

HOMEWORK OF HARMONIC ANALYSIS

XU SHI JIE

1. HARDY-LITTLEWOOD MAXIMAL OPERATOR

1.1. approximation to the identity.

1.1.1. Suppose $g \in \mathcal{S}$ is a tempered function, ϕ_t is an approximation to the identity, prove $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \phi_t = \delta_0$.

Proof. $\because g \in \mathcal{S}$ is a tempered function, $\therefore \|g\|_1 < \infty$, There exists a infinity M , $M = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |g(x)| < \infty$. $\because \phi_t(g) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(y) \phi_t(y) dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(y) \frac{1}{t^n} \phi(\frac{y}{t}) dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(ty) \phi(y) dy$, $|g(ty) \phi(y)| \leq M |\phi(y)|$, $\phi(y)$ is integrable, by Lebesgue control convergence theorem, $g(ty) \phi(y)$ is integrable and $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \phi_t(g) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} g(ty)) \phi(y) dy = g(0) = \delta_0(g)$. Hence $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \phi_t = \delta_0$ \square

1.2. weakly bounded operator.

1.2.1. Suppose $T_t (t > 0)$ is a family of sublinear operators. T^* is the maximal operator of them. proof that $\{f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) : \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} T_t f(x) \text{ exists for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$ is closed subset of \mathbb{R}^n .

Proof. Suppose $f_n \in \Gamma \triangleq \{f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) : \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} T_t f(x) \text{ exists for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$, and $f_n \rightarrow f$. Let $A_s \triangleq \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow 0} T_t f(x) - \underline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow 0} T_t f(x)| > s\}$, for $\forall s$, we need to proof that $|A_s| = 0$. $\because |\overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow 0} T_t f(x) - \underline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow 0} T_t f(x)| = |\overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow 0} T_t f(x) - \underline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow 0} T_t f_n + \underline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow 0} T_t f_n - \underline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow 0} T_t f_n + \overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow 0} T_t f_n - \overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow 0} T_t f_n + \overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow 0} T_t f_n - \overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow 0} T_t f_n| \leq |\overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow 0} T_t f(x) - \underline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow 0} T_t f_n| + |\underline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow 0} T_t f_n - \underline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow 0} T_t f_n| + |\overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow 0} T_t f_n - \overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow 0} T_t f_n| \leq |\overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow 0} T_t f(x) - \underline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow 0} T_t f_n| + |\underline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow 0} T_t f_n - \underline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow 0} T_t f_n| + |\overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow 0} T_t f_n - \overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow 0} T_t f_n| \leq |\overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow 0} T_t(f - f_n)| + |\underline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow 0} T_t(f_n - f)| \leq 2T^*(f - f_n)$
 $\therefore A_s \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : T^*(f - f_n)(x) > \frac{s}{2}\}$, because T^* is a weak- (p, q) operator, we have $|A_s| \leq (\frac{C\|f - f_n\|_p}{\frac{s}{2}})^q \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. $\therefore |A_s| = 0$. \square

1.3. Marcinkiewicz theorem.

1.3.1. Prove $\int_X |f(x)|^p d\mu(x) = p \int_0^\infty s^{p-1} \lambda_f(s) ds$.

Proof. $\because \int_X |f(x)|^p d\mu(x) = \int_X \int_0^{|f(x)|} p s^{p-1} ds d\mu(x) = \int_X \int_0^\infty p s^{p-1} \chi_{\{s < |f(x)|\}} ds d\mu(x)$, by Fubini theorem, $= \int_0^\infty p s^{p-1} \int_X \chi_{\{|f(x)| > s\}} d\mu(x) ds = \int_0^\infty p s^{p-1} \lambda_f(s) ds$. \square

1.3.2. Suppose sublinear operator T is a weak- (p_0, p_0) and weak- (p_1, p_1) , prove $\|T\|_{(p, p)} \leq C(p) \|T\|_{(p_0, p_0)}^{1-\theta} \|T\|_{(p_1, p_1)}^\theta$, when $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-\theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{p_1}$.

Proof. \square

1.4. Riesz-Thorin interpolation theorem.

1.4.1. The assume f is finity in three-lines theorem can be reduced to $|f(z)| \leq e^{c|y|}, \forall z = x + iy$.

Proof. It suffices to prove that $\limsup_{|y| \rightarrow \infty, x \in [a, b]} |f_\epsilon(x + iy)| = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore |f_\epsilon(x + iy)| &= \left| \frac{e^{\epsilon z^2} f(z)}{M_a^{\frac{b-z}{b-a}} M_b^{\frac{z-a}{b-a}}} \right| = \left| \frac{e^{\epsilon(x^2 - y^2)} f(z)}{M_a^{\frac{b-z}{b-a}} M_b^{\frac{z-a}{b-a}}} \right| \leq \left| \frac{e^{\epsilon(x^2 - y^2) - c|y|}}{M_a^{\frac{b-z}{b-a}} M_b^{\frac{z-a}{b-a}}} \right| \leq C e^{\epsilon(x^2 - y^2) - c|y|} \\ \therefore |f_\epsilon(x + iy)| &\rightarrow 0, \text{ as } |y| \rightarrow \infty. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

1.5. Hardy-Littlewood maximal function.

1.5.1. Show that for $\forall x \in U, \exists B(x, r)$ s.t. $U \subset B(x, r)$, and $|U| \geq C|B(x, r)|$.

Proof. \square

1.5.2. Show that $Mf(x)$ is lower-semicontinuity.

Proof. Let $A \triangleq \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : Mf(x) > s\}$, It suffices to prove A is open.

For $\forall x \in A, \exists B$ is an open rectangle, s.t $x \in B$, and $\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B f(x) dx > s$.

$\therefore \forall y \in B, \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B f(x) dx > s$, therefore $y \in A, B \subset A$, then A is open. \square

1.5.3. Suppose $0 \leq \phi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is increasely, prove that there exists $\{\phi_k\}$ s.t. $\{\phi_k\}$ is a sequence of simple functions, and $\phi_k \rightarrow \phi$ increasely.

Proof. \square

1.6. Binary maximal function.

1.6.1. *E-mail address:* xushijie@mail.ustc.edu.cn