

# Artificial Intelligence 1 – WS 2020/2021

## Assignment 6: Logic

– Given Dec 17, Due Jan 10 –

### Problem 6.1 (PL Concepts)

30 pt

Which of the following statements are true? In each case, give an informal argument why it is true or a counter-example.

1. Every satisfiable formula is valid.
2. Every valid formula is satisfiable.
3. If  $A$  is satisfiable, then  $\neg A$  is unsatisfiable.
4. If  $A \models B$ , then  $A \wedge C \models B \wedge C$ .
5. Every admissible inference rule is derivable.
6. If  $\vdash$  is sound for  $\models$  and  $\{A, B\} \vdash C$ , then  $C$  is satisfiable if  $A$  and  $B$  are.

### Problem 6.2 (Equivalence of CSP and SAT)

30 pt

We consider

- CSPs  $(V, D, C)$  with finite domains as before
- SAT problems  $(V, A)$  where  $V$  is a set of propositional variables and  $A$  is a propositional formula over  $V$ .

We will show that these problem classes are equivalent by reducing their instances to each other.

1. Given a SAT instance  $P = (V, A)$ , define a CSP instance  $P' = (V', D', C')$  and two bijections
  - $f$  mapping satisfying assignments of  $P$  to solutions of  $P'$
  - $f'$  the inverse of  $f$

We already know that binary CSPs are equivalent to higher-order CSPs. Therefore, it is sufficient to give a higher-order CSP.

2. Given a CSP instance  $(V, D, C)$ , define a SAT instance  $(V', A')$  and bijections as above

### Problem 6.3 (Calculi Comparison)

60 pt

Prove (or disprove) the validity of the following formulae in i) Natural Deduction ii) Tableau and iii) Resolution.

1.  $(P \wedge Q) \Rightarrow (P \vee Q)$  (to be done in the tutorial, not part of grading)
2.  $((A \vee B) \wedge (A \Rightarrow C) \wedge (B \Rightarrow C)) \Rightarrow C$
3.  $((P \Rightarrow Q) \Rightarrow P) \Rightarrow P$

#### Problem 6.4 (Substitution in Prolog)

30 pt

Implement substitution for first-order logic in Prolog by completing the stub below.

You do not have to avoid variable capture. Explain the problem of variable capture using the three test inputs as examples.

```
% We use a simple fragment of first-order logic
% truth for truth
% conj(F,G) for conjunction
% univ(x,F) for universal quantification relations among inds.
% applyF(p,L) for applications of predicate p (a string) to list L of terms constants, variables, functions and predicates
% vr(x) for variables where x is a string
% applyT(f,L) for applications of function f (a string) to list L of terms

% We represent substitutions as list S=[(x,t),...] where x is a variable and t a term

% lookup(S,X,T) hold if S contains (X,T)

% subsF(F,S,F2) holds if formula F2 arises from F via substitution S

% subsT(T,S,T2) holds if term T2 arises from T via substitution S

% subsTs(Ts,S,T2) holds list of terms Ts2 arises from Ts via substitution S

% test inputs:
% subsF(univ("x",applyF("p",[vr("x")]))),[(("x",vr("y"))],F)
% subsF(univ("y",applyF("p",[vr("x")]))),[(("x",vr("y"))],F)
% subsF(univ("y",applyF("p",[vr("x")]))),[(("x",vr("z"))],F)
```