

08 Relations.

08_03 Equivalence Relations and Partitions

Definition. Let R be an equivalent relation on a set A . For each $a \in A$, $R[a] = \{x: x \in A, (a, x) \in R\}$ is called the equivalent class represented by a . Note that $a \in R[a]$ for each $a \in A$ ($\neq \emptyset$).

Example. Suppose $A = \{-2, -1, 0, 1, 2\}$. Define a relation $R = \{(a, b) : a \in A, b \in A, \text{ and } (a = b \text{ or } a = -b)\}$ on A . Then R is an equivalent relation on A . We further have

$$R[-2] = \{-2, 2\} = R[2],$$

$$R[-1] = \{-1, 1\} = R[1],$$

$$R[0] = \{0\}.$$

Theorem 1. Let R be an equivalent class on a set A . Then the following statements are equivalent.

$$[1] (a, b) \in R.$$

$$[2] R[a] = R[b].$$

$$[3] R[a] \cap R[b] \neq \emptyset.$$

[Proof]. $[1] \Rightarrow [2]$ For each $x \in R[a]$, we have $(a, x) \in R$. Since R is symmetric, we have $(x, a) \in R$. we have $(x, b) \in R$ since R is transitive, $(x, a) \in R$, and $(a, b) \in R$. Since R is symmetric, $(b, x) \in R$. Thus $x \in R[b]$. Hence $R[a] \subseteq R[b]$. Similarly, we can prove that $R[b] \subseteq R[a]$. Therefore $R[a] = R[b]$.

[2] \Rightarrow [3]. Since $a \in R[a] = R[b]$, $a \in R[a] \cap R[b] \neq \emptyset$.
 [3] \Rightarrow [1]. Choose $x \in R[a] \cap R[b] \neq \emptyset$. Then $x \in R[a]$ and $x \in R[b]$. Thus $(a, x) \in R$ and $(b, x) \in R$. Since R is symmetric, we have $(a, x) \in R$ and $(x, b) \in R$. Since R is transitive, we have $(a, b) \in R$. ■

From Theorem 1, we have that the following statements are equivalent.

- [1] $(a, b) \notin R$.
- [2] $R[a] \neq R[b]$.
- [3] $R[a] \cap R[b] = \emptyset$.

Definition. A collection of disjoint nonempty subsets S_i , where $i \in I$ and I is an index set, of a set S is a partition of S if $S = \bigcup_{i \in I} S_i$.
 When $I = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$, we write $S = S_1 \cup S_2 \cup \dots \cup S_n$.

Example. Suppose $A = \{-2, -1, 0, 1, 2\}$. Then $\{-2, 2\}$, $\{-1, 1\}$, and $\{0\}$ form a partition of A .

Example. Suppose $A = \{\dots, -3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$. Namely, A is the set of integers. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_0 &= \{0\}, \\
 A_1 &= \{-1, 1\}, \\
 A_2 &= \{-2, 2\}, \\
 &\dots\dots \\
 A_n &= \{-n, n\},
 \end{aligned}$$

.....

form a partition of A.

Example. Suppose $A = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$. Namely, A is the set of nonnegative integers. Then

$$A_0 = \{0, 7, 14, 21, \dots\},$$

$$A_1 = \{1, 8, 15, 22, \dots\},$$

$$A_2 = \{2, 9, 16, 23, \dots\},$$

$$A_3 = \{3, 10, 17, 24, \dots\},$$

$$A_4 = \{4, 11, 18, 25, \dots\},$$

$$A_5 = \{5, 12, 19, 26, \dots\},$$

$$A_6 = \{6, 13, 20, 27, \dots\},$$

form a partition of A.

Theorem 2. Let R be an equivalent class on a set A. Then the distinct equivalent classes based on R form a partition of A.

[Proof] Note first that each equivalent class is nonempty.

By Theorem 1, $\bigcup_{a \in A} R[a] =$ the union of distinct equivalent

classes based on R. It is obvious that $A \subseteq \bigcup_{a \in A} R[a]$ and

$\bigcup_{a \in A} R[a] \subseteq A$. Note that the distinct equivalent classes

are also disjoint. Thus $A =$ the disjoint union of distinct

equivalent classes based on R. Hence the distinct equivalent

classes based on R form a partition of A. 

Example. Suppose $A = \{-2, -1, 0, 1, 2\}$. Define a relation R

$= \{(a, b) : a \in A, b \in A, \text{ and } (a = b \text{ or } a = -b)\}$ on A. Then R

is an equivalent relation on A. The partition of A based on R can be found as follows.

$$R[-1] = \{x: x \in A, (-1, x) \in R\} = \{-1, 1\} = R[1],$$

$$R[0] = \{x: x \in A, (0, x) \in R\} = \{0\},$$

$$R[-2] = \{x: x \in A, (-2, x) \in R\} = \{-2, 2\} = R[2].$$

Clearly, $R[-1] = \{-1, 1\}$, $R[0] = \{0\}$, and $R[-2] = \{-2, 2\}$ form a partition of A.

Example. Suppose A be the set of nonnegative integers. Define a relation $R = \{(a, b) : a \in A, b \in A, \text{ and } a \bmod 7 = b \bmod 7\}$ on A. Prove that R is an equivalent relation on A and find the partition of A based on R.

[Proof] Note that $a \bmod 7 = a \bmod 7$. So $(a, a) \in R$ and

R is reflexive.

Note that $(a, b) \in R$ if and only if $a \bmod 7 = b \bmod 7$ if and only if $b \bmod 7 = a \bmod 7$ if and only if $(b, a) \in R$.

So R is symmetric.

If $(a, b) \in R$ and $(b, c) \in R$, then $a \bmod 7 = b \bmod 7$ and $b \bmod 7 = c \bmod 7$. Thus $a \bmod 7 = c \bmod 7$ and $(a, c) \in R$. So R is transitive.

Hence R is an equivalent relation on A.

The partition of A based on R can be found as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} R[0] &= \{x : x \in A \text{ and } (0, x) \in R\} \\ &= \{x : x \in A \text{ and } 0 \bmod 7 = x \bmod 7\} \\ &= \{x : x \in A, 0 = x \bmod 7\} \\ &= \{x : x \in A, x \bmod 7 = 0\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \{0, 7, 14, 21, \dots\}, \\
R[1] &= \{x : x \in A \text{ and } (1, x) \in R\} \\
&= \{x : x \in A \text{ and } 1 \bmod 7 = x \bmod 7\} \\
&= \{x : x \in A, 1 = x \bmod 7\} \\
&= \{x : x \in A, x \bmod 7 = 1\} \\
&= \{1, 8, 15, 22, \dots\}, \\
R[2] &= \{x : x \in A \text{ and } (2, x) \in R\} \\
&= \{x : x \in A \text{ and } 2 \bmod 7 = x \bmod 7\} \\
&= \{x : x \in A, 2 = x \bmod 7\} \\
&= \{x : x \in A, x \bmod 7 = 2\} \\
&= \{2, 9, 16, 23, \dots\}, \\
R[3] &= \{x : x \in A \text{ and } (3, x) \in R\} \\
&= \{x : x \in A \text{ and } 3 \bmod 7 = x \bmod 7\} \\
&= \{x : x \in A, 3 = x \bmod 7\} \\
&= \{x : x \in A, x \bmod 7 = 3\} \\
&= \{3, 10, 17, 24, \dots\}, \\
R[4] &= \{x : x \in A \text{ and } (4, x) \in R\} \\
&= \{x : x \in A \text{ and } 4 \bmod 7 = x \bmod 7\} \\
&= \{x : x \in A, 4 = x \bmod 7\} \\
&= \{x : x \in A, x \bmod 7 = 4\} \\
&= \{4, 11, 18, 25, \dots\}, \\
R[5] &= \{x : x \in A \text{ and } (5, x) \in R\} \\
&= \{x : x \in A \text{ and } 5 \bmod 7 = x \bmod 7\} \\
&= \{x : x \in A, 5 = x \bmod 7\} \\
&= \{x : x \in A, x \bmod 7 = 5\} \\
&= \{5, 12, 19, 26, \dots\},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
R[6] &= \{x : x \in A \text{ and } (6, x) \in R\} \\
&= \{x : x \in A \text{ and } 6 \bmod 7 = x \bmod 7\} \\
&= \{x : x \in A, 6 = x \bmod 7\} \\
&= \{x : x \in A, x \bmod 7 = 6\} \\
&= \{6, 13, 20, 27, \dots\},
\end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $R[0]$, $R[1]$, $R[2]$, $R[3]$, $R[4]$, $R[5]$, and $R[6]$ form a partition of A .

Theorem 3. Suppose $\{P_i, i \in I\}$ form a partition of A . Then

$R = \bigcup_{i \in I} (P_i \times P_i)$ is an equivalent relation on A .

[Proof] Since $\{P_i, i \in I\}$ form a partition of A , for each

$a \in A$, $a \in P_k$ for some $k \in I$. Thus $(a, a) \in P_k \times P_k \subseteq$

$\bigcup_{i \in I} (P_i \times P_i) = R$. Therefore R is reflexive.

If $(a, b) \in R$, then there exists an index $k \in I$ such that $(a, b) \in P_k \times P_k$. Thus $a \in P_k$ and $b \in P_k$. Hence $(b, a) \in P_k \times P_k \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} (P_i \times P_i) = R$.

If $(b, a) \in R$, then there exists an index $k \in I$ such that $(b, a) \in P_k \times P_k$. Thus $b \in P_k$ and $a \in P_k$. Hence $(a, b) \in P_k \times P_k \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} (P_i \times P_i) = R$.

Therefore R is symmetric.

If $(a, b) \in R$ and $(b, c) \in R$ then there exists an index $k \in I$ and an index $j \in I$ such that $(a, b) \in P_k \times P_k$ and $(b, c) \in P_j \times P_j$. Thus $a \in P_k$, $b \in P_k$ and $b \in P_j$, $c \in P_j$. Thus $j = k$. Hence $(a, c) \in P_k \times P_k \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} (P_i \times P_i) = R$.

Therefore R is transitive.

So R is an equivalent relation on A. ■

When $I = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$ in Theorem 3, we have that $(P_1 \times P_1) \cup (P_2 \times P_2) \cup \dots \cup (P_n \times P_n)$ is an equivalent relation on A if P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n form a partition of A.

Note that $P_1 = \{-1, 1\}$, $P_2 = \{0\}$, and $P_3 = \{-2, 2\}$ form a partition of $A = \{-2, -1, 0, 1, 2\}$. Then, by Theorem 3, we have that $(P_1 \times P_1) \cup (P_2 \times P_2) \cup (P_3 \times P_3) =$

$$\begin{aligned} &\{(-1, -1), (-1, 1) \\ &\quad (1, -1), (1, 1), \\ &\quad\quad (0, 0), \\ &\quad\quad\quad (-2, -2), (-2, 2) \\ &\quad\quad\quad (2, -2), (2, 2)\} \end{aligned}$$

is an equivalent relation on A.

Note that we can use Theorem 3 to prove a given relation is an equivalent relation.

Example. Suppose $A = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ and $R =$

$$\begin{aligned} &\{(1, 1), (1, 4), \\ &\quad (4, 1), (4, 4), \\ &\quad\quad (3, 3), \\ &\quad\quad\quad (4, 4), \\ &\quad\quad\quad\quad (5, 5)\} \end{aligned}$$

Prove that R is an equivalent relation on A.

[Proof] Let $P_1 = \{1, 4\}$, $P_2 = \{3\}$, $P_3 = \{4\}$, and $P_4 = \{5\}$.
Then P_1, P_2, P_3 , and P_4 form a partition of A and $R =$
 $(P_1 \times P_1) \cup (P_2 \times P_2) \cup (P_3 \times P_3) \cup (P_4 \times P_4)$. Hence,
by Theorem 3, R is an equivalent relation on A . 