$, $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$, and $\cup_{i \in \mathbb{N}_n} A_i = \Omega$.

The collection of all sets inside Ω that can be formed by taking unions of atoms from the partition \mathcal{P} is a σ -field denoted by $\mathcal{F} = \sigma(\mathcal{P})$. This σ -field has cardinality $|\mathcal{F}| = 2^{n+1}$ (since $|\mathbb{N}_n| = n + 1$) and is called the σ -field generated by the partition \mathcal{P} .

N.B. Every σ -field that has finite cardinality $|\mathcal{F}| < \infty$ is generated by some finite partition ($\mathcal{F} = \sigma(\mathcal{P})$).

N.B. The partition \mathcal{P}' is a *refinement* of \mathcal{P} if every atom in \mathcal{P} is the union of *some* atoms in \mathcal{P}' . This connection is the same as $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{P}')$.

Definition (Partition of Ω)

A partition of Ω is any finite collection of sets (atoms) $\mathcal{P} = (A_i \subseteq \Omega)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_n}$ such that $A_i \neq \emptyset$, $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$, and $\cup_{i \in \mathbb{N}_n} A_i = \Omega$.

The collection of all sets inside Ω that can be formed by taking unions of atoms from the partition \mathcal{P} is a σ -field denoted by $\mathcal{F} = \sigma(\mathcal{P})$. This σ -field has cardinality $|\mathcal{F}| = 2^{n+1}$ (since $|\mathbb{N}_n| = n + 1$) and is called the σ -field generated by the partition \mathcal{P} .

N.B. Every σ -field that has finite cardinality $|\mathcal{F}| < \infty$ is generated by some finite partition ($\mathcal{F} = \sigma(\mathcal{P})$).

N.B. The partition \mathcal{P}' is a *refinement* of \mathcal{P} if every atom in \mathcal{P} is the union of *some* atoms in \mathcal{P}' . This connection is the same as $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{P}')$.

N.B. If \mathcal{P}' is a refinement of \mathcal{P} , then \mathcal{P}' is more informed than \mathcal{P} . The least informed partition is that one with Ω = the only atom. The most informed partition is the one in which every atom is a singleton $\{\omega\}$, $\omega \in \Omega$.

Definition (Partition of Ω)

A partition of Ω is any finite collection of sets (atoms) $\mathcal{P} = (A_i \subseteq \Omega)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_n}$ such that $A_i \neq \emptyset$, $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$, and $\cup_{i \in \mathbb{N}_n} A_i = \Omega$.

The collection of all sets inside Ω that can be formed by taking unions of atoms from the partition \mathcal{P} is a σ -field denoted by $\mathcal{F} = \sigma(\mathcal{P})$. This σ -field has cardinality $|\mathcal{F}| = 2^{n+1}$ (since $|\mathbb{N}_n| = n + 1$) and is called the σ -field generated by the partition \mathcal{P} .

N.B. Every σ -field that has finite cardinality $|\mathcal{F}| < \infty$ is generated by some finite partition ($\mathcal{F} = \sigma(\mathcal{P})$).

N.B. The partition \mathcal{P}' is a *refinement* of \mathcal{P} if every atom in \mathcal{P} is the union of *some* atoms in \mathcal{P}' . This connection is the same as $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{P}')$.

N.B. If \mathcal{P}' is a refinement of \mathcal{P} , then \mathcal{P}' is more informed than \mathcal{P} . The least informed partition is that one with Ω as the only atom. The most informed partition is the one in which every atom is a singleton $\{\omega\}$, $\omega \in \Omega$.

N.B. A *chain of partitions* is any finite or infinite sequence $(\mathcal{P}_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_n}$ such that $\mathcal{P}_i \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{P}_{i+1})$.

Definition (Partition of Ω)

A partition of Ω is any finite collection of sets (atoms) $\mathcal{P} = (A_i \subseteq \Omega)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_n}$ such that $A_i \neq \emptyset$, $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$, and $\cup_{i \in \mathbb{N}_n} A_i = \Omega$.

The collection of all sets inside Ω that can be formed by taking unions of atoms from the partition \mathcal{P} is a σ -field denoted by $\mathcal{F} = \sigma(\mathcal{P})$. This σ -field has cardinality $|\mathcal{F}| = 2^{n+1}$ (since $|\mathbb{N}_n| = n + 1$) and is called the σ -field generated by the partition \mathcal{P} .

N.B. Every σ -field that has finite cardinality $|\mathcal{F}| < \infty$ is generated by some finite partition ($\mathcal{F} = \sigma(\mathcal{P})$).

N.B. The partition \mathcal{P}' is a *refinement* of \mathcal{P} if every atom in \mathcal{P} is the union of *some* atoms in \mathcal{P}' . This connection is the same as $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{P}')$.

N.B. If \mathcal{P}' is a refinement of \mathcal{P} , then \mathcal{P}' is more informed than \mathcal{P} . The least informed partition is that one with Ω as the only atom. The most informed partition is the one in which every atom is a singleton $\{\omega\}$, $\omega \in \Omega$.

N.B. A *chain of partitions* is any finite or infinite sequence $(\mathcal{P}_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_n}$ such that $\mathcal{P}_i \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{P}_{i+1})$.

N.B. Chains of partitions are often interpreted as “event trees.”

Definition (Partition of Ω)

A partition of Ω is any finite collection of sets (atoms) $\mathcal{P} = (A_i \subseteq \Omega)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_n}$ such that $A_i \neq \emptyset$, $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$, and $\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}_n} A_i = \Omega$.

The collection of all sets inside Ω that can be formed by taking unions of atoms from the partition \mathcal{P} is a σ -field denoted by $\mathcal{F} = \sigma(\mathcal{P})$. This σ -field has cardinality $|\mathcal{F}| = 2^{n+1}$ (since $|\mathbb{N}_n| = n + 1$) and is called the σ -field generated by the partition \mathcal{P} .

N.B. Every σ -field that has finite cardinality $|\mathcal{F}| < \infty$ is generated by some finite partition ($\mathcal{F} = \sigma(\mathcal{P})$).

N.B. The partition \mathcal{P}' is a *refinement* of \mathcal{P} if every atom in \mathcal{P} is the union of *some* atoms in \mathcal{P}' . This connection is the same as $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{P}')$.

N.B. If \mathcal{P}' is a refinement of \mathcal{P} , then \mathcal{P}' is more informed than \mathcal{P} . The least informed partition is that one with Ω as the only atom. The most informed partition is the one in which every atom is a singleton $\{\omega\}$, $\omega \in \Omega$.

N.B. A *chain of partitions* is any finite or infinite sequence $(\mathcal{P}_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_n}$ such that $\mathcal{P}_i \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{P}_{i+1})$.

N.B. Chains of partitions are often interpreted as “event trees.”

N.B. A function $P : \mathcal{P} \mapsto [0, 1]$ such that $\sum_{A \in \mathcal{P}} P(A) = 1$ defines a probability measure on $\sigma(\mathcal{P})$.

Event Trees

Coin Toss Space

Probability on Ω_∞

Technicalities

Infinitely Often

The coin toss space Ω_∞ is the collection of all sequences $\omega = (\omega_i \in \{-1, 1\})_{i \in \mathbb{N}_{++}}$.

The coin toss space Ω_∞ is the collection of all sequences $\omega = (\omega_i \in \{-1, 1\})_{i \in \mathbb{N}_{++}}$.

Each ω_i is interpreted as the outcome from tossing the coin associated with the integer $i \in \mathbb{N}_{++}$, and Ω_∞ is the space of all configurations that could possibly occur.

The coin toss space Ω_∞ is the collection of all sequences $\omega = (\omega_i \in \{-1, 1\})_{i \in \mathbb{N}_{++}}$.

Each ω_i is interpreted as the outcome from tossing the coin associated with the integer $i \in \mathbb{N}_{++}$, and Ω_∞ is the space of all configurations that could possibly occur.

N.B. While the coin tosses are countably many, the space of configurations Ω_∞ has uncountably infinite cardinality.

The coin toss space Ω_∞ is the collection of all sequences $\omega = (\omega_i \in \{-1, 1\})_{i \in \mathbb{N}_{++}}$.

Each ω_i is interpreted as the outcome from tossing the coin associated with the integer $i \in \mathbb{N}_{++}$, and Ω_∞ is the space of all configurations that could possibly occur.

N.B. While the coin tosses are countably many, the space of configurations Ω_∞ has uncountably infinite cardinality. This is a welcome news! **Why?**

The coin toss space Ω_∞ is the collection of all sequences $\omega = (\omega_i \in \{-1, 1\})_{i \in \mathbb{N}_{++}}$.

Each ω_i is interpreted as the outcome from tossing the coin associated with the integer $i \in \mathbb{N}_{++}$, and Ω_∞ is the space of all configurations that could possibly occur.

N.B. While the coin tosses are countably many, the space of configurations Ω_∞ has uncountably infinite cardinality. This is a welcome news! Why?

The information structure over Ω_∞ is given by a refining chain of partitions $(\mathcal{P}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$, chosen so that $\mathcal{P}_0 = \{\Omega_\infty\}$, and for any $t \in \mathbb{N}_{++}$ the atoms in \mathcal{P}_t consist of sequences $\omega \in \Omega_\infty$ that start with one and the same string of t tokens $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_t\}$.

The coin toss space Ω_∞ is the collection of all sequences $\omega = (\omega_i \in \{-1, 1\})_{i \in \mathbb{N}_{++}}$.

Each ω_i is interpreted as the outcome from tossing the coin associated with the integer $i \in \mathbb{N}_{++}$, and Ω_∞ is the space of all configurations that could possibly occur.

N.B. While the coin tosses are countably many, the space of configurations Ω_∞ has uncountably infinite cardinality. This is a welcome news! Why?

The information structure over Ω_∞ is given by a refining chain of partitions $(\mathcal{P}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$, chosen so that $\mathcal{P}_0 = \{\Omega_\infty\}$, and for any $t \in \mathbb{N}_{++}$ the atoms in \mathcal{P}_t consist of sequences $\omega \in \Omega_\infty$ that start with one and the same string of t tokens $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_t\}$.

The sequence $(\mathcal{F}_t \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sigma(\mathcal{P}_t))_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an increasing family of σ -fields and is our first encounter with a **filtration**.

The coin toss space Ω_∞ is the collection of all sequences $\omega = (\omega_i \in \{-1, 1\})_{i \in \mathbb{N}_{++}}$.

Each ω_i is interpreted as the outcome from tossing the coin associated with the integer $i \in \mathbb{N}_{++}$, and Ω_∞ is the space of all configurations that could possibly occur.

N.B. While the coin tosses are countably many, the space of configurations Ω_∞ has uncountably infinite cardinality. This is a welcome news! Why?

The information structure over Ω_∞ is given by a refining chain of partitions $(\mathcal{P}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$, chosen so that $\mathcal{P}_0 = \{\Omega_\infty\}$, and for any $t \in \mathbb{N}_{++}$ the atoms in \mathcal{P}_t consist of sequences $\omega \in \Omega_\infty$ that start with one and the same string of t tokens $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_t\}$.

The sequence $(\mathcal{F}_t \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sigma(\mathcal{P}_t))_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an increasing family of σ -fields and is our first encounter with a filtration.

The mappings $\mathcal{X}_t : \Omega_\infty \mapsto \{-1, +1\}$, $t \in \mathbb{N}_{++}$, given by $\mathcal{X}_t(\omega) = \omega_t$, are the so called **coordinate mappings** on the coin toss space Ω_∞ , and we set formally $\mathcal{X}_0(\omega) = 0$.

The coin toss space Ω_∞ is the collection of all sequences $\omega = (\omega_i \in \{-1, 1\})_{i \in \mathbb{N}_{++}}$.

Each ω_i is interpreted as the outcome from tossing the coin associated with the integer $i \in \mathbb{N}_{++}$, and Ω_∞ is the space of all configurations that could possibly occur.

N.B. While the coin tosses are countably many, the space of configurations Ω_∞ has uncountably infinite cardinality. This is a welcome news! Why?

The information structure over Ω_∞ is given by a refining chain of partitions $(\mathcal{P}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$, chosen so that $\mathcal{P}_0 = \{\Omega_\infty\}$, and for any $t \in \mathbb{N}_{++}$ the atoms in \mathcal{P}_t consist of sequences $\omega \in \Omega_\infty$ that start with one and the same string of t tokens $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_t\}$.

The sequence $(\mathcal{F}_t \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sigma(\mathcal{P}_t))_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an increasing family of σ -fields and is our first encounter with a filtration.

The mappings $\mathcal{X}_t : \Omega_\infty \mapsto \{-1, +1\}$, $t \in \mathbb{N}_{++}$, given by $\mathcal{X}_t(\omega) = \omega_t$, are the so called coordinate mappings on the coin toss space Ω_∞ , and we set formally $\mathcal{X}_0(\omega) = 0$.

The random events associated with \mathcal{X}_t , for $t \in \mathbb{N}_{++}$, are $\{\omega \in \Omega_\infty : \mathcal{X}_t(\omega) = +1\}$ and $\{\omega \in \Omega_\infty : \mathcal{X}_t(\omega) = -1\}$.

The coin toss space Ω_∞ is the collection of all sequences $\omega = (\omega_i \in \{-1, 1\})_{i \in \mathbb{N}_{++}}$.

Each ω_i is interpreted as the outcome from tossing the coin associated with the integer $i \in \mathbb{N}_{++}$, and Ω_∞ is the space of all configurations that could possibly occur.

N.B. While the coin tosses are countably many, the space of configurations Ω_∞ has uncountably infinite cardinality. This is a welcome news! Why?

The information structure over Ω_∞ is given by a refining chain of partitions $(\mathcal{P}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$, chosen so that $\mathcal{P}_0 = \{\Omega_\infty\}$, and for any $t \in \mathbb{N}_{++}$ the atoms in \mathcal{P}_t consist of sequences $\omega \in \Omega_\infty$ that start with one and the same string of t tokens $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_t\}$.

The sequence $(\mathcal{F}_t \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sigma(\mathcal{P}_t))_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an increasing family of σ -fields and is our first encounter with a filtration.

The mappings $\mathcal{X}_t : \Omega_\infty \mapsto \{-1, +1\}$, $t \in \mathbb{N}_{++}$, given by $\mathcal{X}_t(\omega) = \omega_t$, are the so called coordinate mappings on the coin toss space Ω_∞ , and we set formally $\mathcal{X}_0(\omega) = 0$.

The random events associated with \mathcal{X}_t , for $t \in \mathbb{N}_{++}$, are $\{\omega \in \Omega_\infty : \mathcal{X}_t(\omega) = +1\}$ and $\{\omega \in \Omega_\infty : \mathcal{X}_t(\omega) = -1\}$. Both events belong to \mathcal{F}_t .

The coin toss space Ω_∞ is the collection of all sequences $\omega = (\omega_i \in \{-1, 1\})_{i \in \mathbb{N}_{++}}$.

Each ω_i is interpreted as the outcome from tossing the coin associated with the integer $i \in \mathbb{N}_{++}$, and Ω_∞ is the space of all configurations that could possibly occur.

N.B. While the coin tosses are countably many, the space of configurations Ω_∞ has uncountably infinite cardinality. This is a welcome news! Why?

The information structure over Ω_∞ is given by a refining chain of partitions $(\mathcal{P}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$, chosen so that $\mathcal{P}_0 = \{\Omega_\infty\}$, and for any $t \in \mathbb{N}_{++}$ the atoms in \mathcal{P}_t consist of sequences $\omega \in \Omega_\infty$ that start with one and the same string of t tokens $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_t\}$.

The sequence $(\mathcal{F}_t \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sigma(\mathcal{P}_t))_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an increasing family of σ -fields and is our first encounter with a filtration.

The mappings $\mathcal{X}_t : \Omega_\infty \mapsto \{-1, +1\}$, $t \in \mathbb{N}_{++}$, given by $\mathcal{X}_t(\omega) = \omega_t$, are the so called coordinate mappings on the coin toss space Ω_∞ , and we set formally $\mathcal{X}_0(\omega) = 0$.

The random events associated with \mathcal{X}_t , for $t \in \mathbb{N}_{++}$, are $\{\omega \in \Omega_\infty : \mathcal{X}_t(\omega) = +1\}$ and $\{\omega \in \Omega_\infty : \mathcal{X}_t(\omega) = -1\}$. Both events belong to \mathcal{F}_t .

The random walk is the family $\mathcal{Z}_t : \Omega_\infty \mapsto \mathbb{Z}$, $t \in \mathbb{N}$, defined by $\mathcal{Z}_t(\omega) = \mathcal{X}_0(\omega) + \mathcal{X}_1(\omega) + \dots + \mathcal{X}_t(\omega)$.

Event Trees

Coin Toss Space

Probability on Ω_∞

Technicalities

Infinitely Often

The Natural Probability Measure on the Coin Toss Space

Event Trees

Coin Toss Space

Probability on Ω_∞

Technicalities

Infinitely Often

The Natural Probability Measure on the Coin Toss Space

Intuitively, if the coin is symmetric, and the coin tosses are random and are not influencing one another, all configurations $\omega \in \Omega_\infty$ should be equally likely to occur. But if we were to assign the same probability $P(\omega) = p$ to every configuration, as the configurations are infinitely many, the only choice would be $p = 0$.

Intuitively, if the coin is symmetric, and the coin tosses are random and are not influencing one another, all configurations $\omega \in \Omega_\infty$ should be equally likely to occur. But if we were to assign the same probability $P(\omega) = p$ to every configuration, as the configurations are infinitely many, the only choice would be $p = 0$.

Define P_t on \mathcal{F}_t so that $P_t(A) = 2^{-t}$ for any atom $A \in \mathcal{P}_t$.

Intuitively, if the coin is symmetric, and the coin tosses are random and are not influencing one another, all configurations $\omega \in \Omega_\infty$ should be equally likely to occur. But if we were to assign the same probability $P(\omega) = p$ to every configuration, as the configurations are infinitely many, the only choice would be $p = 0$.

Define P_t on \mathcal{F}_t so that $P_t(A) = 2^{-t}$ for any atom $A \in \mathcal{P}_t$. Observe that the measures $(P_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ are compatible: $P_t(A) = P_u(A)$ for any $A \in \mathcal{F}_t$ and any $u > t$.

Intuitively, if the coin is symmetric, and the coin tosses are random and are not influencing one another, all configurations $\omega \in \Omega_\infty$ should be equally likely to occur. But if we were to assign the same probability $P(\omega) = p$ to every configuration, as the configurations are infinitely many, the only choice would be $p = 0$.

Define P_t on \mathcal{F}_t so that $P_t(A) = 2^{-t}$ for any atom $A \in \mathcal{P}_t$. Observe that the measures $(P_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ are compatible: $P_t(A) = P_u(A)$ for any $A \in \mathcal{F}_t$ and any $u > t$.

Given any $A \in \mathcal{A} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \cup_{t \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{F}_t$, define the probability $P_*(A)$ so that $P_*(A) = P_t(A)$, for any $t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $A \in \mathcal{F}_t$.

Intuitively, if the coin is symmetric, and the coin tosses are random and are not influencing one another, all configurations $\omega \in \Omega_\infty$ should be equally likely to occur. But if we were to assign the same probability $P(\omega) = p$ to every configuration, as the configurations are infinitely many, the only choice would be $p = 0$.

Define P_t on \mathcal{F}_t so that $P_t(A) = 2^{-t}$ for any atom $A \in \mathcal{P}_t$. Observe that the measures $(P_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ are compatible: $P_t(A) = P_u(A)$ for any $A \in \mathcal{F}_t$ and any $u > t$.

Given any $A \in \mathcal{A} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \cup_{t \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{F}_t$, define the probability $P_*(A)$ so that $P_*(A) = P_t(A)$, for any $t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $A \in \mathcal{F}_t$.

N.B. \mathcal{A} is not a σ -field and P_* is not a probability measure!

Intuitively, if the coin is symmetric, and the coin tosses are random and are not influencing one another, all configurations $\omega \in \Omega_\infty$ should be equally likely to occur. But if we were to assign the same probability $P(\omega) = p$ to every configuration, as the configurations are infinitely many, the only choice would be $p = 0$.

Define P_t on \mathcal{F}_t so that $P_t(A) = 2^{-t}$ for any atom $A \in \mathcal{P}_t$. Observe that the measures $(P_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ are compatible: $P_t(A) = P_u(A)$ for any $A \in \mathcal{F}_t$ and any $u > t$.

Given any $A \in \mathcal{A} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \cup_{t \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{F}_t$, define the probability $P_*(A)$ so that $P_*(A) = P_t(A)$, for any $t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $A \in \mathcal{F}_t$.

N.B. \mathcal{A} is not a σ -field and P_* is not a probability measure!

Unless we improve this picture, the following events will not have a well defined probability:

$\{\omega \in \Omega : \text{the random walk } (\mathcal{Z}_t(\omega) \in \mathbb{Z})_{t \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ crosses } 0 \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ infinitely often}\};$

$\{\omega \in \Omega : \text{the random walk } (\mathcal{Z}_t(\omega) \in \mathbb{Z})_{t \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ never reaches } 100 \in \mathbb{Z}\};$

$\{\omega \in \Omega : \text{the random walk } (\mathcal{Z}_t(\omega) \in \mathbb{Z})_{t \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ crosses } 100 \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ infinitely often}\}.$

Intuitively, if the coin is symmetric, and the coin tosses are random and are not influencing one another, all configurations $\omega \in \Omega_\infty$ should be equally likely to occur. But if we were to assign the same probability $P(\omega) = p$ to every configuration, as the configurations are infinitely many, the only choice would be $p = 0$.

Define P_t on \mathcal{F}_t so that $P_t(A) = 2^{-t}$ for any atom $A \in \mathcal{P}_t$. Observe that the measures $(P_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ are compatible: $P_t(A) = P_u(A)$ for any $A \in \mathcal{F}_t$ and any $u > t$.

Given any $A \in \mathcal{A} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \cup_{t \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{F}_t$, define the probability $P_*(A)$ so that $P_*(A) = P_t(A)$, for any $t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $A \in \mathcal{F}_t$.

N.B. \mathcal{A} is not a σ -field and P_* is not a probability measure!

Unless we improve this picture, the following events will not have a well defined probability:

$\{\omega \in \Omega : \text{the random walk } (\mathcal{Z}_t(\omega) \in \mathbb{Z})_{t \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ crosses } 0 \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ infinitely often}\};$

$\{\omega \in \Omega : \text{the random walk } (\mathcal{Z}_t(\omega) \in \mathbb{Z})_{t \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ never reaches } 100 \in \mathbb{Z}\};$

$\{\omega \in \Omega : \text{the random walk } (\mathcal{Z}_t(\omega) \in \mathbb{Z})_{t \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ crosses } 100 \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ infinitely often}\}.$

Due to **Caratheodory's extension theorem** and **Ionescu Tulcea's theorem**, P_* has unique extension to a probability measure, P_∞ , on the σ -field $\mathcal{F}_\infty \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sigma(\mathcal{A})$, to which the above events belong.

Intuitively, if the coin is symmetric, and the coin tosses are random and are not influencing one another, all configurations $\omega \in \Omega_\infty$ should be equally likely to occur. But if we were to assign the same probability $P(\omega) = p$ to every configuration, as the configurations are infinitely many, the only choice would be $p = 0$.

Define P_t on \mathcal{F}_t so that $P_t(A) = 2^{-t}$ for any atom $A \in \mathcal{P}_t$. Observe that the measures $(P_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ are compatible: $P_t(A) = P_u(A)$ for any $A \in \mathcal{F}_t$ and any $u > t$.

Given any $A \in \mathcal{A} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \cup_{t \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{F}_t$, define the probability $P_*(A)$ so that $P_*(A) = P_t(A)$, for any $t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $A \in \mathcal{F}_t$.

N.B. \mathcal{A} is not a σ -field and P_* is not a probability measure!

Unless we improve this picture, the following events will not have a well defined probability:

$\{\omega \in \Omega : \text{the random walk } (\mathcal{Z}_t(\omega) \in \mathbb{Z})_{t \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ crosses } 0 \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ infinitely often}\};$

$\{\omega \in \Omega : \text{the random walk } (\mathcal{Z}_t(\omega) \in \mathbb{Z})_{t \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ never reaches } 100 \in \mathbb{Z}\};$

$\{\omega \in \Omega : \text{the random walk } (\mathcal{Z}_t(\omega) \in \mathbb{Z})_{t \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ crosses } 100 \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ infinitely often}\}.$

Due to Caratheodory's extension theorem and Ionescu Tulcea's theorem, P_* has unique extension to a probability measure, P_∞ , on the σ -field $\mathcal{F}_\infty \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sigma(\mathcal{A})$, to which the above events belong. Also, $\{\omega\} \in \mathcal{F}_\infty$ and $P_\infty(\{\omega\}) = 0$ for any $\omega \in \Omega_\infty$, that is, P_∞ is a **(non-atomic measure)**.

Intuitively, if the coin is symmetric, and the coin tosses are random and are not influencing one another, all configurations $\omega \in \Omega_\infty$ should be equally likely to occur. But if we were to assign the same probability $P(\omega) = p$ to every configuration, as the configurations are infinitely many, the only choice would be $p = 0$.

Define P_t on \mathcal{F}_t so that $P_t(A) = 2^{-t}$ for any atom $A \in \mathcal{P}_t$. Observe that the measures $(P_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ are compatible: $P_t(A) = P_u(A)$ for any $A \in \mathcal{F}_t$ and any $u > t$.

Given any $A \in \mathcal{A} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \cup_{t \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{F}_t$, define the probability $P_*(A)$ so that $P_*(A) = P_t(A)$, for any $t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $A \in \mathcal{F}_t$.

N.B. \mathcal{A} is not a σ -field and P_* is not a probability measure!

Unless we improve this picture, the following events will not have a well defined probability:

$\{\omega \in \Omega : \text{the random walk } (\mathcal{Z}_t(\omega) \in \mathbb{Z})_{t \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ crosses } 0 \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ infinitely often}\};$

$\{\omega \in \Omega : \text{the random walk } (\mathcal{Z}_t(\omega) \in \mathbb{Z})_{t \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ never reaches } 100 \in \mathbb{Z}\};$

$\{\omega \in \Omega : \text{the random walk } (\mathcal{Z}_t(\omega) \in \mathbb{Z})_{t \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ crosses } 100 \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ infinitely often}\}.$

Due to Caratheodory's extension theorem and Ionescu Tulcea's theorem, P_* has unique extension to a probability measure, P_∞ , on the σ -field $\mathcal{F}_\infty \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sigma(\mathcal{A})$, to which the above events belong. Also, $\{\omega\} \in \mathcal{F}_\infty$ and $P_\infty(\{\omega\}) = 0$ for any $\omega \in \Omega_\infty$, that is, P_∞ is a (non-atomic measure).

The random walk lives on the probability space $(\Omega_\infty, \mathcal{F}_\infty, P_\infty)$.

Event Trees

Coin Toss Space

Probability on Ω_∞

Technicalities

Infinitely Often

Event Trees

Coin Toss Space

Probability on Ω_∞

Technicalities

Infinitely Often

Definition (non-atomic and purely atomic measures)

Definition (non-atomic and purely atomic measures)

Let $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{S}, \mu)$ be a measure space such that $\{x\} \in \mathcal{S}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$.

Definition (non-atomic and purely atomic measures)

Let $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{S}, \mu)$ be a measure space such that $\{x\} \in \mathcal{S}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. The measure μ is said to be **non-atomic** if $\mu(\{x\}) = 0$ for any $x \in \mathbb{X}$.

Definition (non-atomic and purely atomic measures)

Let $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{S}, \mu)$ be a measure space such that $\{x\} \in \mathcal{S}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. The measure μ is said to be non-atomic if $\mu(\{x\}) = 0$ for any $x \in \mathbb{X}$.

It is said to be **purely atomic** if there is a *countable set* $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathbb{X}$ such that

$$\mu(A) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X} \cap A} \mu(\{x\}) \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathcal{S} \quad (= 0 \text{ if } \mathcal{X} \cap A = \emptyset).$$

Definition (non-atomic and purely atomic measures)

Let $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{S}, \mu)$ be a measure space such that $\{x\} \in \mathcal{S}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. The measure μ is said to be non-atomic if $\mu(\{x\}) = 0$ for any $x \in \mathbb{X}$.

It is said to be purely atomic if there is a *countable* set $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathbb{X}$ such that

$$\mu(A) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X} \cap A} \mu(\{x\}) \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathcal{S} \quad (= 0 \text{ if } \mathcal{X} \cap A = \emptyset).$$

Definition (completion of the σ -field)

Definition (non-atomic and purely atomic measures)

Let $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{S}, \mu)$ be a measure space such that $\{x\} \in \mathcal{S}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. The measure μ is said to be non-atomic if $\mu(\{x\}) = 0$ for any $x \in \mathbb{X}$.

It is said to be purely atomic if there is a *countable* set $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathbb{X}$ such that

$$\mu(A) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X} \cap A} \mu(\{x\}) \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathcal{S} \quad (= 0 \text{ if } \mathcal{X} \cap A = \emptyset).$$

Definition (completion of the σ -field)

Let $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{S}, \mu)$ be any measure space.

Definition (non-atomic and purely atomic measures)

Let $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{S}, \mu)$ be a measure space such that $\{x\} \in \mathcal{S}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. The measure μ is said to be non-atomic if $\mu(\{x\}) = 0$ for any $x \in \mathbb{X}$.

It is said to be purely atomic if there is a *countable* set $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathbb{X}$ such that

$$\mu(A) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X} \cap A} \mu(\{x\}) \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathcal{S} \quad (= 0 \text{ if } \mathcal{X} \cap A = \emptyset).$$

Definition (completion of the σ -field)

Let $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{S}, \mu)$ be any measure space. Define:

$$\mathcal{N}_\mu(\mathcal{S}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ \mathcal{E} \subset \mathbb{X} : \mathcal{E} \subseteq E \in \mathcal{S} \text{ for some } E \in \mathcal{S} \text{ with } \mu(E) = 0 \}$$

Definition (non-atomic and purely atomic measures)

Let $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{S}, \mu)$ be a measure space such that $\{x\} \in \mathcal{S}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. The measure μ is said to be non-atomic if $\mu(\{x\}) = 0$ for any $x \in \mathbb{X}$.

It is said to be purely atomic if there is a *countable* set $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathbb{X}$ such that

$$\mu(A) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X} \cap A} \mu(\{x\}) \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathcal{S} \quad (= 0 \text{ if } \mathcal{X} \cap A = \emptyset).$$

Definition (completion of the σ -field)

Let $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{S}, \mu)$ be any measure space. Define:

$$\mathcal{N}_\mu(\mathcal{S}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ \mathcal{E} \subset \mathbb{X} : \mathcal{E} \subseteq E \in \mathcal{S} \text{ for some } E \in \mathcal{S} \text{ with } \mu(E) = 0 \} \quad (\text{collection of } \mu\text{-null sets})$$

Definition (non-atomic and purely atomic measures)

Let $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{S}, \mu)$ be a measure space such that $\{x\} \in \mathcal{S}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. The measure μ is said to be non-atomic if $\mu(\{x\}) = 0$ for any $x \in \mathbb{X}$.

It is said to be purely atomic if there is a *countable* set $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathbb{X}$ such that

$$\mu(A) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X} \cap A} \mu(\{x\}) \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathcal{S} \quad (= 0 \text{ if } \mathcal{X} \cap A = \emptyset).$$

Definition (completion of the σ -field)

Let $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{S}, \mu)$ be any measure space. Define:

$$\mathcal{N}_\mu(\mathcal{S}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ \mathcal{E} \subset \mathbb{X} : \mathcal{E} \subseteq E \in \mathcal{S} \text{ for some } E \in \mathcal{S} \text{ with } \mu(E) = 0 \} \quad (\text{collection of } \mu\text{-null sets})$$

$$\text{and } \tilde{\mathcal{S}}^\mu \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ A \subset \mathbb{X} : A \Delta B \in \mathcal{N}_\mu(\mathcal{S}) \text{ for some } B \in \mathcal{S} \}.$$

Definition (non-atomic and purely atomic measures)

Let $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{S}, \mu)$ be a measure space such that $\{x\} \in \mathcal{S}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. The measure μ is said to be non-atomic if $\mu(\{x\}) = 0$ for any $x \in \mathbb{X}$.

It is said to be purely atomic if there is a *countable* set $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathbb{X}$ such that

$$\mu(A) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X} \cap A} \mu(\{x\}) \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathcal{S} \quad (= 0 \text{ if } \mathcal{X} \cap A = \emptyset).$$

Definition (completion of the σ -field)

Let $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{S}, \mu)$ be any measure space. Define:

$$\mathcal{N}_\mu(\mathcal{S}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ \mathcal{E} \subset \mathbb{X} : \mathcal{E} \subseteq E \in \mathcal{S} \text{ for some } E \in \mathcal{S} \text{ with } \mu(E) = 0 \} \quad (\text{collection of } \mu\text{-null sets})$$

$$\text{and } \tilde{\mathcal{S}}^\mu \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ A \subset \mathbb{X} : A \Delta B \in \mathcal{N}_\mu(\mathcal{S}) \text{ for some } B \in \mathcal{S} \}.$$

$\tilde{\mathcal{S}}^\mu$ is a σ -field and is called **the completion of \mathcal{S} with respect to μ** .

Event Trees

Coin Toss Space

Probability on Ω_∞

Technicalities

Infinitely Often

Definition (non-atomic and purely atomic measures)

Let $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{S}, \mu)$ be a measure space such that $\{x\} \in \mathcal{S}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. The measure μ is said to be non-atomic if $\mu(\{x\}) = 0$ for any $x \in \mathbb{X}$.

It is said to be purely atomic if there is a *countable* set $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathbb{X}$ such that

$$\mu(A) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X} \cap A} \mu(\{x\}) \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathcal{S} \quad (= 0 \text{ if } \mathcal{X} \cap A = \emptyset).$$

Definition (completion of the σ -field)

Let $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{S}, \mu)$ be any measure space. Define:

$$\mathcal{N}_\mu(\mathcal{S}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ \mathcal{E} \subset \mathbb{X} : \mathcal{E} \subseteq E \in \mathcal{S} \text{ for some } E \in \mathcal{S} \text{ with } \mu(E) = 0 \} \quad (\text{collection of } \mu\text{-null sets})$$

$$\text{and } \tilde{\mathcal{S}}^\mu \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ A \subset \mathbb{X} : A \Delta B \in \mathcal{N}_\mu(\mathcal{S}) \text{ for some } B \in \mathcal{S} \}.$$

$\tilde{\mathcal{S}}^\mu$ is a σ -field and is called the completion of \mathcal{S} with respect to μ . The measure μ can be extended to $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}^\mu$ in the obvious way.

Definition (non-atomic and purely atomic measures)

Let $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{S}, \mu)$ be a measure space such that $\{x\} \in \mathcal{S}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. The measure μ is said to be non-atomic if $\mu(\{x\}) = 0$ for any $x \in \mathbb{X}$.

It is said to be purely atomic if there is a *countable* set $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathbb{X}$ such that

$$\mu(A) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X} \cap A} \mu(\{x\}) \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathcal{S} \quad (= 0 \text{ if } \mathcal{X} \cap A = \emptyset).$$

Definition (completion of the σ -field)

Let $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{S}, \mu)$ be any measure space. Define:

$$\mathcal{N}_\mu(\mathcal{S}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ \mathcal{E} \subset \mathbb{X} : \mathcal{E} \subseteq E \in \mathcal{S} \text{ for some } E \in \mathcal{S} \text{ with } \mu(E) = 0 \} \quad (\text{collection of } \mu\text{-null sets})$$

$$\text{and } \tilde{\mathcal{S}}^\mu \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ A \subset \mathbb{X} : A \Delta B \in \mathcal{N}_\mu(\mathcal{S}) \text{ for some } B \in \mathcal{S} \}.$$

$\tilde{\mathcal{S}}^\mu$ is a σ -field and is called the completion of \mathcal{S} with respect to μ . The measure μ can be extended to $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}^\mu$ in the obvious way.

Why do we need to complete the σ -fields?

Event Trees

Coin Toss Space

Probability on Ω_∞

Technicalities

Infinitely Often

Definition (non-atomic and purely atomic measures)

Let $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{S}, \mu)$ be a measure space such that $\{x\} \in \mathcal{S}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. The measure μ is said to be non-atomic if $\mu(\{x\}) = 0$ for any $x \in \mathbb{X}$.

It is said to be purely atomic if there is a *countable* set $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathbb{X}$ such that

$$\mu(A) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X} \cap A} \mu(\{x\}) \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathcal{S} \quad (= 0 \text{ if } \mathcal{X} \cap A = \emptyset).$$

Definition (completion of the σ -field)

Let $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{S}, \mu)$ be any measure space. Define:

$$\mathcal{N}_\mu(\mathcal{S}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ \mathcal{E} \subset \mathbb{X} : \mathcal{E} \subseteq E \in \mathcal{S} \text{ for some } E \in \mathcal{S} \text{ with } \mu(E) = 0 \} \quad (\text{collection of } \mu\text{-null sets})$$

$$\text{and } \tilde{\mathcal{S}}^\mu \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ A \subset \mathbb{X} : A \Delta B \in \mathcal{N}_\mu(\mathcal{S}) \text{ for some } B \in \mathcal{S} \}.$$

$\tilde{\mathcal{S}}^\mu$ is a σ -field and is called the completion of \mathcal{S} with respect to μ . The measure μ can be extended to $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}^\mu$ in the obvious way.

Why do we need to complete the σ -fields?

Event Trees

Coin Toss Space

Probability on Ω_∞

Technicalities

Infinitely Often

Event Trees

Coin Toss Space

Probability on Ω_∞

Technicalities

Infinitely Often

Claiming that the events $(A_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ **occur infinitely often** is the same as claiming that the following event occurs

$$\limsup_i A_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{j \geq i} A_j.$$

Event Trees

Coin Toss Space

Probability on Ω_∞

Technicalities

Infinitely Often

Claiming that the events $(A_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ occur infinitely often is the same as claiming that the following event occurs

$$\limsup_i A_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{j \geq i} A_j.$$

First Borel-Cantelli Lemma

Event Trees

Coin Toss Space

Probability on Ω_∞

Technicalities

Infinitely Often

Claiming that the events $(A_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ occur infinitely often is the same as claiming that the following event occurs

$$\limsup_i A_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{j \geq i} A_j.$$

First Borel-Cantelli Lemma

Let $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{S}, \mu)$ be any measure space and let $(A_i \in \mathcal{S})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be any sequence of sets in \mathcal{S} .

Event Trees

Coin Toss Space

Probability on Ω_∞

Technicalities

Infinitely Often

Claiming that the events $(A_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ occur infinitely often is the same as claiming that the following event occurs

$$\limsup_i A_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{j \geq i} A_j.$$

First Borel-Cantelli Lemma

Let $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{S}, \mu)$ be any measure space and let $(A_i \in \mathcal{S})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be any sequence of sets in \mathcal{S} .

Then $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu(A_i) < \infty$ implies $\mu(\limsup_i A_i) = 0$.

Claiming that the events $(A_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ occur infinitely often is the same as claiming that the following event occurs

$$\limsup_i A_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{j \geq i} A_j.$$

First Borel-Cantelli Lemma

Let $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{S}, \mu)$ be any measure space and let $(A_i \in \mathcal{S})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be any sequence of sets in \mathcal{S} .

Then $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu(A_i) < \infty$ implies $\mu(\limsup_i A_i) = 0$.

An exercise and an illustration:

Event Trees

Coin Toss Space

Probability on Ω_∞

Technicalities

Infinitely Often

Claiming that the events $(A_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ occur infinitely often is the same as claiming that the following event occurs

$$\limsup_i A_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{j \geq i} A_j.$$

First Borel-Cantelli Lemma

Let $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{S}, \mu)$ be any measure space and let $(A_i \in \mathcal{S})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be any sequence of sets in \mathcal{S} .

Then $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu(A_i) < \infty$ implies $\mu(\limsup_i A_i) = 0$.

An exercise and an illustration:

On the coin toss space let $A_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\omega \in \Omega_\infty : \omega_1 = \omega_2 = \dots = \omega_i = 1\}$.

Event Trees

Coin Toss Space

Probability on Ω_∞

Technicalities

Infinitely Often

Claiming that the events $(A_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ occur infinitely often is the same as claiming that the following event occurs

$$\limsup_i A_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{j \geq i} A_j.$$

First Borel-Cantelli Lemma

Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{S}, \mu)$ be any measure space and let $(A_i \in \mathcal{S})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be any sequence of sets in \mathcal{S} .

Then $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu(A_i) < \infty$ implies $\mu(\limsup_i A_i) = 0$.

An exercise and an illustration:

On the coin toss space let $A_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\omega \in \Omega_\infty : \omega_1 = \omega_2 = \dots = \omega_i = 1\}$.

Prove that $P_\infty(\limsup_i A_i) = 0$.

Event Trees

Coin Toss Space

Probability on Ω_∞

Technicalities

Infinitely Often

Claiming that the events $(A_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ occur infinitely often is the same as claiming that the following event occurs

$$\limsup_i A_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{j \geq i} A_j.$$

First Borel-Cantelli Lemma

Let $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{S}, \mu)$ be any measure space and let $(A_i \in \mathcal{S})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be any sequence of sets in \mathcal{S} .

Then $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu(A_i) < \infty$ implies $\mu(\limsup_i A_i) = 0$.

An exercise and an illustration:

On the coin toss space let $A_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\omega \in \Omega_\infty : \omega_1 = \omega_2 = \dots = \omega_i = 1\}$.

Prove that $P_\infty(\limsup_i A_i) = 0$.

What does this mean?

Event Trees

Coin Toss Space

Probability on Ω_∞

Technicalities

Infinitely Often

Claiming that the events $(A_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ occur infinitely often is the same as claiming that the following event occurs

$$\limsup_i A_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{j \geq i} A_j.$$

First Borel-Cantelli Lemma

Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{S}, \mu)$ be any measure space and let $(A_i \in \mathcal{S})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be any sequence of sets in \mathcal{S} .

Then $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu(A_i) < \infty$ implies $\mu(\limsup_i A_i) = 0$.

An exercise and an illustration:

On the coin toss space let $A_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\omega \in \Omega_\infty : \omega_1 = \omega_2 = \dots = \omega_i = 1\}$.

Prove that $P_\infty(\limsup_i A_i) = 0$.

What does this mean?