

# Concurrent and Parallel Systems

## Unit 1 -Multi-threading

### 1.1 -Starting a Thread

Starting a thread:

Creating a thread in C++ is simple. We need to include the thread header file

- `#include <thread>`

To create a thread, we then only need create a new thread object in our application, passing in the name of the function that we want the thread to run

- `thread t(hello_world);`

This will create a new thread that will run the function `hello_world()`. The thread will start executing the function while the main application continues operating on the rest of the code. Essentially the main application is a thread that is created and executed as soon as an application is launched.

Waiting for a thread to complete:

Generally we want to wait for a thread to complete its operation. We do this by using the join method on the thread

- `t.join();`

This will mean the currently executing code (whether it be the main application thread or another thread) will wait for the thread it joins to complete its operation.

### 1.2 -Multiple Tasks

Starting one thread is all well and good, but we really want to execute multiple tasks. Creating multiple threads is easy - we just create multiple thread objects. We can use the same function if we want (useful for parallelising a task), or we can run different functions (useful for tasks which block computation).

We will use a new operation during this next example;

- `sleep_for(seconds(10));`

This operation will allow us to put a thread to sleep for an amount of time.

To allow us to access the duration constructs (which contains seconds, etc) we use the chrono header

- `#include <chrono>`

### 1.3 -Passing Parameters to Threads

We have now seen how to create threads in C++ 11 and how to put them to

sleep. The next piece of functionality we are going to use is how we pass parameters to a thread. This is actually fairly easy, and just requires us adding the passed parameters to the thread creation call. For example, given a function with the declaration:

- `void task(int n, int val)`

We can create a thread and pass in parameters to 'n' and 'val' as follows:

- `thread t(task, 1, 20);`

'n' will be assigned the value 1 and 'val' will be assigned the value 10. Our test application will use random number generation, which has also changed in C++11.

### **-Random Numbers in C++ 11**

C++ 11 has added an entirely new random number generation mechanism which is very different, as well as having more functionality. To use random numbers we need to include the random header

- `#include <random>`

We then need to create a random number generation engine. There are a number of generation engines, but we will use the default one in C++11.

- `default_random_engine e(seed);`

'seed' is a value used to seed the random number engine (you should hopefully know by now that we cannot create truly random numbers so a seed defines the sequence the same seed will produce the same sequence of random numbers). We will use the system clock to get the current time in milliseconds to use as a seed. To get a random number from the engine we simply use the code;

- `auto num = e ();`

### **-Ranged For Loops in C++11**

The other new functionality we will use in this example is a ranged for loop. You will probably be familiar with the foreach loop in C#. The ranged for loop in C++11 has the same functionality.

- `for (auto &t : threads)`

We can then use t as an object reference in our loop. The threads variable is a collection of some sort (here a vector, but we can use arrays, maps, etc.). This is much easier than the iterator approach in pre-C++11 code.

## **1.4 -Using Lambda ( $\lambda$ ) Expressions**

Lambda ( $\lambda$ ) expressions are another new feature of C++11, and are becoming a popular feature in object-oriented languages in general (C# has them, Java 8 will have them).  $\lambda$  expressions come from functional style languages (for example, F#, Haskell, etc). They allow us to create function objects which we can apply parameters to and get a result.

## **-What is a $\lambda$ Expression?**

A  $\lambda$  expression is essentially a function. However, it has some properties that allow us to manipulate that function. For example, if we define a function as follows

- $\text{add}(x,y) = x + y$

We can then use this function object to create a new function object that adds 3 to any parameter:

- $\text{add3}(y) = \text{add}(3)$

We can then use the `add3` function as a new function. We can then get the results from function calls as follows

- $\text{add}(10,5) = 15$
- $\text{add3}(10) = 13$
- $\text{add}(2,0) = 2$
- $\text{add4}(y) = \text{add}(4)$
- $\text{add4}(6) = 10$

## **- $\lambda$ Expressions in C++11**

One advantage of C++  $\lambda$  expressions is that they allow us to create functions using fewer lines of code. Traditionally we would create an entire function / method definition with parameters and return type. In C++11, we can simplify this. For example, we can create an `add` function shown below:

- `auto add = [] (int x, int y) { return x + y; };`

The `[]` allows us to pass parameters into the function (we will look at this capability later). We define the parameters we want to pass to the function. Finally, in the curly brackets we define the  $\lambda$  expression. We can then use the `add` function as a normal function:

- `auto x = add(10, 12);`

We are brushing the surface of  $\lambda$  expressions here, but it is enough for what we are doing in the module.

## **1.5 - $\lambda$ Expressions and Threads**

We can use  $\lambda$  expressions to create threads, making our code more compact (but not necessarily easier to read).

For the rest of the module, it is up to you whether you want to use  $\lambda$  expressions or not. We will work interchangeably, and there is no effect on your grades.

## **1.6 -Gathering Data**

Throughout this module, we will be gathering profiling data to allow us to analyse performance of our applications, and in particular the speed up. To do this, we will be outputting data into what is called a comma separated value (.csv) file. This is a file that we can load easily into Excel and generate a chart from. In this first application, we will just get the average of 100 iterations, and

our work will be simple (it will just spin the processor and do nothing).

### **-Creating a File**

Creating a file in C++ is easy, and you should know this by now. As a reminder, we create an output file as shown below:

- `ofstream data("data.csv", ofstream::out);`

This will create an output file called data.csv which we can write to. We can treat the file just as any output stream (e.g. `cout`).

### **-Capturing Times**

To capture times in C++11, we can use the new `system_clock` object. We have to gather a start time, and end time, and then calculate the total time by subtracting the first from the second. Typically, we will also want to cast the output to milliseconds (ms).

### **-Getting the Data**

Once the application is complete, you should have a .csv file. Open this with Excel. Then get the mean of the 100 stored values (there is a good chance that a number of the recorded values are 0).

You also want to document the specification of your machine. This is very important. You can find this in the device manager of your computer. For example, the result from my test is 0.27ms. The hardware I ran the test on is:

- CPU - Intel i5-2500 @ 3.3GHz
- Memory - 8GB
- OS - Windows 7 64bit

This is the only pertinent information at the moment. As we progress through the module, we will find that the other pieces of information about your machine will become useful.

## **1.7 -Monte Carlo $\pi$**

We now move onto our first case study - the approximation of  $\pi$  using a Monte Carlo method. A Monte Carlo method is one where we use random number generation to compute a value. Because we can just increase the number of random values we test, the problem we use can be scaled to however many computations we wish. This will allow us to actually perform a test that we can push our CPU with.

### **Theory**

The theory behind why we can calculate  $\pi$  using a Monte Carlo method can best be described by figure 1.5. The radius of the circle is refined as  $R$ . We know that the area of a circle can be calculated by the following equation:

- $\pi R^2$

We can also calculate the area of a square as follows:

- $4R^2$

Now let us imagine that  $R=1$ , or in other words we have a unit circle. If we pick a random point within the square, we can determine whether it is in the circle by calculating the length of the vector equivalent of the point. If the length is 1 or less, the point is within the circle. If it is greater than 1, then it is in the square but not the circle. The ratio of random points tested to ones in the circle allows us to approximate  $\pi$ . This is because:

- $\text{AreaC} = \pi R^2$
- $\text{AreaS} = 4R^2$
- $\text{Ratio} = \text{AreaC} / \text{AreaS} = \pi / 4$

We can then create an algorithm to approximate  $\pi$  as shown:

### Algorithm 1 Monte Carlo $\pi$

```
begin
    attempts := N
    in_circle := M
    ratio := M/N
     $\pi/4 \approx M/N \Rightarrow \pi \approx 4 * M/N$ 
end
```

We can therefore approximate by generating a collection of random points (we will work in the range  $[0.0, 1.0]$  for each coordinate), checking the length and if it is less than or equal to 1 we count this as a hit in the circle.

### Distributions for Random Numbers

Before looking at our C++11 implementation of the Monte Carlo  $\pi$  algorithm, we need to introduce the concept of distributions for random numbers. A distribution allows us to define the type of values we get from our random engine and the range of the values. There are a number of distribution types. We will be using a real distribution (i.e. for double values) and we want the numbers to be uniformly distributed (i.e. they will not tend towards any particular value). We also define the range from 0.0 to 1.0. Our call to do this is shown:

- `//Create a distribution`
- `uniform_real_distribution<double> distribution(0.0, 1.0);`
- `//Use this to get a random value from our random engine e`
- `auto x = distribution(e);`

Our algorithm implementation in C++ is shown in Listing 1.27.

We have used all the pieces of this algorithm by now, so you should understand it. Notice that we are not actually getting the return value for  $\pi$  at the moment you can print it out if you want to test the accuracy. We will look at how we can get the result from a task in later tutorials.

## Main Application

### Unit 2 -Controlling Multi-Threaded Applications

Control of multi-threaded applications is really important due to the problems encountered with shared memory. However, controlling multi-threaded applications also leads to problems. The control of multi-threaded applications is really where the concept of concurrency comes in.

The second half of this tutorial will look at some more control concepts;

- Atomics
- Futures

-Atomics are a simple method of controlling individual values which are shared between threads.

-Futures allow us to spin off some work and carry on doing other things, getting the result later.

#### 2.1 -Shared Memory Problems

First we will see why shared memory is a problem when dealing with multi-threaded applications. We are going to look at a simple application which increments a value, using a pointer to share the value between all the threads involved. The example is somewhat contrived, but illustrates the problem.

##### -Shared Pointers in C++11

Smart pointers have made the world of the C++ programmer much easier in that you no longer need to worry about memory management (well, not as much anyway). In this example, we will use a `shared_ptr`. A `shared_ptr` is a pointer that is reference counted. That is, every time you create a copy of the pointer (i.e. provide access to a part of the program), a counter is incremented. Every time the pointer is not required by a part of the program, the counter is decremented. Whenever the counter hits 0, the memory allocated is automatically freed. This does have a (very slight) overhead, but will make our life easier.

For this example, we are going to use the `make_shared` function. `make_shared` will call the relevant constructor for the defined type based on the parameters passed. For example:

- `auto value = make_shared<int>(0);`

The type of `value` (automatically determined by the compiler) is `shared_ptr<int>`. We can now happily share this value without our program

(with multi-threaded risks) by passing the value around.

To use smart pointers, we need to include the memory header:

- `#include <memory>`

## **-Application**

Our application is quite simple - it will increment our shared value. Listing 2.3 illustrates.

Notice what we do on line 6. We dereference the pointer (this still works for `shared_ptr`) to get the value stored in the `shared_ptr` and add one to the value, setting the `shared_ptr` value with this new incremented value. Our main application is shown in listing 2.4.

Notice on line 7 we use a call to `thread::hardware_concurrency`. This value is the number of threads that your hardware can handle natively - a useful value if you understand our results from the last tutorial.

As increment adds 1 million to the shared value, depending on your hardware configuration you might expect a printed value of 1 million, 2 million, 4 million, maybe even 8 million. However, running this application on my hardware I get the result shown in figure 2.1. Somehow I lost almost 2 million increments. So where did they go?

This is the problem of shared resources and multi-threading. We do not actually know which order the threads are accessing the resource. For example, if we consider a 4 thread application, something like Figure 2.2 might happen.

Even though each thread has incremented the value twice (you can check) and the value should be 8, the actual value is 2. Each thread is competing for the resource. This is what we call a RACE CONDITION (or race hazard). This is an important concept to grasp and you need to understand that sharing resources in multi-threaded applications is inherently dangerous if you do not add some control (control does bring its own problems).

## **2.2 -Mutex**

The first approach to controlling multi-threaded applications is using what's called a mutex. A mutex (mutual expression) allows us to guard particular pieces of code so that only one thread can operate within it at a time. If we share the mutex in some manner (mutexes cannot be copied so have to be shared in some manner) then we can have different sections of code which we protect, ensuring that only one of these sections is running at a time.

To use a mutex, we need to include the mutex header.

- `#include <mutex>`

We will also need a mutex as a global variable for our program

- `mutex mut;`

We can then simply update our increment method from the previous application

to use the mutex. The two important methods of the mutex we will be using are lock (to lock the mutex thus not allowing any other thread to successfully lock) and unlock (freeing the mutex, allowing another thread to call lock). Any thread which cannot lock the mutex must wait until the mutex is unlocked and it successfully acquires the lock (there might be a queue of waiting threads). With a mutex in place, we can update our increment function to that shown in Listing 2.6. If you run this application (and note that it takes a little longer) your result should be as expected (4000000).

## 2.3 -Lock Guards

Remembering to lock and unlock a mutex can cause problems (especially when you forget to lock and unlock) and does not necessarily make your code simple to follow. Other problems that can occur come from methods having multiple exit points (including exceptions) which means you might miss when to unlock a mutex. Thankfully, thanks to C++'s object deconstruction at the end of scopes, we can utilise what is known as a lock guard to automatically lock and unlock a mutex for us.

Modifying our application to use lock guards is very simple. A change to the increment function is required as shown in Listing 2.7.

Using mutexes and lock guards is the simplest method of protecting sections of code to ensure shared resources are protected. However, their simplicity does lead to other problems (such as deadlock) which we need to overcome.

## 2.4 -Condition Variables

One limitation when using mutexes is that we are only really controlling access to certain sections of the application to try and protect shared resources. You can think of it as having a gate. We let one person in through the gate at any one time, and do not let anyone else enter until the person has left. This is all well and good, but we have no control over what happens outside the gate (where arguments over who is next in line may occur).

Another approach we might want to take is waiting for a signal - a sign to state that we can perform some action. We could happily wait, and when the signal is activated we stop waiting and carry on doing some work. This is a technique originally defined using what was called a semaphore.

Consider what happens at a set of traffic lights at a crossroads. We have two streams of traffic that wish to use the intersection at the same time. By having a set of lights (think of red as meaning wait and green meaning signal), we control access to the crossroads. A semaphore (and in C++11 a condition variable) allows us to control access in a similar manner to a set of traffic lights at a crossroads.

To use condition variables, we need to include the `condition_variable` header

- `#include <condition_variable>`

We are going to look at three operations to use with a condition variable. First there is wait

- `condition.wait(unique_lock<mutex>(mut));`

This will enable us to wait until a signal has been received. We have to pass a



lock to the wait method, and as we are not using the lock anywhere else we use `unique_lock`. This ensures that we are waiting on the mutex.

The next method we are interested in is `notify_one`

- `condition.notify_one();`

This will notify one thread that is currently waiting on the condition variable.

There is a similar method called `notify_all` which will signal all waiting threads.

The final method we are interested in is `wait_for`

- `if (condition.wait_for(unique_lock<mutex>(mut), seconds(3)))`

As you can see, `wait_for` returns a true or false value. If we are signalled (notified) before the time runs out, then `wait_for` returns true. Otherwise, it returns false. There is a similar method called `wait_until` which allows you to set the absolute time to stop waiting at.

## **-Application**

We are going to create 2 threads which wait and signal each other, allowing interaction between the threads. Our first thread runs the code in Listing 2.12, and our second will run the code in listing 2.13. Finally, our main is given in listing 2.14.

Note the use of the `ref` function on lines 7 and 8. This is how we create a reference to pass into our thread functions. The interactions between these threads have been organised so that you will get output shown in Figure 2.4.

## **2.5 -Guarded Objects**

Now that we know how to protect sections of code, and how to signal threads, let us consider how we go about doing thread safe(ish) code in an object-oriented manner. We are going to modify our increment example so that an object controls the counter. First of all we need to define a header file

- `#include "guarded.h"`

Listing 2.15 provides the contents.

Notice that we provide the object with its own mutex. This is to protect access to our value. We then use a `lock_guard` to control access to the increment method. This goes in our `guarded.cpp` file. The contents of which can be found in Listing 2.16.

The method is somewhat contrived to force multiple operations within the method. Finally, our main method is shown in Listing 2.17.

Your output window should state that value equals 4 million. The use of a `lock_guard` and an object level mutex is the best method to control access to an object. This will ensure that methods are only called when permitted by competing threads.

## **2.6 -Thread Safe Data Structures**

To end the first part of this tutorial, we will look at how we can implement a thread safe stack. Data structures are the normal method of storing data, but are the most susceptible to multi-threading problems. This example is taken from C++ Concurrency in Action (slightly modified).

## **-Overview**

A stack is one of the simplest data structures. We simply have a stack of values which we can add to the top of (push) or remove from the top of (pop). Our implementation will be very primitive, and will just wrap a standard stack in an object with thread safe operations.

You will need to create a new header file

- `threadsafe_stack.h`

First we declare our class and constructs as in Listing 2.18.

Notice that we have a copy constructor. When it is copying it must lock the other stack to ensure its copy is correct. Also note the use of the keyword `mutable` on line 15. This keyword indicates that the mutex can be modified in const methods (where normally we do not mutate an object's state). This is a convenience as our mutex does not affect other classes, but we still want to have const methods.

## **-Push**

Our push method is quite trivial - all we need to do is lock the stack for usage. The code is in Listing 2.19.

## **-Pop**

Pop is a little bit more involved. We still have to lock the object, but we must also check if the stack is empty before attempting to return a value. The code is provided in Listing 2.20.

On line 7, we check if the internal stack is empty, and if so throw an exception. There is a very good chance you will have never worked with exceptions in C++ before (it is newish but prior to C++11). They essentially work the same as Java and C#.

## **-Empty**

Our final method allows us to check if the stack is empty (Listing 2.21).

## **-Tasks**

Our test application is going to have one thread add 1 million values to the stack, and the other extract 1 million values from the stack. The approach is not the most efficient (using exceptions to determine if the stack is empty is not a good idea really), but will provide you with an example of exception handling in C++.

Our first task is called `pusher` - it's job is to push values onto the stack (Listing 2.22).

Notice the use of `yield` on line 8. This means that the thread will let another thread in front of it if one is waiting. We are adding this to make the `pusher` yield to our other task (`popper`), meaning the stack will appear empty

sometimes. Popper is defined in Listing 2.23.

Popper will try and pop a value from the stack. If it is empty, it will catch an exception and print it. The try-catch construct is similar to Java and C#.

### **-Main Application**

Our main application just needs to create our resources, start the two tasks, and then check if the stack is empty at the end (1 million values pushed minus 1 million values popped). It is shown in Listing 2.24.

Remember that `1 = true`, so the stack is empty.

## **2.8 -Atomics**

Atomics in C++ are simple data types and related operations - actually operations that are of interest here. An atomic operation is one that cannot be split apart.

In our first example in this tutorial, we invoked a (very contrived) data race condition. The problem we had was that our actual increment operation performed the following internal operations:

1. Get Value
2. Add 1 to Value
3. Store Value

You can make a simple observation that there are two “holes” within our increment (assuming serial computation) between 1 and 2, and 2 and 3. Within these holes, anything could be happening on the machine (another increment for example).

An atomic operation has no holes. For example, an increment operation acts as a single indivisible operation - there is only before the operation and after, not in the middle of. This means we do not need to worry about data race conditions (to a certain extent).

Limiting factor is that they only provide simple operations such as add, load, store, swap, etc. These operations are simple enough to be used with atomic types.

A CPU may also natively support some atomic operations, making like even easier. However, in some cases, there may be a lock involved internally (and hidden away) to perform the atomic action.

### **-Sample Atomic Application**

We are going to recreate our increment application, but this time using atomics rather than a mutex to protect the data. Our application does not change too much from our original non-mutex version, apart from the use of the atomic

value.

```
#include <atomic>
```

An atomic itself is just a template value in our application. For example we can define an atomic int as follows

```
atomic<int> value;
```

Depending on the type of atomic, we have a number of different operations defined.

In many regards, we can treat integral (ie number) atomic values as normal integral values. We merely state that they are atomic.

As we are using a shared\_ptr, we must dereference it to increment (line 6). You might notice that this version of increment is faster than the mutex approach (measure to check).

### **-atomic\_flag**

Another (slightly unique) atomic construct is something called an atomic\_flag. It can be considered like a boolean value, although it is more akin to having a signal (either it is set or it is not). An atomic flag provides two methods of interest:

- test\_and\_set tests if the flag is set, if it is, then returns false. If it is not, sets the flag and returns true.

- clear clears the set flag

These operations can be very fast on supported CPUs and are therefore very good for situations where we do not want to put a thread to sleep while we perform an operation, but rather would like the thread to keep "spinning" (doing something - maybe even testing the flag again).

The interesting code is line 8 - notice that our while loop will keep spinning until the flag can be set by the calling thread.

The threads interleave so that only one has the flag at any one time. However, if you look at your CPU utilisation in the Task Manager, you will get something similar to figure 2.7.

89% CPU utilisation for an application that essentially does nothing. This is because we are using what is known as a spin lock, or busy wait, approach. Putting a thread to sleep does have an overhead, and so a busy wait might be more efficient (particularly for real-time applications). However, it comes with a CPU overhead.

## 2.9 -Futures

The final concurrency construct we will look at is futures. They are a great way of starting some work, going off to do something else, and then retrieving the result when we are ready. This makes futures a useful method for background processing or for spinning off tasks (such as when we build GUI applications).

```
#include <future>
```

There are a number of ways to create a future, but for our purposes we are going to use the `async` function:

```
auto f = async(find_max);
```

This will create a future using the given function (in this case `find_max`). We can also pass in parameters as we do with thread creation.

To access the result of a future we use

```
auto result = f.get();
```

### WARNING

You can only get the result of a future once, so you want to store it when you do. Calling `get()` more than once throws an exception.

### -Example Future Application

We will create a very simple example of using futures. Our application will attempt to find the maximum value in a vector of random values by splitting the work across the CPU. To do this, we get the supported hardware concurrency (`n`) and create `n-1` futures. The main application will execute on the left over hardware thread.

Think of it this way: If we have 4 hardware threads and are searching a vector with 16 values, we get the following configuration:

1. Future 1 searches elements 0 to 3
2. Future 2 searches elements 4 to 7
3. Future 3 searches elements 8 to 11
4. Main thread searches elements 12 to 15

Our `find_max` function should be straightforward to understand. Our main application simply creates our futures and gets the maximum from each, displaying the overall maximum.

Line 18 is where we create our futures, and line 26 where we get the result. The structure of the application is similar to how we have been creating threads, although now we also perform some work in the main application.

## 2.10 -Fractals

To provide a better understanding of futures, we are going to introduce another

common problem that can be parallelised - the generation of fractals. A fractal (from a simple POV) can be used to generate an image that is self-similar at different scales. That is, a part of the image can be zoomed in upon and the image still looks the same. Zooming further the image still looks the same, and so on. The actual definition is more complicated than this, but for our purposes we are using a function that will provide us with the values we need at (x, y) coordinates of an image to produce a fractal image. This week, we are going to generate the Mandelbrot fractal.

### **-Mandelbrot**

The Mandelbrot set (which defines the Mandelbrot fractal) is perhaps the most famous fractal. The Mandelbrot set can be determined on a per pixel basis. This means that we can distribute the pixels to separate threads and therefore parallelise the algorithm. We can also scale the problem by increasing the resolution of the image. This makes Mandelbrot fractal generation ideal for parallel work.

For our approach we are going to split the Mandelbrot image into strips.

### **-Mandelbrot Algorithm**

There are a few methods to calculate the Mandelbrot set - we are going to use one that relies on the escape value of a loop. That is, based on the number of iterations we perform up to a maximum provides us with the pixel value (0.0 to 1.0). We first need some global values for our application.

Our algorithm uses these values to determine our Mandelbrot value. The interesting part is the while loop starting on line 20 of listing 2.35.

The Mandelbrot set works on real numbers in the range  $[-2.1, 1.0]$  on the x dimension and  $[-1.3, 1.3]$  on the y dimension. Each individual pixel is converted to be within this range ( the x and y values in the algorithm). Based on the x and y value, the loop runs up to max\_iterations times. We then use the number of iterations to determine the escape factor of the loop (line 28) to determine the individual pixel value, pushing this onto the vector.

To store the results, our main application must create a number of futures, and then gather the results. We will create num\_threads futures in this example.

Again, this follows our standard approach to spreading work across our CPU. This application will take a bit of time to complete, so be patient. To check your result, you'll need to save the image (see the exercises)

## **Unit 3 -OpenMP**

OpenMP is an API that supports shared-memory parallel programming, so can enable us to work with our CPU as a multi-core device.

OpenMP provides some different constructs to normal C++11 concurrency, and is itself quite a mature platform. We will look at some example applications using OpenMP that investigate these constructs before doing some analysis work.

**REMEMBER: Before building an OpenMP application, you need to make sure that your compiler supports it.**

**-Project -> Properties -> C/C++ -> Language ->Open MP Support -> Yes(/openmp)**

### 3.1 -First OpenMP Application

```
#include <omp.h>
```

Hello is our operation we are running multiple times. The #pragma statement shows is how we do this.

We are using a pre-processor to tell the compiler that OpenMP code should be generated here. We are running the operation in parallel, and using num\_threads to tell OpenMP how many copies to run.

As we are not controlling the output, there is some conflict with the threads trying to output at the same time.

### 3.2 -Parallel For

OpenMP tries to extract away from the idea of having threads. They still exist but they are hidden from the application developer. One of the powerful constructs OpenMP provides is parallel for. This allows us to create threads by executing a for loop. Each iteration uses a thread to compute thus providing a speedup. You have to think a little when using parallel for but it can be useful.

#### 3.2.1 -Calculating $\pi$ (not using Monte Carlo Simulation)

Using Monte Carlo simulation to calculate  $\pi$  is great for testing performance and speedup, but is not really the most efficient method of calculating  $\pi$ . A better method to approximate  $\pi$  is using the following formula.

$$4 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{-1^k}{(2k+1)} = 4(1 - \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{5} - \frac{1}{7} + \dots)$$

We are going to use this method in a parallel for to see how we can calculate  $\pi$ .

### 3.2.2 -Parallel For Implementation of $\pi$ Approximation

We are using quite a bit of new ideas in the pre-processor comment. First of all, the general parallel for looks very similar to a standard parallel but with the keyword 'for' added. The two new parts are at the end of the pre-processor.

reduction:

We will be covering reduction in more detail when we look at map-reduce in MPI later in the module. What we are saying here is that addition on the pi variable should be controlled to add all the for loops together.

private:

This indicates that each for loop has a private copy of the factor value. Each for loop can modify the value independently and not cause corruption to another for loop.

### 3.3 -Bubble Sort

We are now going to diverge for a bit and look at sequential sorting using a bubble sort. The reason we are doing this is because we are going to build a parallel sorting mechanism and then compare the performance. You should hopefully all be familiar with what is meant by a bubble sort by now.

generate\_values() will generate a vector full of values using a random engine

bubble\_sort is a straight forward algorithm. We bubble up through the values, swapping them as we go to move a value towards the top.

You should be able to implement this algorithm in c++ by now.

---

**Algorithm 2** Bubble Sort Algorithm

---

```
var values
begin
  for count := values.size() to 2 step -1 do
    for i := 0 to count - 1 step 1 do
      if values[i] > values[i + 1]
        then
          tmp := values[i]
          values[i] := values[i + 1]
          values[i + 1] := tmp
        fi
      od
    od
  end
```

---



### 3.3.3 -Main Application

Our main application will time the implementation of bubble\_sort using vectors of different sizes.

If you run this application you should be able to produce a graph. Change the y-axis scale to see a log2 scale. This gives a nice straight line.

### 3.4 -Parallel Sort

Notice that for small vector sizes, throwing parallelism at the problem has not given us a performance boost but in fact slowed us down. Granted we are using a slightly different algorithm, but hopefully you can see that the problem set is too small to get any speed up - in fact the setup and control of the OpenMP program is having an effect. Once our sort space is large enough we gain performance - 3+ times as much (the CPU is dual core with 4 hardware threads so this seems reasonable).

### 3.5 -The Trapezoidal Rule

Our next use of OpenMP will look at something called the trapezoidal rule. This technique can be used to approximate the area under a curve.

We select a number of points on the curve and measure their value. We then use this to generate a number of trapezoids. We can then calculate the area of the trapezoids and get an approximate value for the area under the curve. The more points we can use on the curve, the better the result.

The incoming parameters are as follows:

- f            the function that we are using to generate the curve
- start       the starting value we will place in the function
- end         the end value we will place in the function
- iterations the number of iterations (or trapezoids) we will generate
- p           a shared piece of data to store the result

You should be able to follow the algorithm using the comments. The new part we have introduced from OpenMP is line 23 - a critical section. A critical section is just a piece of code that only one thread can access at a time - it is controlled by a mutex. We use the critical section to control the adding of the local result to the global result.

[This isn't working cause it's bitching about M\_PI so gonna skip for now] 🙄

### 3.6 -Scheduling

Scheduling involves us telling OpenMP how to divide up the work in a parallel for. At the moment, each thread is given a chunk of work in order. For example, if we have 1024 iterations and we have 4 threads, our work is divided as follows:

|           |                   |
|-----------|-------------------|
| Thread 1: | 0, 4, 8, 12, ...  |
| Thread 2: | 1, 5, 9, 13, ...  |
| Thread 3: | 2, 6, 10, 14, ... |
| Thread 4: | 3, 7, 11, 15, ... |

Using a schedule of 2 allocates work to threads in blocks of 2:

|           |                   |
|-----------|-------------------|
| Thread 1: | 0, 1, 8, 9, ...   |
| Thread 2: | 2, 3, 10, 11, ... |
| Thread 3: | 4, 5, 12, 13, ... |
| Thread 4: | 6, 7, 14, 15, ... |

### -Main Application

We use the schedule function in the pre-processor argument to control the division of work. Your task here is to manipulate the schedule value and see the effect.

Running the application will output a timing value - test the scheduling value and chart the difference in performance.

### 3.7 -Concurrency Visualizer

We will end this practical by looking at the concurrency visualizer in visual studio. In Visual Studio 2013, you will need to install the concurrency visualizer via extensions and updates.

To run it, select analyse in the visual studio menu and select concurrency visualizer, then select current project.

Once run, you will get a report. This view looks at the utilization of your process across the processor. If you click on threads, you can view the amount of work a thread does.

One particular interest is the amount of work the threads are doing - shown by the coloured blocks. Notice that in the example 4% of the time was spent on pre-emption. This means that 4% of our application runtime was taken up by the threads being switched. Not too bad, but illustrates the problem with pre-emptive scheduling.

Selecting the cores view allows us to view how our work was divided amongst the cores.

Our threads are being switched across the four logical cores - which will lead to some of the pre-emption. Logical Core 3 looks like it has the best division of work (long chunks of work). Playing around with the schedule clause could improve things.