

## D. A TWO-STATE MODEL

The Schrödinger equation  $\hat{H}\psi = E\psi$  is usually solved by expanding the unknown wave function  $\psi$  in a series<sup>1</sup> of complete basis set  $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^N$  of states  $\phi_i$ , where  $N$  in principle equals  $\infty$  (instead in practice we end up with a chosen large value of  $N$ ). The expansion gives  $\hat{H}\sum_j c_j\phi_j = E\sum_j c_j\phi_j$ , or  $\sum_j c_j(\hat{H}\phi_j - E\phi_j) = 0$ . By multiplying this equation successively by  $\phi_i^*$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ , and integrating we obtain a set of  $N$  linear equations for the unknown coefficients<sup>2</sup>  $c_i$ :

$$\sum_j c_j(H_{ij} - ES_{ij}) = 0,$$

where the Hamiltonian matrix elements  $H_{ij} \equiv \langle\phi_i|\hat{H}\phi_j\rangle$ , and the overlap integrals  $S_{ij} \equiv \langle\phi_i|\phi_j\rangle$ . The summation going to infinity makes impossible any simple insight into the physics of the problem. However, in many cases what matters most are only *two* states of comparable energies, while other states, being far away on the energy scale, do not count in practice (have negligible  $c_j$ ). If indeed only two states were in the game (the two-state model), the situation could be analyzed in detail. The conclusions drawn are of great conceptual (and smaller numerical) importance.

For the sake of simplicity, in further analysis the functions  $\phi_j$  will be assumed normalized and real.<sup>3</sup> Then, for  $N = 2$  we have  $H_{12} = \langle\phi_1|\hat{H}\phi_2\rangle = \langle\hat{H}\phi_1|\phi_2\rangle = \langle\phi_2|\hat{H}\phi_1\rangle = H_{21}$ , and all  $H_{ij}$  are real numbers (in most practical applications  $H_{12}, H_{11}, H_{22} \leq 0$ ). The overlap integral will be denoted by  $S \equiv \langle\phi_1|\phi_2\rangle = \langle\phi_2|\phi_1\rangle$ . After introducing the abbreviation  $h \equiv H_{12}$  we have

$$c_1(H_{11} - E) + c_2(h - ES) = 0,$$

$$c_1(h - E) + c_2(H_{22} - ES) = 0.$$

A non-trivial solution of these secular equations exists only if the secular determinant satisfies

$$\begin{vmatrix} H_{11} - E & h - ES \\ h - ES & H_{22} - E \end{vmatrix} = 0.$$

<sup>1</sup>As a few examples just recall the CI, VB (Chapter 10), and MO (Chapter 8) methods.

<sup>2</sup>The same set of equations ("secular equations") is obtained after using the Ritz method (Chapter 5).

<sup>3</sup>This pertains to almost all applications. For complex functions the equations are only slightly more complicated.

After expanding the determinant, we obtain a quadratic equation for the unknown energy  $E$ :

$$(H_{11} - E)(H_{22} - E) - (h - ES)^2 = 0$$

with its two solutions<sup>4</sup>

$$E_{\pm} = \frac{1}{1 - S^2} \left\{ \frac{H_{11} + H_{22}}{2} - hS \mp \sqrt{\left(\frac{H_{11} - H_{22}}{2}\right)^2 + (h - S\sqrt{H_{11}H_{22}})^2 + 2hS\left(\sqrt{H_{11}H_{22}} - \frac{H_{11} + H_{22}}{2}\right)} \right\}.$$

After inserting the above energies into the secular equations we obtain the following two sets of solutions  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ :

$$\left(\frac{c_1}{c_2}\right)_{\pm} = \frac{1}{(h - H_{11}S)} \left\{ \frac{H_{11} - H_{22}}{2} \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{H_{11} - H_{22}}{2}\right)^2 + (h - H_{11}S)(h - H_{22}S)} \right\}.$$

Using the abbreviations

$$\Delta = \frac{H_{11} - H_{22}}{2},$$

and  $E_{\text{ar}} = (H_{11} + H_{22})/2$  for the arithmetic mean, as well as  $E_{\text{geom}} = \sqrt{H_{11}H_{22}}$  for the geometric mean, we get a simpler formula for the energy

$$E_{\pm} = \frac{1}{1 - S^2} \left\{ E_{\text{ar}} - hS \mp \sqrt{\Delta^2 + (h - SE_{\text{geom}})^2 + 2hS(E_{\text{geom}} - E_{\text{ar}})} \right\}.$$

Now, let us consider some important special cases.

*Case I.*  $H_{11} = H_{22}$  and  $S = 0$  ( $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  correspond to the same energy and do not overlap).

Then,  $\Delta = 0$ ,  $E_{\text{ar}} = E_{\text{geom}} = H_{11}$  and we have

$$E_{\pm} = H_{11} \pm h, \quad \left(\frac{c_1}{c_2}\right)_{\pm} = \pm 1.$$

For  $h < 0$  this means that  $E_+$  corresponds to stabilization (with respect to  $\phi_1$  or  $\phi_2$  states), while  $E_-$  corresponds to destabilization (by the same value of  $|h|$ ). The wave functions contain equal contributions of  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  and (after normalization) are

<sup>4</sup>It is most practical to use Mathematica coding:  
 Solve[(H11-EdS)\*(H22-EdS)-(h-EdS\*S)^2==0,EdS]  
 Solve[(H11-EdS)\*(H22-EdS)-(h-EdS\*S)^2==0  
 &&c1\*(H11-EdS)+c2\*(h-EdS\*S)==0  
 &&c1\*(h-EdS\*S)+c2\*(H22-EdS)==0,{c1,c2},{EdS}]

$$\psi_+ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\phi_1 + \phi_2), \quad \psi_- = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\phi_1 - \phi_2).$$

*Case II.*  $H_{11} = H_{22}$  and  $S \neq 0$  ( $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  correspond to the same energy, but their overlap integral is non-zero).

Then,

$$E_{\pm} = \frac{H_{11} \pm h}{1 \pm S}, \quad \left(\frac{c_1}{c_2}\right)_{\pm} = \pm 1.$$

Here also  $E_+$  corresponds to stabilization, and  $E_-$  to destabilization (because of the denominator, this time the destabilization is larger than the stabilization). The wave functions have the same contributions of  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  and (after normalization) are equal to

$$\psi_+ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1+S)}}(\phi_1 + \phi_2), \quad \psi_- = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1-S)}}(\phi_1 - \phi_2).$$

*Case III.*  $H_{11} \neq H_{22}$  and  $S = 0$  ( $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  correspond to different energies and the overlap integral equals zero).

This time

$$E_{\pm} = E_{\text{ar}} \mp \sqrt{\Delta^2 + h^2},$$

$$\left(\frac{c_1}{c_2}\right)_{\pm} = \frac{1}{h}(\Delta \pm \sqrt{\Delta^2 + h^2}). \quad (\text{D.1})$$

Here also the state of  $E_+$  means stabilization, while  $E_-$  corresponds to destabilization (both effects are equal).

Let us consider a limiting case when the mean energy in state  $\phi_1$  is much lower than that in  $\phi_2$  ( $H_{11} \ll H_{22}$ ), and in addition  $\frac{\Delta}{h} \gg 0$ . For the state with energy  $E_+$  we have  $\frac{c_1}{c_2} \simeq \frac{2\Delta}{h}$ , i.e.  $c_1$  is very large, while  $c_2$  is very small (this means that  $\psi_+$  is very similar to  $\phi_1$ ). In state  $\psi_-$  the same ratio of coefficients equals  $\frac{c_1}{c_2} \simeq 0$ , which means a domination of  $\phi_2$ .

Thus, if two states differ very much in their energies (or  $h$  is small, which means the overlap integral is also small), they do not change in practice (do not mix together).

This is why at the beginning of this appendix, we admitted only  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  of comparable energies.