Homework 4: Making **Inferences** to the connection between wages and hours. A. Short Reading and transforming answer Question 1: B. Empirical exercise Submission R Code Start Over

Start Over

B. Empirical exercise In this exercise, we will continue our gender gap analysis with the March 2009 CPS, turning attention

Like before, we start by loading the data.

1 library(readxl) 2 cps_mar <- read_xlsx("./data/cps09mar.xlsx")</pre>

That's marvelous! Correct!

filtered data to mutate to create gender, wage, lwage, over 40 (indicator for working over 40 hours per week), and CollDeg (indicator for having a college degree) variables. We are not checking your work here, but you must get this right to move on.

Continue Average wages and hours by gender Now, let's establish some basic empirical facts about the sample of 23-62 year-olds on which our analysis is based. Create a table of summary statistics (N, Mean, and SD) for wage, hours over 40, and CollDeg by gender and answer a few questions based on the table.

Next, let's do the transformations we'll need for this week's exercise. First, pipe the data to filter

and keep only those observations with positive earnings, then filter to ages 23-62. Then, pipe the

▶ Run Code

☑ Submit Answer

▶ Run Code

R Code Start Over 1 cps_mar_2362 <- cps_mar %>%

3

mutate(

gender = ifelse(female == 1, "Female", "Male"), 4 wage = earnings / (hours * week), # Updated wage calculatio lwage = log(wage),

filter(earnings > 0, age >= 23, age <= 62) %>%

over40 = ifelse(hours > 40, 1, 0),CollDeg = ifelse(education >= 16, 1, 0) 9 10 # Create a nice table 11 datasummary(

wage + hours + over40 + CollDeg ~ gender * (N + Mean + SD), 12 $data = cps_mar_2362$, 13 title = "Table 1. Summary statistics by gender, 23-62 year-old 15) 16 17 # Calculate the percentage of women in the dataset 18 percentage_women <- (sum(cps_mar_2362\$gender == "Female") / nrow 19 20 # Round to the nearest whole number 21 percentage_women_rounded <- round(percentage_women)</pre> Table 1. Summary statistics by gender, 23-62 year-olds Male **Female** N Mean SD N Mean SD 20392 20.26 15.25 27279 wage 27.21 23.53 45.02 hours 20392 42.38 6.24 27279 8.53

20392 0.23 0.42 27279 0.39 0.49 over40 CollDeg 20392 0.38 0.49 27279 0.36 0.48 Women comprise 43 % of our sample of 23-62 year-olds. Men work on average 2.64 more hours per week than women. (Rep ort to two decimals.) The percentage wage gap is roughly 34 %.

decimals.) 2.64 Correct! Question 4: The percentage wage gap is roughly _____ %.

Men work on average ____ more hours per week than women. (Report to two

Wages are more variable among ____ and hours are more variable among ___

Men are _____ percentage points more likely to work more than 40 hours.

Women are ____ percentage points more likely to have a college degree.

Women comprise ____ % of our sample of 23-62 year-olds. (Round to the nearest

Correct!

Question 7:

answer a few questions.

filter(

7 datasummary(

)

this group.

Question 8:

868, 43

Correct!

Question 9:

than women.)

2, 15

23

Correct!

R Code Start Over

2

3 4

5

6

8

Correct!

Question 10:

(Round to the nearest whole number.)

R Code Start Over

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10 11

16

Question 2:

43

34

Correct!

Question 5:

males, males

Correct!

Question 6:

Correct!

Question 3:

whole number.)

Correct! Continue College degrees and long hours

Now, let's return to the end of the Week 4 slide deck, where the focus was on 23-30 year-olds who

The first task will be to filter down to this group and check average wages and hours by gender and

wage + hours + over40 + CollDeg \sim gender * (N + Mean + SD),

title="Table 2. Summary statistics, 23-30 year-olds with colle

Male

Mean

24.45

1.00

SD

16.59

0.00

▶ Run Code

work more than 40 hours per week, and drill down further to college graduates.

1 cps_mar_2330_coll40 <- cpsmar_2362 %>%

age >= 23 & age <= 30,

data=cps_mar_2330_coll40,

over40 == 1,

CollDeg == 1

12 13 # Count the total number of individuals in this group Table 2. Summary statistics, 23-30 year-olds with

wage

CollDeg 376

college degrees and working >40 hours

Female

Mean

376

th college degrees working more than 40 hours.

50.54 7.02 492 hours 376 52.79 9.90 over40 376 1.00 0.00 492 1.00 0.00

SD

19.92 9.55 492

1.00 0.00 492

There are 868 individuals in the sample of 23-30 year-olds wi

Women comprise 43 % (rounded to the nearest whole number) of

Men in this group work on average 2 hours and 15 minutes per

Men in this group work on average ____ hours and ____ minutes per week more

Among the group documented in Table 2, the gender wage gap is roughly _____ %.

Next, use group_by and summarise to estimate E(logwage|age) for women and men in this

group, including 95% confidence intervals for each conditional mean. Then print the data frame.

▶ Run Code

lower

<dbl>

2.157191

2.589713

2.540169

2.758512

2.706542

2.779474

2.749884

2.943651

2.680755

2.775460

2 Next

gender

Female

Male

week more than women. Among the group documented in Table 2, the gender wage gap is roughly 23 %. There are ____ individuals in the sample of 23-30 year-olds with college degrees working more than 40 hours and women comprise _____% (round to the nearest whole number) of this group.)

sing the `.groups` argument. age gender lwage_bar lwage_se upper <dbl> <chr> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl>

2.433198

2.743054

2.673079

2.956772

2.829135

2.910640

2.862429

3.084154

2.841282

2.921660

1 cef_2330_coll40 <- cps_mar_2330_coll40 %>%

lwage_bar = mean(lwage, na.rm = TRUE),

upper = lwage_bar + lwage_se *1.96, lower = lwage_bar - lwage_se *1.96

lwage_se = sd(lwage, na.rm = TRUE)/sqrt(n()),

`summarise()` has grouped output by 'age'. You can override u

0.14082014

0.07823491

0.06781115

0.10115294

0.06254741

0.06692109

0.05742124

0.07168547

0.08190148

0.07459176

2.709206

2.896394

2.805989

3.155031

2.951728

3.041805

2.974975

3.224658

3.001809

3.067860

Previous 1

mutate(age=age-23) %>%

summarise(

10 cef_2330_coll40

0 Female

1 Female

2 Female

3 Female

4 Female

0 Male

1 Male

2 Male

3 Male

4 Male

1-10 of 16 rows

3.0 -

2.4 -

2 3

Average log wage

group_by(age, gender) %>%

Now, plot the CEFs with confidence intervals and answer a couple questions. R Code Start Over ▶ Run Code 1 $ggplot(cef_2330_coll40, aes(x = age, y = lwage_bar, color = gend$ geom_pointrange(aes(ymin = lower, ymax = upper)) + 2 3 labs(title="Figure 1. Log wage profiles by gender, 23-30 year-old 4 x="Year", 5 y="Average log wage" 6 8 # Filter the data for women Figure 1. Log wage profiles by gender, 23-30 year-olds, College, Over40 3.3 -

Question 11: For women in this group, average log wages rise from roughly ____ to ____, or in dollar terms, roughly \$____ to \$____ . (Round all answers to two decimal places.) 2.43, 3.01, 11.36, 20.29 Correct! Question 12: Looking closely at Figure 1 (or the printout of the data frame), the confidence intervals for the male CEF contain the estimated conditional mean for women in __ out of 8 years. Correct! Finally, let's test the null of no gender gap in log wages among this group and interpret the result. R Code Start Over ▶ Run Code

Year

For women in this group, average log wages rise from roughly

rs rounded to two decimal places.)

or in dollar terms, roughly \$ 11.395 to \$ 20.27 . (All answe

3.021806 2.881276 Question 13: The absolute value of the test statistic is _____. (Round to two decimal places.) 3.76 Correct!

The value of the test statistic suggests that the null is ____ at the 5% level.

alternative hypothesis: true difference in means between grou

1 t.test(lwage ~ gender, data=cps_mar_2330_coll40)

t = -3.7584, df = 865.31, p-value = 0.0001825

p Female and group Male is not equal to 0

mean in group Female mean in group Male

Welch Two Sample t-test

95 percent confidence interval:

data: lwage by gender

-0.21391772 -0.06714274

sample estimates:

Question 14:

rejected

Correct!

Continue

Previous Topic

Next Topic