

Stochastic Methods in Asset Pricing

The MIT Press (2017)

Crash Course in Continuous Time Finance – I  
(sections 13.1, 13.2)

Andrew Lyasoff

© 2020 by Andrew Lyasoff | [www.andrewlyasoff.tech](http://www.andrewlyasoff.tech)

All rights are reserved.

Prices and Returns

Self-Financing

investment- consumption

investment- payout

## Security Prices, Returns, and Excess Returns

Self-Financing Trading Strategies

Investment-Consumption Strategies

Investment-Payout Strategies

Prices and Returns

Self-Financing

investment- consumption

investment- payout

Security Prices, Returns, and Excess Returns

Self-Financing Trading Strategies

Investment-Consumption Strategies

Investment-Payout Strategies

## Prices and Returns

### Self-Financing

investment- consumption

investment- payout

The basic ingredients in our general model are the following:

The basic ingredients in our general model are the following:

information structure:  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$   $(\mathcal{F}_t \subseteq \mathcal{F}, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$

The basic ingredients in our general model are the following:

information structure:  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$   $(\mathcal{F}_t \subseteq \mathcal{F}, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$

generic security:  $S^i = e^{Z^i - \frac{1}{2}\langle Z^i, Z^i \rangle}$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}_n$ ,  $Z^i$  = continuous  $(\mathcal{F}, P)$ -semimartingale

## Prices and Returns

### Self-Financing

investment- consumption

investment- payout

The basic ingredients in our general model are the following:

information structure:  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$   $(\mathcal{F}_t \subseteq \mathcal{F}, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$

generic security:  $S^i = e^{Z^i - \frac{1}{2}\langle Z^i, Z^i \rangle}$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}_n$ ,  $Z^i$  = continuous  $(\mathcal{F}, P)$ -semimartingale

$$dS_t = S_t dZ_t, \quad \frac{dS_t}{S_t} = dZ_t, \quad S = S_0 + S \cdot Z, \quad dZ_t = \text{instantaneous net-return} = \frac{S_{t+dt}}{S_t} - 1$$



## Prices and Returns

### Self-Financing

investment- consumption

investment- payout

The basic ingredients in our general model are the following:

information structure:  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$   $(\mathcal{F}_t \subseteq \mathcal{F}, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$

generic security:  $S^i = e^{Z^i - \frac{1}{2}\langle Z^i, Z^i \rangle}$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}_{|n}$ ,  $Z^i$  = continuous  $(\mathcal{F}, P)$ -semimartingale

$$dS_t = S_t dZ_t, \quad \frac{dS_t}{S_t} = dZ_t, \quad S = S_0 + S \cdot Z, \quad dZ_t = \text{instantaneous net-return} = \frac{S_{t+dt}}{S_t} - 1$$

$$S_t = (S_t^1, \dots, S_t^n) = \text{vector of risky securities} \quad Z_t = (Z_t^1, \dots, Z_t^n)$$

## Prices and Returns

### Self-Financing

investment- consumption

investment- payout

The basic ingredients in our general model are the following:

information structure:  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$   $(\mathcal{F}_t \subseteq \mathcal{F}, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$

generic security:  $S^i = e^{Z^i - \frac{1}{2}\langle Z^i, Z^i \rangle}$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}_n$ ,  $Z^i$  = continuous  $(\mathcal{F}, P)$ -semimartingale

$$dS_t = S_t dZ_t, \quad \frac{dS_t}{S_t} = dZ_t, \quad S = S_0 + S \cdot Z, \quad dZ_t = \text{instantaneous net-return} = \frac{S_{t+dt}}{S_t} - 1$$

$$S_t = (S_t^1, \dots, S_t^n) = \text{vector of risky securities} \quad Z_t = (Z_t^1, \dots, Z_t^n)$$

$a + b$ ,  $a - b$ ,  $ab$ , and  $a/b$  have meaning for  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^n$

## Prices and Returns

### Self-Financing

investment- consumption

investment- payout

The basic ingredients in our general model are the following:

information structure:  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$   $(\mathcal{F}_t \subseteq \mathcal{F}, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$

generic security:  $S^i = e^{Z^i - \frac{1}{2}\langle Z^i, Z^i \rangle}$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}_n$ ,  $Z^i =$  continuous  $(\mathcal{F}, P)$ -semimartingale

$$dS_t = S_t dZ_t, \quad \frac{dS_t}{S_t} = dZ_t, \quad S = S_0 + S \cdot Z, \quad dZ_t = \text{instantaneous net-return} = \frac{S_{t+dt}}{S_t} - 1$$

$$S_t = (S_t^1, \dots, S_t^n) = \text{vector of risky securities} \quad Z_t = (Z_t^1, \dots, Z_t^n)$$

$$a + b, a - b, ab, \text{ and } a/b \text{ have meaning for } a, b \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

$$S_t^\circ = \text{short-lived risk-free security} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \langle Z^\circ, Z^\circ \rangle_t = 0$$

## Prices and Returns

### Self-Financing

investment- consumption

investment- payout

The basic ingredients in our general model are the following:

information structure:  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$   $(\mathcal{F}_t \subseteq \mathcal{F}, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$

generic security:  $S^i = e^{Z^i - \frac{1}{2}\langle Z^i, Z^i \rangle}$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}_n$ ,  $Z^i =$  continuous  $(\mathcal{F}, P)$ -semimartingale

$$dS_t = S_t dZ_t, \quad \frac{dS_t}{S_t} = dZ_t, \quad S = S_0 + S \cdot Z, \quad dZ_t = \text{instantaneous net-return} = \frac{S_{t+dt}}{S_t} - 1$$

$$S_t = (S_t^1, \dots, S_t^n) = \text{vector of risky securities} \quad Z_t = (Z_t^1, \dots, Z_t^n)$$

$$a + b, a - b, ab, \text{ and } a/b \text{ have meaning for } a, b \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

$$S_t^\circ = \text{short-lived risk-free security} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \langle Z^\circ, Z^\circ \rangle_t = 0$$

$$Z \equiv \mathcal{M} + \mathcal{A} = Z_0 + \sigma \cdot W + b \cdot \iota, \quad W_t \in \mathbb{R}^d, \sigma_t \in \mathbb{R}^{n \otimes d}, b_t \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

The basic ingredients in our general model are the following:

information structure:  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$   $(\mathcal{F}_t \subseteq \mathcal{F}, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$

generic security:  $S^i = e^{Z^i - \frac{1}{2}\langle Z^i, Z^i \rangle}$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}_n$ ,  $Z^i =$  continuous  $(\mathcal{F}, P)$ -semimartingale

$$dS_t = S_t dZ_t, \quad \frac{dS_t}{S_t} = dZ_t, \quad S = S_0 + S \bullet Z, \quad dZ_t = \text{instantaneous net-return} = \frac{S_{t+dt}}{S_t} - 1$$

$$S_t = (S_t^1, \dots, S_t^n) = \text{vector of risky securities} \quad Z_t = (Z_t^1, \dots, Z_t^n)$$

$$a + b, a - b, ab, \text{ and } a/b \text{ have meaning for } a, b \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

$$S_t^\circ = \text{short-lived risk-free security} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \langle Z^\circ, Z^\circ \rangle_t = 0$$

$$Z \equiv \mathcal{M} + \mathcal{A} = Z_0 + \sigma \bullet W + b \bullet \iota, \quad W_t \in \mathbb{R}^d, \sigma_t \in \mathbb{R}^{n \otimes d}, b_t \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

$$Z^\circ = Z_0^\circ + r \bullet \iota \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad dS_t^\circ = S_t^\circ r_t dt \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad S_t^\circ = S_0^\circ e^{\int_0^t r_s ds}$$

Prices and Returns

Self-Financing

investment- consumption

investment- payout

The basic ingredients in our general model are the following:

information structure:  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$   $(\mathcal{F}_t \subseteq \mathcal{F}, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$

generic security:  $S^i = e^{Z^i - \frac{1}{2}\langle Z^i, Z^i \rangle}$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}_n$ ,  $Z^i =$  continuous  $(\mathcal{F}, P)$ -semimartingale

$$dS_t = S_t dZ_t, \quad \frac{dS_t}{S_t} = dZ_t, \quad S = S_0 + S \bullet Z, \quad dZ_t = \text{instantaneous net-return} = \frac{S_{t+dt}}{S_t} - 1$$

$$S_t = (S_t^1, \dots, S_t^n) = \text{vector of risky securities} \quad Z_t = (Z_t^1, \dots, Z_t^n)$$

$$a + b, a - b, ab, \text{ and } a/b \text{ have meaning for } a, b \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

$$S_t^\circ = \text{short-lived risk-free security} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \langle Z^\circ, Z^\circ \rangle_t = 0$$

$$Z \equiv \mathcal{M} + \mathcal{A} = Z_0 + \sigma \bullet W + b \bullet \iota, \quad W_t \in \mathbb{R}^d, \sigma_t \in \mathbb{R}^{n \otimes d}, b_t \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

$$Z^\circ = Z_0^\circ + r \bullet \iota \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad dS_t^\circ = S_t^\circ r_t dt \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad S_t^\circ = S_0^\circ e^{\int_0^t r_s ds}$$

$$\sigma, \beta, \text{ and } r \text{ are predictable (for } \mathcal{F}) \text{ and } \int_0^t |\sigma_s|^2 ds < \infty, \int_0^t |b_s| ds < \infty, \int_0^t |r_s| ds < \infty \text{ (} P\text{-a.s.)}$$

Prices and Returns

Self-Financing

investment- consumption

investment- payout

The basic ingredients in our general model are the following:

information structure:  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$   $(\mathcal{F}_t \subseteq \mathcal{F}, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$

generic security:  $S^i = e^{Z^i - \frac{1}{2}\langle Z^i, Z^i \rangle}$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}_n$ ,  $Z^i =$  continuous  $(\mathcal{F}, P)$ -semimartingale

$$dS_t = S_t dZ_t, \quad \frac{dS_t}{S_t} = dZ_t, \quad S = S_0 + S \cdot Z, \quad dZ_t = \text{instantaneous net-return} = \frac{S_{t+dt}}{S_t} - 1$$

$$S_t = (S_t^1, \dots, S_t^n) = \text{vector of risky securities} \quad Z_t = (Z_t^1, \dots, Z_t^n)$$

$$a + b, a - b, ab, \text{ and } a/b \text{ have meaning for } a, b \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

$$S_t^\circ = \text{short-lived risk-free security} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \langle Z^\circ, Z^\circ \rangle_t = 0$$

$$Z \equiv \mathcal{M} + \mathcal{A} = Z_0 + \sigma \cdot W + b \cdot \iota, \quad W_t \in \mathbb{R}^d, \sigma_t \in \mathbb{R}^{n \otimes d}, b_t \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

$$Z^\circ = Z_0^\circ + r \cdot \iota \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad dS_t^\circ = S_t^\circ r_t dt \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad S_t^\circ = S_0^\circ e^{\int_0^t r_s ds}$$

$$\sigma, \beta, \text{ and } r \text{ are predictable (for } \mathcal{F}) \text{ and } \int_0^t |\sigma_s|^2 ds < \infty, \int_0^t |b_s| ds < \infty, \int_0^t |r_s| ds < \infty \text{ (P-a.s.)}$$

$$\text{There are no-redundant securities: } \sigma_t(\omega) \text{ is of full-rank} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \sigma_t(\omega) \sigma_t(\omega)^\top \text{ is full-rank} \quad dP(\omega) \times dt\text{-a.e.}$$

Prices and Returns

Self-Financing

investment- consumption

investment- payout

The basic ingredients in our general model are the following:

information structure:  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$   $(\mathcal{F}_t \subseteq \mathcal{F}, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$

generic security:  $S^i = e^{Z^i - \frac{1}{2}\langle Z^i, Z^i \rangle}$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}_n$ ,  $Z^i =$  continuous  $(\mathcal{F}, P)$ -semimartingale

$$dS_t = S_t dZ_t, \quad \frac{dS_t}{S_t} = dZ_t, \quad S = S_0 + S \cdot Z, \quad dZ_t = \text{instantaneous net-return} = \frac{S_{t+dt}}{S_t} - 1$$

$$S_t = (S_t^1, \dots, S_t^n) = \text{vector of risky securities} \quad Z_t = (Z_t^1, \dots, Z_t^n)$$

$$a + b, a - b, ab, \text{ and } a/b \text{ have meaning for } a, b \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

$$S_t^\circ = \text{short-lived risk-free security} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \langle Z^\circ, Z^\circ \rangle_t = 0$$

$$Z \equiv \mathcal{M} + \mathcal{A} = Z_0 + \sigma \cdot W + b \cdot \iota, \quad W_t \in \mathbb{R}^d, \sigma_t \in \mathbb{R}^{n \otimes d}, b_t \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

$$Z^\circ = Z_0^\circ + r \cdot \iota \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad dS_t^\circ = S_t^\circ r_t dt \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad S_t^\circ = S_0^\circ e^{\int_0^t r_s ds}$$

$$\sigma, \beta, \text{ and } r \text{ are predictable (for } \mathcal{F}) \text{ and } \int_0^t |\sigma_s|^2 ds < \infty, \int_0^t |b_s| ds < \infty, \int_0^t |r_s| ds < \infty \text{ (P-a.s.)}$$

There are no-redundant securities:  $\sigma_t(\omega)$  is of full-rank  $\Leftrightarrow \sigma_t(\omega)\sigma_t(\omega)^\top$  is full-rank  $dP(\omega) \times dt$ -a.e.

Naturally,  $r$  is the interest-rate process.

N.B.  $\mathcal{A}$  may include dividend income.



## Prices and Returns

### Self-Financing

investment- consumption

investment- payout

## Prices and Returns

### Self-Financing

investment- consumption

investment- payout

## EXCESS RETURNS:

**EXCESS RETURNS:**  $X \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Z - Z_0 - r\vec{1} \cdot \iota = \sigma \cdot W + (b - r\vec{1}) \cdot \iota$

**EXCESS RETURNS:**  $X \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Z - Z_0 - r\vec{1} \cdot t = \sigma \cdot W + (b - r\vec{1}) \cdot t$

$$X_t = Z_t - Z_0 - \int_0^t r_s \vec{1} \, ds = \int_0^t \sigma_s \, dW_s + \int_0^t (b_s - r_s \vec{1}) \, ds, \quad t \geq 0.$$

**EXCESS RETURNS:**  $X \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Z - Z_0 - r\bar{1} \cdot t = \sigma \cdot W + (b - r\bar{1}) \cdot t$

$$X_t = Z_t - Z_0 - \int_0^t r_s \bar{1} ds = \int_0^t \sigma_s dW_s + \int_0^t (b_s - r_s \bar{1}) ds, \quad t \geq 0.$$

**N.B.** We work exclusively with excess returns.

EXCESS RETURNS:  $X \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Z - Z_0 - r\bar{1} \cdot t = \sigma \cdot W + (b - r\bar{1}) \cdot t$

$$X_t = Z_t - Z_0 - \int_0^t r_s \bar{1} ds = \int_0^t \sigma_s dW_s + \int_0^t (b_s - r_s \bar{1}) ds, \quad t \geq 0.$$

N.B. We work exclusively with excess returns.

INFORMATION PROCESS:

EXCESS RETURNS:  $X \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Z - Z_0 - r\bar{1} \cdot t = \sigma \cdot W + (b - r\bar{1}) \cdot t$

$$X_t = Z_t - Z_0 - \int_0^t r_s \bar{1} ds = \int_0^t \sigma_s dW_s + \int_0^t (b_s - r_s \bar{1}) ds, \quad t \geq 0.$$

N.B. We work exclusively with excess returns.

**INFORMATION PROCESS:** some continuous and  $\mathcal{F}$ -adapted process  $Y$



EXCESS RETURNS:  $X \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Z - Z_0 - r\bar{1} \cdot t = \sigma \cdot W + (b - r\bar{1}) \cdot t$

$$X_t = Z_t - Z_0 - \int_0^t r_s \bar{1} ds = \int_0^t \sigma_s dW_s + \int_0^t (b_s - r_s \bar{1}) ds, \quad t \geq 0.$$

N.B. We work exclusively with excess returns.

INFORMATION PROCESS: some continuous and  $\mathcal{F}$ -adapted process  $Y$

INFORMATION FILTRATION:

EXCESS RETURNS:  $X \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Z - Z_0 - r\bar{1} \cdot t = \sigma \cdot W + (b - r\bar{1}) \cdot t$

$$X_t = Z_t - Z_0 - \int_0^t r_s \bar{1} ds = \int_0^t \sigma_s dW_s + \int_0^t (b_s - r_s \bar{1}) ds, \quad t \geq 0.$$

N.B. We work exclusively with excess returns.

INFORMATION PROCESS: some continuous and  $\mathcal{F}$ -adapted process  $Y$

INFORMATION FILTRATION:  $(\mathcal{G}_t \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{F}_t^{X,r,Y}, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ , assuming  $\mathcal{G}_0 = \{\Omega, \emptyset\}$

EXCESS RETURNS:  $X \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Z - Z_0 - r\bar{1} \cdot t = \sigma \cdot W + (b - r\bar{1}) \cdot t$

$$X_t = Z_t - Z_0 - \int_0^t r_s \bar{1} ds = \int_0^t \sigma_s dW_s + \int_0^t (b_s - r_s \bar{1}) ds, \quad t \geq 0.$$

N.B. We work exclusively with excess returns.

INFORMATION PROCESS: some continuous and  $\mathcal{F}$ -adapted process  $Y$

INFORMATION FILTRATION:  $(\mathcal{G}_t \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{F}_t^{X,r,Y}, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ , assuming  $\mathcal{G}_0 = \{\Omega, \emptyset\}$

REALIZED VOLATILITY:

EXCESS RETURNS:  $X \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Z - Z_0 - r\bar{1} \cdot t = \sigma \cdot W + (b - r\bar{1}) \cdot t$

$$X_t = Z_t - Z_0 - \int_0^t r_s \bar{1} ds = \int_0^t \sigma_s dW_s + \int_0^t (b_s - r_s \bar{1}) ds, \quad t \geq 0.$$

N.B. We work exclusively with excess returns.

INFORMATION PROCESS: some continuous and  $\mathcal{F}$ -adapted process  $Y$

INFORMATION FILTRATION:  $(\mathcal{G}_t \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{F}_t^{X,r,Y}, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ , assuming  $\mathcal{G}_0 = \{\Omega, \emptyset\}$

**REALIZED VOLATILITY:**  $\langle X, X \rangle$  = the matrix process with elements  $\langle X^i, X^j \rangle, 1 \leq i, j \leq n$

EXCESS RETURNS:  $X \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Z - Z_0 - r\bar{1} \cdot t = \sigma \cdot W + (b - r\bar{1}) \cdot t$

$$X_t = Z_t - Z_0 - \int_0^t r_s \bar{1} ds = \int_0^t \sigma_s dW_s + \int_0^t (b_s - r_s \bar{1}) ds, \quad t \geq 0.$$

N.B. We work exclusively with excess returns.

INFORMATION PROCESS: some continuous and  $\mathcal{F}$ -adapted process  $Y$

INFORMATION FILTRATION:  $(\mathcal{G}_t \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{F}_t^{X,r,Y}, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ , assuming  $\mathcal{G}_0 = \{\Omega, \emptyset\}$

**REALIZED VOLATILITY:**  $\langle X, X \rangle$  = the matrix process with elements  $\langle X^i, X^j \rangle$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$

$$\langle X, X \rangle_t = \int_0^t \sigma_s \sigma_s^\top ds, \quad t \geq 0, \quad \text{or} \quad \langle X, X \rangle = \sigma \sigma^\top \cdot t$$

EXCESS RETURNS:  $X \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Z - Z_0 - r\bar{1} \cdot t = \sigma \cdot W + (b - r\bar{1}) \cdot t$

$$X_t = Z_t - Z_0 - \int_0^t r_s \bar{1} ds = \int_0^t \sigma_s dW_s + \int_0^t (b_s - r_s \bar{1}) ds, \quad t \geq 0.$$

N.B. We work exclusively with excess returns.

INFORMATION PROCESS: some continuous and  $\mathcal{F}$ -adapted process  $Y$

INFORMATION FILTRATION:  $(\mathcal{G}_t \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{F}_t^{X,r,Y}, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ , assuming  $\mathcal{G}_0 = \{\Omega, \emptyset\}$

REALIZED VOLATILITY:  $\langle X, X \rangle =$  the matrix process with elements  $\langle X^i, X^j \rangle, 1 \leq i, j \leq n$

$$\langle X, X \rangle_t = \int_0^t \sigma_s \sigma_s^\top ds, \quad t \geq 0, \quad \text{or} \quad \langle X, X \rangle = \sigma \sigma^\top \cdot t$$

N.B.  $\langle X, X \rangle$  is adapted to  $\mathcal{F}^X \subsetneq \mathcal{G} \Rightarrow (\sigma \sigma^\top)$  is observable but  $\sigma$  may not be!!

EXCESS RETURNS:  $X \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Z - Z_0 - r\bar{1} \cdot t = \sigma \cdot W + (b - r\bar{1}) \cdot t$

$$X_t = Z_t - Z_0 - \int_0^t r_s \bar{1} ds = \int_0^t \sigma_s dW_s + \int_0^t (b_s - r_s \bar{1}) ds, \quad t \geq 0.$$

N.B. We work exclusively with excess returns.

INFORMATION PROCESS: some continuous and  $\mathcal{F}$ -adapted process  $Y$

INFORMATION FILTRATION:  $(\mathcal{G}_t \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{F}_t^{X,r,Y}, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ , assuming  $\mathcal{G}_0 = \{\Omega, \emptyset\}$

REALIZED VOLATILITY:  $\langle X, X \rangle =$  the matrix process with elements  $\langle X^i, X^j \rangle, 1 \leq i, j \leq n$

$$\langle X, X \rangle_t = \int_0^t \sigma_s \sigma_s^\top ds, \quad t \geq 0, \quad \text{or} \quad \langle X, X \rangle = \sigma \sigma^\top \cdot t$$

N.B.  $\langle X, X \rangle$  is adapted to  $\mathcal{F}^X \subsetneq \mathcal{G} \Rightarrow (\sigma \sigma^\top)$  is observable but  $\sigma$  may not be!!

However: there is an  $\mathcal{F}^X$ -adapted (square)  $n \times n$  full-rank matrix  $\alpha_t(\omega)$  with  $\alpha_t \alpha_t^\top = \sigma_t \sigma_t^\top$

EXCESS RETURNS:  $X \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Z - Z_0 - r\bar{1} \cdot t = \sigma \cdot W + (b - r\bar{1}) \cdot t$

$$X_t = Z_t - Z_0 - \int_0^t r_s \bar{1} ds = \int_0^t \sigma_s dW_s + \int_0^t (b_s - r_s \bar{1}) ds, \quad t \geq 0.$$

N.B. We work exclusively with excess returns.

INFORMATION PROCESS: some continuous and  $\mathcal{F}$ -adapted process  $Y$

INFORMATION FILTRATION:  $(\mathcal{G}_t \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{F}_t^{X,r,Y}, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ , assuming  $\mathcal{G}_0 = \{\Omega, \emptyset\}$

REALIZED VOLATILITY:  $\langle X, X \rangle =$  the matrix process with elements  $\langle X^i, X^j \rangle, 1 \leq i, j \leq n$

$$\langle X, X \rangle_t = \int_0^t \sigma_s \sigma_s^\top ds, \quad t \geq 0, \quad \text{or} \quad \langle X, X \rangle = \sigma \sigma^\top \cdot t$$

N.B.  $\langle X, X \rangle$  is adapted to  $\mathcal{F}^X \subset \mathcal{G} \Rightarrow (\sigma \sigma^\top)$  is observable but  $\sigma$  may not be!!

However: there is an  $\mathcal{F}^X$ -adapted (square)  $n \times n$  full-rank matrix  $\alpha_t(\omega)$  with  $\alpha_t \alpha_t^\top = \sigma_t \sigma_t^\top$

$$X = \alpha \cdot (\alpha^{-1} \sigma \cdot W + \alpha^{-1} (b - r\bar{1}) \cdot t) = \alpha \cdot (B + \theta \cdot t),$$



EXCESS RETURNS:  $X \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Z - Z_0 - r\bar{1} \cdot \iota = \sigma \cdot W + (b - r\bar{1}) \cdot \iota$

$$X_t = Z_t - Z_0 - \int_0^t r_s \bar{1} ds = \int_0^t \sigma_s dW_s + \int_0^t (b_s - r_s \bar{1}) ds, \quad t \geq 0.$$

N.B. We work exclusively with excess returns.

INFORMATION PROCESS: some continuous and  $\mathcal{F}$ -adapted process  $Y$

INFORMATION FILTRATION:  $(\mathcal{G}_t \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{F}_t^{X,r,Y}, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ , assuming  $\mathcal{G}_0 = \{\Omega, \emptyset\}$

REALIZED VOLATILITY:  $\langle X, X \rangle =$  the matrix process with elements  $\langle X^i, X^j \rangle, 1 \leq i, j \leq n$

$$\langle X, X \rangle_t = \int_0^t \sigma_s \sigma_s^\top ds, \quad t \geq 0, \quad \text{or} \quad \langle X, X \rangle = \sigma \sigma^\top \cdot \iota$$

N.B.  $\langle X, X \rangle$  is adapted to  $\mathcal{F}^X \subsetneq \mathcal{G} \Rightarrow (\sigma \sigma^\top)$  is observable but  $\sigma$  may not be!!

However: there is an  $\mathcal{F}^X$ -adapted (square)  $n \times n$  full-rank matrix  $\alpha_t(\omega)$  with  $\alpha_t \alpha_t^\top = \sigma_t \sigma_t^\top$

$$X = \alpha \cdot (\alpha^{-1} \sigma \cdot W + \alpha^{-1} (b - r\bar{1}) \cdot \iota) = \alpha \cdot (B + \theta \cdot \iota), \quad \theta_t = \alpha_t^{-1} (b_t - r_t \bar{1})$$

EXCESS RETURNS:  $X \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Z - Z_0 - r\bar{1} \cdot t = \sigma \cdot W + (b - r\bar{1}) \cdot t$

$$X_t = Z_t - Z_0 - \int_0^t r_s \bar{1} ds = \int_0^t \sigma_s dW_s + \int_0^t (b_s - r_s \bar{1}) ds, \quad t \geq 0.$$

N.B. We work exclusively with excess returns.

INFORMATION PROCESS: some continuous and  $\mathcal{F}$ -adapted process  $Y$

INFORMATION FILTRATION:  $(\mathcal{G}_t \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{F}_t^{X,r,Y}, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ , assuming  $\mathcal{G}_0 = \{\Omega, \emptyset\}$

REALIZED VOLATILITY:  $\langle X, X \rangle =$  the matrix process with elements  $\langle X^i, X^j \rangle, 1 \leq i, j \leq n$

$$\langle X, X \rangle_t = \int_0^t \sigma_s \sigma_s^\top ds, \quad t \geq 0, \quad \text{or} \quad \langle X, X \rangle = \sigma \sigma^\top \cdot t$$

N.B.  $\langle X, X \rangle$  is adapted to  $\mathcal{F}^X \subset \mathcal{G} \Rightarrow (\sigma \sigma^\top)$  is observable but  $\sigma$  may not be!!

However: there is an  $\mathcal{F}^X$ -adapted (square)  $n \times n$  full-rank matrix  $\alpha_t(\omega)$  with  $\alpha_t \alpha_t^\top = \sigma_t \sigma_t^\top$

$$X = \alpha \cdot (\alpha^{-1} \sigma \cdot W + \alpha^{-1} (b - r\bar{1}) \cdot t) = \alpha \cdot (B + \theta \cdot t),$$

$$\theta_t = \alpha_t^{-1} (b_t - r_t \bar{1})$$

Market price of risk

EXCESS RETURNS:  $X \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Z - Z_0 - r\bar{1} \cdot \iota = \sigma \cdot W + (b - r\bar{1}) \cdot \iota$

$$X_t = Z_t - Z_0 - \int_0^t r_s \bar{1} ds = \int_0^t \sigma_s dW_s + \int_0^t (b_s - r_s \bar{1}) ds, \quad t \geq 0.$$

N.B. We work exclusively with excess returns.

INFORMATION PROCESS: some continuous and  $\mathcal{F}$ -adapted process  $Y$

INFORMATION FILTRATION:  $(\mathcal{G}_t \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{F}_t^{X,r,Y}, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ , assuming  $\mathcal{G}_0 = \{\Omega, \emptyset\}$

REALIZED VOLATILITY:  $\langle X, X \rangle$  = the matrix process with elements  $\langle X^i, X^j \rangle, 1 \leq i, j \leq n$

$$\langle X, X \rangle_t = \int_0^t \sigma_s \sigma_s^\top ds, \quad t \geq 0, \quad \text{or} \quad \langle X, X \rangle = \sigma \sigma^\top \cdot \iota$$

N.B.  $\langle X, X \rangle$  is adapted to  $\mathcal{F}^X \subsetneq \mathcal{G} \Rightarrow (\sigma \sigma^\top)$  is observable but  $\sigma$  may not be!!

However: there is an  $\mathcal{F}^X$ -adapted (square)  $n \times n$  full-rank matrix  $\alpha_t(\omega)$  with  $\alpha_t \alpha_t^\top = \sigma_t \sigma_t^\top$

$$X = \alpha \cdot (\alpha^{-1} \sigma \cdot W + \alpha^{-1} (b - r\bar{1}) \cdot \iota) = \alpha \cdot (B + \theta \cdot \iota),$$

$$\theta_t = \alpha_t^{-1} (b_t - r_t \bar{1})$$

Market price of risk

$B \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\alpha^{-1} \sigma) \cdot W$  is BM (of dimension  $n$  not  $d$ !)

EXCESS RETURNS:  $X \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Z - Z_0 - r\bar{1} \cdot t = \sigma \cdot W + (b - r\bar{1}) \cdot t$

$$X_t = Z_t - Z_0 - \int_0^t r_s \bar{1} ds = \int_0^t \sigma_s dW_s + \int_0^t (b_s - r_s \bar{1}) ds, \quad t \geq 0.$$

N.B. We work exclusively with excess returns.

INFORMATION PROCESS: some continuous and  $\mathcal{F}$ -adapted process  $Y$

INFORMATION FILTRATION:  $(\mathcal{G}_t \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{F}_t^{X,r,Y}, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ , assuming  $\mathcal{G}_0 = \{\Omega, \emptyset\}$

REALIZED VOLATILITY:  $\langle X, X \rangle$  = the matrix process with elements  $\langle X^i, X^j \rangle, 1 \leq i, j \leq n$

$$\langle X, X \rangle_t = \int_0^t \sigma_s \sigma_s^\top ds, \quad t \geq 0, \quad \text{or} \quad \langle X, X \rangle = \sigma \sigma^\top \cdot t$$

N.B.  $\langle X, X \rangle$  is adapted to  $\mathcal{F}^X \subset \mathcal{G} \Rightarrow (\sigma \sigma^\top)$  is observable but  $\sigma$  may not be!!

However: there is an  $\mathcal{F}^X$ -adapted (square)  $n \times n$  full-rank matrix  $\alpha_t(\omega)$  with  $\alpha_t \alpha_t^\top = \sigma_t \sigma_t^\top$

$$X = \alpha \cdot (\alpha^{-1} \sigma \cdot W + \alpha^{-1} (b - r\bar{1}) \cdot t) = \alpha \cdot (B + \theta \cdot t),$$

$$\theta_t = \alpha_t^{-1} (b_t - r_t \bar{1})$$

Market price of risk

$$B \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\alpha^{-1} \sigma) \cdot W \text{ is BM (of dimension } n \text{ not } d!) \quad \alpha_t^{-1} \sigma_t (\alpha_t^{-1} \sigma_t)^\top = \alpha_t^{-1} \alpha_t \alpha_t^\top (\alpha_t^{-1})^\top = I.$$

## Prices and Returns

### Self-Financing

investment- consumption

investment- payout

## Prices and Returns

### Self-Financing

investment- consumption

investment- payout

## Prices and Returns

### Self-Financing

investment- consumption

investment- payout

From modeling point of view, there is no harm in replacing  $W$  with  $B$  and  $\sigma$  with  $\alpha$ ,

### Prices and Returns

#### Self-Financing

investment- consumption

investment- payout

From modeling point of view, there is no harm in replacing  $W$  with  $B$  and  $\sigma$  with  $\alpha$ , i.e. write

$$X = \sigma \bullet W + (b - r\vec{1}) \bullet \iota = \sigma \bullet (W + \theta \bullet \iota),$$

and suppose that  $W$  is of length  $n$ ,  $\sigma$  is **square**, of size  $(n, n)$ , full-rank, and **observable**.



### Prices and Returns

#### Self-Financing

investment- consumption

investment- payout

From modeling point of view, there is no harm in replacing  $W$  with  $B$  and  $\sigma$  with  $\alpha$ , i.e. write

$$X = \sigma \bullet W + (b - r\vec{1}) \bullet \iota = \sigma \bullet (W + \theta \bullet \iota),$$

and suppose that  $W$  is of length  $n$ ,  $\sigma$  is square, of size  $(n, n)$ , full-rank, and observable.

**N.B.**  $W$  is not observable and neither is  $\theta$  – unless such observability is imposed.

### Prices and Returns

#### Self-Financing

investment- consumption

investment- payout

From modeling point of view, there is no harm in replacing  $W$  with  $B$  and  $\sigma$  with  $\alpha$ , i.e. write

$$X = \sigma \bullet W + (b - r\vec{1}) \bullet \iota = \sigma \bullet (W + \theta \bullet \iota),$$

and suppose that  $W$  is of length  $n$ ,  $\sigma$  is square, of size  $(n, n)$ , full-rank, and observable.

N.B.  $W$  is not observable and neither is  $\theta$  – unless such observability is imposed.

We suppose:  $\theta_t = \sigma_t^{-1}(b_t - r_t \vec{1})$

## Prices and Returns

### Self-Financing

investment- consumption

investment- payout

From modeling point of view, there is no harm in replacing  $W$  with  $B$  and  $\sigma$  with  $\alpha$ , i.e. write

$$X = \sigma \bullet W + (b - r\vec{1}) \bullet \iota = \sigma \bullet (W + \theta \bullet \iota),$$

and suppose that  $W$  is of length  $n$ ,  $\sigma$  is square, of size  $(n, n)$ , full-rank, and observable.

**N.B.**  $W$  is not observable and neither is  $\theta$  – unless such observability is imposed.

We suppose:  $\theta_t = \sigma_t^{-1}(b_t - r_t\vec{1})$  and  $\int_0^t |\theta_s|^2 ds < \infty$  for all  $t \geq 0$  ( $P$ -a.s.)

## Prices and Returns

### Self-Financing

investment- consumption

investment- payout

From modeling point of view, there is no harm in replacing  $W$  with  $B$  and  $\sigma$  with  $\alpha$ , i.e. write

$$X = \sigma \bullet W + (b - r\vec{1}) \bullet \iota = \sigma \bullet (W + \theta \bullet \iota),$$

and suppose that  $W$  is of length  $n$ ,  $\sigma$  is square, of size  $(n, n)$ , full-rank, and observable.

**N.B.**  $W$  is not observable and neither is  $\theta$  – unless such observability is imposed.

We suppose:  $\theta_t = \sigma_t^{-1}(b_t - r_t \vec{1})$  and

$$\int_0^t |\theta_s|^2 ds < \infty \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0 \quad (\mathcal{P}\text{-a.s.})$$

the “non-explosion” condition

Prices and Returns

Self-Financing

investment- consumption

investment- payout

From modeling point of view, there is no harm in replacing  $W$  with  $B$  and  $\sigma$  with  $\alpha$ , i.e. write

$$X = \sigma \bullet W + (b - r\vec{1}) \bullet \iota = \sigma \bullet (W + \theta \bullet \iota),$$

and suppose that  $W$  is of length  $n$ ,  $\sigma$  is square, of size  $(n, n)$ , full-rank, and observable.

**N.B.**  $W$  is not observable and neither is  $\theta$  – unless such observability is imposed.

We suppose:  $\theta_t = \sigma_t^{-1}(b_t - r_t\vec{1})$  and  $\int_0^t |\theta_s|^2 ds < \infty$  for all  $t \geq 0$  ( $P$ -a.s.)

## Prices and Returns

### Self-Financing

investment- consumption

investment- payout

From modeling point of view, there is no harm in replacing  $W$  with  $B$  and  $\sigma$  with  $\alpha$ , i.e. write

$$X = \sigma \cdot W + (b - r\vec{1}) \cdot \iota = \sigma \cdot (W + \theta \cdot \iota),$$

and suppose that  $W$  is of length  $n$ ,  $\sigma$  is square, of size  $(n, n)$ , full-rank, and observable.

**N.B.**  $W$  is not observable and neither is  $\theta$  – unless such observability is imposed.

We suppose:  $\theta_t = \sigma_t^{-1}(b_t - r_t \vec{1})$  and  $\int_0^t |\theta_s|^2 ds < \infty$  for all  $t \geq 0$  ( $P$ -a.s.)

pricing rule for risk:  $\Theta = \theta^\top \cdot W$

## Prices and Returns

### Self-Financing

investment- consumption

investment- payout

From modeling point of view, there is no harm in replacing  $W$  with  $B$  and  $\sigma$  with  $\alpha$ , i.e. write

$$X = \sigma \bullet W + (b - r\vec{1}) \bullet \iota = \sigma \bullet (W + \theta \bullet \iota),$$

and suppose that  $W$  is of length  $n$ ,  $\sigma$  is square, of size  $(n, n)$ , full-rank, and observable.

**N.B.**  $W$  is not observable and neither is  $\theta$  – unless such observability is imposed.

We suppose:  $\theta_t = \sigma_t^{-1}(b_t - r_t \vec{1})$  and  $\int_0^t |\theta_s|^2 ds < \infty$  for all  $t \geq 0$  ( $P$ -a.s.)

pricing rule for risk:  $\Theta = \theta^\top \bullet W \Leftrightarrow X = M + \langle M, \Theta \rangle$  with  $M \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sigma \bullet W$

## Prices and Returns

### Self-Financing

investment- consumption

investment- payout

From modeling point of view, there is no harm in replacing  $W$  with  $B$  and  $\sigma$  with  $\alpha$ , i.e. write

$$X = \sigma \bullet W + (b - r\vec{1}) \bullet \iota = \sigma \bullet (W + \theta \bullet \iota),$$

and suppose that  $W$  is of length  $n$ ,  $\sigma$  is square, of size  $(n, n)$ , full-rank, and observable.

N.B.  $W$  is not observable and neither is  $\theta$  – unless such observability is imposed.

We suppose:  $\theta_t = \sigma_t^{-1}(b_t - r_t \vec{1})$  and  $\int_0^t |\theta_s|^2 ds < \infty$  for all  $t \geq 0$  ( $P$ -a.s.)

pricing rule for risk:  $\Theta = \theta^\top \bullet W \Leftrightarrow X = M + \langle M, \Theta \rangle$  with  $M \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sigma \bullet W$

normalized excess returns:  $\beta = W + \theta \bullet \iota \equiv W + \langle W, \Theta \rangle,$



Prices and Returns

Self-Financing  
investment- consumption  
investment- payout

From modeling point of view, there is no harm in replacing  $W$  with  $B$  and  $\sigma$  with  $\alpha$ , i.e. write

$$X = \sigma \cdot W + (b - r\vec{1}) \cdot \iota = \sigma \cdot (W + \theta \cdot \iota),$$

and suppose that  $W$  is of length  $n$ ,  $\sigma$  is square, of size  $(n, n)$ , full-rank, and observable.

N.B.  $W$  is not observable and neither is  $\theta$  – unless such observability is imposed.

We suppose:  $\theta_t = \sigma_t^{-1}(b_t - r_t \vec{1})$  and  $\int_0^t |\theta_s|^2 ds < \infty$  for all  $t \geq 0$  ( $P$ -a.s.)

pricing rule for risk:  $\Theta = \theta^\top \cdot W \Leftrightarrow X = M + \langle M, \Theta \rangle$  with  $M \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sigma \cdot W$

normalized excess returns:  $\beta = W + \theta \cdot \iota \equiv W + \langle W, \Theta \rangle,$

$$X = \sigma \cdot (W + \theta \cdot \iota) \equiv \sigma \cdot \beta \Leftrightarrow \beta_t = \int_0^t \sigma_s^{-1} dX_s$$

Prices and Returns

Self-Financing

investment- consumption

investment- payout

From modeling point of view, there is no harm in replacing  $W$  with  $B$  and  $\sigma$  with  $\alpha$ , i.e. write

$$X = \sigma \cdot W + (b - r\vec{1}) \cdot \iota = \sigma \cdot (W + \theta \cdot \iota),$$

and suppose that  $W$  is of length  $n$ ,  $\sigma$  is square, of size  $(n, n)$ , full-rank, and observable.

N.B.  $W$  is not observable and neither is  $\theta$  – unless such observability is imposed.

We suppose:  $\theta_t = \sigma_t^{-1}(b_t - r_t \vec{1})$  and  $\int_0^t |\theta_s|^2 ds < \infty$  for all  $t \geq 0$  ( $P$ -a.s.)

pricing rule for risk:  $\Theta = \theta^\top \cdot W \Leftrightarrow X = M + \langle M, \Theta \rangle$  with  $M \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sigma \cdot W$

normalized excess returns:  $\beta = W + \theta \cdot \iota \equiv W + \langle W, \Theta \rangle,$

$$X = \sigma \cdot (W + \theta \cdot \iota) \equiv \sigma \cdot \beta \Leftrightarrow \beta_t = \int_0^t \sigma_s^{-1} dX_s$$

change of numéraire:

Prices and Returns

Self-Financing

investment- consumption

investment- payout

From modeling point of view, there is no harm in replacing  $W$  with  $B$  and  $\sigma$  with  $\alpha$ , i.e. write

$$X = \sigma \cdot W + (b - r\vec{1}) \cdot \iota = \sigma \cdot (W + \theta \cdot \iota),$$

and suppose that  $W$  is of length  $n$ ,  $\sigma$  is square, of size  $(n, n)$ , full-rank, and observable.

N.B.  $W$  is not observable and neither is  $\theta$  – unless such observability is imposed.

We suppose:  $\theta_t = \sigma_t^{-1}(b_t - r_t \vec{1})$  and  $\int_0^t |\theta_s|^2 ds < \infty$  for all  $t \geq 0$  ( $P$ -a.s.)

pricing rule for risk:  $\Theta = \theta^\top \cdot W \Leftrightarrow X = M + \langle M, \Theta \rangle$  with  $M \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sigma \cdot W$

normalized excess returns:  $\beta = W + \theta \cdot \iota \equiv W + \langle W, \Theta \rangle,$

$$X = \sigma \cdot (W + \theta \cdot \iota) \equiv \sigma \cdot \beta \Leftrightarrow \beta_t = \int_0^t \sigma_s^{-1} dX_s$$

change of numéraire:  $S_t^\circ = \text{numéraire}$

Prices and Returns

Self-Financing

investment- consumption

investment- payout

From modeling point of view, there is no harm in replacing  $W$  with  $B$  and  $\sigma$  with  $\alpha$ , i.e. write

$$X = \sigma \cdot W + (b - r\vec{1}) \cdot \iota = \sigma \cdot (W + \theta \cdot \iota),$$

and suppose that  $W$  is of length  $n$ ,  $\sigma$  is square, of size  $(n, n)$ , full-rank, and observable.

N.B.  $W$  is not observable and neither is  $\theta$  – unless such observability is imposed.

We suppose:  $\theta_t = \sigma_t^{-1}(b_t - r_t \vec{1})$  and  $\int_0^t |\theta_s|^2 ds < \infty$  for all  $t \geq 0$  ( $P$ -a.s.)

pricing rule for risk:  $\Theta = \theta^\top \cdot W \Leftrightarrow X = M + \langle M, \Theta \rangle$  with  $M \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sigma \cdot W$

normalized excess returns:  $\beta = W + \theta \cdot \iota \equiv W + \langle W, \Theta \rangle,$

$$X = \sigma \cdot (W + \theta \cdot \iota) \equiv \sigma \cdot \beta \Leftrightarrow \beta_t = \int_0^t \sigma_s^{-1} dX_s$$

change of numéraire:  $S_t^\circ = \text{numéraire}$  “new” price vector:  $\bar{S}_t = (S_t^\circ)^{-1} S_t$  and  $\bar{S}_t^\circ = 1$

Prices and Returns

Self-Financing

investment- consumption

investment- payout

From modeling point of view, there is no harm in replacing  $W$  with  $B$  and  $\sigma$  with  $\alpha$ , i.e. write

$$X = \sigma \cdot W + (b - r\bar{1}) \cdot \iota = \sigma \cdot (W + \theta \cdot \iota),$$

and suppose that  $W$  is of length  $n$ ,  $\sigma$  is square, of size  $(n, n)$ , full-rank, and observable.

N.B.  $W$  is not observable and neither is  $\theta$  – unless such observability is imposed.

We suppose:  $\theta_t = \sigma_t^{-1}(b_t - r_t \bar{1})$  and  $\int_0^t |\theta_s|^2 ds < \infty$  for all  $t \geq 0$  ( $P$ -a.s.)

pricing rule for risk:  $\Theta = \theta^\top \cdot W \Leftrightarrow X = M + \langle M, \Theta \rangle$  with  $M \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sigma \cdot W$

normalized excess returns:  $\beta = W + \theta \cdot \iota \equiv W + \langle W, \Theta \rangle,$

$$X = \sigma \cdot (W + \theta \cdot \iota) \equiv \sigma \cdot \beta \Leftrightarrow \beta_t = \int_0^t \sigma_s^{-1} dX_s$$

change of numéraire:  $S_t^\circ = \text{numéraire}$  “new” price vector:  $\bar{S}_t = (S_t^\circ)^{-1} S_t$  and  $\bar{S}_t^\circ = 1$

$\bar{S} = \bar{S}_0 + \bar{S} \cdot \bar{Z}$ , for  $\bar{Z} = Z - r \cdot \iota$

Prices and Returns

Self-Financing

investment- consumption

investment- payout

From modeling point of view, there is no harm in replacing  $W$  with  $B$  and  $\sigma$  with  $\alpha$ , i.e. write

$$X = \sigma \cdot W + (b - r\bar{1}) \cdot \iota = \sigma \cdot (W + \theta \cdot \iota),$$

and suppose that  $W$  is of length  $n$ ,  $\sigma$  is square, of size  $(n, n)$ , full-rank, and observable.

N.B.  $W$  is not observable and neither is  $\theta$  – unless such observability is imposed.

We suppose:  $\theta_t = \sigma_t^{-1}(b_t - r_t \bar{1})$  and  $\int_0^t |\theta_s|^2 ds < \infty$  for all  $t \geq 0$  ( $P$ -a.s.)

pricing rule for risk:  $\Theta = \theta^\top \cdot W \Leftrightarrow X = M + \langle M, \Theta \rangle$  with  $M \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sigma \cdot W$

normalized excess returns:  $\beta = W + \theta \cdot \iota \equiv W + \langle W, \Theta \rangle,$

$$X = \sigma \cdot (W + \theta \cdot \iota) \equiv \sigma \cdot \beta \Leftrightarrow \beta_t = \int_0^t \sigma_s^{-1} dX_s$$

change of numéraire:  $S_t^\circ = \text{numéraire}$  “new” price vector:  $\bar{S}_t = (S_t^\circ)^{-1} S_t$  and  $\bar{S}_t^\circ = 1$

$\bar{S} = \bar{S}_0 + \bar{S} \cdot \bar{Z}$ , for  $\bar{Z} = Z - r \cdot \iota$  However:  $\bar{X} = (\bar{Z} - \bar{Z}_0) - \bar{0} = Z - Z_0 - r \bar{1} \cdot \iota = X$

Prices and Returns

Self-Financing

investment- consumption

investment- payout

Prices and Returns

Self-Financing

investment- consumption

investment- payout



## A self-financing investment-consumption strategy

A self-financing investment-consumption strategy is given by the triplet  $(x, \pi, c)$

A self-financing investment-consumption strategy is given by the triplet  $(x, \pi, c)$ , in which:

$x \in \mathbb{R}$  is the initial investment;

A self-financing investment-consumption strategy is given by the triplet  $(x, \pi, c)$ , in which:

$x \in \mathbb{R}$  is the initial investment;  $\pi$  is an  $\mathbb{R}^n$ -valued and predictable for  $\mathcal{G}$  process that gives the investor's trading strategy (portfolio process);

A self-financing investment-consumption strategy is given by the triplet  $(x, \pi, c)$ , in which:

$x \in \mathbb{R}$  is the initial investment;  $\pi$  is an  $\mathbb{R}^n$ -valued and predictable for  $\mathcal{G}$  process that gives the investor's trading strategy (portfolio process);  $c$  is an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -valued and predictable for  $\mathcal{G}$  process that gives the investor's **consumption rate** (consumption strategy).

A self-financing investment-consumption strategy is given by the triplet  $(x, \pi, c)$ , in which:

$x \in \mathbb{R}$  is the initial investment;  $\pi$  is an  $\mathbb{R}^n$ -valued and predictable for  $\mathcal{G}$  process that gives the investor's trading strategy (portfolio process);  $c$  is an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -valued and predictable for  $\mathcal{G}$  process that gives the investor's consumption rate (consumption strategy). These objects are assumed to satisfy (a.s.):

$$\int_0^t \pi_s^\top (\sigma_s \sigma_s^\top) \pi_s ds \equiv \int_0^t |\pi_s^\top \sigma_s|^2 ds < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^t c_s ds < \infty, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T], \quad \text{or } t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

A self-financing investment-consumption strategy is given by the triplet  $(x, \pi, c)$ , in which:

$x \in \mathbb{R}$  is the initial investment;  $\pi$  is an  $\mathbb{R}^n$ -valued and predictable for  $\mathcal{G}$  process that gives the investor's trading strategy (portfolio process);  $c$  is an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -valued and predictable for  $\mathcal{G}$  process that gives the investor's consumption rate (consumption strategy). These objects are assumed to satisfy (a.s.):

$$\int_0^t \pi_s^\top (\sigma_s \sigma_s^\top) \pi_s ds \equiv \int_0^t |\pi_s^\top \sigma_s|^2 ds < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^t c_s ds < \infty, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T], \quad \text{or } t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

We now turn to the dynamics of the **wealth process**,  $V = V^{x, \pi, c}$ , which  $(x, \pi, c)$  generates.

A self-financing investment-consumption strategy is given by the triplet  $(x, \pi, c)$ , in which:

$x \in \mathbb{R}$  is the initial investment;  $\pi$  is an  $\mathbb{R}^n$ -valued and predictable for  $\mathcal{G}$  process that gives the investor's trading strategy (portfolio process);  $c$  is an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -valued and predictable for  $\mathcal{G}$  process that gives the investor's consumption rate (consumption strategy). These objects are assumed to satisfy (a.s.):

$$\int_0^t \pi_s^\top (\sigma_s \sigma_s^\top) \pi_s ds \equiv \int_0^t |\pi_s^\top \sigma_s|^2 ds < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^t c_s ds < \infty, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T], \quad \text{or } t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

We now turn to the dynamics of the wealth process,  $V = V^{x, \pi, c}$ , which  $(x, \pi, c)$  generates.

$$\begin{aligned} V_{t+dt} &= (V_t - \pi_t^\top \bar{1})(1 + r_t dt) + \pi_t^\top (\bar{1} + dZ_t) - c_t dt \\ &= V_t + V_t r_t dt + \pi_t^\top (dZ_t - r_t \bar{1} dt) - c_t dt \\ &= V_t + V_t r_t dt + \pi_t^\top dX_t - c_t dt. \end{aligned}$$



A self-financing investment-consumption strategy is given by the triplet  $(x, \pi, c)$ , in which:

$x \in \mathbb{R}$  is the initial investment;  $\pi$  is an  $\mathbb{R}^n$ -valued and predictable for  $\mathcal{G}$  process that gives the investor's trading strategy (portfolio process);  $c$  is an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -valued and predictable for  $\mathcal{G}$  process that gives the investor's consumption rate (consumption strategy). These objects are assumed to satisfy (a.s.):

$$\int_0^t \pi_s^\top (\sigma_s \sigma_s^\top) \pi_s ds \equiv \int_0^t |\pi_s^\top \sigma_s|^2 ds < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^t c_s ds < \infty, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T], \quad \text{or } t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

We now turn to the dynamics of the wealth process,  $V = V^{x, \pi, c}$ , which  $(x, \pi, c)$  generates.

$$\begin{aligned} V_{t+dt} &= (V_t - \pi_t^\top \bar{1})(1 + r_t dt) + \pi_t^\top (\bar{1} + dZ_t) - c_t dt & V_{t+dt} - V_t &\equiv dV_t = V_t r_t dt + \pi_t^\top dX_t - c_t dt \\ &= V_t + V_t r_t dt + \pi_t^\top (dZ_t - r_t \bar{1} dt) - c_t dt \\ &= V_t + V_t r_t dt + \pi_t^\top dX_t - c_t dt. \end{aligned}$$

A self-financing investment-consumption strategy is given by the triplet  $(x, \pi, c)$ , in which:

$x \in \mathbb{R}$  is the initial investment;  $\pi$  is an  $\mathbb{R}^n$ -valued and predictable for  $\mathcal{G}$  process that gives the investor's trading strategy (portfolio process);  $c$  is an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -valued and predictable for  $\mathcal{G}$  process that gives the investor's consumption rate (consumption strategy). These objects are assumed to satisfy (a.s.):

$$\int_0^t \pi_s^\top (\sigma_s \sigma_s^\top) \pi_s ds \equiv \int_0^t |\pi_s^\top \sigma_s|^2 ds < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^t c_s ds < \infty, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T], \quad \text{or } t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

We now turn to the dynamics of the wealth process,  $V = V^{x, \pi, c}$ , which  $(x, \pi, c)$  generates.

$$\begin{aligned} V_{t+dt} &= (V_t - \pi_t^\top \bar{1})(1 + r_t dt) + \pi_t^\top (\bar{1} + dZ_t) - c_t dt \\ &= V_t + V_t r_t dt + \pi_t^\top (dZ_t - r_t \bar{1} dt) - c_t dt \\ &= V_t + V_t r_t dt + \pi_t^\top dX_t - c_t dt. \end{aligned}$$

$$V_{t+dt} - V_t \equiv dV_t = V_t r_t dt + \pi_t^\top dX_t - c_t dt$$

wealth dynamics

A self-financing investment-consumption strategy is given by the triplet  $(x, \pi, c)$ , in which:

$x \in \mathbb{R}$  is the initial investment;  $\pi$  is an  $\mathbb{R}^n$ -valued and predictable for  $\mathcal{G}$  process that gives the investor's trading strategy (portfolio process);  $c$  is an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -valued and predictable for  $\mathcal{G}$  process that gives the investor's consumption rate (consumption strategy). These objects are assumed to satisfy (a.s.):

$$\int_0^t \pi_s^\top (\sigma_s \sigma_s^\top) \pi_s ds \equiv \int_0^t |\pi_s^\top \sigma_s|^2 ds < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^t c_s ds < \infty, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T], \quad \text{or } t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

We now turn to the dynamics of the wealth process,  $V = V^{x, \pi, c}$ , which  $(x, \pi, c)$  generates.

$$\begin{aligned} V_{t+dt} &= (V_t - \pi_t^\top \bar{1})(1 + r_t dt) + \pi_t^\top (\bar{1} + dZ_t) - c_t dt \\ &= V_t + V_t r_t dt + \pi_t^\top (dZ_t - r_t \bar{1} dt) - c_t dt \\ &= V_t + V_t r_t dt + \pi_t^\top dX_t - c_t dt. \end{aligned}$$

$$V_{t+dt} - V_t \equiv dV_t = V_t r_t dt + \pi_t^\top dX_t - c_t dt$$

wealth dynamics

Why is this strategy a “self-financing strategy?”

A self-financing investment-consumption strategy is given by the triplet  $(x, \pi, c)$ , in which:

$x \in \mathbb{R}$  is the initial investment;  $\pi$  is an  $\mathbb{R}^n$ -valued and predictable for  $\mathcal{G}$  process that gives the investor's trading strategy (portfolio process);  $c$  is an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -valued and predictable for  $\mathcal{G}$  process that gives the investor's consumption rate (consumption strategy). These objects are assumed to satisfy (a.s.):

$$\int_0^t \pi_s^\top (\sigma_s \sigma_s^\top) \pi_s ds \equiv \int_0^t |\pi_s^\top \sigma_s|^2 ds < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^t c_s ds < \infty, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T], \quad \text{or } t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

We now turn to the dynamics of the wealth process,  $V = V^{x, \pi, c}$ , which  $(x, \pi, c)$  generates.

$$\begin{aligned} V_{t+dt} &= (V_t - \pi_t^\top \bar{1})(1 + r_t dt) + \pi_t^\top (\bar{1} + dZ_t) - c_t dt \\ &= V_t + V_t r_t dt + \pi_t^\top (dZ_t - r_t \bar{1} dt) - c_t dt \\ &= V_t + V_t r_t dt + \pi_t^\top dX_t - c_t dt. \end{aligned}$$

$$V_{t+dt} - V_t \equiv dV_t = V_t r_t dt + \pi_t^\top dX_t - c_t dt$$

wealth dynamics

Why is this strategy a “self-financing strategy?”

solving for the dynamics:  $V_t \equiv V_t^{x, \pi, c} = e^{\int_0^t r_u du} \left( x + \int_0^t e^{-\int_0^s r_u du} \pi_s^\top dX_s - \int_0^t e^{-\int_0^s r_u du} c_s ds \right)$

A self-financing investment-consumption strategy is given by the triplet  $(x, \pi, c)$ , in which:

$x \in \mathbb{R}$  is the initial investment;  $\pi$  is an  $\mathbb{R}^n$ -valued and predictable for  $\mathcal{G}$  process that gives the investor's trading strategy (portfolio process);  $c$  is an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -valued and predictable for  $\mathcal{G}$  process that gives the investor's consumption rate (consumption strategy). These objects are assumed to satisfy (a.s.):

$$\int_0^t \pi_s^\top (\sigma_s \sigma_s^\top) \pi_s ds \equiv \int_0^t |\pi_s^\top \sigma_s|^2 ds < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^t c_s ds < \infty, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T], \quad \text{or } t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

We now turn to the dynamics of the wealth process,  $V = V^{x, \pi, c}$ , which  $(x, \pi, c)$  generates.

$$\begin{aligned} V_{t+dt} &= (V_t - \pi_t^\top \bar{1})(1 + r_t dt) + \pi_t^\top (\bar{1} + dZ_t) - c_t dt \\ &= V_t + V_t r_t dt + \pi_t^\top (dZ_t - r_t \bar{1} dt) - c_t dt \\ &= V_t + V_t r_t dt + \pi_t^\top dX_t - c_t dt. \end{aligned}$$

$$V_{t+dt} - V_t \equiv dV_t = V_t r_t dt + \pi_t^\top dX_t - c_t dt$$

wealth dynamics

Why is this strategy a “self-financing strategy?”

solving for the dynamics:  $V_t \equiv V_t^{x, \pi, c} = e^{\int_0^t r_u du} \left( x + \int_0^t e^{-\int_0^s r_u du} \pi_s^\top dX_s - \int_0^t e^{-\int_0^s r_u du} c_s ds \right)$

$$(S^\circ)^{-1} V + (S^\circ)^{-1} c \bullet t$$

A self-financing investment-consumption strategy is given by the triplet  $(x, \pi, c)$ , in which:

$x \in \mathbb{R}$  is the initial investment;  $\pi$  is an  $\mathbb{R}^n$ -valued and predictable for  $\mathcal{G}$  process that gives the investor's trading strategy (portfolio process);  $c$  is an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -valued and predictable for  $\mathcal{G}$  process that gives the investor's consumption rate (consumption strategy). These objects are assumed to satisfy (a.s.):

$$\int_0^t \pi_s^\top (\sigma_s \sigma_s^\top) \pi_s ds \equiv \int_0^t |\pi_s^\top \sigma_s|^2 ds < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^t c_s ds < \infty, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T], \quad \text{or } t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

We now turn to the dynamics of the wealth process,  $V = V^{x, \pi, c}$ , which  $(x, \pi, c)$  generates.

$$\begin{aligned} V_{t+dt} &= (V_t - \pi_t^\top \bar{1})(1 + r_t dt) + \pi_t^\top (\bar{1} + dZ_t) - c_t dt \\ &= V_t + V_t r_t dt + \pi_t^\top (dZ_t - r_t \bar{1} dt) - c_t dt \\ &= V_t + V_t r_t dt + \pi_t^\top dX_t - c_t dt. \end{aligned}$$

$$V_{t+dt} - V_t \equiv dV_t = V_t r_t dt + \pi_t^\top dX_t - c_t dt$$

wealth dynamics

Why is this strategy a “self-financing strategy?”

solving for the dynamics:  $V_t \equiv V_t^{x, \pi, c} = e^{\int_0^t r_u du} \left( x + \int_0^t e^{-\int_0^s r_u du} \pi_s^\top dX_s - \int_0^t e^{-\int_0^s r_u du} c_s ds \right)$

$$(S^0)^{-1} V + (S^0)^{-1} c \bullet t = x + (S^0)^{-1} \pi^\top \bullet X$$

A self-financing investment-consumption strategy is given by the triplet  $(x, \pi, c)$ , in which:

$x \in \mathbb{R}$  is the initial investment;  $\pi$  is an  $\mathbb{R}^n$ -valued and predictable for  $\mathcal{G}$  process that gives the investor's trading strategy (portfolio process);  $c$  is an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -valued and predictable for  $\mathcal{G}$  process that gives the investor's consumption rate (consumption strategy). These objects are assumed to satisfy (a.s.):

$$\int_0^t \pi_s^\top (\sigma_s \sigma_s^\top) \pi_s ds \equiv \int_0^t |\pi_s^\top \sigma_s|^2 ds < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^t c_s ds < \infty, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T], \quad \text{or } t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

We now turn to the dynamics of the wealth process,  $V = V^{x, \pi, c}$ , which  $(x, \pi, c)$  generates.

$$\begin{aligned} V_{t+dt} &= (V_t - \pi_t^\top \bar{1})(1 + r_t dt) + \pi_t^\top (\bar{1} + dZ_t) - c_t dt \\ &= V_t + V_t r_t dt + \pi_t^\top (dZ_t - r_t \bar{1} dt) - c_t dt \\ &= V_t + V_t r_t dt + \pi_t^\top dX_t - c_t dt. \end{aligned}$$

$$V_{t+dt} - V_t \equiv dV_t = V_t r_t dt + \pi_t^\top dX_t - c_t dt$$

wealth dynamics

Why is this strategy a “self-financing strategy?”

solving for the dynamics:  $V_t \equiv V_t^{x, \pi, c} = e^{\int_0^t r_u du} \left( x + \int_0^t e^{-\int_0^s r_u du} \pi_s^\top dX_s - \int_0^t e^{-\int_0^s r_u du} c_s ds \right)$

$$(S^\circ)^{-1} V + (S^\circ)^{-1} c \bullet t = x + (S^\circ)^{-1} \pi^\top \bullet X$$

$$\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} K^{x, \pi}$$

A self-financing investment-consumption strategy is given by the triplet  $(x, \pi, c)$ , in which:

$x \in \mathbb{R}$  is the initial investment;  $\pi$  is an  $\mathbb{R}^n$ -valued and predictable for  $\mathcal{G}$  process that gives the investor's trading strategy (portfolio process);  $c$  is an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -valued and predictable for  $\mathcal{G}$  process that gives the investor's consumption rate (consumption strategy). These objects are assumed to satisfy (a.s.):

$$\int_0^t \pi_s^\top (\sigma_s \sigma_s^\top) \pi_s ds \equiv \int_0^t |\pi_s^\top \sigma_s|^2 ds < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^t c_s ds < \infty, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T], \quad \text{or } t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

We now turn to the dynamics of the wealth process,  $V = V^{x, \pi, c}$ , which  $(x, \pi, c)$  generates.

$$\begin{aligned} V_{t+dt} &= (V_t - \pi_t^\top \bar{1})(1 + r_t dt) + \pi_t^\top (\bar{1} + dZ_t) - c_t dt \\ &= V_t + V_t r_t dt + \pi_t^\top (dZ_t - r_t \bar{1} dt) - c_t dt \\ &= V_t + V_t r_t dt + \pi_t^\top dX_t - c_t dt. \end{aligned}$$

$$V_{t+dt} - V_t \equiv dV_t = V_t r_t dt + \pi_t^\top dX_t - c_t dt$$

wealth dynamics

Why is this strategy a “self-financing strategy?”

solving for the dynamics:  $V_t \equiv V_t^{x, \pi, c} = e^{\int_0^t r_u du} \left( x + \int_0^t e^{-\int_0^s r_u du} \pi_s^\top dX_s - \int_0^t e^{-\int_0^s r_u du} c_s ds \right)$

$$(S^0)^{-1} V + (S^0)^{-1} c \bullet t = x + (S^0)^{-1} \pi^\top \bullet X$$

$$\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} K^{x, \pi}$$

N.B. The economic interpretation of this identity is very important.







## A self-financing investment-payout strategy

A self-financing investment-payout strategy is given by the triplet  $(x, \pi, c)$

A self-financing investment-payout strategy is given by the triplet  $(x, \pi, c)$ , in which:

$x \in \mathbb{R}$  is the initial loan;

Prices and Returns

Self-Financing

investment- consumption

investment- payout

A self-financing investment-payout strategy is given by the triplet  $(x, \pi, c)$ , in which:

$x \in \mathbb{R}$  is the initial loan;  $\pi$  is an  $\mathbb{R}^n$ -valued and predictable for  $\mathcal{G}$  process that gives the investor's trading strategy (portfolio process);

A self-financing investment-payout strategy is given by the triplet  $(x, \pi, c)$ , in which:

$x \in \mathbb{R}$  is the initial loan;  $\pi$  is an  $\mathbb{R}^n$ -valued and predictable for  $\mathcal{G}$  process that gives the investor's trading strategy (portfolio process);  $c$  is an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -valued and predictable for  $\mathcal{G}$  process that gives the payout rate (amortization rate) for the loan.

A self-financing investment-payout strategy is given by the triplet  $(x, \pi, c)$ , in which:

$x \in \mathbb{R}$  is the initial loan;  $\pi$  is an  $\mathbb{R}^n$ -valued and predictable for  $\mathcal{G}$  process that gives the investor's trading strategy (portfolio process);  $c$  is an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -valued and predictable for  $\mathcal{G}$  process that gives the payout rate (amortization rate) for the loan. These objects are assumed to satisfy (a.s.):

$$\int_0^t \pi_s^\top (\sigma_s \sigma_s^\top) \pi_s \, ds \equiv \int_0^t |\pi_s^\top \sigma_s|^2 \, ds < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^t c_s \, ds < \infty, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T], \quad \text{or } t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$



A self-financing investment-payout strategy is given by the triplet  $(x, \pi, c)$ , in which:

$x \in \mathbb{R}$  is the initial loan;  $\pi$  is an  $\mathbb{R}^n$ -valued and predictable for  $\mathcal{G}$  process that gives the investor's trading strategy (portfolio process);  $c$  is an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -valued and predictable for  $\mathcal{G}$  process that gives the payout rate (amortization rate) for the loan. These objects are assumed to satisfy (a.s.):

$$\int_0^t \pi_s^\top (\sigma_s \sigma_s^\top) \pi_s ds \equiv \int_0^t |\pi_s^\top \sigma_s|^2 ds < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^t c_s ds < \infty, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T], \quad \text{or } t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

We now turn to the dynamics of the **debt process**,  $\tilde{V} = \tilde{V}^{x, \pi, c}$ , which  $(x, \pi, c)$  generates.

A self-financing investment-payout strategy is given by the triplet  $(x, \pi, c)$ , in which:

$x \in \mathbb{R}$  is the initial loan;  $\pi$  is an  $\mathbb{R}^n$ -valued and predictable for  $\mathcal{G}$  process that gives the investor's trading strategy (portfolio process);  $c$  is an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -valued and predictable for  $\mathcal{G}$  process that gives the payout rate (amortization rate) for the loan. These objects are assumed to satisfy (a.s.):

$$\int_0^t \pi_s^\top (\sigma_s \sigma_s^\top) \pi_s ds \equiv \int_0^t |\pi_s^\top \sigma_s|^2 ds < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^t c_s ds < \infty, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T], \quad \text{or } t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

We now turn to the dynamics of the debt process,  $\tilde{V} = \tilde{V}^{x, \pi, c}$ , which  $(x, \pi, c)$  generates.

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{V}_{t+dt} &= (\tilde{V}_t + \pi_t^\top \bar{1})(1 + r_t dt) - \pi_t^\top (\bar{1} + dZ_t) - c_t dt \\ &= \tilde{V}_t + \tilde{V}_t r_t dt - \pi_t^\top (dZ_t - r_t \bar{1} dt) - c_t dt \\ &= \tilde{V}_t + \tilde{V}_t r_t dt - \pi_t^\top dX_t - c_t dt, \end{aligned}$$

A self-financing investment-payout strategy is given by the triplet  $(x, \pi, c)$ , in which:

$x \in \mathbb{R}$  is the initial loan;  $\pi$  is an  $\mathbb{R}^n$ -valued and predictable for  $\mathcal{G}$  process that gives the investor's trading strategy (portfolio process);  $c$  is an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -valued and predictable for  $\mathcal{G}$  process that gives the payout rate (amortization rate) for the loan. These objects are assumed to satisfy (a.s.):

$$\int_0^t \pi_s^\top (\sigma_s \sigma_s^\top) \pi_s ds \equiv \int_0^t |\pi_s^\top \sigma_s|^2 ds < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^t c_s ds < \infty, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T], \quad \text{or } t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

We now turn to the dynamics of the debt process,  $\tilde{V} = \tilde{V}^{x, \pi, c}$ , which  $(x, \pi, c)$  generates.

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{V}_{t+dt} &= (\tilde{V}_t + \pi_t^\top \bar{1})(1 + r_t dt) - \pi_t^\top (\bar{1} + dZ_t) - c_t dt & \tilde{V}_{t+dt} - \tilde{V}_t &\equiv d\tilde{V}_t = \tilde{V}_t r_t dt - \pi_t^\top dX_t - c_t dt \\ &= \tilde{V}_t + \tilde{V}_t r_t dt - \pi_t^\top (dZ_t - r_t \bar{1} dt) - c_t dt \\ &= \tilde{V}_t + \tilde{V}_t r_t dt - \pi_t^\top dX_t - c_t dt, \end{aligned}$$

A self-financing investment-payout strategy is given by the triplet  $(x, \pi, c)$ , in which:

$x \in \mathbb{R}$  is the initial loan;  $\pi$  is an  $\mathbb{R}^n$ -valued and predictable for  $\mathcal{G}$  process that gives the investor's trading strategy (portfolio process);  $c$  is an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -valued and predictable for  $\mathcal{G}$  process that gives the payout rate (amortization rate) for the loan. These objects are assumed to satisfy (a.s.):

$$\int_0^t \pi_s^\top (\sigma_s \sigma_s^\top) \pi_s ds \equiv \int_0^t |\pi_s^\top \sigma_s|^2 ds < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^t c_s ds < \infty, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T], \quad \text{or } t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

We now turn to the dynamics of the debt process,  $\tilde{V} = \tilde{V}^{x, \pi, c}$ , which  $(x, \pi, c)$  generates.

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{V}_{t+dt} &= (\tilde{V}_t + \pi_t^\top \bar{1})(1 + r_t dt) - \pi_t^\top (\bar{1} + dZ_t) - c_t dt \\ &= \tilde{V}_t + \tilde{V}_t r_t dt - \pi_t^\top (dZ_t - r_t \bar{1} dt) - c_t dt \\ &= \tilde{V}_t + \tilde{V}_t r_t dt - \pi_t^\top dX_t - c_t dt, \end{aligned}$$

$$\tilde{V}_{t+dt} - \tilde{V}_t \equiv d\tilde{V}_t = \tilde{V}_t r_t dt - \pi_t^\top dX_t - c_t dt$$

debt dynamics

A self-financing investment-payout strategy is given by the triplet  $(x, \pi, c)$ , in which:

$x \in \mathbb{R}$  is the initial loan;  $\pi$  is an  $\mathbb{R}^n$ -valued and predictable for  $\mathcal{G}$  process that gives the investor's trading strategy (portfolio process);  $c$  is an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -valued and predictable for  $\mathcal{G}$  process that gives the payout rate (amortization rate) for the loan. These objects are assumed to satisfy (a.s.):

$$\int_0^t \pi_s^\top (\sigma_s \sigma_s^\top) \pi_s ds \equiv \int_0^t |\pi_s^\top \sigma_s|^2 ds < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^t c_s ds < \infty, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T], \quad \text{or } t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

We now turn to the dynamics of the debt process,  $\tilde{V} = \tilde{V}^{x, \pi, c}$ , which  $(x, \pi, c)$  generates.

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{V}_{t+dt} &= (\tilde{V}_t + \pi_t^\top \bar{1})(1 + r_t dt) - \pi_t^\top (\bar{1} + dZ_t) - c_t dt \\ &= \tilde{V}_t + \tilde{V}_t r_t dt - \pi_t^\top (dZ_t - r_t \bar{1} dt) - c_t dt \\ &= \tilde{V}_t + \tilde{V}_t r_t dt - \pi_t^\top dX_t - c_t dt, \end{aligned}$$

$$\tilde{V}_{t+dt} - \tilde{V}_t \equiv d\tilde{V}_t = \tilde{V}_t r_t dt - \pi_t^\top dX_t - c_t dt$$

debt dynamics

N.B. This is a self-financing strategy.

A self-financing investment-payout strategy is given by the triplet  $(x, \pi, c)$ , in which:

$x \in \mathbb{R}$  is the initial loan;  $\pi$  is an  $\mathbb{R}^n$ -valued and predictable for  $\mathcal{G}$  process that gives the investor's trading strategy (portfolio process);  $c$  is an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -valued and predictable for  $\mathcal{G}$  process that gives the payout rate (amortization rate) for the loan. These objects are assumed to satisfy (a.s.):

$$\int_0^t \pi_s^\top (\sigma_s \sigma_s^\top) \pi_s ds \equiv \int_0^t |\pi_s^\top \sigma_s|^2 ds < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^t c_s ds < \infty, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T], \quad \text{or } t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

We now turn to the dynamics of the debt process,  $\tilde{V} = \tilde{V}^{x, \pi, c}$ , which  $(x, \pi, c)$  generates.

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{V}_{t+dt} &= (\tilde{V}_t + \pi_t^\top \bar{1})(1 + r_t dt) - \pi_t^\top (\bar{1} + dZ_t) - c_t dt \\ &= \tilde{V}_t + \tilde{V}_t r_t dt - \pi_t^\top (dZ_t - r_t \bar{1} dt) - c_t dt \\ &= \tilde{V}_t + \tilde{V}_t r_t dt - \pi_t^\top dX_t - c_t dt, \end{aligned}$$

$$\tilde{V}_{t+dt} - \tilde{V}_t \equiv d\tilde{V}_t = \tilde{V}_t r_t dt - \pi_t^\top dX_t - c_t dt$$

debt dynamics

N.B. This is a self-financing strategy.

$$\tilde{V}_t = e^{\int_0^t r_u du} \left( \tilde{V}_0 - \int_0^t e^{-\int_0^s r_u du} \pi_s^\top dX_s - \int_0^t e^{-\int_0^s r_u du} c_s ds \right)$$

A self-financing investment-payout strategy is given by the triplet  $(x, \pi, c)$ , in which:

$x \in \mathbb{R}$  is the initial loan;  $\pi$  is an  $\mathbb{R}^n$ -valued and predictable for  $\mathcal{G}$  process that gives the investor's trading strategy (portfolio process);  $c$  is an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -valued and predictable for  $\mathcal{G}$  process that gives the payout rate (amortization rate) for the loan. These objects are assumed to satisfy (a.s.):

$$\int_0^t \pi_s^\top (\sigma_s \sigma_s^\top) \pi_s ds \equiv \int_0^t |\pi_s^\top \sigma_s|^2 ds < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^t c_s ds < \infty, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T], \quad \text{or } t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

We now turn to the dynamics of the debt process,  $\tilde{V} = \tilde{V}^{x, \pi, c}$ , which  $(x, \pi, c)$  generates.

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{V}_{t+dt} &= (\tilde{V}_t + \pi_t^\top \bar{1})(1 + r_t dt) - \pi_t^\top (\bar{1} + dZ_t) - c_t dt \\ &= \tilde{V}_t + \tilde{V}_t r_t dt - \pi_t^\top (dZ_t - r_t \bar{1} dt) - c_t dt \\ &= \tilde{V}_t + \tilde{V}_t r_t dt - \pi_t^\top dX_t - c_t dt, \end{aligned}$$

$$\tilde{V}_{t+dt} - \tilde{V}_t \equiv d\tilde{V}_t = \tilde{V}_t r_t dt - \pi_t^\top dX_t - c_t dt$$

debt dynamics

N.B. This is a self-financing strategy.

$$\tilde{V}_t = e^{\int_0^t r_u du} \left( \tilde{V}_0 - \int_0^t e^{-\int_0^s r_u du} \pi_s^\top dX_s - \int_0^t e^{-\int_0^s r_u du} c_s ds \right)$$

N.B. “Debt” = “negative wealth” and the payout rate for the debt = “negative consumption.”

A self-financing investment-payout strategy is given by the triplet  $(x, \pi, c)$ , in which:

$x \in \mathbb{R}$  is the initial loan;  $\pi$  is an  $\mathbb{R}^n$ -valued and predictable for  $\mathcal{G}$  process that gives the investor's trading strategy (portfolio process);  $c$  is an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -valued and predictable for  $\mathcal{G}$  process that gives the payout rate (amortization rate) for the loan. These objects are assumed to satisfy (a.s.):

$$\int_0^t \pi_s^\top (\sigma_s \sigma_s^\top) \pi_s ds \equiv \int_0^t |\pi_s^\top \sigma_s|^2 ds < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^t c_s ds < \infty, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T], \quad \text{or } t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

We now turn to the dynamics of the debt process,  $\tilde{V} = \tilde{V}^{x, \pi, c}$ , which  $(x, \pi, c)$  generates.

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{V}_{t+dt} &= (\tilde{V}_t + \pi_t^\top \bar{1})(1 + r_t dt) - \pi_t^\top (\bar{1} + dZ_t) - c_t dt \\ &= \tilde{V}_t + \tilde{V}_t r_t dt - \pi_t^\top (dZ_t - r_t \bar{1} dt) - c_t dt \\ &= \tilde{V}_t + \tilde{V}_t r_t dt - \pi_t^\top dX_t - c_t dt, \end{aligned}$$

$$\tilde{V}_{t+dt} - \tilde{V}_t \equiv d\tilde{V}_t = \tilde{V}_t r_t dt - \pi_t^\top dX_t - c_t dt$$

debt dynamics

N.B. This is a self-financing strategy.

$$\tilde{V}_t = e^{\int_0^t r_u du} \left( \tilde{V}_0 - \int_0^t e^{-\int_0^s r_u du} \pi_s^\top dX_s - \int_0^t e^{-\int_0^s r_u du} c_s ds \right)$$

N.B. “Debt” = “negative wealth” and the payout rate for the debt = “negative consumption.”  
 $\tilde{V}_0$  = “income” when  $(V_t)$  represents “debt” and  $V_0$  = “cost” when  $(V_t)$  represents “wealth.”



A self-financing investment-payout strategy is given by the triplet  $(x, \pi, c)$ , in which:

$x \in \mathbb{R}$  is the initial loan;  $\pi$  is an  $\mathbb{R}^n$ -valued and predictable for  $\mathcal{G}$  process that gives the investor's trading strategy (portfolio process);  $c$  is an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -valued and predictable for  $\mathcal{G}$  process that gives the payout rate (amortization rate) for the loan. These objects are assumed to satisfy (a.s.):

$$\int_0^t \pi_s^\top (\sigma_s \sigma_s^\top) \pi_s ds \equiv \int_0^t |\pi_s^\top \sigma_s|^2 ds < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^t c_s ds < \infty, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T], \quad \text{or } t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

We now turn to the dynamics of the debt process,  $\tilde{V} = \tilde{V}^{x, \pi, c}$ , which  $(x, \pi, c)$  generates.

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{V}_{t+dt} &= (\tilde{V}_t + \pi_t^\top \bar{1})(1 + r_t dt) - \pi_t^\top (\bar{1} + dZ_t) - c_t dt \\ &= \tilde{V}_t + \tilde{V}_t r_t dt - \pi_t^\top (dZ_t - r_t \bar{1} dt) - c_t dt \\ &= \tilde{V}_t + \tilde{V}_t r_t dt - \pi_t^\top dX_t - c_t dt, \end{aligned}$$

$$\tilde{V}_{t+dt} - \tilde{V}_t \equiv d\tilde{V}_t = \tilde{V}_t r_t dt - \pi_t^\top dX_t - c_t dt$$

debt dynamics

N.B. This is a self-financing strategy.

$$\tilde{V}_t = e^{\int_0^t r_u du} \left( \tilde{V}_0 - \int_0^t e^{-\int_0^s r_u du} \pi_s^\top dX_s - \int_0^t e^{-\int_0^s r_u du} c_s ds \right)$$

N.B. “Debt” = “negative wealth” and the payout rate for the debt = “negative consumption.”  
 $\tilde{V}_0$  = “income” when  $(V_t)$  represents “debt” and  $V_0$  = “cost” when  $(V_t)$  represents “wealth.”

$$(S^\circ)^{-1} \tilde{V} + (S^\circ)^{-1} c \cdot t = K^{x, -\pi} \equiv x - (S^\circ)^{-1} \pi^\top \cdot X, \quad \text{on } [0, T]$$

A self-financing investment-payout strategy is given by the triplet  $(x, \pi, c)$ , in which:

$x \in \mathbb{R}$  is the initial loan;  $\pi$  is an  $\mathbb{R}^n$ -valued and predictable for  $\mathcal{G}$  process that gives the investor's trading strategy (portfolio process);  $c$  is an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -valued and predictable for  $\mathcal{G}$  process that gives the payout rate (amortization rate) for the loan. These objects are assumed to satisfy (a.s.):

$$\int_0^t \pi_s^\top (\sigma_s \sigma_s^\top) \pi_s ds \equiv \int_0^t |\pi_s^\top \sigma_s|^2 ds < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^t c_s ds < \infty, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T], \quad \text{or } t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

We now turn to the dynamics of the debt process,  $\tilde{V} = \tilde{V}^{x, \pi, c}$ , which  $(x, \pi, c)$  generates.

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{V}_{t+dt} &= (\tilde{V}_t + \pi_t^\top \bar{1})(1 + r_t dt) - \pi_t^\top (\bar{1} + dZ_t) - c_t dt \\ &= \tilde{V}_t + \tilde{V}_t r_t dt - \pi_t^\top (dZ_t - r_t \bar{1} dt) - c_t dt \\ &= \tilde{V}_t + \tilde{V}_t r_t dt - \pi_t^\top dX_t - c_t dt, \end{aligned}$$

$$\tilde{V}_{t+dt} - \tilde{V}_t \equiv d\tilde{V}_t = \tilde{V}_t r_t dt - \pi_t^\top dX_t - c_t dt$$

debt dynamics

N.B. This is a self-financing strategy.

$$\tilde{V}_t = e^{\int_0^t r_u du} \left( \tilde{V}_0 - \int_0^t e^{-\int_0^s r_u du} \pi_s^\top dX_s - \int_0^t e^{-\int_0^s r_u du} c_s ds \right)$$

N.B. “Debt” = “negative wealth” and the payout rate for the debt = “negative consumption.”  
 $\tilde{V}_0$  = “income” when  $(V_t)$  represents “debt” and  $V_0$  = “cost” when  $(V_t)$  represents “wealth.”

$$-(S^\circ)^{-1} \tilde{V} - (S^\circ)^{-1} c \bullet t = K^{-x, \pi} \equiv -x + (S^\circ)^{-1} \pi^\top \bullet X, \quad \text{on } [0, T]$$

A self-financing investment-payout strategy is given by the triplet  $(x, \pi, c)$ , in which:

$x \in \mathbb{R}$  is the initial loan;  $\pi$  is an  $\mathbb{R}^n$ -valued and predictable for  $\mathcal{G}$  process that gives the investor's trading strategy (portfolio process);  $c$  is an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -valued and predictable for  $\mathcal{G}$  process that gives the payout rate (amortization rate) for the loan. These objects are assumed to satisfy (a.s.):

$$\int_0^t \pi_s^\top (\sigma_s \sigma_s^\top) \pi_s ds \equiv \int_0^t |\pi_s^\top \sigma_s|^2 ds < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^t c_s ds < \infty, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T], \quad \text{or } t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

We now turn to the dynamics of the debt process,  $\tilde{V} = \tilde{V}^{x, \pi, c}$ , which  $(x, \pi, c)$  generates.

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{V}_{t+dt} &= (\tilde{V}_t + \pi_t^\top \bar{1})(1 + r_t dt) - \pi_t^\top (\bar{1} + dZ_t) - c_t dt \\ &= \tilde{V}_t + \tilde{V}_t r_t dt - \pi_t^\top (dZ_t - r_t \bar{1} dt) - c_t dt \\ &= \tilde{V}_t + \tilde{V}_t r_t dt - \pi_t^\top dX_t - c_t dt, \end{aligned}$$

$$\tilde{V}_{t+dt} - \tilde{V}_t \equiv d\tilde{V}_t = \tilde{V}_t r_t dt - \pi_t^\top dX_t - c_t dt$$

debt dynamics

N.B. This is a self-financing strategy.

$$\tilde{V}_t = e^{\int_0^t r_u du} \left( \tilde{V}_0 - \int_0^t e^{-\int_0^s r_u du} \pi_s^\top dX_s - \int_0^t e^{-\int_0^s r_u du} c_s ds \right)$$

N.B. “Debt” = “negative wealth” and the payout rate for the debt = “negative consumption.”  
 $\tilde{V}_0$  = “income” when  $(V_t)$  represents “debt” and  $V_0$  = “cost” when  $(V_t)$  represents “wealth.”

$$-(S^\circ)^{-1} \tilde{V} - (S^\circ)^{-1} c \bullet t = K^{-x, \pi} \equiv -x + (S^\circ)^{-1} \pi^\top \bullet X, \quad \text{on } [0, T]$$

N.B. A self-financing investment-payout strategy = self-financing investment-consumption strategy with *negative initial endowment* and *negative consumption*.