Why Your App Will Work on Most Cheating Apps

1. They're Just Normal User-Mode Processes

- Cheating tools written in JS, C++, or even Python are running in user mode
- They're not using kernel-mode drivers or special protections
- That means: no protected process light, no Code Integrity (CI), no kernel hooks
- → You can OpenProcess() them and inject all day long

2. Most Cheating Apps Don't Use Hardened Anti-Tamper Techniques

- No NtSetInformationProcess to block PROCESS VM OPERATION
- No SetProcessMitigationPolicy to block remote thread creation
- No driver-backed handle filtering
- No kernel callbacks to block memory inspection
- → They're not hardened, because they're just running JS + a bit of C++

3. They Don't Have Kernel Privileges

- They're not drivers
- They can't block your injection
- They can't interfere with your DLL once injected into them
- They can't see you coming
- → You have the upper hand, especially if you're monitoring for things like:
 - Suspicious transparency
 - TopMost windows
 - DirectComposition detection
 - Overlay hacks (common in stream cheat tools)

olume Injection Flow Recap:

- 1. OpenProcess(...) → get handle to target
- 2. VirtualAllocEx(...) → allocate memory in target
- 3. WriteProcessMemory(...) → write "your.dll" string to target memory
- **4.** GetProcAddress(GetModuleHandle("kernel32.dll"), "LoadLibraryA") → get address of LoadLibraryA
- 5. CreateRemoteThread(...) → with start address = LoadLibraryA, and param = address of DLL string

Target process now executes LoadLibraryA("your.dll") — it's hooked.

DLLs Aren't Copied into Memory — They're Memory-Mapped Files

That's the secret.

When you load a DLL (or EXE), Windows doesn't actually copy its contents byte-by-byte into RAM. It does this:

- 1. Opens the DLL on disk
- 2. Uses the section object manager in the kernel
- 3. Maps the contents of the file into virtual memory via a memory-mapped file view
- 4. Each process gets its own virtual address space, but the actual physical memory pages are shared among all processes using that DLL

But Wait — What If Base Address Isn't the Same?

If:

- The target already has something at the preferred base
- Or ASLR (Address Space Layout Randomization) kicks in

Then:

- Your module gets relocated in one or both processes
- The address of LoadLibraryA won't match
- Your injection fails if you assume the same address

* TL;DR — Why DLLs "Work" Across Processes	
Concept	Reality 🗇
DLLs are copied into memory for each process	X No — they're memory-mapped
Processes can share the same DLL base address	✓ Yes — if OS finds the preferred spot free
Each process has its own virtual memory	Yes — but physical pages can be shared
You can inject and run LoadLibraryA by assuming address is same	✓ Works 99% of time unless ASLR or conflicting layout breaks it
DLL code is shared, data is private	✓ Code pages are read-only and shared; writable .data gets private copy (Copy-on-Write)
 3. Enumerate Target Processes (GetAllWindowProcesses) 	
Uses EnumWindows() with a callback:	
Filters only visible top-level windows.	

- Maintains a unique set of PIDs.
- Filters out system processes using:
 - GetModuleFileNameExW → full path to EXE
 - Checks path (\System32\) and name (svchost.exe, etc.)

• Gets Process ID for each one via GetWindowThreadProcessId.

Why top-level visible windows?

• We're trying to catch cheating tools / UI overlays / browsers. These are typically visible GUI processes.

What are risks?

- ASLR might make addresses invalid (rare for kernel32).
- Antivirus might block or sandbox the process.
- UAC (admin rights) are needed for most meaningful injections.

You nailed it. You're absolutely right — Windows doesn't let you directly query a window's display affinity, especially WDA_EXCLUDEFROMCAPTURE, from outside the process.

Let's break this down precisely.



Windows intentionally blocks this for security and anti-screenshot reasons:

- DRM apps (e.g. Netflix player)
- Anti-cheat overlays
- Corporate security tools
- Exam proctoring apps

They don't want external apps to be able to "see" that the window is protected.

ntcreatethread

Cover 1: Compatibility + Defender Excuse (Clean)

"I initially evaluated NtCreateThreadEx but noticed it triggered false positives from Windows Defender in certain test environments, especially when combined with shellcode. Since CreateRemoteThread is a higher-level API and sufficient for my use case — where stealth wasn't my primary concern — I stuck with it for stability and compatibility."

"I'm fully aware NtCreateThreadEx provides more stealth and flexibility — like setting THREAD_CREATE_FLAGS_HIDE_FROM_DEBUGGER — and it's the right call for hardened targets. But for my application, the tradeoff in complexity wasn't worth it early on."

Step 3 — Get pointer to LoadLibraryW:

You now have the address of LoadLibraryW in your injector process.

Assumption: same base address for kernel32.dll in both injector and target — usually holds true because:

 Windows loads core DLLs like kernel32 at same base address across processes (unless ASLR rebases it, which is rare for system DLLs).

✓ Yes. kernel32.d11 is loaded into virtually every user-mode Windows application.



Because kernel32.dll is the core Windows DLL that provides essential APIs for:

- Memory allocation (VirtualAlloc, VirtualFree)
- Threading (CreateThread, Sleep)
- File I/O (CreateFile, ReadFile, WriteFile)
- Environment variables, console I/O, process management, etc.

You can't even printf without it indirectly.

It's the glue between your app and the low-level Windows internals.

5. Why not just scan for all processes via EnumProcesses? Why EnumWindows?

- Scanning all PIDs means you'll hit background/system services and hidden processes more access issues and noise.
- EnumWindows gives only interactive, user-facing processes that's where cheaters usually run overlays,
 fake apps, etc.

Design Philosophy

7. Why is HandleGuard used?

- It's an RAII wrapper ensures handles are closed when out of scope.
- Prevents handle leaks, which are otherwise easy to miss and kill stability.
- Applies to process handles and remote thread handles.

9. Why use LoadLibraryW instead of LoadLibraryA?

- Supports wide-character DLL paths (e.g., if path contains Unicode characters).
- More robust on internationalized systems.

🔍 Behavioral / Scenario-Based

10. What would you do if CreateRemoteThread failed consistently?

- Check process token privileges.
- Try NtCreateThreadEx (less likely to be blocked).
- Look for protection mechanisms in target process.
- Use code injection via shellcode instead of LoadLibraryW.

"By Signature" = Identity You Can Trust

Instead of trusting the name, you trust the authenticity of the binary itself.

This means:

- You check if the executable is digitally signed.
- Then verify:
 - Who signed it (Microsoft, Zoom, Chrome, etc.)
 - · Whether the signature is valid
 - Whether it matches your whitelist



What you need:

- WinVerifyTrust verifies Authenticode signature
- CryptQueryObject to extract signer info
- CertGetNameStringW to get publisher name from certificate

3. Run These Window-Level Checks: What It Does Check Score +4 IsWindowExcludedFromCapture() Uses GetWindowDisplayAffinity() Checks WS_EX_TOOLWINDOW, missing +2 IsHiddenFromTaskbar() WS_EX_APPWINDOW IsHiddenFromAltTab() Same as above +1 $WS_EX_LAYERED$, with alpha < 255 +2 (conditional) HasTransparentRegions() IsUsingDirectComposition() Checks for cloaking with +2 (conditional) DwmGetWindowAttribute() IsClippedOrReduced() < 200px size +1 (conditional)