

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Problem	1
1.2	Research question	1
1.3	Overall goal	1
1.4	Methodical	2
2	Requirements	2
2.1	Implemented functionality	2
2.2	Non-functional requirements (Maybe: Requirements by the Infra team)	2
2.3	Performance	3
3	Fulfillment of requirements	3
3.1	Mozilla Observatory	3
3.1.1	Scoring	3
3.1.2	Measurement	4
3.2	Initial page load time	4
3.2.1	Note problems	4
3.2.2	Setup	4
3.2.3	Measurement	4
3.3	Summary of benchmarks	4
4	Analysis of benchmark results	4
4.1	HTTP Strict Transport Security (HSTS)	5
4.1.1	Risks	5
4.1.2	Importance for Clippy	5
4.2	X-Frame-Options (XFO)	5
4.3	X-Content-Type-Options	5
4.4	Slow loading times	6
5	Solutions for unfulfilled specifications	6
6	Conclusion	6
7	Attachments	IV

List of Figures

List of Tables

1	Mozilla Observatory analysis penalties for <i>rust-lang.github.io</i> from 2021-04-24	4
---	---	---

Attachments

1	Mozilla Observatory output for rust-lang.github.io from 2021-04-24	VI
---	--	----

1 Introduction

Rust is a programming language that focusses on performance, security and reliability. The *Rust Project* is open source and dual-licensed under the Apache 2.0 and MIT license (Hoare and et al. 2019). Rust 1.0 the first stable version was announced in May 2015 (The Rust Core Team 2015). This release also marked the start of the *commitment to stability* which promises stability on future Rust stable releases (Turon and Matsakis 2014). This new commitment also introduced a 6-week release cycle as well as development channels for language users and early adapters (Turon and Matsakis 2014). The latest stable compiler version 1.51.0 has been released on 25 of March 2021 (The Rust Core Team 2021). Developers and teams within the project put high effort into open communication. This focussed is formalized in the official *Code of conduct* (The Rust Team 2021b). The language with its connected tools has attracted over 5900 individual contributors as of writing this (The Rust Team 2021a).

The Rust project develops several tools besides the compiler itself. These tools are seen as a vital part in automating parts of the development process and collaboration among teams. *Clippy* is the official linter for Rust and is being developed in the *rust-clippy* repository. The linter contains over 450 lints which span from complexity and style lints over to restriction lints which might be required by certificates (The Rust Clippy Developers 2021). Clippy is written in Rust itself and interfaces with the compiler directly. This direct connection enables the use of the existing lexer, parser and connected diagnostic tools and ensures that the project stays up to date with the latest compiler changes. Since 2018 Clippy is distributed as a component of the Rust installation itself (Lusby 2018).

1.1 Problem

Clippy, like the compiler, puts great effort into giving helpful diagnostic messages. These messages consist out of a message, a direct quotation of the suboptimal code fragment and a direct suggestion how the code quality can be improved. The first message of a lint additionally includes a note why which lint is enabled in this location and a reference to the lint documentation in Clippy's lint list. This makes Clippy's lint list the second point of contact for new users with the project itself. The initial load time of the lint list is noticeably slower than most other websites in the Rust eco system.

Another pain point of the website are some technical deficiencies. The development documentation of the Rust project includes some technical guidelines that the current lint list doesn't fully fulfill.

1.2 Research question

The described problem in 1.1 leads to the following research question: *How can the internet presentation of the lint list for the rust-clippy project be improved?*

1.3 Overall goal

The primary goal of this paper is to review the previously mentioned problems and to find solutions for them.

1.4 Methodical

The start of this paper will collect the formal and informal specifications that Clippy's lint list should optimally fulfill. The next part will analyze the current state of the website. This chapter will conclude with a list of aspects that should be improved as well as a selection which this research will focus on.

The previous preparation leads to the solution finding process. This process will start with a technical explanation of the aspect to determine why this specification is important. The next step tries to find a solution for the found issues under the given circumstances. Additionally, this section will include a practical test if the suggested change would improved the focussed problem.

This paper will end with a summary of the reviewed aspects and a conclusion as well as a suggestion what further work can be done on this topic.

2 Requirements

The goal of this work is to improve the impression of Clippy's lint list. This section of the document will set a list of requirements to focus on in further research for this paper. Requirements are usually split up into the following two groups (Sommerville 2010, p. 83ff):

- *Functional requirements* describe direct functionality and behavior that a system should provide. They can also be defined as negations, stating that a certain behavior should not happen. These requirements are usually documented in an abstract way to enable system users to understand them.
- *Non-functional requirements* are focussed on the characteristics of the system itself, an example might be the requirement to have a reliable and maintainable system. These requirements can include constraints that the system might have to take care of.

2.1 Implemented functionality

The topic of this assignment focusses on the perception and impression of Clippy's lint list as an entire system. The research question therefor puts a focus on non-functional requirements. The website itself is based on functional requirements and these should remain fulfilled even after the suggested changes. It is therefor important to note them in some way or form while not taking focus of the key point of this paper. All requirements that have been implemented previously will therefor be summarized in the following functional requirement: *The functionality of the website should not be impacted by the implementation of new measures to improve the impression or usage.* This requirement covers functionality like the search feature, filter options and theming. The implementation of all of these has been completed at the point of writing this. A more extensive specification of the underlying requirements can be retrieved from the rust-clippy issue tracker.

2.2 Non-functional requirements (Maybe: Requirements by the Infra team)

The Rust Infrastructure team has created a set of guidelines that static websites affiliated with the Rust project should fulfill. Clippy is an official Rust project and the website itself presents static content, the guidelines therefor apply to the website.

These guidelines contain a *formal specification* that states: *"The website must reach an A+ grade on the*

Mozilla Observatory." (The Rust Infrastructure team 2020). This specification is based on the requirement of security. The A+ grade ensures that all important headers have been set and that enhanced users privacy features should be enabled by the browser (The Rust Infrastructure team 2020). A secure website contributes to a trustful relation ship between the user and Clippy's lint list. It additionally improves the ranking in most search engines and therefor helps users to faster find the documentation they require.

The guidelines from the infrastructure team additionally contain some functional requirements that are not directly connected to the research question, they are also already fulfilled by the current setup. These are therefor included in the defined functional requirement.

2.3 Performance

The second major non-functional requirement this assignment will look at is performance.

- Search performance
- Load performance

3 Fulfillment of requirements

This chapter will measure the current fulfillment for the previously in 2 defined requirements. These results will then be used to identify the key aspects that need improvement. Additionally they will be used for comparison when testing suggestions.

3.1 Mozilla Observatory

Mozilla Observatory is a collection of tools that can analyze a website to determine which available security measures have been utilized by it (King and et al. 2018b). These security is focussed on values that can be set in the HTTP header to indicate that opt-in security options should be enabled by the browser (**TODO**). The Rust development documentation links to a free online interface¹ for the Mozilla Observatory that is provided by the Mozilla Foundation free of charge.

3.1.1 Scoring

The result of the analyzes is summarized in a single score with a corresponding grade. The score is calculated using a baseline each checked criteria can add bonus points or subtracted penalty. This implementation is used to give different weight to specific configurations. The significance of these modifiers are based on how important the analyzed aspect for security. Scores can range from a minimum of 0 to a maximum of 135, the score of 100 already indicates that the website is configured correctly a higher score can be archived by archiving bonuses. A score of 100 and above corresponds to the grade A+ (King and et al. 2018a).

The observatory documentation notes that all websites are graded equally, this means certain graded configurations might be unimportant for the specific use case (King and et al. 2020).

¹<https://observatory.mozilla.org/>

3.1.2 Measurement

Scanning Clippy's lint list results in an overall grade of *C* with a score of 55/100. It is to note that the analysis cut of the path to the lint list and graded the host itself. The results are therefor for *rust-lang.github.io* in general. The score was calculated using the baseline of 100 points and subtracting a penalty of 45 points. This sanction is the result of three failed tests that are shown in table 1.

No.	Score	Reason
1.	-20	HTTP Strict Transport Security (HSTS) header not implemented
2.	-20	X-Frame-Options (XFO) header not implemented
3.	-5	X-Content-Type-Options header not implemented

Table 1: Mozilla Observatory analysis penalties for *rust-lang.github.io* from 2021-04-24

The original scan output with all test results is included in attachment number 1.

3.2 Initial page load time

What is exactly being measured?

- From opening the lint list
- To seeing the list (Loading... is not valid)

3.2.1 Note problems

Influenced by almost everything

Currently interested in significant speedups this means that small deviations are not detrimental.

3.2.2 Setup

Describe setup maybe using debugger, tool or log statements. Take average of X times

3.2.3 Measurement

Add a nice table with an average and so on

3.3 Summary of benchmarks

Select * from above where x.interesting is true

4 Analysis of benchmark results

This chapter will inspect each identified technical problem from section 3. The inspection will first explain the technical background behind the problem and then identify the optimal configuration.

The observatory scan focuses on HTTP header which are set by the server behind the domain. The scan was therefor conducted for the domain *rust-lang.github.io*. Clippy's lint list is indirectly included in this result as well as documentation from repositories by the *Rust Organization*. Further investigation will continue to focus on the context of Clippy's lint list however improvements to the server would directly improve other websites.

4.1 HTTP Strict Transport Security (HSTS)

HTTP Strict Transport Security (HSTS) is a optional HTTP header field that requests the client accessing the HTTP API to only use encrypted connection for further requests. The request to use and encrypted connection extends to all resources that are referenced by the requested result. It is therefor necessary that these resources also provide the option to connect via HTTPS (Hodges and et al. 2012, p. 6ff).

4.1.1 Risks

The specification references three threads that can be prevented using this header (Hodges and et al. 2012, p. 6ff):

1. Using an unencrypted connection allows attackers to eavesdrop on the exchanged data. This is a *passive network attack* and can be used to collect personal information, passwords or browsing habits.
2. A HTTPS connection requires a certificate that has to be signed by a certification authority. This certificate intern lists the owner or organization. This can be used to validate that the displayed content really originates from the expected source and with that prevent attackers from creating a fake website copy to steal otherwise secure information.
3. Forcing the use of HTTPS additionally ensures that mistakes like referencing ressources via HTTP links will be corrected by the requesting client

4.1.2 Importance for Clippy

Clippy'S lint only displays publicly available information about lints in a easy accessible and searchable way. A passive network attack could therefor not collect any secret of personal information about the user. Except the fact that they visited the domain at all. However, this would however also be possible with the header as the connected IP is not effected by it. This also extends to the third thread of accidentally not requesting unencrypted resources, this can currently still happen but would not be detrimental.

The second thread of modification of the website is the relevant thread in this case. An attacker could for instance inject a donation button as several developers have expressed interest to donate to the Rust Foundation itself. This button would then forward the user to another page of the attacker to donate.

With all of this being said it has to be noted that all references to the website already include `https` at the start and a user has to deliberately enter the domain with `http` in front. Most browsers will then still recommend to use the encrypted connection or at least add a *not encrypted* notice next to the URL. All of this results in a very low risk. The header should still be set if the hosting provider provides a simple setting for this. Also due to the fact that the targeted A+ rating would require this field.

4.2 X-Frame-Options (XFO)

Some other explanation (Ross and Gondrom 2013)

4.3 X-Content-Type-Options

What content to we want? All the content who do we trust: (Bengtsson and et al. 2021) and who was the weird inventor? Yes: (Lawrence 2008)

4.4 Slow loading times

Some form of art

5 Solutions for unfulfilled specifications

- Reading GH page documentation
- Maybe contacting support

Hello

6 Conclusion

Hello

References

- Bengtsson, Peter and et al. (2021-03). *X-Content-Type-Options*. URL: <https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/HTTP/Headers/X-Content-Type-Options> (visited on 2021-04-26).
- Hoare, Graydon and et al. (2019-01). *COPYRIGHT*. URL: <https://github.com/rust-lang/rust/blob/master/COPYRIGHT> (visited on 2021-04-20).
- Hodges, Jeff and et al. (2012-11). *RFC6797: HTTP Strict Transport Security (HSTS)*. Tech. rep. Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). URL: <https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc6797> (visited on 2021-04-25).
- King, April and et al. (2018a-01). *HTTP Observatory Scoring Methodology*. URL: <https://github.com/mozilla/http-observatory/blob/fa38ab4/httpobs/docs/scoring.md> (visited on 2021-04-24).
- (2018b-03). *Mozilla HTTP Observatory*. URL: <https://github.com/mozilla/http-observatory/blob/1bb1566/README.md> (visited on 2021-04-24).
- (2020-10). *Frequently Asked Questions*. URL: <https://observatory.mozilla.org/faq/> (visited on 2021-04-24).
- Lawrence, Eric (2008-02). *IE8 Security Part VI: Beta 2 Update*. URL: <https://docs.microsoft.com/en-us/archive/blogs/ie/ie8-security-part-vi-beta-2-update> (visited on 2021-04-26).
- Lusby, Jane (2018-07). *Add clippy to the tools list #1461*. URL: <https://github.com/rust-lang/rustup/pull/1461> (visited on 2021-04-17).
- Ross, David and Tobias Gondrom (2013-10). *RFC7034: HTTP Header Field X-Frame-Options*. Tech. rep. Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). URL: <https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc7034> (visited on 2021-04-25).
- Sommerville, Ian (2010). *Software Engineering*. 9th edition. 501 Boylston Street, Suite 900, Boston, Massachusetts 02116: Pearson Education, Inc. ISBN: 978-0-13-703515-1.
- The Rust Clippy Developers (2021-03). *Clippy*. URL: <https://github.com/rust-lang/rust-clippy/blob/7fcd1/README.md> (visited on 2021-04-17).
- The Rust Core Team (2015-05). *Announcing Rust 1.0*. URL: <https://blog.rust-lang.org/2015/05/15/Rust-1.0.html> (visited on 2021-04-17).
- (2021-03). *Announcing Rust 1.51.0*. URL: <https://blog.rust-lang.org/2021/03/25/Rust-1.51.0.html> (visited on 2021-04-17).
- The Rust Infrastructure team (2020-03). *Rust Infrastructure hosting for static websites*. URL: <https://forge.rust-lang.org/infra/guidelines/static-websites.html> (visited on 2021-04-24).
- The Rust Team (2021a-04). *All-time Contributors*. URL: <https://thanks.rust-lang.org/rust/all-time/> (visited on 2021-04-20).
- (2021b). *Code of conduct*. URL: <https://www.rust-lang.org/policies/code-of-conduct> (visited on 2021-04-20).
- Turon, Aaron and Niko Matsakis (2014-10). *Stability as a Deliverable*. URL: <https://blog.rust-lang.org/2014/10/30/Stability.html> (visited on 2021-04-20).

7 Attachments

```
1 {
2   "content—security—policy": {
3     "expectation": "csp—implemented—with—no—unsafe",
4     "name": "content—security—policy",
5     "output": {
6       "data": {
7         "connect—src": [
8           "'self'"
9         ],
10        "default—src": [
11          "'none'"
12        ],
13        "img—src": [
14          "data:"
15        ],
16        "style—src": [
17          "'unsafe—inline'"
18        ]
19      },
20      "http": true,
21      "meta": true,
22      "policy": {
23        "antiClickjacking": false,
24        "defaultNone": true,
25        "insecureBaseUri": true,
26        "insecureFormAction": true,
27        "insecureSchemeActive": false,
28        "insecureSchemePassive": false,
29        "strictDynamic": false,
30        "unsafeEval": false,
31        "unsafeInline": false,
32        "unsafeInlineStyle": true,
33        "unsafeObjects": false
34      }
35    },
36    "pass": true,
37    "result": "csp—implemented—with—unsafe—inline—in—style—src—only",
38    "score_description": "Content Security Policy (CSP) implemented with unsafe sources inside style—src. This includes 'unsafe—inline', data: or overly broad sources such as
39      ↪ https:.",
40    "score_modifier": 0
41  },
42  "contribute": {
43    "expectation": "contribute—json—only—required—on—mozilla—properties",
44    "name": "contribute",
45    "output": {
46      "data": null
47    },
48    "pass": true,
49    "result": "contribute—json—only—required—on—mozilla—properties",
50    "score_description": "Contribute.json isn't required on websites that don't belong to Mozilla",
51    "score_modifier": 0
52  },
53  "cookies": {
54    "expectation": "cookies—secure—with—httponly—sessions",
55    "name": "cookies",
56    "output": {
57      "data": null,
58      "sameSite": null
59    },
60    "pass": true,
61    "result": "cookies—not—found",
62    "score_description": "No cookies detected",
63    "score_modifier": 0
64  },
65  "cross—origin—resource—sharing": {
66    "expectation": "cross—origin—resource—sharing—not—implemented",
67    "name": "cross—origin—resource—sharing",
68    "output": {
69      "data": {
70        "acao": "*",
71        "clientaccesspolicy": null,
72        "crossdomain": null
73      }
74    },
75    "pass": true,
76    "result": "cross—origin—resource—sharing—implemented—with—public—access",
77    "score_description": "Public content is visible via cross—origin resource sharing (CORS) Access—Control—Allow—Origin header",
78    "score_modifier": 0
79  },
80  "public—key—pinning": {
81    "expectation": "hpkp—not—implemented",
```

```

81     "name": "public-key-pinning",
82     "output": {
83         "data": null,
84         "includeSubDomains": false,
85         "max-age": null,
86         "numPins": null,
87         "preloaded": false
88     },
89     "pass": true,
90     "result": "hpkp-not-implemented",
91     "score_description": "HTTP Public Key Pinning (HPKP) header not implemented",
92     "score_modifier": 0
93 },
94 "redirection": {
95     "expectation": "redirection-to-https",
96     "name": "redirection",
97     "output": {
98         "destination": null,
99         "redirects": true,
100         "route": [
101             "http://rust-lang.github.io/",
102             "https://rust-lang.github.io/"
103         ],
104         "status_code": null
105     },
106     "pass": true,
107     "result": "redirection-to-https",
108     "score_description": "Initial redirection is to HTTPS on same host, final destination is HTTPS",
109     "score_modifier": 0
110 },
111 "referrer-policy": {
112     "expectation": "referrer-policy-private",
113     "name": "referrer-policy",
114     "output": {
115         "data": null,
116         "http": false,
117         "meta": false
118     },
119     "pass": true,
120     "result": "referrer-policy-not-implemented",
121     "score_description": "Referrer-Policy header not implemented",
122     "score_modifier": 0
123 },
124 "strict-transport-security": {
125     "expectation": "hsts-implemented-max-age-at-least-six-months",
126     "name": "strict-transport-security",
127     "output": {
128         "data": null,
129         "includeSubDomains": false,
130         "max-age": null,
131         "preload": false,
132         "preloaded": false
133     },
134     "pass": false,
135     "result": "hsts-not-implemented",
136     "score_description": "HTTP Strict Transport Security (HSTS) header not implemented",
137     "score_modifier": -20
138 },
139 "subresource-integrity": {
140     "expectation": "sri-implemented-and-external-scripts-loaded-securely",
141     "name": "subresource-integrity",
142     "output": {
143         "data": {}
144     },
145     "pass": true,
146     "result": "sri-not-implemented-but-no-scripts-loaded",
147     "score_description": "Subresource Integrity (SRI) is not needed since site contains no script tags",
148     "score_modifier": 0
149 },
150 "x-content-type-options": {
151     "expectation": "x-content-type-options-nosniff",
152     "name": "x-content-type-options",
153     "output": {
154         "data": null
155     },
156     "pass": false,
157     "result": "x-content-type-options-not-implemented",
158     "score_description": "X-Content-Type-Options header not implemented",
159     "score_modifier": -5
160 },
161 "x-frame-options": {
162     "expectation": "x-frame-options-sameorigin-or-deny",
163     "name": "x-frame-options",

```

```

164     "output": {
165         "data": null
166     },
167     "pass": false,
168     "result": "x-frame-options-not-implemented",
169     "score_description": "X-Frame-Options (XFO) header not implemented",
170     "score_modifier": -20
171 },
172 "x-xss-protection": {
173     "expectation": "x-xss-protection-1-mode-block",
174     "name": "x-xss-protection",
175     "output": {
176         "data": null
177     },
178     "pass": true,
179     "result": "x-xss-protection-not-needed-due-to-csp",
180     "score_description": "X-XSS-Protection header not needed due to strong Content Security Policy (CSP) header",
181     "score_modifier": 0
182 }
183 }

```

Attachment 1: Mozilla Observatory output for rust-lang.github.io from 2021-04-24