Assignment 3

COSC 4426 Prof. Biocchi TA: – Tyler Wilding
Due Date: 02/10/16
No Source Code
No Website

Packet Capturing

Packet capturing is very useful and not used for just gathering sensitive information, it is also used for testing many things that are networking related. As data is transferred amongst the network, the software picks up and logs the information and could even potentially decode it to show it in a more human readable format. This can especially be used to see how the data is while in motion, if it is encrypted or not, if it is being intercepted along the way.

ARP Poisoning

However, one of the issues when trying to capture packets in this assignment is that just simply looking at the packets on even a public WiFi with no encryption will not allow you to intercept information with just simple packet capturing software like wireshark. This is because networks are much more complex now, information is not sent directly between clients for the most part, instead data first travels to the router or switch which will redirect the data to its final destination or to the next hop. The router is not going to broadcast the information out to everyone on the network, only the relevant people. However, this might also be due to the limiting nature of wireless cards on laptops and phones.

This is where ARP (Address Resolution Protocol) poisoning comes in handy. This is a man in the middle attack that allows you to spoof to addresses in the network that you are the actual router. No one else in the network as a user sees a noticable difference, the packets are simple transferred to your computer first and then to the router, hence the man in the middle attack. Now that you have all packets going through your device you can use a program like wireshark to capture them.

We can actually see the arp poison in action through wireshark.

```
Time | Source | Destination | Protocol | Length Info |
11 7.2111... IntelCor_50:69:... LgELectr_71:1d... ARP | 42 192.168.0.1 is at 5c:e0:c5:50:69:91 |
12 7.2111... IntelCor_50:69:... LgELectr_71:1d... ARP | 42 192.168.0.103 is at 5c:e0:c5:50:69:91 (duplicate use of 192.168.0.1 detected!)
241 13.607... IntelCor_50:69:... LgELectr_71:1d... ARP | 42 Who has 192.168.0.103? Tell 192.168.0.105 |
242 13.721... LgELectr_71:1d:... IntelCor_50:69... ARP | 42 192.168.0.103 is at 40:b0:f6:71:1d:ee |
253 17.221... IntelCor_50:69:... LgELectr_71:1d... ARP | 42 192.168.0.103 is at 5c:e0:c5:50:69:91 |
254 17.221... IntelCor_50:69:... LgELectr_71:1d... ARP | 42 192.168.0.103 is at 5c:e0:c5:50:69:91 (duplicate use of 192.168.0.1 detected!)

> Frame 254: 42 bytes on wire (336 bits), 42 bytes captured (336 bits) on interface 0 |
Ethernet II, Src: IntelCor_50:69:91 (5c:e0:c5:50:69:91), Dst: CiscoSpv_53:06:ee (c8:fb:26:53:06:ee) |
| Duplicate IP address detected for 192.168.0.103 (5c:e0:c5:50:69:91) - also in use by 40:b0:fa:71:id:ee (frame 253)] |
| Address Resolution Protocol (reply)
```

In this assignment I used ettercap to ARP poison and wireshark to capture the packets. I used Will's website Link for a non-SSL website, and mine, Link for a website with SSL.

Packet Output

Wireshark Configuration:

Capture Filter: host 192.168.0.103 (the ip of my phone)

Display Filter: http.request.method == GET or http.request.method == POST

http.request.method == GET or http.request.method == POST										
1	lo.	Time	Source	Destination	Protocol	Length	Info			
ı	95	387.80	192.168.0.103	138.197.138.212	HTTP	4	GET /message.php HTTP/1.1			
	169	388.50	192.168.0.103	138.197.138.212	HTTP	2	GET /favicon.ico HTTP/1.1			
	180	388.71	192.168.0.103	138.197.138.212	HTTP	2	GET /favicon.ico HTTP/1.1			
	200	398.60	192.168.0.103	138.197.138.212	HTTP	4	GET /index.php HTTP/1.1			
	240	398.78	192.168.0.103	138.197.138.212	HTTP	4	GET /index.php HTTP/1.1			
	323	399.66	192.168.0.103	138.197.138.212	HTTP	2	GET /favicon.ico HTTP/1.1			
	335	399.99	192.168.0.103	138.197.138.212	HTTP	2	GET /favicon.ico HTTP/1.1			
ı	363	409.96	192.168.0.103	138.197.138.212	HTTP	6	POST /php/login.php HTTP/1.1 (app			
ĺ	380	410.07	192.168.0.103	138.197.138.212	HTTP	4	GET /message.php HTTP/1.1			
	458	410.84	192.168.0.103	138.197.138.212	HTTP	2	GET /favicon.ico HTTP/1.1			
	468	411.34	192.168.0.103	138.197.138.212	HTTP	2	GET /favicon.ico HTTP/1.1			

Source	Destination	Protocol	Info	Data
192.168.0.103	138.197.138.212	НТТР	POST/php/login.php	Frame 363: 609 bytes on wire (4872 bits), 609 bytes captured (4872 bits) on interface 0 Ethernet II, Src: LgElectr_71:1d:ee (40:b0:fa:71:1d:ee), Dst: IntelCor_50:69:91 (5c:e0:c5:50:69:91) Internet Protocol Version 4, Src: 192.168.0.103, Dst: 138.197.138.212 Transmission Control Protocol, Src Port: 38175 (38175), Dst Port: 80 (80), Seq: 1, Ack: 1, Len: 543 Hypertext Transfer Protocol HTML Form URL Encoded: application/x-www-form-urlencoded Form item: "userInput" = "heywill" Key: userInput Value: heywill Form item: "passwordInput" = "installssl" Key: passwordInput Value: installssl Form item: "submit" = "" Key: submit Value:
Source	Destination	Protocol	Info	Data
192.168.0.103	192.229.162.211	TLSv1.2	Encrypted Message	Frame 419: 85 bytes on wire (680 bits), 85 bytes captured (680 bits) on interface 0 Ethernet II, Src: LgElectr_71:1d:ee (40:b0:fa:71:1d:ee), Dst: IntelCor_50:69:91 (5c:e0:c5:50:69:91) Internet Protocol Version 4, Src: 192.168.0.103, Dst: 192.229.162.211 Transmission Control Protocol, Src Port: 52823 (52823), Dst Port: 443 (443), Seq: 1, Ack: 1, Len: 31 Secure Sockets Layer TLSv1.2 Record Layer: Encrypted Alert

As we can see with the above results, the non-SSL website has all its data sent unencrypted through the HTTP protocol, but the SSL secured website has everything encrypted over the Secure Socket Layer protocol and no data is available.