liblzma - OSS and Backdoors

Exploring the xz-utils Backdoor, its Emergence and its Impact on FOSS and OSS

9525469 xnacly.me Applied Computer Science DHBW Mosbach

June 7, 2024

Abstract

In recent years, several vulnerabilities in the open-source software supply chain were discovered. The most recent being the intentionally placed backdoor in the compression library named *liblzma*. This paper aims to explore the implementation of said backdoor while highlighting the insertion of the backdoor and the inserters use of social engineering enabling their placement in the leadership of the project. Furthermore ways of preventing similar attacks are presented and evaluated on the example of the *liblzma* situation.

1 Introduction

FOSS¹ is generally defined as software the user can "[...] run, copy, distribute, study, change and improve [...]" [2]. This requires the source to be available and enables the dependence of other software on subsets or the entirety of the code. On the other hand, source available or OSS² are distinct from FOSS software. Some licenses do not require the resulting product to be licensed under the same license as its dependencies, such as the

MIT license³. It therefore differs from the GPL⁴ and software licensed with the MIT-Licence can therefore not be referred to as free open-source software, but rather as open-source software.

1.1 Dependence on FOSS and OSS

Open source software is often divided into reusable components, such as libraries or toolkits implementing a specific feature, and built upon by other software. The goal is to use tried and tested components in the creation of new OSS, thus building on field tested and established software found in the OSS community.

Not only does OSS depend on other libraries from the OSS ecosystem. Proprietary software also makes use of said OSS components, while being forced to adhere to their terms, as declared in their respective licenses.

1.2 Supply Chain Security

[5]

¹Free and Open-Source Software [1]

²Open-Source Software

³Requires the license to be present in "all copies or substantial portions of the Software" [3]

⁴Requires all copies of the software to be licensed as GPL [4]

1.3 xz-utils and liblzma

[6]

2 Backdoor Exploration

- 2.1 Implementation
- 2.2 Social Engineering
- 2.3 Pressure on OSS Maintainer
- 2.4 Affected Systems
- 3 Response
- 3.1 Patches
- 3.2 Releases on Hold
- 3.3 Vetting Source Code
- 4 Prevention
- 4.1 Funding FOSS and OSS
- 4.2 Vetting Dependency
- 4.3 Appreciation for FOSS Maintainers

References

- [1] R. M. S. (RMS). (2021), [Online]. Available: https://www.gnu.org/ philosophy/pragmatic.html (visited on 06/04/2024).
- [2] F. S. Foundation. (2024), [Online]. Available: https://www.gnu.org/philosophy/free-sw.html (visited on 06/04/2024).
- [3] Opensource.org. (2024), [Online]. Available: https://opensource.org/license/MIT (visited on 06/04/2024).

- [4] Opensource.org. (2024), [Online]. Available: https://opensource.org/license/gpl (visited on 06/04/2024).
- [5] M. Stapelberg. "Supply chain security with go." (2024), [Online]. Available: https://media.ccc.de/v/gpn22-438-supply-chain-security-with-go (visited on 06/07/2024).
- [6] cy. "Common code <> different back-doors." (2024), [Online]. Available: https://media.ccc.de/v/gpn22-304 common code different backdoors (visited on 06/05/2024).

Appendix