

Stochastic Methods in Asset Pricing

The MIT Press (2017)

Put-Call Symmetry
(section 14.5)

Andrew Lyasoff

© 2020 by Andrew Lyasoff | www.andrewlyasoff.tech
All rights are reserved.

The Put-Call Symmetry Relation

The Put-Call Symmetry Relation

Recall that in the BSM model we write:

$$dS_t = S_t(dX_t + r dt - \delta dt)$$

Recall that in the BSM model we write:

$$dS_t = S_t(dX_t + r dt - \delta dt)$$

We sill show that

Recall that in the BSM model we write: $dS_t = S_t(dX_t + r dt - \delta dt)$.

We will show that

$$\text{Call}(t, S_t, K, \sigma^2, r, \delta) = \text{Put}(t, K, S_t, \sigma^2, \delta, r)$$

Recall that in the BSM model we write: $dS_t = S_t(dX_t + r dt - \delta dt)$.

We will show that

$$\text{Call}(t, S_t, K, \sigma^2, r, \delta) = \text{Put}(t, K, S_t, \sigma^2, \delta, r)$$

Let $r^e > 0$ be the spot-rate in the EU and $r^u > 0$ be the spot-rate in the US (both rates are constant).

Recall that in the BSM model we write: $dS_t = S_t(dX_t + r dt - \delta dt)$.

We will show that

$$\text{Call}(t, S_t, K, \sigma^2, r, \delta) = \text{Put}(t, K, S_t, \sigma^2, \delta, r)$$

Let $r^e > 0$ be the spot-rate in the EU and $r^u > 0$ be the spot-rate in the US (both rates are constant).

Let $S_t^{e/u} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{the } €/\$ \text{ exchange rate, i.e., the spot price of } €1 \text{ in } \$.$

Recall that in the BSM model we write: $dS_t = S_t(dX_t + r dt - \delta dt)$.

We will show that

$$\text{Call}(t, S_t, K, \sigma^2, r, \delta) = \text{Put}(t, K, S_t, \sigma^2, \delta, r)$$

Let $r^e > 0$ be the spot-rate in the EU and $r^u > 0$ be the spot-rate in the US (both rates are constant).

Let $S_t^{e/u} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{the } \$/\text{€} \text{ exchange rate, i.e., the spot price of } €1 \text{ in } \$.$

Let $S_t^{u/e} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{the } \$/\text{€} \text{ exchange rate, i.e., the spot price of } \$1 \text{ in } €, S_t^{u/e} = 1/S_t^{e/u} \Leftrightarrow S_t^{e/u} = 1/S_t^{u/e}.$

Recall that in the BSM model we write: $dS_t = S_t(dX_t + r dt - \delta dt)$.

We will show that

$$\text{Call}(t, S_t, K, \sigma^2, r, \delta) = \text{Put}(t, K, S_t, \sigma^2, \delta, r)$$

Let $r^e > 0$ be the spot-rate in the EU and $r^u > 0$ be the spot-rate in the US (both rates are constant).

Let $S_t^{e/u} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{the } \$/\text{€} \text{ exchange rate, i.e., the spot price of } €1 \text{ in } \$.$

Let $S_t^{u/e} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{the } \$/\text{€} \text{ exchange rate, i.e., the spot price of } \$1 \text{ in } €, S_t^{u/e} = 1/S_t^{e/u} \Leftrightarrow S_t^{e/u} = 1/S_t^{u/e}.$

Consider the following chain of transactions:

Recall that in the BSM model we write: $dS_t = S_t(dX_t + r dt - \delta dt)$.

We will show that

$$\text{Call}(t, S_t, K, \sigma^2, r, \delta) = \text{Put}(t, K, S_t, \sigma^2, \delta, r)$$

Let $r^e > 0$ be the spot-rate in the EU and $r^u > 0$ be the spot-rate in the US (both rates are constant).

Let $S_t^{e/u} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{the } \$/\text{€} \text{ exchange rate, i.e., the spot price of } €1 \text{ in } \$.$

Let $S_t^{u/e} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{the } \$/\text{€} \text{ exchange rate, i.e., the spot price of } \$1 \text{ in } €, S_t^{u/e} = 1/S_t^{e/u} \Leftrightarrow S_t^{e/u} = 1/S_t^{u/e}.$

Consider the following chain of transactions: borrow \$1 from a US bank,

Recall that in the BSM model we write: $dS_t = S_t(dX_t + r dt - \delta dt)$.

We will show that

$$\text{Call}(t, S_t, K, \sigma^2, r, \delta) = \text{Put}(t, K, S_t, \sigma^2, \delta, r)$$

Let $r^e > 0$ be the spot-rate in the EU and $r^u > 0$ be the spot-rate in the US (both rates are constant).

Let $S_t^{e/u} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{the } \text{€}/\$ \text{ exchange rate, i.e., the spot price of } \text{€}1 \text{ in } \$.$

Let $S_t^{u/e} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{the } \$/\text{€} \text{ exchange rate, i.e., the spot price of } \$1 \text{ in } \text{€}, S_t^{u/e} = 1/S_t^{e/u} \Leftrightarrow S_t^{e/u} = 1/S_t^{u/e}.$

Consider the following chain of transactions: borrow \$1 from a US bank, purchase $\text{€}1/S_t^{e/u}$ and invest this amount immediately in an EU bank.

Recall that in the BSM model we write: $dS_t = S_t(dX_t + r dt - \delta dt)$.

We will show that

$$\text{Call}(t, S_t, K, \sigma^2, r, \delta) = \text{Put}(t, K, S_t, \sigma^2, \delta, r)$$

Let $r^e > 0$ be the spot-rate in the EU and $r^u > 0$ be the spot-rate in the US (both rates are constant).

Let $S_t^{e/u} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{the } \$/\text{€} \text{ exchange rate, i.e., the spot price of } €1 \text{ in } \$.$

Let $S_t^{u/e} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{the } \$/\text{€} \text{ exchange rate, i.e., the spot price of } \$1 \text{ in } €, S_t^{u/e} = 1/S_t^{e/u} \Leftrightarrow S_t^{e/u} = 1/S_t^{u/e}.$

Consider the following chain of transactions: borrow \$1 from a US bank, purchase $\frac{1}{S_t^{e/u}}$ and invest this amount immediately in an EU bank. At time $t + dt$ close the position and settle all accounts.

Recall that in the BSM model we write: $dS_t = S_t(dX_t + r dt - \delta dt)$.

We will show that

$$\text{Call}(t, S_t, K, \sigma^2, r, \delta) = \text{Put}(t, K, S_t, \sigma^2, \delta, r)$$

Let $r^e > 0$ be the spot-rate in the EU and $r^u > 0$ be the spot-rate in the US (both rates are constant).

Let $S_t^{e/u} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{the } \$/\text{€} \text{ exchange rate, i.e., the spot price of } €1 \text{ in } \$.$

Let $S_t^{u/e} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{the } \$/\text{€} \text{ exchange rate, i.e., the spot price of } \$1 \text{ in } €, S_t^{u/e} = 1/S_t^{e/u} \Leftrightarrow S_t^{e/u} = 1/S_t^{u/e}.$

Consider the following chain of transactions: borrow \$1 from a US bank, purchase $\$1/S_t^{e/u}$ and invest this amount immediately in an EU bank. At time $t + dt$ close the position and settle all accounts. The payoff generated this way at time $t + dt$ is:

$$dX_t \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1 + r^e dt}{S_t^{e/u}} S_{t+dt}^{e/u} - (1 + r^u dt)$$

Recall that in the BSM model we write: $dS_t = S_t(dX_t + r dt - \delta dt)$.

We will show that

$$\text{Call}(t, S_t, K, \sigma^2, r, \delta) = \text{Put}(t, K, S_t, \sigma^2, \delta, r)$$

Let $r^e > 0$ be the spot-rate in the EU and $r^u > 0$ be the spot-rate in the US (both rates are constant).

Let $S_t^{e/u} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{the } \text{€}/\$ \text{ exchange rate, i.e., the spot price of } \text{€}1 \text{ in } \$.$

Let $S_t^{u/e} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{the } \$/\text{€} \text{ exchange rate, i.e., the spot price of } \$1 \text{ in } \text{€}, S_t^{u/e} = 1/S_t^{e/u} \Leftrightarrow S_t^{e/u} = 1/S_t^{u/e}.$

Consider the following chain of transactions: borrow \$1 from a US bank, purchase $\text{€}1/S_t^{e/u}$ and invest this amount immediately in an EU bank. At time $t + dt$ close the position and settle all accounts. The payoff generated this way at time $t + dt$ is:

$$dX_t \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1 + r^e dt}{S_t^{e/u}} S_{t+dt}^{e/u} - (1 + r^u dt)$$

$$S_t^{e/u} dX_t = (1 + r^e dt) S_{t+dt}^{e/u} - (1 + r^u dt) S_t^{e/u} = dS_t^{e/u} + (r^e - r^u) S_t^{e/u} dt + r^e dS_t^{e/u} dt.$$

N.B. $dS_t^{e/u} dt = 0$.

Recall that in the BSM model we write: $dS_t = S_t(dX_t + r dt - \delta dt)$.

We will show that

$$\text{Call}(t, S_t, K, \sigma^2, r, \delta) = \text{Put}(t, K, S_t, \sigma^2, \delta, r)$$

Let $r^e > 0$ be the spot-rate in the EU and $r^u > 0$ be the spot-rate in the US (both rates are constant).

Let $S_t^{e/u} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{the } €/\$ \text{ exchange rate, i.e., the spot price of } €1 \text{ in } \$.$

Let $S_t^{u/e} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{the } \$/€ \text{ exchange rate, i.e., the spot price of } \$1 \text{ in } €, S_t^{u/e} = 1/S_t^{e/u} \Leftrightarrow S_t^{e/u} = 1/S_t^{u/e}.$

Consider the following chain of transactions: borrow \$1 from a US bank, purchase $\$1/S_t^{e/u}$ and invest this amount immediately in an EU bank. At time $t + dt$ close the position and settle all accounts. The payoff generated this way at time $t + dt$ is:

$$dX_t \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1 + r^e dt}{S_t^{e/u}} S_{t+dt}^{e/u} - (1 + r^u dt)$$

$$S_t^{e/u} dX_t = (1 + r^e dt) S_{t+dt}^{e/u} - (1 + r^u dt) S_t^{e/u} = dS_t^{e/u} + (r^e - r^u) S_t^{e/u} dt + r^e dS_t^{e/u} dt.$$

N.B. $dS_t^{e/u} dt = 0$. Since the cost of generating the payoff dX_t (in the US) is 0, $\mathbb{E}^{Q^u}[dX_t | \mathcal{G}_t] = 0$,

i.e., X is a (\mathcal{G}, Q^u) -martingale, where Q^u is the pricing measure for securities traded in the US.

Recall that in the BSM model we write: $dS_t = S_t(dX_t + r dt - \delta dt)$.

We will show that

$$\text{Call}(t, S_t, K, \sigma^2, r, \delta) = \text{Put}(t, K, S_t, \sigma^2, \delta, r)$$

Let $r^e > 0$ be the spot-rate in the EU and $r^u > 0$ be the spot-rate in the US (both rates are constant).

Let $S_t^{e/u} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{the } €/\$ \text{ exchange rate, i.e., the spot price of } €1 \text{ in } \$.$

Let $S_t^{u/e} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{the } \$/€ \text{ exchange rate, i.e., the spot price of } \$1 \text{ in } €, S_t^{u/e} = 1/S_t^{e/u} \Leftrightarrow S_t^{e/u} = 1/S_t^{u/e}.$

Consider the following chain of transactions: borrow \$1 from a US bank, purchase $\$1/S_t^{e/u}$ and invest this amount immediately in an EU bank. At time $t + dt$ close the position and settle all accounts. The payoff generated this way at time $t + dt$ is:

$$dX_t \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1 + r^e dt}{S_t^{e/u}} S_{t+dt}^{e/u} - (1 + r^u dt)$$

$$S_t^{e/u} dX_t = (1 + r^e dt) S_{t+dt}^{e/u} - (1 + r^u dt) S_t^{e/u} = dS_t^{e/u} + (r^e - r^u) S_t^{e/u} dt + r^e dS_t^{e/u} dt.$$

N.B. $dS_t^{e/u} dt = 0$. Since the cost of generating the payoff dX_t (in the US) is 0, $\mathbb{E}^{Q^u}[dX_t | \mathcal{G}_t] = 0$,

i.e., X is a (\mathcal{G}, Q^u) -martingale, where Q^u is the pricing measure for securities traded in the US.

If X is a semimartingale with local variance $d\langle X, X \rangle_t = \sigma^2 dt$, then it must be that $X = \sigma \beta$, so that

$$dS_t^{e/u} = S_t^{e/u} (\sigma d\beta_t + (r^u - r^e) dt), \quad \text{where } \beta \text{ is a } (\mathcal{G}, Q^u)\text{-Brownian motion.}$$

The Put-Call Symmetry Relation

Put-Call Symmetry

The Put-Call Symmetry Relation

Put-Call Symmetry

Put-Call Symmetry

Exercise: Prove that the dynamics of the \$/€ exchange rate are governed by

$$dS_t^{u/e} = S_t^{u/e} (\sigma d(-\beta_t^*) + (r^e - r^u) dt),$$

where $\beta_t^* = \beta_t - \sigma t$. Explain why (β_t^*) , or equivalently $(-\beta_t^*)$, must be a (\mathcal{G}_t, Q^e) -Brownian motion, where (Q^e) is the pricing measure for EU traded assets.

The Put-Call Symmetry Relation

Put-Call Symmetry

Exercise: Prove that the dynamics of the \$/€ exchange rate are governed by

$$dS_t^{u/e} = S_t^{u/e} (\sigma d(-\beta_t^*) + (r^e - r^u) dt),$$

where $\beta_t^* = \beta_t - \sigma t$. Explain why (β_t^*) , or equivalently $(-\beta_t^*)$, must be a (\mathcal{G}_t, Q^e) -Brownian motion, where (Q^e) is the pricing measure for EU traded assets.

$C(t, S_t^{e/u}, K, \sigma^2, r^u, r^e)$ $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=}$ the price in \$ of a the right to purchase €1 at (strike) price \$K (a call).

Exercise: Prove that the dynamics of the \$/€ exchange rate are governed by

$$dS_t^{u/e} = S_t^{u/e} (\sigma d(-\beta_t^*) + (r^e - r^u) dt),$$

where $\beta_t^* = \beta_t - \sigma t$. Explain why (β_t^*) , or equivalently $(-\beta_t^*)$, must be a (\mathcal{G}_t, Q^e) -Brownian motion, where (Q^e) is the pricing measure for EU traded assets.

$C(t, S_t^{e/u}, K, \sigma^2, r^u, r^e) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{the price in \$ of a the right to purchase €1 at (strike) price \$}K \text{ (a call).}$

This derivative contract is the same as the right to sell \$ K for the price of €1, which is no different from the right to sell K identical contracts, every one of which gives its holder the right to sell \$1 for € $(1/K)$.

The payoff from each of these K contracts, at time $t \geq t$, expressed in € is $(\frac{1}{K} - S_t^{u/e})^+$.

Exercise: Prove that the dynamics of the \$/€ exchange rate are governed by

$$dS_t^{u/e} = S_t^{u/e} (\sigma d(-\beta_t^*) + (r^e - r^u) dt),$$

where $\beta_t^* = \beta_t - \sigma t$. Explain why (β_t^*) , or equivalently $(-\beta_t^*)$, must be a (\mathcal{G}_t, Q^e) -Brownian motion, where (Q^e) is the pricing measure for EU traded assets.

$C(t, S_t^{e/u}, K, \sigma^2, r^u, r^e)$ $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=}$ the price in \$ of a the right to purchase €1 at (strike) price \$K (a call).

This derivative contract is the same as the right to sell \$K for the price of €1, which is no different from the right to sell K identical contracts, every one of which gives its holder the right to sell \$1 for € $(1/K)$.

The payoff from each of these K contracts, at time $t \geq 0$, expressed in € is $(\frac{1}{K} - S_t^{u/e})^+$.

The total price of K put contracts in € is $K P(t, S_t^{u/e}, 1/K, \sigma^2, r^e, r^u)$.

Exercise: Prove that the dynamics of the \$/€ exchange rate are governed by

$$dS_t^{u/e} = S_t^{u/e} (\sigma d(-\beta_t^*) + (r^e - r^u) dt),$$

where $\beta_t^* = \beta_t - \sigma t$. Explain why (β_t^*) , or equivalently $(-\beta_t^*)$, must be a (\mathcal{G}_t, Q^e) -Brownian motion, where (Q^e) is the pricing measure for EU traded assets.

$C(t, S_t^{e/u}, K, \sigma^2, r^u, r^e)$ $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=}$ the price in \$ of a the right to purchase €1 at (strike) price \$K (a call).

This derivative contract is the same as the right to sell \$K for the price of €1, which is no different from the right to sell K identical contracts, every one of which gives its holder the right to sell \$1 for € $(1/K)$.

The payoff from each of these K contracts, at time $t \geq 0$, expressed in € is $(\frac{1}{K} - S_t^{u/e})^+$.

The total price of K put contracts in € is $K P(t, S_t^{u/e}, 1/K, \sigma^2, r^e, r^u)$.

Converted into \$ the price is $S_t^{e/u} K P(t, S_t^{u/e}, 1/K, \sigma^2, r^e, r^u)$.

Exercise: Whether the put is of European-style or American-style, one must have

$$S_t^{e/u} K P(t, S_t^{u/e}, 1/K, \sigma^2, r^e, r^u) = P(t, K, S_t^{e/u}, \sigma^2, r^e, r^u).$$

Exercise: Whether the put is of European-style or American-style, one must have

$$S_t^{e/u} K P(t, S_t^{u/e}, 1/K, \sigma^2, r^e, r^u) = P(t, K, S_t^{e/u}, \sigma^2, r^e, r^u).$$

HINT: Suppose that at time t one has $S_t^{e/u} = x$, so that $S_t^{u/e} = 1/x$. The left side above is the same as the price of x K put options (priced in €).

Exercise: Whether the put is of European-style or American-style, one must have

$$S_t^{e/u} K P(t, S_t^{u/e}, 1/K, \sigma^2, r^e, r^u) = P(t, K, S_t^{e/u}, \sigma^2, r^e, r^u).$$

HINT: Suppose that at time t one has $S_t^{e/u} = x$, so that $S_t^{u/e} = 1/x$. The left side above is the same as the price of $x K$ put options (priced in €). If exercised at time $t' \geq t$, the aggregate payoff (in €) from these options would be

$$x K \left(\frac{1}{K} - S_t^{u/e} \right)^+ = (x - x K S_t^{u/e})^+.$$

Exercise: Whether the put is of European-style or American-style, one must have

$$S_t^{e/u} K P(t, S_t^{u/e}, 1/K, \sigma^2, r^e, r^u) = P(t, K, S_t^{e/u}, \sigma^2, r^e, r^u).$$

HINT: Suppose that at time t one has $S_t^{e/u} = x$, so that $S_t^{u/e} = 1/x$. The left side above is the same as the price of $x K$ put options (priced in €). If exercised at time $t' \geq t$, the aggregate payoff (in €) from these options would be

$$x K \left(\frac{1}{K} - S_t^{u/e} \right)^+ = (x - x K S_t^{u/e})^+.$$

Since $S_t^{u/e} = \frac{1}{x}$, we have $x K S_t^{u/e} = K$, so that $x K S_t^{u/e}$, $t \geq t$ is the same geometric Brownian motion as $S_t^{u/e}$, $t \geq t$, i.e., a geometric Brownian motion (under Q^e) of volatility σ and growth rate $r^e - r^u$, except $x K S_t^{u/e}$, $t \geq t$, starts at time t from position K instead of $\frac{1}{x}$.

Exercise: Whether the put is of European-style or American-style, one must have

$$S_t^{e/u} K P(t, S_t^{u/e}, 1/K, \sigma^2, r^e, r^u) = P(t, K, S_t^{e/u}, \sigma^2, r^e, r^u).$$

HINT: Suppose that at time t one has $S_t^{e/u} = x$, so that $S_t^{u/e} = 1/x$. The left side above is the same as the price of $x K$ put options (priced in €). If exercised at time $t' \geq t$, the aggregate payoff (in €) from these options would be

$$x K \left(\frac{1}{K} - S_t^{u/e} \right)^+ = (x - x K S_t^{u/e})^+.$$

Since $S_t^{u/e} = \frac{1}{x}$, we have $x K S_t^{u/e} = K$, so that $x K S_t^{u/e}$, $t \geq t$ is the same geometric Brownian motion as $S_t^{u/e}$, $t \geq t$, i.e., a geometric Brownian motion (under Q^e) of volatility σ and growth rate $r^e - r^u$, except $x K S_t^{u/e}$, $t \geq t$, starts at time t from position K instead of $\frac{1}{x}$. The price (in €) at time t of an option with this payoff can be expressed as $P(t, K, x, \sigma^2, r^e, r^u)$ (the spot is K and the strike is $x = S_t^{e/u}$).

Exercise: Whether the put is of European-style or American-style, one must have

$$S_t^{e/u} K P(t, S_t^{u/e}, 1/K, \sigma^2, r^e, r^u) = P(t, K, S_t^{e/u}, \sigma^2, r^e, r^u).$$

HINT: Suppose that at time t one has $S_t^{e/u} = x$, so that $S_t^{u/e} = 1/x$. The left side above is the same as the price of $x K$ put options (priced in €). If exercised at time $t' \geq t$, the aggregate payoff (in €) from these options would be

$$x K \left(\frac{1}{K} - S_t^{u/e} \right)^+ = (x - x K S_t^{u/e})^+.$$

Since $S_t^{u/e} = \frac{1}{x}$, we have $x K S_t^{u/e} = K$, so that $x K S_t^{u/e}$, $t \geq t$ is the same geometric Brownian motion as $S_t^{u/e}$, $t \geq t$, i.e., a geometric Brownian motion (under Q^e) of volatility σ and growth rate $r^e - r^u$, except $x K S_t^{u/e}$, $t \geq t$, starts at time t from position K instead of $\frac{1}{x}$. The price (in €) at time t of an option with this payoff can be expressed as $P(t, K, x, \sigma^2, r^e, r^u)$ (the spot is K and the strike is $x = S_t^{e/u}$). As a result, we have

$$C(t, S_t^{e/u}, K, \sigma^2, r^u, r^e) = P(t, K, S_t^{e/u}, \sigma^2, r^e, r^u),$$

Exercise: Whether the put is of European-style or American-style, one must have

$$S_t^{e/u} K P(t, S_t^{u/e}, 1/K, \sigma^2, r^e, r^u) = P(t, K, S_t^{e/u}, \sigma^2, r^e, r^u).$$

HINT: Suppose that at time t one has $S_t^{e/u} = x$, so that $S_t^{u/e} = 1/x$. The left side above is the same as the price of $x K$ put options (priced in €). If exercised at time $t' \geq t$, the aggregate payoff (in €) from these options would be

$$x K \left(\frac{1}{K} - S_t^{u/e} \right)^+ = (x - x K S_t^{u/e})^+.$$

Since $S_t^{u/e} = \frac{1}{x}$, we have $x K S_t^{u/e} = K$, so that $x K S_t^{u/e}$, $t \geq t$ is the same geometric Brownian motion as $S_t^{u/e}$, $t \geq t$, i.e., a geometric Brownian motion (under Q^e) of volatility σ and growth rate $r^e - r^u$, except $x K S_t^{u/e}$, $t \geq t$, starts at time t from position K instead of $\frac{1}{x}$. The price (in €) at time t of an option with this payoff can be expressed as $P(t, K, x, \sigma^2, r^e, r^u)$ (the spot is K and the strike is $x = S_t^{e/u}$). As a result, we have

$$C(t, S_t^{e/u}, K, \sigma^2, r^u, r^e) = P(t, K, S_t^{e/u}, \sigma^2, r^e, r^u),$$

which is to say, in the most general terms:

$$\text{Call}(t, S_t, K, \sigma^2, r, \delta) = \text{Put}(t, K, S_t, \sigma^2, \delta, r).$$