## **Boston University Questrom School of Business**

**MF840 – Spring 2021** 

**Eric Jacquier** 

**REVIEW OF OLS** 

**GLS** 

**SUR** 

**SUR: Seemingly Unrelated Regressions** 

Similar to but more general than the *multivariate regression model* 

Linear Regression Model: 
$$Y = X \beta + \epsilon$$
  
 $Tx1 TxK Kx1$ 

## **1 OLS**

• Min 
$$\varepsilon$$
'  $\varepsilon$   $\Leftrightarrow$  Min  $(Y - X\beta)'$   $(Y - X\beta)$ 

$$Min (-2\beta'X'Y + \beta' X'X \beta)$$

$$0 = -2X'Y + 2\beta X'X$$

$$\hat{\beta} = (X'X)^{-1} X' Y$$

$$= (X'X)^{-1} X' (X\beta + \varepsilon)$$

$$\hat{\beta} = \beta + (X'X)^{-1} X' \varepsilon$$
[1]

No statistics yet in this, we did not specify anything for the distribution of  $\varepsilon$ 

• Properties of  $\hat{\beta}$ , is it a good estimator?

Bias? Do we get the correct number *on average, sample after sample*, if we use OLS?

Precision? How far do we deviate from the correct number *on average sample after sample?* 

"On average, time after time": repeated sampling

=> we get different realizations of  $\varepsilon$  each time, therefore different  $\beta$ .

#### Unbiasedness

$$E[\hat{\beta}_{OLS}] = \beta + E[(X'X)^{-1} X' \epsilon] ?$$

If 
$$E(\varepsilon \mid X) = 0$$
 [2]  
Then:  $E(\varepsilon) = 0$ ,  $Cov(g(X), \varepsilon) = 0$ ,  $E(g(X)\varepsilon) = 0$ . (LN9, MF793)

Then: 
$$E(\hat{\beta}_{OLS}) = \beta + E[(X'X)^{-1}X'] \epsilon = \beta$$
 [3]

- o Questions:
- 1. What is the only source of randomness?
- 2. Did we need to know the *distribution* of  $\varepsilon$ ?
- o Question: When would we **not** have  $E(\varepsilon \mid X) = 0$ ?

• (Co)Variance:

$$V(x) = E[(x-E(x))(x-E(x))']$$

$$Apply \hat{\beta}: V(\hat{\beta}_{OLS}) = E[(\hat{\beta}-E(\hat{\beta}))(\hat{\beta}-E(\hat{\beta}))'] \quad k \times k \text{ matrix}$$

By condition [2],  $E(\hat{\beta}_{OLS}) = \beta$ , (4) becomes:

$$V(\widehat{\beta}_{OLS}) = E[ (\widehat{\beta} - \beta) (\widehat{\beta} - \beta)' ]$$

$$= E[(X'X)^{-1} X' \epsilon ((X'X)^{-1} X' \epsilon)' ]$$

$$= (X'X)^{-1} X' E(\epsilon \epsilon') X (X'X)^{-1}$$

New assumption: the noise is i.i.d.  $E(\epsilon \epsilon') = \sigma^2 I_T$ 

$$\mathbf{V}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{OLS}) = (X'X)^{-1} X' \quad E(\varepsilon\varepsilon') \quad X (X'X)^{-1} = \sigma^2 (X'X)^{-1}$$
 [5]

Question: What if X is random?

A bit more complex, [5] is just the conditional variance of  $\hat{\beta}$  (conditional on X), we would have to take the expectation of [5] around X.

• Optimality result: (non-proven): **Gauss-Markov Theorem:** 

 $\hat{\beta}_{\text{OLS}}$  is the Best Linear Unbiased Estimator (BLUE)

Linear: of the form  $\hat{\beta} = K Y$ 

Unbiased:  $E(\hat{\beta}) = \beta$ 

"Best": has the smallest possible variance

• Distribution of  $\hat{\beta}_{OLS}$ 

Two possibilities

- 1. ε normally distributed
  - $\Rightarrow$   $\hat{\beta}_{OLS}$  exactly normally distributed, as a linear combination of normals
- 2. Don't know  $\varepsilon$ 's distribution, but have a large sample:
  - $\Rightarrow$   $\hat{\beta}_{OLS}$  approximately normally distributed, as a linear combination of a large number of random variables.

### • We now need to estimate $\sigma$

OLS has nothing to say about the estimation of  $\sigma$ .

We have an estimate of  $\varepsilon$ , the residual e:  $e = Y - X \hat{\beta}_{OLS}$ 

We can compute its variance:  $s^2 = \frac{e'e}{T-K}$ 

Why T-K, why not T? Recall the proof using the trace and the M matrix, the  $\chi^2(T-k)$  result

- Finally, our estimate of the variance of  $\hat{\beta}_{OLS}$ :  $s^2(X'X)^{-1}$
- Distribution of one element,  $\hat{\beta}^k_{OLS}$ : Student-t with T-K degrees of freedom.

## 2 GLS – Generalized Least Squares Framework

**2.1 Complications** 
$$E(\varepsilon \varepsilon') \neq \sigma^2 I$$

$$E(\varepsilon\varepsilon') = \sigma^2 \Omega = \Sigma$$

- We write  $\sigma^2 \Omega$  to have a notation where the general model nests the iid model. But of course in many situations,  $\sigma$  is not identified.  $\sigma^2 \Omega = (2\sigma^2) (\Omega/2)$
- Heteroskedasticity:  $E(\varepsilon \varepsilon') = \sigma^2 D$ ,  $E(\varepsilon_i^2) \neq E(\varepsilon_j^2)$

$$\sigma^{2}\mathbf{\Omega} = \sigma^{2} \begin{bmatrix} \omega_{1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \omega_{2} & \cdots & 0 \\ & & \vdots & \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \omega_{n} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{1}^{2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_{2}^{2} & \cdots & 0 \\ & & \vdots & \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \sigma_{n}^{2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

- o Examples:
  - $\circ$  Error variance proportional to one of the regressors:  $\sigma_t = \sigma \sqrt{x_t}$
  - $\circ$  Error variance varies with time or previous shock:  $\sigma_t = \alpha + \delta \epsilon_{t-1}^2$  ... the ARCH model

Correlated errors

$$E(\varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j) \neq 0$$
 for  $i \neq j$ ,  $E(\varepsilon \varepsilon') = \sigma^2 \Omega$ 

For homoskedastic, correlated errors,  $\sigma^2$  is the constant error variance and  $\Omega$  is a correlation matrix.

- Examples:
  - Cross-sectional regression

Group effects: 
$$i \neq j$$
 same industry pair,  $E(\varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j) \neq 0$ 

$$i≠j$$
 different industries,  $E(ε_iε_j) = 0$ 

Time Series regression

Autocorrelation of order 1:  $\varepsilon_t = \rho \varepsilon_{t-1} + v_t$  AR(1)

$$\sigma^2 \mathbf{\Omega} = \sigma^2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \rho_1 & \cdots & \rho_{n-1} \\ \rho_1 & 1 & \cdots & \rho_{n-2} \\ & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \rho_{n-1} & \rho_{n-2} & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $\rho_K = Corr(\epsilon_t, \epsilon_{t-k})$ 

### 2.2 Theoretical Solution: GLS estimator

• Matrix Result: For any covariance matrix  $\Omega$ ,  $\exists$  P and D matrices such that

$$\Omega = P' D P$$
 [1]

Where P'P = P P' = I, D is Diagonal.

P: matrix of Eigen vectors, D: matrix of Eigen Values

• 
$$D^{-0.5}$$
 P  $\Omega$  P'  $D^{-0.5}$  =  $D^{-0.5}$  P P'DP P'  $D^{-0.5}$  =  $D^{-0.5}$  D  $D^{-0.5}$  =  $I_T$ 

P\*  $\Omega$  P\*' =  $I$ 

• Pre-multiply our regression: 
$$P^*Y = P^*X + P^*\epsilon$$
  $E(\epsilon \epsilon') = \sigma^2 \Omega$  
$$Y^* = X^* + \beta + \epsilon^*$$
 [2]

• Look at the error covariance matrix of [2]

$$E(\varepsilon^*\varepsilon^{*'}) = E(P^*\varepsilon\varepsilon'P^{*'}) = P^*\sigma^2\Omega P^{*'} = \sigma^2I$$

OLS applies to [2], it is BLUE for [2]

• GLS is just OLS applied to the transformed system [2]

$$\hat{\beta}_{GLS} = (X^*, X^*)^{-1} X^*, Y^* = (X^*\Omega^{-1}X)^{-1} X^*\Omega^{-1} Y$$
 [3]

$$\operatorname{Var}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{GLS}) = \sigma^2 (X^* X^*)^{-1} = \sigma^2 (X^* \Omega^{-1} X)^{-1}.$$
 [4]

We are not done!

We need to find an estimate of  $\Omega$  to plug into [3][4]:  $\widehat{\Omega}$  Feasible GLS

•  $\Omega$  is a TxT matrix.

Under i.i.d. errors, we reduced it to 1 parameter,  $\sigma$ .

Now we have T(T+1)/2 parameters and only T observations

• Can't estimate T(T+1)/2 parameters with T observations

Need to specify a model of correlated and / or heteroskedastic errors to reduce the number of parameters in  $\Omega$ .

Examples: autocorrelated residuals with AR(1), Weighted Least Squares, GARCH errors

Problem: Wrong  $\widehat{\Omega}$  means wrong  $\widehat{\beta}_{GLS}$ 

## 2.3 "Feasible" GLS Approach

If we could find a "good" estimate of  $\Omega$ , it would be that way:

- Feasible GLS: 1) Do OLS => OLS residual: e<sub>OLS</sub>
  - 2) Compute a covariance matrix of the residual given our model. Likely that OLS errors yield a consistent estimate of  $\Omega$ :  $\widehat{\Omega}^{(1)}$
  - 3) Use  $\widehat{\Omega}^{(1)}$  to get  $\widehat{\beta}_{GLS}$  by [3]
- **Iterated GLS**: 4) iterate:  $e_{GLS} = Y X \hat{\beta}_{GLS} = > \widehat{\Omega}^{(2)} = > \text{new } e_{GLS}, \text{ etc...}$

Applications: autocorrelated residuals, Cochrane-Orcutt simple forms for heteroskedasticity, weighted least squares

- Again remember: Wrong  $\widehat{\Omega}$  means wrong  $\widehat{\beta}_{GLS}$
- GLS is sensitive to assumptions on the covariance matrix

It can be biased and inefficient if assumptions on  $\Omega$  are wrong

Then its covariance matrix estimate is wrong too:  $V(\hat{\beta}_{GLS}) \neq \sigma^2(X'\Omega^{-1}X)^{-1}$ 

### 2.4 Alternative to GLS: Remain with OLS, compute Robust standard Errors

OLS is possibly inefficient but we can adjust its standard errors

Use robust standard errors for:

Unspecified heteroskedasticity White (1983)

Unspecified autocorrelation Hansen-Hodrick, Newey-West.

You may not have the "best" estimator but you can specify the uncertainty properly.

• **HAC** standard errors (Heteroskedasticity – Autocorrelation – Consistent)

May not have the "best" estimator but you can specify the uncertainty properly

In R: command coeftest, needs packages sandwich and lmtest.

# 2.5 Regression with AR(1) errors, Cochrane-Orcutt, a Feasible GLS

$$y_t = \alpha + \beta x_t + \varepsilon_t$$
  $\varepsilon_t = \rho \varepsilon_{t-1} + \nu_t$ ,  $E(\nu_t, \nu_{t-k}) = 0$ ,  $E(\nu_t^2) = \sigma_{\nu}^2$ 

$$E(\varepsilon\varepsilon') =$$

### Feasible GLS:

- 1) Run OLS, Get e<sub>OLS</sub>, OLS is inefficient but unbiased and consistent
- 2) Compute  $\widehat{\Omega}^{(1)}$ :  $\widehat{\gamma}_1 = \frac{1}{T-1} \sum e_t e_{t-1}$   $\widehat{\gamma}_0 = \frac{1}{T-1} \sum e_t^2$
- 3) Use  $\widehat{\Omega}^{(1)}$  to compute  $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{GLS}$

### Intuition:

Compute  $Y_t^* = Y_t - \hat{\rho} Y_{t-1}$ ,  $X_t^*$ , Consider regression of  $Y_t^*$  on  $X_t^*$ . t = 2, ..., T

What can you say of  $E(\varepsilon^* \epsilon^{*'})$ ?

What is  $P^*$ ?

### 3. SUR – SEEMINGLY UNRELATED REGRESSIONS (Arnold Zellner AZ)

- SUR is a fundamental model for the simultaneous analysis of several of regressions
- Say we regress portfolio 1 excess return  $r_1$  on its benchmark return  $B_1$ , to find its systematic risk  $(\beta_1)$  and abnormal performance  $(\alpha_1)$ :

$$r_{1t} = \alpha_1 + \beta_1 B_{1t} + \epsilon_{1t}$$
  $t = 1, ... T, E(\epsilon_1 \epsilon_1') = \sigma^2_1 I_T$ 

... and for portfolio 2:

$$r_{2t} = \alpha_2 + \beta_2 B_{2t} + \epsilon_{2t}$$
  $t = 1, ... T, E(\epsilon_2 \epsilon_2') = \sigma^2 I_T$ 

... and 3, and 4, .... and N

- We assume that OLS assumptions are OK for each of these N ... seemingly unrelated regressions.
- Still these regressions may be related via their errors:  $E(\varepsilon_{it} \ \varepsilon_{jt}) = \sigma_{ij} \neq 0$ Does it matter? Yes.

In this case, a more efficient GLS estimator follows by stacking these regressions.

$$\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{X_{1}}{X_{2}} \\
\frac{X_{2}}{X_{2}}
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
X_{1} \\
X_{2} \\
X_{3}
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
X_{1} \\
X_{2} \\
X_{3}
\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix}
E_{1} \\
E_{3}
\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix}
E_{1}$$

ZEFO NON CONTEMPORANEOUS CORRELATIONS

$$E(\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}') = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{1}^{2} & \sigma_{1} & \sigma_{1} \\ \sigma_{1}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} \\ \sigma_{1}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{1}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} \\ \sigma_{2}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{1}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} \\ \sigma_{2}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{1}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} \\ \sigma_{2}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{1}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} \\ \sigma_{2}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{1}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} \\ \sigma_{2}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{1}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} \\ \sigma_{2}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{1}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} \\ \sigma_{2}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{1}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} \\ \sigma_{2}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{1}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} \\ \sigma_{2}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{1}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} \\ \sigma_{2}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{1}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} \\ \sigma_{2}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{1}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} \\ \sigma_{2}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{1}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} \\ \sigma_{2}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{1}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} \\ \sigma_{2}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{1}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} \\ \sigma_{2}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{1}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} \\ \sigma_{2}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{1}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} \\ \sigma_{2}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{1}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} \\ \sigma_{2}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{1}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} \\ \sigma_{2}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{1}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} \\ \sigma_{2}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{1}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} \\ \sigma_{2}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{1}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} \\ \sigma_{2}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{1}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} \\ \sigma_{2}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{1}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} \\ \sigma_{2}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} \\ \sigma_{2}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{1}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} \\ \sigma_{2}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} \\ \sigma_{2}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{1}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} \\ \sigma_{2}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} \\ \sigma_{2}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{1}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} \\ \sigma_{2}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} \\ \sigma_{2}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{1}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} \\ \sigma_{2}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} \\ \sigma_{2}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{1}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} \\ \sigma_{2}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{1}^{2} & \sigma_{2}^$$

NXN contemporaneous variance - covariance matrix of the errors

• The correct LS estimator is the GLS on the "big" system:

$$\hat{\beta}_{SUR}$$
 =  $(\mathbf{X}'\Omega^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\Omega^{-1}\mathbf{Y}$   
 $Var(\hat{\beta}_{SUR})$  =  $(\mathbf{X}'\Omega^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}$ 

• Is there a feasible GLS for this? Can we get a consistent estimate of  $\Omega$ ?

Yes we can:  $\Omega$  is a huge matrix, NT by NT, but it is very sparse.

Getting it right only requires a consistent estimator of  $\Sigma$  which is *only* NxN

Condition: T > N in fact  $T \gg N$  is needed for reasonably precise results.

• Feasible GLS: Run N OLS regressions =>  $e_{i,OLS}$  => consistent  $\hat{\Sigma}^{(1)} = \{e^{i'}e^{j}\}/(T-N)$ 

$$\widehat{\Omega}^{(1)}_{GLS} = \widehat{\Sigma}^{(1)} \otimes I \implies \widehat{\beta}_{GLS}$$

$$e_{GLS} = \mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X} \, \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{GLS} \implies \widehat{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}^{(2)}_{GLS}$$

# 3.1 SUR when the independent variables $X_i$ are the same

• All small X matrices are equal:  $X_1 = X_2 = .... = X_n = X$ 

$$\begin{pmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \\ \vdots \\ Y_N \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} X & 0 & \vdots & 0 \\ 0 & X & \vdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \vdots & X \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_N \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_1 \\ \varepsilon_2 \\ \vdots \\ \varepsilon_N \end{pmatrix} = (I \otimes X) \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_N \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_1 \\ \varepsilon_2 \\ \vdots \\ \varepsilon_N \end{pmatrix}$$

What is the big **X** now:  $\mathbf{X}_{NT,NK} = I_N \otimes X_{T,K}$ ,

also remember:  $\Omega_{NT} = \Sigma_N \otimes I_T$ 

$$\begin{split} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{SUR} &= (\quad \boldsymbol{X^{\prime}} \quad \Omega^{-1} \ \boldsymbol{X} \ )^{-1} \quad \boldsymbol{X^{\prime}} \quad \Omega^{-1} \ \boldsymbol{Y} \\ &= [\quad (I \otimes X)^{\prime} \quad (\Sigma \otimes I)^{-1} \quad (I \otimes X) \quad ]^{-1} \quad (I \otimes X)^{\prime} \quad (\Sigma \otimes I)^{-1} \ \boldsymbol{Y} \\ &= [\quad (I \ \Sigma^{-1}I) \quad \otimes \quad (X^{\prime} \ I \ X) \quad ]^{-1} \quad (\Sigma^{-1} \otimes \quad X^{\prime}) \ \boldsymbol{Y} \\ &= [\quad \Sigma^{-1} \quad \otimes \quad (X^{\prime}X) \quad ]^{-1} \quad (\Sigma^{-1} \otimes \quad X^{\prime}) \ \boldsymbol{Y} = [\quad \Sigma \quad \otimes \quad (X^{\prime}X)^{-1}] \quad (\Sigma^{-1} \otimes \quad X^{\prime}) \ \boldsymbol{Y} \\ &= [I \quad \otimes \quad (X^{\prime}X)^{-1}X^{\prime}] \ \boldsymbol{Y} \\ &= \quad \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{OLS} \qquad \qquad \dots \quad \text{stacked OLS betas } ! \end{split}$$

$$V(\hat{\beta}_{SUR}) = (X^{2}\Omega^{-1}X)^{-1} = \Sigma \otimes (X^{2}X)^{-1}$$
 ... gives us cross-equation covariances